

Ten Years of Valuation of the Local Impact of Atmospheric Pollution: from Scientific Assessments to Political Decisions

Jean-Pierre Nicolas, Fabien Duprez, Sandrine Durand, Fabrice Poisson, Pierre-Louis Aubert, Mireille Chiron, Yves Crozet, Jacques Lambert

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Pierre Nicolas, Fabien Duprez, Sandrine Durand, Fabrice Poisson, Pierre-Louis Aubert, et al.. Ten Years of Valuation of the Local Impact of Atmospheric Pollution: from Scientific Assessments to Political Decisions. Atmospheric environment, 2005, 39 (13), pp. 2475-2482. 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.09.089. halshs-00069078

HAL Id: halshs-00069078 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00069078v1

Submitted on 18 Sep 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Nicolas J.-P., Duprez F., Durand S., Poisson F., Aubert P.-L., Chiron M., Crozet Y., Lambert J. Ten Years of Valuation of the Local Impact of Atmospheric Pollution: from Scientific Assessments to Political Decisions. Atmospheric Environment, April 2005, vol. 39, Issue 13, 12th International Symposium, Transport and Air Pollution, pp. 2475-2482. http://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-00069078/fr/

1	Ten years of valuation of the local impact of atmospheric pollution: from
2	scientific assessments to political decisions
3	Jean-Pierre NICOLAS ¹ , Fabien DUPREZ ² , Sandrine DURAND ¹ , Fabrice POISSON ³ , Pierre-Louis
4	AUBERT ¹ , Mireille CHIRON ⁴ , Yves CROZET ¹ , Jacques LAMBERT ³
5	¹ Laboratoire d'Economie des Transports
6	ENTPE, rue Maurice Audin, 69 518 Vaulx-en-Velin Cedex – France
7	email : <u>nicolas@entpe.fr</u> , fax : 04 72 04 70 92
8	² CERTU, 9 rue Juliette Récamier, 69 456 Lyon Cedex 06 – France
9	³ et ⁴ , INRETS, Laboratoire Transport Environnement, et UMR Epidémiologie Transports Travail
10	Environnement, 25 av. F. Mitterrand, 69675 Bron Cedex – France
11	Abstract
12	This paper focuses on the economic valuation of the impact of local air pollution. Two main issues
13	are considered:
14	1/ The scientific issue: what is estimated, how and why? The main studies from the nineties are
15	presented here. Two strong issues are stressed, with the diversity of valuation methods on the one
16	hand, and the debates on how to take the long term into account and the discount technique on the
17	other.
18	2/ The political issue: how the results from the economic field are analysed and used to establish
19	official values for public policies? The methods used in three different European countries (France,
20	Sweden and Switzerland) are studied here.

To conclude, we highlight the discrepancy between these two processes and, where possible, offer
solutions to reach better synergy.

Key words: local air pollution, external costs, economic valuation, official values, public decision,
 international comparison

25 Introduction

First, the problem of air pollution in major cities seems to be declining. The efforts made in industry and in heating during the 70s and 80s, ad the technological progress made by transport in the last ten years have led to regular improvement in the quality of air in towns.

29 However, several factors contribute to keeping this issue on the political agenda. First, there are still 30 uncertainties on the evolution of the background ozone and on finer particles. In addition, there are 31 the growing expectations of urban populations faced with environmental and public health 32 questions. Finally, epidemiological research has increasingly confirmed that air pollution has a 33 significant long-term impact on human health. It was thought that deaths due to air pollution were 34 limited (10,000 to 20,000 years of lost life per year in France - Academy of Sciences, 1999). However, research carried out in the name of the World Health Organisation (Künzli, 2000) 35 36 estimates that deaths caused by average pollution such as that of 1996 could correspond to 316,000 37 years of lost life in France. The impact is similar to that of alcohol and tobacco (respectively 38 500,000 and 750,000 years of lost life in France). This radically changes the magnitude of what is at 39 stake.

How can the economic approach, often used to justify the implementation of public policies, take into account this type of impact, in a context of uncertainty where there are strong discrepancies in the various perceptions of the problem. The aim of this research (Nicolas et *alii*, 2002) is to confront the cost assessment of atmospheric pollution from a scientific approach (what is measured, how, why?) to the evaluations taken into account for public policies (what are the institutional 45 mechanisms through which the values reached by the researchers -engineers, economists,
46 epidemiologists, etc. – will help draw up official values providing a basis for the decisions taken in
47 the management of public affairs?).

48 **1. Method: bibliographical overview and comparison of European practices**

Our research focuses on this two-sided question, on the scientific construction of the figures first,
and on the institutional use made of these. The next step was to highlight the existing gaps between
the two and to offer solutions where possible.

52 The exploration methodology was adapted to cater with the differences in academic research and53 public action.

54 From a research point of view in terms of valuation of the local impact of air pollution we opted for 55 a bibliographical synthesis covering, as thoroughly as possible, topic related studies and reports 56 published in the 90s. Two research axes were identified:

Many works have dealt with the implementation of methods of immediate impact valuation:
what are the differences between them, which are best adapted to the case of air pollution, are
there any complementarities? A first study carried out within the "National Program of
Research and Innovation in Land Transport" (Prédit 1996-2000) provided a first solid
bibliographical base (Chanel and Vergnaud, 2001). Some twenty research studies illustrating
the various methods and types of impact of air pollution were then selected to offer detailed
reading lists. Table 1 presents the 21 studies selected.

However, a monetary valuation approach, especially concerning environmental damage, is
 only meaningful if it is included in a dynamic vision of the long term situation (10-20 years,
 30 years and plus). It was thus necessary to analyse the economic suggestions made to take
 into account the temporal dynamics of discussions around the theme of discount rate.

Regarding public policy and official monetary values accepting the impact of air pollution, we focused on European nations. In view of the gaps existing between countries, we selected three, France, Sweden and Switzerland, in order to better illustrate the links which exist between official used values, institutional traditions and national practices in terms of environment. This study was based on existing international syntheses and was also carried out, as far as the three-country study is concerned, through contact with national experts and the consultation of official web sites devoted to the issue.

75 **2.** The economic valuation of the cost of air pollution

76 What valuation methods for which results?

When initially studying the financial estimates of the impact on the environment and the quality of
life, one is struck by the diversity of the measurement methods, with a dozen generic methods and a
variability of results (which can range from 1 to 15 for air pollution – see for example Chanel and
Vergnaud, 2001).

81 Thus, the extreme diversity of impact requires evaluations in very different domains, on goods that 82 are in fact not at all of the same nature. For instance, the methods most approaching traditional 83 economic logic will attempt to find a market of goods that are affected by air pollution. Either, 84 within the framework of *contingent valuations*, this market is created and those surveyed are asked 85 to position themselves on a scale of value in one or several imaginary situations. Or the manner in 86 which economic agents behave on real markets concerned by the environmental impacts is studied -87 but here the Hedonic Price Approach, measuring the impact on the costs of the housing or job 88 market, the Travel Cost Method, evaluating how much individuals are ready to spend getting to a 89 given place, and the *Protection Expenditure Method* turned out to be ill-adapted to air pollution.

90 It is also frequent to try to identify and analyse the chain of impact with dose-response curbs
91 estimation before working out costs: either by calculating the loss of subsequent wealth (*production*)

92 losses, or human capital methods in terms of risk and sanitary impact), by estimating the restoring 93 cost of the damage, or by assessing the cost of prevention measures (avoidance costs, but this last 94 practice does not really attempt to measure the cost of damage and therefore was not considered as 95 relevant for our study).

96 The valuation methods developed do not therefore necessarily have the same approach nor provide 97 the same results. It is worth questioning the relevance of the different methods, their 98 complementarities and their zones of "competition".

99 Three distinctions appear essential to establish the relevance of a method in terms of a given100 evaluation objective:

- *The type of damage* to be evaluated (public health, buildings and materials, fauna and flora,
 etc...) as well as their position in the chain of causality (direct effects, such as illness, and
 indirect effects such as absenteeism from work).
- *The individual or collective nature of costs:* certain methods only measure the costs borne by
 individuals (such as hedonic price approach or contingent valuations); the costs borne by the
 community, such as medical costs reimbursed by social security, will have to employ other
 methods, and more especially the estimation of the cost of damages accorded.
- 108

- *The type of value to be measured*, use or existence of the good that has suffered deterioration¹.

¹ To carry out their evaluations, environmental economists have had to distinguish between the several natures of the same good. For example, Pearce and Turner (1990) suggest different values: the *actual use value* first, linked to the direct use of the good; the *option value*, linked to a potential use of the good, even if this use is still unknown; the *legacy value*, a good may have a use to be transmitted to one's descendants or to future generations, beyond its current use, and finally it may be possible to attribute an inherent value to a person, a rare species, an ecosystem, etc.

This last distinction underlines the interest of contingent valuations which may take into account non-use values, sometimes of importance in the case of environmental goods and often difficult to incorporate in the case of public health problems, such as pain, social isolation or deaths due to illness.

From this point of view, the methods are complementary rather than concurrent. The objectives of the valuation will determine which tool should be used. As with Rozan (2001), it is possible to estimate the morbidity cost of air pollution by adding the medical costs (damages), the losses due to absenteeism (production losses) and the sufferings linked to illness (contingent methods).

117 However, it is far from clear where are area of pertinence each and another begins. There are 118 many overlaps and a meta-analysis carried out by Manière (1999) on 49 studies and 96 119 estimations, points out that each method provides different costs in terms of the impact of air 120 pollution. Thus, in her sample, the method of protection expenditure gives costs that are 1.5 to 121 1.9 times higher than the contingent estimation that in turn is 3 times greater than the hedonic 122 price method. The methods of production surplus (*i.e.* human capital, production losses) and of 123 restoring costs could even provide lower estimates. Significant differences also appear within the 124 same family of methods. With contingent evaluations for instance, the values obtained on the basis of a referendum are on average 3 times higher than those obtained using pre-established 125 126 values. The open questions provide intermediary results. As for damage costs, the way in which

independently of any current or future use. This leads to the notion of *existence value*, creating a bridge between ethics and economics. This existence value is close to the notion of *non-use value*, used by several authors in opposition to the above-mentioned use value. The *total economic value* of any good is the sum of all these values, which may be of greater or lesser importance depending on the nature of the good.

the damage is estimated (in-depth indexation *vs.* extrapolation based on a few cases) seems to
further determine the result.

129 The discount rate and questions of intergenerational equity

130 The second key variable in socio-economic valuation is the way in which the different time frames131 are taken into account.

The discount rate, frequently used in economics to treat the expected advantages and drawbacks of a project, is often designed as an expression of current preferences: $100 \in$ available immediately are preferred to $100 \in$ available in one year. If *a* is a given discount rate it would take $100x(1+a) \in$ in a year to convince us to give up the immediate $100 \in$. The present value S₀ of a sum S_n expected for the year *n* will therefore be S₀ = S_n/(1+a)ⁿ. Thus, the higher the discount rate *n*, the faster the future values will be erased with the passing years.

This preference for the present is of course variable according to each individual and their current situation, but it can be added to on a collective level, via financial markets or by the value adopted by public authorities to evaluate their projects.

Although these reflections on the discount rate were introduced in the 30s, they have re-surfacedover the last few years due to the emergence of the problems linked to the green house effect.

143 First of all, the notion of discount rate has been enhanced compared to the he first accepted notion 144 presented above. Three obvious justifications can be put forward for its use (Arrow et alii, 1996): 145 the "pure time preference" represents the preference of economic agents for the present with an 146 estimated rate at 1 or 2 % per year depending on authors (even 0% for intergenerational effects); 147 "the riches effect" linked on the one hand to an average growth in income over time and on the 148 other hand to a decrease on the marginal use of that income; around 2 to 3% per year in western 149 countries; and a "money opportunity cost" for private economic agencies such as firms and 150 companies. Real rates of long term debentures can be taken here as reference, currently around 4%,

even if in truth, a number of rates exist, depending on loan periods, client risk, and the economicand political situation.

As a rule, as far as a public authority representing community interest is concerned, only the first two elements are taken into consideration, outside profit made on money payments. However, and this is the dilemma with which nations are confronted with today, the implied rate of 4% results in accepting too many projects on account of public funding capacities.

For example, France currently prefers an official standard rate of 8%, which limits projects but masks long term advantages and drawbacks. To compensate for this drawback, a number of solutions have been envisaged:

- Different discount rates can be distinguished depending on the term considered, notably for
 weaker rates for the effects expected in 20 or 30 years, thus making it easier to take them into
 consideration in the analysis.
- Following the recommendations of Hotelling (1931), the cost of non-renewable resources such
 as oil will be reassessed annually to an equivalent rate to the discount rate. In a more punctual
 and a static way, the worth of certain non marchands goods taken into account by public
 authorities may be reassessed when it is considered that gap is too wide between official
 values and those which come from general developments in the society (an example is the
 value of a human life which has recently risen from 0,5 to 1,5 millions € in France).

However other countries have chosen to use a much lower discount rate. Thus Germany uses an official rate of 3% in the field of transport. To avoid any budget inconsistencies, projects that are judged to be cost effective are classed in function of their profit by invested euro. Public authorities give themselves a financial envelope for these investments and only those projects that are the most cost effective and fit the envelope are carried out. As in the French case of a high discount rate, the less cost effective projects are thus eliminated, but two advantages can be underlined. On the one hand foreseeable long-term effects, positive or negative, are better taken into account. On the other hand, by fixing a global envelope of investment spending at the outset, the German method ensures a more transparent choice between investment and working costs. Instead of polarising debate on the question of the discount rate, which is very technical, we come to back to a policy choice on the distribution of public spending.

180 In conclusion of this part, the field of research in the domain of green accounting appears to be very 181 open. This being the case, it is hardly probable that the methodological enhancement to come will 182 lead to a convergence of results: there is no cost in itself, sole and objectivable, for the impact on 183 the environment and our daily lives. In this perspective, we believe that besides scientific work 184 permitting better assessment of impact, it is also necessary to look at procedures which lead to 185 official values used to assess public projects. These will help public authorities in their investment 186 choices. The following part deals with reflection, by comparing the different ways this is done in 187 different countries and in proposing a few guidelines as a conclusion.

188 **3. From environmental cost assessment to political decision**

Within the political framework, the valuation of environmental impact (like external costs in general) leads to two distinct practical applications. First of all, economic assessment of public projects can be taken into account. Thus, at the end of the 90s, half of the European countries financially evaluated the effects of air pollution and global warming in their official method of transport project assessment. Financial assessment also leads to the setting up of the polluter/payer principle, through fiscal policies of environmental taxes and subventions. For example, all the OECD countries have installed taxes on road fuel, which adds up to two thirds of their green taxes.

However international synthesis also highlights wide divergence between countries, with very variable official values for external costs and environmental taxes, from under 1% to more than 4% of the gross domestic product depending on the OECD country. When it comes to analysing these differences, general international comparison studies are insufficient. They scarcely ever show the objectives of each country, the assessment methods chosen or how arbitration works. It is thus necessary to look at a few countries, and we selected France, Sweden and Switzerland that each has clear practices.

203 In France, environmental costs are fixed by technical/administrative groups

204 In France, transport project socio economic assessment uses a normalised cost/benefit method of 205 analysis. From the 60s studies already proposed taking external effects into account, leading to road 206 insecurity costs in 1970. But 1994 was the real turning point, with the work carried out by M. 207 Boiteux within the framework of Commissariat Général au Plan which systematised the integration 208 of external costs (Commissariat Général au Plan, 1994). This study, carried out again in 2001, led to 209 new official values for noise, local air pollution and the greenhouse effect, founded on acquired 210 scientific knowledge and in consultation with private transport companies, public transport 211 establishments and the ministries in charge of transports, environment, and finance (Commissariat 212 Général au Plan, 2001).

213 Thus in France, there is a link between research on les external costs and socio-economic 214 assessment methods for transport projects. But faced with the complexity of valuing methods and 215 the variability in results put forward by researchers, it was a technical and administrative group, composed of experts and senior civil servants who discussed the choice of official values and 216 217 politicians remained hardly involved. This relatively technocratic approach without doubt partially 218 explains why socio-economic assessment is so little known in France, or even discredited by local 219 deciders and the public, even though it is mandatory under the law. Non-specialists mostly consider 220 that it produces results that are not understandable. In these conditions, socio-economic assessment 221 is today seen more as a legal obligation than as a policy instrument or a subject of public debate.

222 In Sweden, green taxation is real matter of political debate...

In Sweden, a strong environmental concerns like a good economic culture are elements guiding public decision. The setting up of fiscal policy aimed at favouring environmental protection shows this. In the same way, a marginal social cost principle for infrastructure pricing, taking transport related environmental impact into account, is clearly stipulated in government legislation (« Transport Policy Act », 1979; « Transport Policy Proposal for Sustainable Development », 1998).

The existence of a green fiscal structure and ongoing public debate over some fifteen years is a considerable asset for Sweden: everything is in place for quick reaction to European demands or to international greenhouse effect agreements. Sweden also puts pressure on Europe with the aim to having environment protective measures adopted.

233 Socio-economic assessment of transport projects remains, as in France, a domain largely dominated 234 by technical/administrative structure. The ASEK working group, composed of representatives in 235 charge of the environment and different transport modes, meets with experts and scientists, then 236 adopts, after discussion, cost/benefit reference values. In Sweden as in France, the use of socio-237 economic assessment of transport projects remains therefore reserved exclusively to specialists, and 238 official values are the fruit of a compromise between theoretical knowledge and the convictions or 239 interests, rarely explicit, of working group members. It should be noted however that the results and 240 discussions within the groups are then taken up by parliamentary commissions before finishing in 241 validated texts at parliamentary level. In fine, accepted money values for the greenhouse effect and 242 local air pollution are respectively six and three times higher than in France.

243 In Switzerland, political will is combined with pragmatic research

One of the main objectives of Swiss transport policy is to protect the Alps, the veritable natural capital of the country, against the growing negative effects of growing road traffic. To do this, the 246 Swiss have chosen to favour rail transport, consenting substantial public subventions to this mode 247 and limiting total authorised weights of heavy goods vehicles to 28 tonnes. Then, under European 248 pressure, the Swiss authorities relaxed this statutory constraint to orient itself towards economic 249 regulation: in 1998, popular vote resulted in a Heavy Vehicle Fee (HVF). From a technical point of 250 view, the aim of this tax is to oblige heavy vehicles to take their total cost into account: the average 251 fee of the HVF corresponds exactly to the sum of external costs and infrastructure costs not covered 252 by previous taxes, related to heavy goods traffic in tonnes-km. Two thirds of the HVF product is 253 destined to finance large rail projects, in perfect coherence with the political will to develop rail: in this way heavy goods vehicles are paying for their future transport by rail. 254

255 The existence of dynamic applied research being a part of the everyday social and economic life of 256 the country has led to public authorities defining and financing thought on the external effects 257 valuation. Great collective progress has thus been made since the 90s. Precise assessment of 258 external costs taxable to road traffic has allowed the quantification of Swiss citizen expectancies 259 regarding the environment. A very effective technical and political argument has thus been found in 260 favour of the HVF. Furthermore, as national debate on the subject of the tax is far from over, it 261 would not be surprising if Swiss research were to contribute yet more to the development of 262 knowledge on externalities, as was the case in the 80s and 90s.

263 Conclusion

Air pollution impact costs may vary greatly from one study to another, depending on what is measured and how. Economics research does not aim to converge on a single value for an objectified cost. There seems to be no reason why this wide range of values should shrink in the coming years. For public authorities, wishing to rationalize their choices in regard to public projects or environmental tax policies, the exercise of determining official values of reference remains and should remain problematic if they expect definitive answers from scientific spheres. There is a strong temptation to close off discussion on a technical level, which is reserved for experts while
what is at stake concerns choices of the society at large. In the light of French, Swedish and Swiss
experience, there seem to be two main ways to help in avoiding this pitfall resulting in more
transparent and more operational choices:

Working groups must be open to all those involved, notably with strong involvement of
 nationally elected parliamentary representatives in the genesis and validation of obtained
 values and with a great presence of local deciders and technicians during the elaboration of
 investment assessment methods.

Further upstream, research (economics and sociology being most closely related to the theme
here) must also be mobilized to take part in the public debate. There again, a close
involvement of political deciders in the definition of applied research programs would lead to
politically sound recommendations. Deciders and the public should be offered a large
information on public funded research and its results. This would bring out main scientific
conclusions and would also help in offering a coherent political message.

284 **References**

- Academy of Sciences, 1999. Pollution atmosphérique due aux transports et santé publique (Air pollution due to transport and public health). Editions Tec & Doc, Paris, Rapport commun n°12.
- 287 Alberini, A., Cropper, M., Fu, T.T., Krupnick, A., Liu, J.T., Shaw, D., Harrington, W., 1997.
- Valuing health effects of air pollution in developing countries: the case of Taïwan. Journal of
 Environmental Planning and Management 34 (2), 107-126.
- Apsimon, H.M., Cowell, D., 1996. The benefits of reduced damage to buildings from abatement of
 sulphur dioxide emissions. Energy Policy 24 (7), 651-654.
- 292 Arrow, K.J., Cline, W.R., Mäler, K.-G., Munasinghe, M., Squitieri, R., Stiglitz, J.E., 1996.
- Intertemporal equity, discounting, and economic efficiency. In: Bruce, JP., Lee, H., Haites, E.F.

(eds), Climate Change 1995: Economic and Social Dimensions of Climate Change. Cambridge
University Press, Cambridge, U.K., New York and Melbourne, Ch. 4, pp. 125-144.

Chanel, O., Geniaux, G., Rychen, F., Deniau, C., Ghattas, B., 1996. Evaluation monétaire des effets
à court terme de la pollution atmosphérique sur la santé – application à l'Ile de France (Valuation
of short term air pollution impacts on health – an Ile-de-France application). Greqam, Marseille,
Report for the French Ministry of the Environment.

300 Chanel, O., Vergnaud, J.C., 2001. Monétarisation des effets de la pollution atmosphérique : un état

301 de l'art pluridisciplinaire (Air pollution impacts valuation : a pluridisciplinary overview).

302 Greqam & Eurequa Report for the Scientific and Technical Network of the French Ministry of

- 303 Equipment, Transport and Housing.
- Commissariat Général du Plan, technical group directed by Boiteux M., 2001. Transports : choix
 des investissements et coût des nuisances (Transport : investment choices and pollution costs).
 La Documentation Française, Paris.
- 307 Commissariat Général du Plan, technical group directed by Boiteux M., 1994. Transports : pour un
 308 meilleur choix des investissements (Transport : for better investment choices). La
 309 Documentation Française, Paris.
- Deloraine, A., Lequette, C., Zmirou, D., Decheneaux, J., Balducci, F., 1995. Le coût médico-légal à
 court terme de la pollution atmosphérique en milieu urbain : une étude dans la région RhôneAlpes (Lyon, Grenoble, Chambéry) (The forensic cost of short term urban air pollution: a
 Rhone-Alpes Region study (Lyon, Grenoble, Chambéry)). CAREPS report, for the French
 Ministry of Social Affairs, Labour and Solidarity.
- ECOPLAN, 1996. Monétarisation des coûts externes de la santé imputables aux transports (The
 valuation of external costs of health due to transport). ECOPLAN synthesis report, for the Swiss
 Federal Department of Environment, Transport, Energy and Communications.

- Figueroa, E., Rogat, J., Firinguetti, L., 1996. An estimation of the economic value of an air quality
 improvement program in Santiago de Chile. Estudios de Economia 23 (0), Special Issue.
- 320 Gregory, K., Webster, C., Durk, S., 1996. Estimates of damage to forests in Europe due to 321 emissions of acidifying pollutants. Energy Policy 24 (7), 655-664.
- Grosclaude, P., Soguel, N., 1994. Valuing damage to historic buildings using a contingent market: a
 case study of road traffic externalities. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management 37
 (3), 279-287.
- Hansen, Selte, 2000. Air pollution and sick-leaves. Environmental and Resource Economics, 16,
 31-50.
- Hotelling, H., 1931. The economics of exhaustible ressources. Journal of Political Economy 39,
 137-175.
- Jeanrenaud, C., Soguel, N., Grosclaude, P., Stritt, M.A., 1993. Coûts sociaux du trafic urbain Une
 évaluation monétaire pour la ville de Neuchâtel (Urban traffic social costs an economic
 valuation for Neuchâtel city). IRER (Neuchâtel University), Report 42 of the PNR « Ville et
 transport », Switzerland.
- Johnson, R.F., Desvouges, W.H., 1997. Estimating stated preferences with rated-pair data:
 environmental, health, and employment effects of energy programs. Journal of Environmental
 Economics and Management 34, 79-99.
- 336 Künzli, N., Kaiser, R., Medina, S., Studnicka, M., Chanel, O., Filliger, P., Herry, M., Horak, F. Jr,
- 337 Puybonnieux-Texier, V., Quénel, P., Schneider, J., Seethaler, R., Vergnaud, J.C., Sommer, H.,
- 2000. Public-health impact of outdoor and traffic-related air pollution: a European assessment.
 The Lancet 356, 795–801.
- Lannoie, P., Pedro, C., Latour, R., 1995. The value of statistical life: a comparison of two
 approaches. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty 10, 235-257.

344 McClelland, G., Schulze, W., Waldman, D., Schenk, D., Irwin, J., Stewart, T., Deck, L., Thayer,

- M., 1993. Valuing Eastern visibility: a field test of the contingent valuation. US EPA report, EE0008.
- Navrud, S., 1998. Valuing health impacts from air pollution in Europe: new empirical evidence on
 morbidity. Milano Conference, 27-29 october 1998, Externalities in urban transport: assessing
 and reducing the impacts.
- 350 Nicolas, J.P., Duprez, F., Durand, S., Poisson, F., Aubert, P.L., Chiron, M., Crozet, Y., Lambert, J.
- 351 2002. Dix ans de monétarisation des effets locaux de la pollution atmosphérique (Ten years of
- valuation of the local impact of atmospheric pollution). LET, INRETS, CERTU Report, Lyon.
 http://www.ish-lyon.cnrs.fr/labo/let/francais/publications/rapports/couts-PA-t1.pdf
- Ostro, B., Chestnut, L. 1998. Assessing the health benefits of reducing particulate matter air
 pollution in the USA. Environmental Research section A 76, 94-106.
- Pearce, D.W., Turner, K.R., 1990. Economics of natural resources and the environment. Harvester
 Wheatsheaf, London.
- Rozan, A., 2001. Air pollution: A valuation of morbidity. Pollution Atmosphérique, Special Issue
 'Combien vaut l'air propre? / How much is clean air worth?' 105-120.
- 360 Sælensminde, K., 1999. Stated choice valuation of urban traffic air pollution and noise.
 361 Transportation Research Part D 4, 13-27.
- 362 Scott Voorhees, A., Araki, S., Sakai, R., Sato, H., 2000. An expost Cost-Benefit Analysis of the
- 363 Nitrogen Dioxide Air pollution Control program in Tokyo. Journal of Air and Waste
 364 Management Association 50, 391-410.
- 365

365 <u>Tables and Figures list:</u>

Table 1: List and classification of the selected studies (Nicolas et *alii*, 2002)

367

	Valuation wathods used in selected studies						
	Valuation methods used in selected studies						
Included types of assessed damage	Protection expenditures	Hedonic Price	Contingent Valuation	Restoring Costs		an Capital Aethod	Production Losses
Morbidity			Alberini al., 1997				
Short term			Johnson al., 1997				
			Navrud, 1998				
				Deloraine a	al., 1995		
				Scott Voorhees al., 2000			
					Hanse	en al., 2000	
Mortality-Morbidity			Ostro a	al., 1998			
Short term				Chanel al., 1996			
				Rozan, 2001			
Short and long term			Künzli al., 2000				
					Ecoplan,	, 1996	
Mortality	Lannoie al., 1995		oie al., 1995				
Buildings			Grosclaude al. 94	Jeanrenaud al., 1	993		
				Apsimon al., 19	96		
Fauna/Flora					(Gregory al. 96	
Visibility			McClelland al. 93				
Many impacts		Figueroa 96					
			Saelensminde, 99				
			Halvorsen 1996				
	Manière, 1999 – all methods						

 Table 1: List and classification of the selected studies (Nicolas et alii, 2002)