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#### Abstract

We show that if $K$ is a closed cone in a finite dimensional vector space $X$, then there exists a one-to-one linear operator $T: X \rightarrow C[0,1]$ such that $K$ is the pull-back cone of the positive cone of $C[0,1]$, i.e., $K=T^{-1}\left(C_{+}[0,1]\right)$. This problem originated from questions regarding arbitrage free prices in economics.
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## 1 Introduction

This work deals with cones and wedges of vector spaces. For terminology and notation regarding ordered vector spaces and not explained below we refer the reader to [11], [12] and [8]. For topological vector spaces, we refer to [1] and [10].

A nonempty subset $W$ of a vector space is said to be a wedge if it satisfies the following two properties:

1. $W+W \subseteq W$,
2. $\alpha W \subseteq W$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.
[^0]If, in addition, $W \cap(-W)=\{0\}$, then $W$ is called a cone.
Clearly, wedges and cones are convex sets. They are associated with respectively vector pre-orderings and vector orderings of vector spaces. An ordered vector space is a vector space $X$ equipped with a cone $X_{+}$. The cone $X_{+}$induces a vector ordering $\geq$on $X$ by letting $x \geq y$ whenever $x-y \in X_{+}$. An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between ordered vector spaces is said to be positive if $T\left(X_{+}\right) \subseteq Y_{+}$, i.e., if $x \geq 0$ implies $T x \geq 0$.

Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator between two vector spaces and let $W$ be a wedge of $Y$. It is easy to see that the inverse image of $W$ under $T$ is a wedge of $X$. That is, the set

$$
T^{-1}(W)=\{x \in X: T(x) \in W\}
$$

is a wedge of $X$. If $T$ is also one-to-one and $W$ is a cone, then the wedge $T^{-1}(W)$ is also a cone of $X$.

We start with a simple lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a one-to-one operator between two vector spaces. If $K$ is a cone of $Y$, then $T^{-1}(K)$ is a cone of $X$ and the operator $T:\left(X, T^{-1}(K)\right) \rightarrow(Y, K)$ is a positive operator.

A cone $K$ of a vector space $X$ is called the pull-back cone of the cone of an ordered vector space $L$ if there exists a one-to-one operator $T: X \rightarrow L$ such that $K=T^{-1}\left(L_{+}\right)$. Alternatively, $K$ is the pull-back of the cone of an ordered vector space $L$ if and only if the ordered vector space $(X, K)$ is order-embeddable in $L$.

Likewise, a cone $K$ of a topological vector space $X$ is called the continuous pull-back cone of the cone of an ordered topological vector space $L$ if there exists a continuous one-to-one operator $T: X \rightarrow L$ such that $K=T^{-1}\left(L_{+}\right)$. Alternatively, $K$ is the continuous pull-back cone of the cone of a topological ordered vector space $L$ if and only if the topological ordered vector space ( $X, K$ ) is topologically order-embeddable in $L$.

As mentioned in the abstract, the objective of this paper is to establish the following basic result. (As usual, $\mathbf{1}$ will denote the constant function one on $[0,1]$, i.e., $\mathbf{1}(t)=1$ for all $t \in[0,1]$.)
Theorem 2. Every closed cone of a finite dimensional vector space is the pull-back cone of the (standard) cone of $C[0,1]$.

Moreover, if $K$ is a closed and generating cone of a finite dimensional vector space $X$, then $K$ can be taken to be the pull-back cone of a one-to-one operator $T: X \rightarrow C[0,1]$ such that $T u=\mathbf{1}$ for some vector $u \in \operatorname{Int}(K)$.

An interesting consequence follows.
Corollary 3. A nonempty subset $C$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is convex and compact if and only if there exist an $(n+1)$-dimensional subspace $E$ of $C[0,1]$ and a strictly positive linear functional fon $E$ such that $C$ and $E_{+} \cap\{x \in E: f(x)=1\}$ are affinely homeomorphic. ${ }^{1}$

[^1]
## 2 Background

### 2.1 Normal cones

Recall that a subset $A$ of an ordered vector space $E$ is said to be full if for each pair $x, y \in A$ the order interval $[x, y]:=\{z \in E: x \leq z \leq y\}$ is contained in $A$.

Definition 4. A cone $K$ of a topological vector space $(E, \tau)$ is said to be normal whenever the topology $\tau$ has a base at zero consiting of $K$-full sets (that is, of sets full for the order on $E$ defined by $K$ ).

The notion of normal cone is one of the most useful connections between topology and order of a vector space which implies several nice properties for topological vector spaces ordered by normal cones. In particular, order intervals are topologically bounded, and the existence of a normal cone implies that the topology $\tau$ given on the vector space is Hausdorff. Also, if $\tau$ is locally convex, then the dual wedge

$$
K^{\prime}=:\left\{f \in L^{\prime}: f(x) \geq 0 \text { for all } x \geq 0\right\}
$$

is generating in $L^{\prime}$. A useful characterization of normal cones is the following:
Theorem 5. For a cone $K$ of a topological vector space $(E, \tau)$ the following statements are equivalent:

1. The cone $K$ is normal.
2. If two nets $\left\{y_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $E$ (with the same index set) satisfy $0 \leq_{K} y_{\alpha} \leq_{K} x_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha$ and $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$, then $y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} 0$.

Using this characterization, it is easy to prove the second of the following three basic properties of closed cones in finite dimensional vector spaces:

Lemma 6. If $K$ is a closed cone of a finite dimensional space $E$, then:

1. The $K$-order intervals of $E$ are compact.
2. The cone $K$ is normal, and
3. The dual wedge $K^{\prime}$ is a cone if and only if $K$ is generating.

Proof. We shall denote by $\leq$ the vector ordering induced by the cone $K$, that is

$$
x \leq y \text { if and only if } y-x \in K
$$

(1) The proof is standard. Let $[0, u]$ be a $K$-order interval. From $[0, u]=K \cap(u-K)$, we see that $[0, u]$ is closed. To see that $[0, u]$ is also norm bounded, assume that a sequence
$\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset[0, u]$ satisfies $\left\|y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume $\frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow y$. Clearly, $\|y\|=1$ and so $y \neq 0$. Now from $0 \leq y_{n} \leq u$, it follows $0 \leq \frac{y_{n}}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|} \leq \frac{u}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|}$, and from the closedness of $K$ and $\frac{u}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0$, we see that $0 \leq y \leq 0$ or $y=0$, which is impossible. Hence $[0, u]$ is also bounded and thus a compact set.
(2) Assume first that $K$ is generating (that is, $E=K-K$ ), thus has a nonempty interior. Assume that two sequences $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $E$ satisfy $0 \leq y_{n} \leq x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Let $u$ be an interior point of $K$. As $0 \in \operatorname{int}(u-K)$, for large enough $n$ we have $0 \leq y_{n} \leq x_{n} \leq u$, hence $0 \leq\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \leq x_{n} \leq u$. In view of the compactness of $[0, u]$, passing to a subsequence we can assume that $\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \rightarrow z \in[0, u]$, and so, using again the closedness of $K$, we see that $-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

If the cone $K$ is non-generating, it is at least a generating cone of the vector subspace $K-K$ of $E$. Since two sequences $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $E$ satisfying $0 \leq y_{n} \leq x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and and $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ are actually sequences lying in the finite dimensional space $K-K$, the desired conclusion follows from the first part of the proof.
(3) Let $x^{\prime} \in K^{\prime} \cap\left(-K^{\prime}\right)$. If $E=K-K$ then $x^{\prime} \cdot x=0$ for all $x \in E$, which proves that $x^{\prime}=0$. Conversely, assume that some $x \in E \backslash(K-K)$. As a vector subspace of a finite dimensional vector space, the set $K-K$ is closed. From the separation theorem between a closed convex set and the compact set $x$, we have $x^{\prime} \cdot(K-K)=0$ and $x^{\prime} \cdot x>0$ for some $x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$. If $K^{\prime} \cap\left(-K^{\prime}\right)=\{0\}$, from $x^{\prime} \cdot(K-K)=0$ we deduce $x^{\prime}=0$, which contradicts $x^{\prime} \cdot x>0$.

### 2.2 The Cantor set $\mathcal{C}$ and the space $C(\mathcal{C})$

The Cantor set can be defined as the countable product $\mathcal{C}=\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where the two-point set has the discrete topology. As such, when equipped with the product topology, it is easily seen to be a compact metric space. It can also be thought of as a subset of the real interval $[0,1]$, in an inductive construction where at each step one removes from each closed interval the open middle third-interval. Viewed as a subset of the unit interval, the Cantor set $\mathcal{C}$ is a nowhere dense set of Lebesgue measure zero.

For details and more about the above assertions we refer the reader to [1, pp. 98-101] and to $[2, \mathrm{pp} .41-42]$. For the rest of our discussion, we need the following well known theorem which can also be found in [1, p. 100].

Theorem 7. Every compact metric space is the image of the Cantor set under some continuous function.

Let us recall some terminology. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between two topological spaces is a topological embedding if $f: X \rightarrow f(X)$ is a homeomorphism. Likewise, a linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between two ordered vector spaces is a an order-embedding if $T$ is one-to-one and if $x \geq 0$ holds in $X$ if and only if $T x \geq 0$ holds in $Y$. As usual, $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$ denotes the constant function one on $\Omega$, i.e. $\mathbf{1}(t)=1$ for all $t \in \Omega$. If $\Omega=[0,1]$, we will simply write $\mathbf{1}$ for $\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$.

We shall use below the following easy observation.
Lemma 8. If $\phi: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{2}$ is a continuous surjective function between two compact topological spaces, then the mapping $x \mapsto x \circ \phi$ is a norm-preserving order-embedding of $C\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ into $C\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ satisfying $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{2}} \circ \phi=\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{1}}$.

Moreover, $x \mapsto x \circ \phi$ is a lattice isomorphism.
Proof. The proof of the first part is straightforward. For the second part note that for each pair $x, y \in C\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and each $\omega \in \Omega_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(x \circ \phi) \vee(y \circ \phi)](\omega) } & =\max \{(x \circ \phi)(\omega),(y \circ \phi)(\omega)\} \\
& =\max \{x(\phi(\omega)), y(\phi(\omega))\}=(x \vee y)(\phi(\omega)) \\
& =[(x \vee y) \circ \phi](\omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $(x \circ \phi) \vee(y \circ \phi)=(x \vee y) \circ \phi$ and hence $x \mapsto x \circ \phi$ is a lattice isomorphism.
The next lemma is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7 and Lemma 8.
Lemma 9. If $\Omega$ is a compact metrizable topological space, then there exists a normpreserving order-embedding of $C(\Omega)$ into $C(\mathcal{C})$ that carries $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$ to $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Our major intermediate result is the following:
Lemma 10. There is a norm-preserving order-embedding of $C(\mathcal{C})$ into $C[0,1]$ that maps $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to 1 .

In particular, if $\Omega$ is any compact metrizable topological space, then there exists a norm-preserving order-embedding of $C(\Omega)$ into $C[0,1]$ in such a way that $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$ is mapped to $\mathbf{1}$.

Proof. Recall that the complement of the Cantor set $\mathcal{C}$ can be written as a countable union of pairwise disjoint open intervals. That is, we can write $[0,1] \backslash \mathcal{C}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$, where $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \cap\left(a_{m}, b_{m}\right)=\varnothing$ for $n \neq m$. Now each $x \in C(\mathcal{C})$ can be extended to a function $\widehat{x} \in C[0,1]$ by extending the graph of $x$ on each open interval $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ to coincide with the graph of the line segment joining the points $\left(a_{n}, x\left(a_{n}\right)\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}, x\left(b_{n}\right)\right)$. That is, for each $a_{n}<t<b_{n}$ we let

$$
\widehat{x}(t)=\frac{x\left(b_{n}\right)-x\left(a_{n}\right)}{b_{n}-a_{n}}\left(t-a_{n}\right)+x\left(a_{n}\right) .
$$

Some easy verifications show that:
(a) $\widehat{x}$ is a continuous function.
(b) If $x=\mathbf{c}$, the constant function $c$, then $\widehat{x}=\mathbf{c}$. In particular, $\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathcal{C}}=\mathbf{1}$.
(c) $\widehat{x} \geq 0$ holds in $C[0,1]$ if and only if $x \geq 0$ holds in $C(\mathcal{C})$.
(d) If $x, y \in C(\mathcal{C})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\widehat{x+y}=\widehat{x}+\widehat{y}$ and $\widehat{\lambda x}=\lambda \widehat{x}$.
(e) $\max _{t \in \mathcal{C}}|x(t)|=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|\widehat{x}(t)|$.

The above properties show that $x \mapsto \widehat{x}$ is a norm-preserving order-embedding of $C(\mathcal{C})$ into $C[0,1]$ satisfying $\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathcal{C}}=\mathbf{1}$.

The last part follows easily from the above conclusion and Lemmas 8 and 9 .

## 3 The Proof of Theorem 2

We shall actually prove a more general result from which Theorem 2 is a simple consequence.

Theorem 11. For a separable ordered Banach space $E$ with a closed normal positive cone K we have:
(a) There is a one-to-one, order-preserving, linear operator $T: E \rightarrow C[0,1]$.
(b) If, in addition, $K$ satisfies $\overline{K-K}=E$, then the operator $T[$ from $E$ onto $T(E)]$ is also a homeomorphism.

Proof. (a) Let $\Omega:=\left\{x^{\prime} \in K^{\prime}:\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1\right\}$. From the separability of $E$ and the AlaogluBourbaki Theorem, it follows that $\Omega$ equipped with its $w^{*}$-topology is a compact metrizable topological space (see [1, Theorem 6.30, p. 239]).

Now define the mapping $R: E \rightarrow C(\Omega)$ by letting $(R x)(\omega)=\omega(x)$ for all $x \in L$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$. It should be clear that $R$ is a linear operator. The normality of the cone $K$ implies that the wedge $K^{\prime}$ is generating in $L^{\prime}$. This guarantees that a linear functional on $E^{\prime}$ is the zero functional if and only if it vanishes on $\Omega$. Consequently, from

$$
R x=0 \Longleftrightarrow \omega(x)=0 \text { for all } \omega \in \Omega \Longleftrightarrow x=0
$$

it follows that $R$ is one-to-one. Moreover, using that $K$ is closed, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R x \geq 0 & \Longleftrightarrow \omega(x) \geq 0 \text { for all } \omega \in \Omega \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x^{\prime}(x) \geq 0 \text { for all } x^{\prime} \in K^{\prime} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x \in K^{\prime \prime}=K
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K^{\prime \prime}$ is the dual cone in $E$ of $K^{\prime}$ with respect to the dual system $\left\langle E, E^{\prime}\right\rangle$ (that $K^{\prime \prime}=K$ follows from the bipolar theorem). This implies that $R: E \rightarrow C(\Omega)$ is an order-embedding. Now apply Lemma 10.
(b) Notice first that for each $x \in E$ we have $\|R x\|_{\infty}=\sup _{\omega \in \Omega}|\omega(x)| \leq\|x\|$. Now assume $\overline{K-K}=E$. As in the finite dimensional case, we can easily see that $K^{\prime}$ is a closed cone, generating since $K$ is normal and $E$ locally convex. It then follows from a theorem of Andô [9] (see also [8]) that $\Omega-\Omega$ is a 0 -neighborhood for the norm topology
of $E^{\prime}$. This implies that there exists some $\rho>0$ such that for each $x^{\prime}$ such that $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1$ there exist $y^{\prime}, z^{\prime} \in \Omega$ satisfying $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq \rho,\left\|z^{\prime}\right\| \leq \rho$, and $x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$. In particular, for each $x^{\prime}$ in the unit ball $U^{\prime}$ of $E^{\prime}$ and each $x \in E$ we have

$$
\left|x^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \rho\left|\frac{y^{\prime}}{\rho}(x)\right|+\rho\left|\frac{z^{\prime}}{\rho}(x)\right| \leq 2 \rho\|R x\|_{\infty} .
$$

We have also $\|x\|=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in U^{\prime}}\left|x^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2 \rho\|R x\|_{\infty}$. Therefore, for each $x \in E$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \rho}\|x\| \leq\|R x\|_{\infty} \leq\|x\|
$$

so that (in this case) $R$ is also a topological order-embedding. To complete the proof now note that (according to Lemma 10) $C(\Omega)$ is topologically order-embeddable in $C[0,1]$.

To complete the section, we show how Theorem 2 can be deduced from the previous one.

Corollary 12. Every closed cone $K$ of a finite dimensional vector space $E$ is orderembeddable in $C[0,1]$. If, moreover, $K$ is generating (that is, if $E=K-K$ ), then $T$, the linear operator which topologically order-embeds $E$ into $C[0,1]$, can be chosen so as $T(u)=\mathbf{1}$ for some $u \in \operatorname{int} K$.

Proof. A finite dimensional (real) vector space is obviously a separable Banach space. Assume now that $E=K-K=\overline{K-K}$. The function $\mathbf{1}$ is an order-unit thus an interior point of $C_{+}[0,1]$. Thus $T^{-1}(\mathbf{1})$ is an interior point of $K$.

## 4 The wedge of arbitrage free prices

The present work originated from questions in financial economics. It is motivated by the counter example in [7] and the resolution of the economic problem highlighted by the example in $[4,5,6]$. We briefly illustrate this connection below.

We consider the standard two-period securities model. That is, we suppose that there are two periods 0 and 1 ("today" and "tomorrow"). In period 0 everything is known while in period 1 there is uncertainty. The uncertainty is described by a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. We view the vector space $L_{0}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \pi)$ of all equivalence classes of measurable real functions on $\Omega$ as the asset space. The elements of $L_{0}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \pi)$ are called assets.

We assume that in our market today there is a finite number of non-redundant (i.e., linearly independent) assets $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ that can be purchased by the consumers. A portfolio is a vector $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. With each portfolio $\theta$ we consider the asset $T \theta$, defined for each $s \in \Omega$ by

$$
[T \theta](s)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} f_{i}(s)
$$

The interpretation of $[T \theta](s)$ is the following: If a consumer holds the portfolio $\theta$ and the materialized state of the world tomorrow is $s$, then the value (payoff) of the portfolio $\theta$ is precisely $[T \theta](s)$.

It is not difficult to see that $(\star)$ defines a one-to-one linear operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow L_{0}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \pi)$. This operator is called the payoff operator and its range is precisely the subspace $M$ of $L_{0}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \pi)$ spanned by the available assets $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$.

An asset price is also a vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is called arbitrage free if for each portfolio $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying $[T \theta](s) \geq 0$ for almost all $s \in \Omega$ and $P(\{s \in \Omega:[T \theta](s)>0\})>0$ we have $q \cdot \theta>0$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of arbitrage free prices. Notice that $\mathcal{A}$ is an open wedge i.e., it is an open convex set that satisfies $\alpha q \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $\alpha>0$ and $q \in \mathcal{A}$. In the special case where $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\mathcal{A} \cap(-\mathcal{A})=\varnothing$ we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is an open cone. The notion of arbitrage free prices is of enormous importance in financial economics.

The set of arbitrage free prices $\mathcal{A}$ is never empty because the set

$$
K=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:[T \theta](s) \geq 0 \text { a.e. }\right\}=T^{-1}\left(L_{0}^{+}\right)
$$

is always a closed cone. The cone $K$ is called the portfolio cone of the assets $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$. It induces a vector ordering on $E$ called portfolio dominance; see [3]. The set of arbitrage free prices $\mathcal{A}$ is the interior of the dual

$$
K^{\prime}=\left\{q \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: q \cdot \theta \geq 0 \text { for all } \theta \in K\right\}
$$

Now we consider the space $C[0,1]$ as canonically embedded in $L_{0}$ with the Lebesgue measure. Theorem 2 can easily be re-stated as follows.

Theorem 13. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a non-empty open wedge in $E=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then there exist non-redundant assets $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ in $C[0,1]$ such that the set of arbitrage free prices is $\mathcal{A}$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is an open cone, then $f_{1}$ can be chosen to be the constant function (bond) $\mathbf{1}$ satisfying $f_{1}(s)=1$ for all $s \in[0,1]$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is an open wedge, its dual is a closed cone $K$ to which we can apply Theorem 2. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow C[0,1]$ be a one-to-one operator such that $K=T^{-1}\left(C_{+}[0,1]\right)$. Take for assets $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ any basis of $T(K)$. The set of arbitrage free prices is the interior of $K^{\prime}$, i.e., the set $\mathcal{A}$. To see the equivalence between the respective conditions in Theorem 13 and Theorem 2 that $\mathcal{A}$ is an open cone and that $K$ is generating, apply Lemma 6.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Two nonempty convex sets $A$ and $B$ (in respectively two topological vector spaces) are affinely homeomorphic if the exists a surjective affine homeomorphism $T: A \rightarrow B$.

