



HAL
open science

”Qualitative Analysis of Business Service Gap in the Precinct of Hotel Industries”

Dr.Nirmala J, Mr Abishek D M

► To cite this version:

Dr.Nirmala J, Mr Abishek D M. ”Qualitative Analysis of Business Service Gap in the Precinct of Hotel Industries”. Journal of Scholastic Engineering Science and Management, 2022, ”Qualitative Analysis of Business Service Gap in the Precinct of Hotel Industries”, 1 (3), pp.1-12. hal-03704676

HAL Id: hal-03704676

<https://hal.science/hal-03704676>

Submitted on 25 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Journal of Scholastic Engineering Science and Management

May 2022, Volume 1, Issue 3 pp: 1-12

“Qualitative Analysis of Business Service Gap in the Precinct of Hotel Industries”

¹Dr.Nirmala J, ²Mr.Abishek D M

¹Assistant Professor, ²Principal

¹Department of Studies in Business Administration

¹Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ballari,Karnataka,India, ²Sri Vidyaniketan Degree College
Sriramanagar,Gangavathi, Karnataka, India

 Email: 1nirmalaj@vskub.ac.in , 2abidmscholar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Service high-satisfactory can as a result be described because the distinction among patron expectancies of provider and perceived provider. If expectancies are extra than overall performance, then perceived high-satisfactory is much less than high-satisfactory and therefore patron dissatisfaction occurs. Several advertising researchers have explored the realistic effect of provider high-satisfactory and its impact on patron behavior. The fundamental functions of this studies paper to pick out the importance distinction among clients' expectancies and perceptions in hotel enterprise. In order to degree the provider high-satisfactory gaps, a SERVQUAL questionnaire from Parasuraman et al., 1988 take a look at is designed. It consists of 22 questions in forms (perceptions and expectancies). Service high-satisfactory gaps are calculated the use of the SERVQUAL method with the aid of using subtracting clients' perceptions (P) from clients' expectancies (E) as $G = E - P$. Paired t check became used to discover the distinction in notion and expectancies. Based on findings managerial implications also are presented to the hotel enterprise.

Keywords: *Service high-satisfactory, Hotel enterprise, Gap evaluation, Perception of provider, Expectation of provider*



© 2022 by Dr.Nirmala J, Mr.Abishek D M is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

1. INTRODUCTION

A service is a pastime or collection of sports of greater or much less intangible nature. It normally, however now no longer necessarily, takes location in interactions among clients and provider personnel and/or bodily sources or items and/or structures of the provider company (Shahin, 2006). For offerings, the evaluation of high-satisfactory is made at some point of the provider transport process. Each patron touch is an possibility to fulfill or dissatisfy the patron. Customer delight with a provider may be described with the aid of using evaluating perceptions and expectancies of provider. When expectancies are not met, provider high-satisfactory is deemed unacceptable and dissatisfaction occurs.

2. LITERATURE BACKGROUND

When expectancies are showed with the aid of using perceived provider, high-satisfactory is high-satisfactory (Fitzsimmons & Fitzsimmons, 2006). In a not unusual place definition, provider high-satisfactory is dealt with because the quantity to which a provider meets clients' wishes or expectancies (Lewis and Mitchell, 1990; Dotchin and Oakland, 1994; Wisniewski and Donnelly, 1996). Service high-satisfactory can as a result be described because the distinction among patron expectancies of provider and perceived provider. If expectancies are extra than overall performance, then perceived high-satisfactory is much less than high-satisfactory and therefore patron dissatisfaction occurs (Parasuraman et al., 1985; Lewis and Mitchell, 1990; Shahin, 2006). Several advertising researchers have explored the realistic effect of provider high-satisfactory and its impact on patron behavior. The consensus is that better provider high-satisfactory need to growth patron delight. This need to result in higher long term relationships among the provider company and the provider recipient (Etgar and Fuchs, 2009).

Parasuraman et al., (1985) proposed 10 provider high-satisfactory dimensions. They covered reliability, responsiveness, competence, access, courtesy, conversation, creditability, security, knowledge/ understanding the patron, and tangibles. They simplified the 10 dimensions of provider high-satisfactory into 5 dimensions as tangible, reliability, responsiveness, guarantee and empathy. In the SERVQUAL scale, 22 gadgets degree the overall performance throughout 5 dimensions of reliability, responsiveness, guarantee, empathy and tangibles. For this purpose, a seven factor Likert scale is used (Shahin and Dabestani, 2010). The 5 usual dimensions are as follows (van Iwaarden et al., 2003): (1) Tangibles (2) Reliability (three) Responsiveness (four) Assurance (five) Empathy. It is because of the truth that that is the simplest to be had reference that has specialised withinside the dimensions for the hotel enterprise.

3. OBJECTIVES

The functions of this take a look at are as follow

- To take a look at the critical elements in provider high-satisfactory dimensions relevant to hotel enterprise.

- To pick out the importance distinction among clients' expectancies and perceptions in hotel enterprise.
- To endorse measures for enhancing the high-satisfactory and performance in hotel enterprise.

4. METHODOLOGY

The populace of the take a look at consisted of clients touring hotels placed in Mumbai. The hotels in Mumbai have been decided on primarily based totally on club hotels which can be registered below south Indian hotel association, Mumbai chapter. The respondents have been decided on the idea of a non- chance comfort sampling technique. The questionnaire became designed to have a look at the high-satisfactory provider notion and expectation through 5 dimensions (tangible, reliability, responsiveness, guarantee and empathy). In order to degree the provider high-satisfactory gaps, a SERVQUAL questionnaire from (Parasuraman et al., 1988) take a look at is designed. It consists of 22 questions in forms (perceptions and expectancies). The 22 statements have been measured on a five factor Likert scale in which five indicated strongly agree and 1 strongly disagree. The respondents have been asked to fill out the questionnaires earlier than check-in for stage of notion and after their check-out for stage of expectancies. A overall of 280 questionnaires have been dispensed and accrued individually at some point of Februry to April 2022. Of those, 179 questionnaires have been determined to be legitimate for evaluation. The reaction price became, therefore, 64% from the unique pattern of 280. Service high-satisfactory gaps are calculated the use of the SERVQUAL method with the aid of using subtracting clients' perceptions (P) from clients' expectancies (E) as $G = E - P$. Paired t-check became used to discover the distinction in stage of notion and expectancies. For the targets said above, the paired t-check is suitable statistical device due to the fact the primary makes use of paired t-check is to examine and provide the t-cost of earlier than-and-after observations at the equal subjects (Level of notion earlier than check-in = Pair 1 and Level of expectation after their check-out = Pair 2). SPSS 20.0 for home windows became hired which will benefit the effects required for the size dimension.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

(i). Gap Analysis for Tangibility Dimension

Measuring provider high-satisfactory for hotel enterprise is critical to apprehend the modern-day lag withinside the provider offering. The famous device withinside the region of provider high-satisfactory dimension became taken up for the evaluation. The researcher explored patron's expectation and notion degrees toward provider high-satisfactory with the aid of using the use of SERVQUAL device with the aid of using Parasuraman et al., (1988). The variables to be had withinside the device are tangibility, reliability, responsiveness, guarantee, and empathy. In addition, following standards is used for evaluation part: - The suggest rating from 1.00-1.80 manner lowest notion and expectation; the suggest rating from 1.81-2.61 manner low notion and expectation; the suggest rating from 2.62-3.41 manner

common notion and expectation; the suggest rating from 3.42-4.21 manner excessive notion and expectation; the suggest rating from 4.22-5.00 suggest maximum notion and expectation.

Table-1: Gap Analysis for Tangibility Dimension

Dimension	Acuity Mean	Level	Expectation Mean	Level	GapPer-Exp	t-value	Avg. Group Score
Alluring interior and exterior hotel design	3.59	High	3.73	High	-0.14	-1.953**	
Freshness of rooms	3.66	High	3.75	High	-0.09	-1.271(NS)	
Sanitary bathrooms and toilets	3.58	High	3.80	High	-0.22	-3.249**	
Expediency hotel location	3.58	High	3.80	High	-0.22	-2.932**	
Immaculate and proficient appearance of supervise	3.43	High	3.81	High	-0.38	-4.606*	
Visually appealing flyers, booklets	3.49	High	3.68	High	-0.19	-2.480**	
outlook of the hotel	3.70	High	3.80	High	-0.1	-1.401NS	
OVERALL MEAN SCORE	3.58		3.77				-0.19

Source: Primary Data, *Significant at one percentage stage, **Significant at 5 percentage stage Service high-satisfactory hole evaluation became carried out to evaluate the provider hole for tangibility measurement.

Table 1 suggests that everyone the parameters below “tangibility” measurement clients’ expectancies concerning gadgets are at excessive stage and clients’ perceptions also are at excessive stage. Overall expectation of clients’ concerning “tangibility” measurement is excessive (3.58) & notion toward this measurement is likewise at excessive stage (3.77). Majority of suggest high-satisfactory hole rankings are poor which well-known shows the inefficiency of provider gadgets toward tangibility measurement in the anticipated time. The average suggest for hole rating is poor (-0.19) which suggests that hotels are not absolutely capable of carry out the promised offerings accurately. To realize the distinction among patron expectation & notion for tangibility parameters paired t-check became applied. The following speculation formulated for the take a look at.

H₀₁: There is no giant distinction among respondents Expectation & Perceptions for tangibility measurement

The effects well-known shows there may be distinction in notion and expectation rating for all of the parameters besides spacious of room and photo of the hotel. Hence the above said speculation is in part rejected. Hence it is far concluded that there may be distinction in notion and expectation rating for tangibility measurement.

(ii).Gap Analysis for Reliability Dimension

Service high-satisfactory hole evaluation became carried out to evaluate the provider hole for Reliability measurement. Table 2 suggests that for all of the parameters below “Reliability” measurement clients’ expectancies concerning gadgets are at excessive stage and clients’ perceptions also are at excessive stage. Overall expectation of clients’ concerning “reliability” measurement is excessive (3.76) & notion toward this measurement is likewise at excessive stage (3.57). Majority of suggest high-satisfactory hole rankings are poor which well-known shows the inefficiency of provider gadgets toward reliability measurement in the anticipated time. The average suggest high-satisfactory hole rating is poor (-0.19) which suggests that hotels enterprise in Mumbai is not always absolutely capable of carry out the promised offerings accurately.

Table-2: Gap Analysis for Reliability Dimension

Reliability Dimension	Perception Mean	Level	Expectation Mean	Level	Gap Per-Exp	t-value	Avg. Group Score
Execution the services at the timepromised	3.63	High	3.75	High	-0.12	-1.642 NS	
Hotel has skilled staff	3.48	High	3.75	High	-0.27	-3.625*	
Precise information about hotel services	.57	High	3.76	High	-0.19	-2.351**	
Sensible cleaning service	3.58	High	3.77	High	-0.19	-2.636**	
OVERALL MEAN SCORE	3.57		3.76				-0.19

Source: Primary Data, *Significant at one percentage stage, **Significant at 5 percentage stage.

To realize the distinction among respondent’s expectation & notion for reliability parameters paired t-check became applied.

The following speculation formulated for the take a look at.

H0₂: There is no giant distinction among the suggest rankings of Expectation & Perceptions for reliability measurement

The effects screen there may be distinction in notion and expectation rating for all of the parameters besides acting offerings at promised time. Hence the above said speculation is rejected. Hence, it is far concluded that there may be distinction in notion and expectation rating for reliability measurement.

(iii). Gap Analysis for Responsiveness Dimension

Service high-satisfactory hole evaluation became carried out to evaluate the provider hole for responsiveness measurement. Table 3 suggests that for all of the parameters below “Responsiveness” measurement clients’ expectancies concerning gadgets are at excessive stage and clients’ perceptions also are at excessive stage. Overall expectation of clients’ concerning “Responsiveness” measurement is excessive (3.70) & notion toward this measurement is likewise at excessive stage (3.59). Majority of suggest high-satisfactory hole rankings are poor which well-known shows the inefficiency of provider gadgets toward “Responsiveness” measurement in the anticipated time. The average suggest high-satisfactory hole rating is poor (-0.11) which suggests that hotels withinside the take a look at region now no longer absolutely capable of carry out the promised offerings accurately.

Table-3: Gap Analysis for Responsiveness Dimension

Responsiveness Dimension	Perception Mean	Level	Expectation Mean	Level	Gap Per-Exp	t-value	Avg. Group Score
Preparedness of staff to provide help promptly	3.56	High	3.65	High	-0.09	-1.258(NS)	
Accessibility of staff to provide service	3.62	High	3.75	High	-0.13	-1.755(NS)	
OVERALL MEAN SCORE	3.59		3.70				-0.11

Source: Primary Data, NS-Not Significant

To realize the distinction among patron expectation & notion for responsiveness measurement paired t-check became applied. The following speculation formulated for the take a look at.

H0₃: There is no giant distinction among the suggest rankings of Expectation and Perceptions for responsiveness measurement. The effects screen there may be no distinction in notion and expectation rating for all of the parameters. Hence the above said speculation is accepted. Hence it's far concluded that there may be no distinction in notion and expectation rating for responsiveness measurement.

(iv). Gap Analysis for Assurance Dimension

Service high-satisfactory hole evaluation became carried out to evaluate the provider hole for numerous guarantee dimensions. Table four suggests that for all of the parameters below “Assurance” measurement clients’ expectancies concerning gadgets are at excessive stage and clients’ perceptions also are at excessive stage. Overall expectation of clients’ concerning “Assurance” measurement is excessive (3.66) & notion toward this measurement is likewise at excessive stage (3.54). Majority of suggest high-satisfactory hole rankings are poor which well-known shows the inefficiency of provider

gadgets toward “Assurance” measurement in the anticipated time. The average suggest high-satisfactory hole rating is poor (-0.12) which suggests that hotels withinside the pattern region are not absolutely capable of carry out the promised offerings accurately.

Table-4: Gap Analysis for Assurance Dimension

Assurance Dimension	Perception Mean	Level	Expectation Mean	Level	Gap Per-Exp	t-value	Avg. Group Score
Approachability of staff	3.52	High	3.65	High	-0.13	-1.662 NS	
Chivalrous employees	3.51	High	3.60	High	-0.09	-1.194 NS	
Ability of staff to instill confidence into customers	3.58	High	3.72	High	-0.14	-1.860 NS	
OVERALL MEAN SCORE	3.54		3.66				-0.12

Source: Primary Data, NS-Not Significant

To realize the distinction among patron expectation & notion for guarantee measurement paired t-check became applied. The following speculation formulated for the take a look at.

H04: There is no any giant distinction among the suggest rankings of Expectation & Perceptions for guarantee measurement. The effects well-known shows there may be no distinction in notion and expectation rating for all of the parameters, Hence the above said speculation is accepted. Hence it is far concluded that there may be no distinction in notion and expectation rating for guarantee measurement.

(v). Gap Analysis for Empathy Dimension

Service high-satisfactory hole evaluation became carried out to evaluate the provider hole for empathy measurement. Table five suggests that for all of the parameters below “Empathy” measurement clients’ expectancies concerning gadgets are at excessive stage and clients’ perceptions also are at excessive stage. Overall expectation of clients’ concerning “Empathy” measurement is excessive (3.64) & notion toward this measurement is likewise at excessive stage (3.56). Majority of suggest high-satisfactory hole rankings are poor which well-known shows the inefficiency of provider gadgets toward empathy measurement in the anticipated time. The average suggest high-satisfactory hole rating is poor (-0.08) which suggests that hotels in sampling region are not absolutely capable of carry out the promised offerings accurately.

Table-5: Gap Analysis for Empathy Dimension

Empathy Dimension	Perception Mean	Level	Expectation Mean	Level	Gap Per-Exp	t-value	Avg. Group Score
Obtainability of room service	3.65	High	3.71	High	-0.06	-.789 NS	
Giving superior attention to the customer	3.60	High	3.56	High	0.04	.494 NS	
Identifying the hotel customer	3.54	High	3.67	High	-0.13	-1.856 NS	
Empathetic the customer's requirements and needs	3.59	High	3.65	High	-0.06	-.710 NS	
Listening carefully to complaints	3.48	High	3.65	High	-0.17	-.2.206**	
Hotel to have customers best notice at heart	3.52	High	3.61	High	-0.09	- 1.195NS	
OVERALL MEAN SCORE	3.56		3.64				-0.08

Source: Primary Data, NS-Not Significant, **Significant at 5 percentage stage

To realize the distinction among patron expectation & notion for empathy measurement paired t check became applied. The following speculation formulated for the take a look at.

H0₅: There is no giant distinction among the suggest rankings of Expectation & Perceptions for empathy measurement. The effects screen there may be no distinction in notion and expectation rating for all of the parameters besides listening cautiously to lawsuits. Hence the above said speculation is accepted. Hence it's far concluded that there may be no distinction in notion and expectation rating for empathy measurement.

(vi). Mean Ratings and SERVQUAL Gap of the Extracted Factors

Service high-satisfactory hole evaluation became carried out to evaluate the provider hole for following extracted dimensions specifically Tangibility, Reliability, Responsiveness, Assurance and Empathy. Table 6 provides suggest cost, t-cost and p-cost related to expectancies and notion of visitors staying in hotels of Mumbai. The suggest values of expectancies are starting from 3.64 to 3.77. Based on those data, it may be concluded that expectancies of the visitors who have been staying on the hotels in Mumbai are excessive. The Arithmetical manner of notion is from 3.54 to 3.58. SERVQUAL hole (distinction among perceived provider and anticipated provider) is low (-0.14). Based at the suggest cost it is also determined that concerning the notion of provider first rank became given to responsiveness measurement, 2nd rank given to tangibility measurement, 1/3 rank given to reliability measurement, fourth rank given to empathy measurement and final rank given to guarantee

measurement. For the expectancy of provider suggest cost, the primary rank given to tangibility observed with the aid of using reliability, responsiveness, guarantee and empathy. The distinction among the notion and expectation of provider having poor cost for all of the measurement. It is similarly determined that during Mumbai all hotels are very empathically toward hotel visitors (low Empathy hole rating – 0.07). It is similarly stated that hotel management is giving first stage of significance to empathy, 2nd stage of significance to guarantee, 1/3 stage of significance to responsiveness, fourth stage of significance to reliability and 5th stage of significance to tangibility.

Table-6: Mean Ratings and SERVQUAL Gap of the Extracted Factors

Dimensions	Perceptions (P)		Expectation (E)		P-E	t-value	P-value
	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank			
Tangibility	3.5770	2	3.7677	1	-0.1907	-3.388	.001**
Reliability	3.5643	3	3.7551	2	-0.1908	-3.103	.002**
Responsiveness	3.5925	1	3.7031	3	-0.1106	-1.670	.096(NS)
Assurance	3.5390	5	3.6564	4	-0.1174	-1.853	.065(NS)
Empathy	3.5621	4	3.6410	5	-0.0789	-1.353	.177(NS)
Total Gap	3.5670		3.7047		-0.1377		

Source: Primary Data, **Significant at 5 percentage stage, NS-Not Significant

To realize the distinction among patron expectation & notion for all of the 5 dimensions' paired t-check became applied. The following speculation formulated for the take a look at.

H0₆: There is no giant distinction among the suggest rankings of Expectation & Perceptions for empathy reliability, responsiveness, guarantee and empathy measurement. The effects screen there may be distinction in notion and expectation rating for tangibility and reliability. There is no suggest distinction determined for ultimate measurement. Hence the above said speculation is in part rejected. Hence it is far concluded that there may be distinction in notion and expectation rating for tangibility and reliability measurement and no giant distinction determined for responsiveness guarantee and empathy measurement.

(vii). Managerial Implications

- Hotel managers need to pay greater interest to the tangible elements of the provider high-satisfactory due to the fact of; clients have the bottom notion rankings on tangible measurement.
- With regard to development of the tangibles measurement, hotel managers need to consciousness on unique gadgets (development regions) associated with this measurement. These regions

encompass gadget and bodily facilities, look of personnel, substances related to the provider, and running hours withinside the hotels.

- On the alternative hand, hotel supervisor need to now no longer overlook that empathy became the maximum critical measurement in predicting hotel clients' average provider high-satisfactory evaluations.
- Another managerial implication consists of the want for the hotel to broaden human aid control techniques to teach personnel to come to be greater professional of their job, have awesome conversation skills, being courteous, pleasant and equipped to fulfil clients' want.

CONCLUSION

Service high-satisfactory is an critical thing for hotel enterprise to realize approximately SERVQUAL version is the basis manner to degree the effectiveness of provider high-satisfactory. In this paper, hole has been recognized among expectation & notion of clients toward hotel offerings, which found out the clients are glad for a few offerings and disenchanted for a few offerings. t-check effects projected the insignificance of variations for majority of variables it manner gaps may be without problems eliminated with the aid of using enhancing the extent of provider high-satisfactory. Since overall performance of the hotels' provider high-satisfactory can be specific with the aid of using the season, the take a look at might be carried out in top and coffee seasons, comparatively. However, it's far critical to be aware that the expectancies and perceptions of the clients alternate with time. Consequently, a hoteliers' perceptions of the maximum critical provider-high-satisfactory dimensions would possibly range over time. The manner fees are supplied need to do not forget patron psychology, balancing the consumers' choice for deals with their knowledge of the general price of the full revel in they're purchasing. For higher provider high-satisfactory, the provider vendors ought to enhance the weaknesses which will appeal to new clients.

REFERENCES:

- [1]. Ali, F., 2015. Service quality as a determinant of customer satisfaction and resulting behavioural intentions: A SEM approach towards Malaysian resort hotels. *Tourism: An International Interdisciplinary Journal*, 63(1), pp.37-51.
- [2]. Badrudin, B., Mohamed, Z., Sharifuddin, J., Rezai, G., Abdullah, A.M., Abd Latif, I. and Mohayidin, M.G., 2012. Clients' perception towards JAKIM service quality in Halal certification. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*.
- [3]. Choi, H., Ann, S., Lee, K.W. and Park, D.B., 2018. Measuring service quality of rural accommodations. *Sustainability*, 10(2), p.443.
- [4]. Chow, C.C. and Luk, P., 2005. A strategic service quality approach using analytic hierarchy process. *Managing service quality: an international journal*.

- [5]. Dabestani, R., Shahin, A. and Saljoughian, M., 2017. Evaluation and prioritization of service quality dimensions based on gap analysis with analytic network process. *International journal of quality & reliability management*.
- [6]. Duan, W., Cao, Q., Yu, Y. and Levy, S., 2013, January. Mining online user-generated content: using sentiment analysis technique to study hotel service quality. In 2013 46th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (pp. 3119-3128). IEEE.
- [7]. Duan, W., Yu, Y., Cao, Q. and Levy, S., 2016. Exploring the impact of social media on hotel service performance: A sentimental analysis approach. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 57(3), pp.282-296.
- [8]. Giritlioglu, I., Jones, E. and Avcikurt, C., 2014. Measuring food and beverage service quality in spa hotels: A case study in Balıkesir, Turkey. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.
- [9]. Grobelna, A. and Marciszewska, B., 2013. Measurement of service quality in the hotel sector: the case of Northern Poland. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 22(3), pp.313-332.
- [10]. Gržinić, J., 2007. Concepts of service quality measurement in hotel industry. *Ekonomika misao i praksa*, (1), pp.81-98.
- [11]. Lee, W.H. and Cheng, C.C., 2018. Less is more: A new insight for measuring service quality of green hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 68, pp.32-40.
- [12]. Lee, Y.C., Wang, Y.C., Chien, C.H., Wu, C.H., Lu, S.C., Tsai, S.B. and Dong, W., 2016. Applying revised gap analysis model in measuring hotel service quality. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), pp.1-14.
- [13]. Lin, H.T., 2010. Fuzzy application in service quality analysis: An empirical study. *Expert systems with Applications*, 37(1), pp.517-526.
- [14]. McCain, S.L.C., Jang, S.S. and Hu, C., 2005. Service quality gap analysis toward customer loyalty: practical guidelines for casino hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 24(3), pp.465-472.
- [15]. Min, H. and Min, H., 1996. Competitive benchmarking of Korean luxury hotels using the analytic hierarchy process and competitive gap analysis. *Journal of Services Marketing*.
- [16]. Min, H. and Min, H., 1997. Benchmarking the quality of hotel services: managerial perspectives. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*.
- [17]. Mola, F. and Jusoh, J., 2011. Service quality in Penang hotels: A gap score analysis. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 12(1), pp.19-24.
- [18]. Musaba, C.N.A., Musaba, E.C. and Hoabeb, S.I., 2014. Employee perceptions of service quality in the Namibian hotel industry: A SERVQUAL approach. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 4(4), pp.533-543.
- [19]. Rauch, D.A., Collins, M.D., Nale, R.D. and Barr, P.B., 2015. Measuring service quality in mid-scale hotels. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.

- [20]. Saleh, F. and Ryan, C., 1991. Analysing service quality in the hospitality industry using the SERVQUAL model. *Service Industries Journal*, 11(3), pp.324-345.
- [21]. Shahin, A. and Dabestani, R., 2010. Correlation analysis of service quality gaps in a four-star hotel in Iran. *International Business Research*, 3(3), p.40.
- [22]. Shirouyehzad, H., Hosseinzadeh Lotfi, F., Shahin, A., Aryanezhad, M.B. and Dabestani, R., 2012. A DEA approach for comparative analysis of service quality dimensions with a case study in hotel industry. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, 12(3), pp.289-308.
- [23]. Shirouyehzad, H., Lotfi, F.H., Shahin, A., Aryanezhad, M.B. and Dabestani, R., 2012. Performance evaluation of hotels by data envelopment analysis based on customers' perception and gap analysis. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, 12(4), pp.447-467.
- [24]. Tsang, N. and Qu, H., 2000. Service quality in China's hotel industry: a perspective from tourists and hotel managers. *International journal of contemporary hospitality management*.
- [25]. Wilkins, H., Merrilees, B. and Herington, C., 2007. Towards an understanding of total service quality in hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 26(4), pp.840-853.

Article License Details



Journal Article Titled “Qualitative analysis of business service gap in the precinct of hotel industries” © 2022 by Dr.Nirmala J, Mr.Abishek D M is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

