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Abstract

More financial time series exhibit seasonality, persistence (hyperbolic decay of the autocorrelation function), asymmetric behavior and leptokurtosis. In this paper, we introduce the stationary Seasonal Hyperbolic APARCH model, which can take into account the previous features. We then investigate the probabilistic properties of the process e.g. the strict and weak stationarity of the process and the long memory property.
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1 Introduction

Mandelbrot (1963) and Fama (1965) both reported evidence that large (small) changes in the prices are followed by other large (small) changes. This autocorrelation of the volatility of returns was modeled by Engle (1982) with the framework of ARCH processes (Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity) extended to GARCH models (Generalized Autoregressive Heteroscedasticity) by Bollerslev (1986).

Different studies have revealed that the ARCH and GARCH processes are unsuitable to take into account effects of asymmetry as well as the persistence noticed on the conditional volatility of stocks returns. It seems that the conditional volatility reacts more at the announcements of bad news. In particular, Black (1976) observed the existence of a negative correlation between the current return and the future volatility. Volatility asymmetry may be captured using various extensions of the GARCH model including leverage effect like the exponential GARCH (EGARCH) of Nelson (1991), the threshold ARCH (TARCH) of Zakoian (1994), the asymmetric power ARCH (APARCH) of Ding, Granger and Engle (1993) or the GJR-GARCH(1,1) introduced by Glosten, Jagannathan and Runkel (1993); the Fractionally Integrated GARCH model introduced by Baillie et al. (1996), the Asymmetric Fractionally Integrated Family GARCH (asymmetric FIFGARCH) model of Hwang (2001), the Fractionally Integrated APARCH of Tse (1998). These models allow past negative (resp. positive) shocks to have a deeper impact on current conditional volatility than past positive (resp. negative) shocks (see, among others, Black, 1976; French, Schwert and Stambaugh, 1987; Pagan and Schwert, 1990).

The increased availability of ultra-high frequency data has provided new insights for empirical analysis. One important characteristic of such data is the strong evidence of cyclical patterns in the volatility of the series, mainly due to the so-called time-of-the-day phenomena (as, for example, market open-
ing and closing operations and lunch-hour effects). The effect of a distinct inverse-J shaped pattern in the variance of stock returns over the trading day is well studied, see, for example, Andersen and Bollerslev (1997). If this empirical evidence is neglected, Andersen and Bollerslev (1998) show that modeling and forecasting of the volatility dynamics may be seriously effected.

In this paper, we focus on a class of asymmetric long memory GARCH processes that belong to the family of conditionally heteroscedastic processes. In particular, we introduce a new class of models, called the Seasonal HY-APARCH (Seasonal Hyperbolic Asymmetric Power ARCH). The problems looking stationarity of the model, existence of moments and maximum likelihood estimation method, using numerical techniques to approach the derivatives of the likelihood function with respect to parameter vector, are of the primary interest and this article explores these issues. Particularly, we examine the parameter estimation of the model when the disturbances follow a generalized hyperbolic. In section 2, we will present the S-HY-APARCH model and investigate the sufficient and necessary condition for the covariance stationary of this process. We will also look for long memory property of the model. Section 3 will study the parameter estimation of the S-HY-APARCH model when the innovations are normally distributed, t-Student or normal inverse Gaussian distributed. Section 4 concludes.

2 S-HY-APARCH specification

The most common definition of a long memory process is one where its autocovariance function is not absolutely summable (Baillie (1996)). The proposed S-HY-APARCH process exhibits long memory, augmenting the HYGARCH model of Davidson (2004) allowing to model asymmetric and periodic components. Assume that \((\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is a independently and identically distributed \((i.i.d)\) process, \(E(\varepsilon_t) = 0\) and \(Var(\varepsilon_t) = 1\). A random sequence
\((x_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is said to satisfy S-HY-APARCH model if the following equations are verified

\[
x_t = \nu_t + h_t z_t, \quad (2.1)
\]

where, for simplify, \(\nu_t\) is equal to zero and \(h_t\) is a time varying positive and measurable function of the information set at time \(t-1\) given by the following equation (2.3)

\[
[1 - \phi (B) - \theta (B)] \left[ (1 - \tau) + \tau (1 - B^S)^d \right] z_t = \omega + [1 - \theta (B)] v_t, \quad (2.2)
\]

with \((z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\) a process defined by \(z_t = (|x_t| - \eta x_t)^\delta\), \(v_t = z_t - h_t^\delta\), \(\omega > 0\), \(S (S \geq 0)\) represents the length of the cycle, \(d (0 < d < 1)\) is the long memory parameter, while \(\eta (|\eta| < 1)\) reflects the so-called leverage effect.

A positive (resp. negative) value of the \(\eta\)'s means that the negative (resp. positive) shocks have a deeper impact on current conditional volatility than past positive shocks (see Black (1976)), \(\delta (\delta > 0)\) plays the role of a Box-Cox transformation of the conditional standard deviation and \(\tau (\tau \geq 0)\) serves to eliminate the non stationarity of the process (see Davidson 2004). Let \(1 - \phi (B) - \theta (B)\) and \(1 - \theta (B)\) be the polynomials where all the roots are constrained to be outside the unit circle and defined by \(\phi (B) = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i B^i\) and \(\theta (B) = \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j B^j\), with \(p, q\) are integers. Here, \(B\) is the back shift operator defined by \(B^n X_t = X_{t-n}, \ n \geq 0\).

The fractional difference operator \((1 - B^S)^d\) is defined by its McLaurin series

\[
(1 - B^S)^d = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma (j - d)}{\Gamma (-d) \Gamma (j + 1)} B^S j,
\]

where \(\Gamma (x)\) is the Euler gamma function defined by \(\Gamma (x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt\).

Rearranging the term in (2.2), an alternative representation for the S-HY-APARCH model can be obtained as,

\[
[1 - \theta (B)] h_t^\delta = \omega + \left\{ 1 - \theta (B) - \alpha (B) \left[ 1 - \tau \left( 1 - (1 - B^S)^d \right) \right] \right\} z_t, \quad (2.3)
\]

where the polynomial \(\alpha (B)\) is such that \(\alpha (B) = 1 - \phi (B) - \theta (B)\).

From this representation, the conditional variance of \(z_t\), is simply obtained
to be
\[ h_t^\delta = \frac{\omega}{1 - \theta (1)} + \left\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha (B)}{1 - \theta (B)} \left[ 1 - \tau \left( 1 - (1 - B^S)^d \right) \right] \right\} z_t, \] (2.4)

The Seasonal Hyperbolic Asymmetric Power ARCH approach enables the modeling of many features of financial market returns in the framework of stationary processes. We can note that it contains several other ARCH extensions as, among others, the linear GARCH of Bollerslev (1986), the GJR model of Glosten, Jagannathan and Runkle (1993), the TARCH of Zakoian (1994), the FIGARCH of Baillie et al. (1996), the k-factor GIGARCH of Guégan (2003), the FI-A-PARCH model of (Tse (1998)), the HYGARCH of Davidson (2004).

2.1 Existence of the second order stationary solution

One of the first questions which usually arise in the study of recursion equations of the type (2.1)-(2.3) is to find conditions for the existence of a stationary solution. We first discuss conditions on the coefficients \( \psi_j \) and the random variables \( \xi_t \) which guarantee the existence of the stationary solution to equations (2.1)-(2.3) with finite first and second moments. Let \( z_t = \left( |x_t| - \eta x_t \right)^\delta \) then the S-HY-APARCH process has an APARCH \((0, \infty)\) representation using
\[ h_t^\delta = \psi (B) z_t = \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j z_{t-j}, \] (2.5)

with
\[ \psi (B) = \psi_1 (B) + \psi_2 (B), \] (2.6)

where \( \psi_1 (B) \) and \( \psi_2 (B) \) are given by the following equations
\[ \psi_1 (B) = \left[ I - \theta (B) \right]^{-1} \left[ \omega + \phi (B) \right], \] (2.7)

and
\[ \psi_2 (B) = \left[ I - \theta (B) \right]^{-1} \left[ 1 - \phi (B) - \theta (B) \right] \left[ 1 - (1 - B^S)^d \right]. \] (2.8)
For more details to the APARCH model, we can refer to Ding et al. (1993), among others.

We provide the Volterra series expansion of the process \((z^\delta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\). Formally, from the recursion relations (2.5), we obtain

\[
h^\delta_t = \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j (|z_{t-j}| - \eta z_{t-j})^\delta h^\delta_{t-j},
\]

(2.9)

Let \(\xi_t\) be defined by \(\xi_t = (|\varepsilon_t| - \eta \varepsilon_t)^\delta\). The recursion equation (2.5) give

\[
h^\delta_t = \psi_0 + \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_1} \xi_{t-j_1} \left( \psi_0 + \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_2} \xi_{t-j_1-j_2} h^\delta_{t-j_1-j_2} \right)
\]

\[
= \psi_0 + \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \psi_0 \psi_{j_1} \xi_{t-j_1} + \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_1} \psi_{j_2} \xi_{t-j_1-j_2} h^\delta_{t-j_1-j_2}
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
= \psi_0 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_1} \cdots \psi_{j_l} \xi_{t-j_1} \cdots \xi_{t-j_l-\cdots-j_l}.
\]

(2.10)

Because \(\xi_t = (|\varepsilon_t| - \eta \varepsilon_t)^\delta\), we can easily show, using equation (2.10), that the process \((z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\) can be express by the following representation

\[
z_t = \psi_0 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_1} \cdots \psi_{j_l} \xi_{t-j_1} \cdots \xi_{t-j_l-\cdots-j_l},
\]

(2.11)

which can be rewritten as

\[
z_t = \psi_0 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} V_l (t),
\]

(2.12)

where \(V_0 (t) = \xi_t\) and for \(l \geq 1\)

\[
V_l (t) = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_1} \cdots \psi_{j_l} \xi_t \xi_{t-j_1} \cdots \xi_{t-j_l-\cdots-j_l}.
\]

We resume in the following Theorem 2.1 the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of stationary solution (2.12),(2.1).

**Theorem 2.1**

1. If the condition

\[
E (\xi) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j < 1
\]

(2.13)
is satisfied then the first moment of $z_t$ exists and is given by equation (2.14)

$$E(z_t) = \frac{\psi_0 E(\xi_0)}{1 - E(\xi_0) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j},$$

(2.14)

2. If the condition

$$E(\xi^2) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j < 1$$

(2.15)

is satisfied then the second moment of $z_t$ exists.

**Proof 2.1** By taking the unconditional expectations on both sides of (2.11) and using the independence of $\xi_t$’s, we obtain

$$E(z_t) = \psi_0 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_1} \cdots \psi_{j_l} E(\xi_t) E(\xi_{t-j_1}) \cdots E(\xi_{t-j_1-\cdots-j_l})$$

$$= E(\xi_t) \psi_0 \left[ 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( E(\xi_0) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j \right)^l \right]$$

$$= \frac{\psi_0 E(\xi_0)}{1 - E(\xi_0) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j}.$$

Thus, 2.14 is easily obtained.

Let us now prove the existence of the sufficient condition for the second moment of the process $(z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ applying to (2.11) the norm Minkowski inequality, we get

$$E(z_t^2) \frac{1}{2} \leq \left( E(\xi_t^2) \frac{1}{2} \psi_0 \right) \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j_1} \cdots \psi_{j_l} \left[ E(\xi_{t-j_1}^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \left[ E(\xi_{t-j_1-\cdots-j_l}^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\psi_0 \left[ E(\xi_0^2) \frac{1}{2} \right]}{1 - \left[ E(\xi_0^2) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j \right]}.$$

Hence if condition (2.15) is satisfied, then the second moment of the process $(z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of (2.11) is finite.

Under the conditions proved in 2.1, we investigate the strict and weak stationary solution for the S-HY-APARCH$(p, d, q, S)$ process according to Gieraitis et al. approach. The results are resumed in Theorem (2.2).
Theorem 2.2 1. If equation (2.13) is verified then (2.11) is a strictly stationary solution to $z_t = h_t^\delta \xi_t$ and (2.9) with the finite first $E(z_t)$. Moreover, such a solution with finite first moment is a unique non anticipative solution.

2. If, in addition, equation (2.15) is verified then (2.11) is also a unique weakly stationary solution

Proof 2.2 The proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar to Theorem 2.1 in Gairitis et al. 2000. ■

Another way to state the stationary condition for S-HY-APARCH($p,d,q,S$) is to generalize Chen and An (1998) approach’s for standard GARCH models. In Proposition 2.1, a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of stationary solution of the process $(h_t^\delta)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is given.

Proposition 2.1 If $\omega > 0$ and the condition defined by (2.16) obtained

$$E \left[ (|\epsilon_t| - \eta \epsilon_t)^\delta \right] \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j < 1,$$ (2.16)

then a stationary solution exists and is given by

$$E\left(h_t^\delta\right) = \frac{\omega}{1 - E \left[ (|\epsilon_t| - \eta \epsilon_t)^\delta \right] \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j},$$ (2.17)

which depends on the probability density function of the process $(\epsilon_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof 2.3 Let $\Omega_{t-1}$ denote the information set at time $t - 1$. From 2.5, the S-HY-APARCH($p,d,q,S$) process can be represented as

$$h_t^\delta = \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j Z_{t-j}.$$ 

Taking unconditional expectations yields

$$E \left( h_t^\delta \right) = \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j E( Z_{t-j} )$$

$$= \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j E \left[ (|\epsilon_{t-j}| - \eta \epsilon_{t-j})^\delta \right] E \left( h_{t-j}^\delta \right).$$
Via the law of iterated expectations, we have

\[
E\left( h^\delta_t \right) = \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j E_{\Omega_{t-j}} \left\{ E\left( (h_{t-j} | \varepsilon_{t-j} | - \eta h_{t-j} \varepsilon_{t-j})^\delta \right) \mid \Omega_{t-j} \right\}
\]

\[
= \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j E_{\Omega_{t-j}} \left\{ h^\delta_{t-j} E\left( (|\varepsilon_t| - \eta \varepsilon_t)^\delta \right) \mid \Omega_{t-j} \right\}.
\]

Because \((\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is an independently and identically distributed process and since the process is covariance stationary, we get

\[
E\left( h^\delta_t \right) = \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j E\left( (|\varepsilon_t| - \eta \varepsilon_t)^\delta \right) E\left( h^\delta_t \right).
\]

Thus, we obtain (2.17).

Notice that Ding et al. (1993) derived a closed form solution to \(E\left( (|\varepsilon_t| - \eta \varepsilon_t)^\delta \right)\) in the normal case, given by:

\[
E\left( (|\varepsilon_t| - \eta \varepsilon_t)^\delta \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ (1 + \eta)^\delta + (1 - \eta)^\delta \right] 2^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \Gamma\left( \frac{\delta + 1}{2} \right), \quad (2.18)
\]

while Lambert and Laurent (2001) obtained an analogous expression for the Student t distribution

\[
E\left( (|\varepsilon_t| - \eta \varepsilon_t)^\delta \right) = \left[ (1 + \eta)^\delta + (1 - \eta)^\delta \right] \frac{\Gamma\left( \frac{\delta + 1}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{\nu - \delta}{2} \right)}{2^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} \pi \Gamma\left( \frac{\nu}{2} \right)} (\nu - 2)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}.
\]

(2.19)

### 2.2 Long memory property

We investigate in this section, the long memory property of the S-HY-APARCH\((p, d, q, S)\) model. However, there are several ways of characterizing long memory real valued process (see Guégan (2005), for more details). A widespread definition, in term of the autocorrelation function \(\gamma(h) \ (h \in \mathbb{Z})\), is used here. We define a process as long memory if in the \(h \to \infty\)

\[
\gamma(h) \sim h^{-d} L(h),
\]

where \(0 < d < 1\) and \(L(x)\) is a slowly varying function (see Embrechts et al. (1997)) e.g \(\frac{L(tx)}{L(x)} \to 1\) as \(x \to \infty\).
Let the long memory parameter $d$ is not too large, then the filter $\psi(B)$ in equation (2.6) can be closely approximated by

$$
\psi(B) = \left\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha(B)}{1 - \theta(B)} \left[ 1 - \tau \left( 1 - (1 - B^S)^d \right) \right] \right\}.
$$

(2.20)

Following Davidson (2004), we can easily show that

$$
1 - (1 - B^S)^d = \zeta(1 + d)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-d-1} B^Sj, \quad d > 0
$$

(2.21)

and $\zeta(.)$ represents the Riemann zeta function. Thus, the hyperbolic descent behavior of the weights $(\psi_j)_{j \in Z}$ can be derived. Therefore, according to the second condition for Proposition 3.2 in Giraitis et al. (2000), the presence of long memory in the process $(z_t)_{t \in Z}$ is ensured.

### 3 Parameter estimation method

#### 3.1 Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method

Let $\vartheta = (\omega, \phi_1, \cdots, \phi_p, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_q)$ and $\gamma = \left( \vartheta', d, \tau, \delta, \eta \right)'$ the vector of $(p + q + 5)$ unknown parameters of the conditional dispersion equation. To estimate the S-HY-APARCH by maximum likelihood, one has to take an additional assumption on the innovation process by choosing a density, denoted $g(\varepsilon_t; \lambda)$ where $\lambda$ is an extra parameter vector to be estimated. The problem to solve is to maximize the sample log-likelihood function $L_n(\gamma)$ for the $n$ observations $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_n$, with respect to the vector of parameters $\gamma$, with $L_n(\gamma)$ given by the following equation (3.1)

$$
L_n(\gamma) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log f(\varepsilon_t | \gamma, \Omega_{t-1}),
$$

(3.1)

where $f(\varepsilon_t | \gamma, \Omega_{t-1}) = h_t^{-1} g(\varepsilon_t; \lambda)$. When replacing $f(\varepsilon_t | \gamma, \Omega_{t-1})$ by its value in (3.1), we obtained

$$
L_n(\gamma) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log (h_t) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log [g(\varepsilon_t; \lambda)].
$$

(3.2)
The maximum likelihood estimator is obtained by maximizing equation (3.2) with respect to the set of parameters \( \gamma \). The score function is given by

\[
S_n(\gamma) = \frac{\partial L_n(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma}
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[ -\frac{1}{h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_t}{\partial \theta} g'(\varepsilon_t; \lambda) \right]
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[ -\frac{1}{h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\varepsilon_t}{h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial g'(\varepsilon_t; \lambda)}{\partial \varepsilon_t} \right]
= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial \theta} \left[ 1 + \varepsilon_t \frac{g'(\varepsilon_t; \lambda)}{g(\varepsilon_t; \lambda)} \right],
\]

(3.3)

where \( g' \) is the derivative of \( g(.) \) respect to \( (\varepsilon_t, \lambda) \). The maximum likelihood estimator \( \hat{\gamma}_{MLE} \) solves the system of equations \( S_n(\theta) = 0 \). Since the system is highly non-linear in \( \gamma \), the solution is achieved by numerical techniques such as the Berndt, Hall, Hall and Hausman (1974) algorithm.

The estimation of the parameter for a GARCH model has been studied by several authors. Lee and Hansen (1994) and Lumsdaine (1996) consider the standard normal distribution to derive the log-likelihood function in a GARCH(1,1) model. However, many researchers show that the high frequency financial time series exhibit thick tails behavior. To overcome this drawback, Bollerslev (1987), among others, have used the Student’s t-distribution, while Nelson (1991) suggested the Generalized error Distribution. Similarly, to capture skewness, Liu and Brorsen (1995) use an asymmetric stable density. To model both skewness and kurtosis, the Normal Inverse Gaussian (NIG) was used by a number of authors (see, among others, Barndorf-Nielsen and Prause, 2001). We consider in this section, the Generalized Hyperbolic distribution in order to deal with parameter estimation of GARCH-type models. Specially, we present three particular cases of this distribution: normal distribution, Student t distribution and normal inverse Gaussian distribution.
3.2 The Generalized Hyperbolic distribution

If the random variable $\varepsilon_t$ follows a GH distribution with parameters $\mu$, $\varpi$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ which we denote $\varepsilon_t \sim GH (\varpi, \tilde{\delta}, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$, then its density will be given by

$$
 f(x) = \frac{\varpi}{\sqrt{2\pi K_{\varpi}}(\tilde{\delta} \varpi)} \exp[\beta(x - \mu)] 
 \times \frac{K_{\varpi - \frac{1}{2}} \left[ \alpha \sqrt{\tilde{\delta}^2 + (x - \mu)^2} \right]}{\sqrt{\tilde{\delta}^2 + (x - \mu)^2}^{\alpha}},
$$

(3.4)

where $\mu (\mu \in \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ are location parameters, $\varpi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ allow for flexible tail modeling, $\beta (\beta \in \mathbb{R})$ is the asymmetry parameter, $\tilde{\delta}$ ($\tilde{\delta}$) is another scale parameter and $K_{\lambda}(.)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind (see, among others, Abramowitz and Stegun, 1965).

As the name suggest, it is of a very general form, being the superclass of, among others, the Student’s t-distribution, the Laplace distribution, the Hyperbolic distribution, the normal inverse Gaussian distribution and the variance-gamma distribution (see Barndorff-Nielsen, 1977). In this paper, we will interest to parameter estimation of the defined S-HY-APARCH($p, d, q, S$) model when the disturbances are Gaussian, Student t or normal inverse Gaussian distributed.

1. The Normal distribution case If we assume that the $\varepsilon_t$ is normally distributed then the log-likelihood function is given by:

$$
 L_n(\gamma) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ n \log (2\pi) + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log(\hat{h}_t^2) + n \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{\hat{h}_t^2} \right].
$$

(3.5)

The score function under Gaussianity is given by the following equation (3.6)

$$
 S_n(\gamma) = -\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[ \varepsilon_t \frac{\partial \varepsilon_t}{\partial \gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\hat{h}_t^2} \left( \hat{h}_t^2 - \varepsilon_t^2 \right) \frac{\partial \hat{h}_t^2}{\partial \gamma} \right].
$$

(3.6)
2. The t-Student distribution case Now, if the t-Student distribution with 
\( \nu \) degree of freedom is assumed for the disturbances \( \varepsilon_t \) then the log-
likelihood function is defined as:

\[
L_n(\gamma) = n \log \left( \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\nu+1}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} (\nu-2) \Gamma \left( \frac{\nu}{2} \right)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left\{ \log \left( h_t^2 \right) + (\nu + 1) \left[ \log \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{(\nu - 2) h_t^2}}{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{h_t^2}} \right) \right] \right\}
\]

(3.7)

where \( \Gamma(.) \) is the gamma function. The lower limit for \( \nu \) is zero. For 
\( \nu < 3 \), the unconditional variance does not exist. The log-likelihood function for the conditional student t distribution converges to the log-
likelihood function of the conditional distribution as \( \nu \) tends to infinity, 
so that the lower \( \nu \) the fatter the tails.

The score function under Student t distribution is given by:

\[
S_n(\gamma) = -\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{h_t^2 (\nu - 2)} + \frac{1}{h_t^4} \frac{\partial h_t^4}{\partial \gamma} \left( h_t^2 - \frac{\nu + 1}{\nu - 2} \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{h_t^2 (\nu - 2)}} \right) \right]
\]

(3.8)

3. The Normal Inverse Gaussian distribution case The NIG family of dis-
tributions is specified by four parameters. A random variable is said to be NIG distributed with parameters \( \mu, \beta, \alpha, \tilde{\delta} \), denoted \( \varepsilon_t \sim NIG(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \tilde{\delta}) \), where \( \mu \) is the location, \( \beta \) the skewness, \( \alpha \) the tail-
heaviness and \( \tilde{\delta} \) the scale. The density of a NIG \( (\alpha, \beta, \mu, \tilde{\delta}) \)-variable is given by

\[
f \left( x, \mu, \beta, \alpha, \tilde{\delta} \right) = \frac{\delta \alpha}{\pi} \exp \left[ \tilde{\delta} \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} + \beta (x - \mu) \right] \frac{K_1 \left[ \alpha s (x - \mu) \right]}{s \left( x - \mu \right)},
\]

(3.9)

where \( x \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{\delta} > 0, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq \alpha \) and \( s (x) = \sqrt{\tilde{\delta} + x^2} \). In 
particular, \( \beta = 0 \) corresponds to a symmetric distribution. Note that 
here \( K_1 \) is the modified Bessel function of the third kind with index 1.

Specially,

\[
K_1 (y) = \frac{y}{4} \int_0^{\infty} \exp \left[ - \left( t + \frac{y^2}{4t} \right) \right] t^{-2} dt, \ y \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
The log-likelihood of the NIG($\alpha, \beta, \mu, \tilde{\delta}$) distribution for the disturbances $\varepsilon_t$ is defined by the following equation (3.10)

$$L_n(\gamma) = n \log (\tilde{\delta} \alpha) - n \log (\pi) + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[ \tilde{\delta} \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} + \beta \left( \varepsilon_t / h_t - \mu \right) \right] + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log \left\{ \frac{K_1 \left[ \alpha s \left( \varepsilon_t / h_t - \mu \right) \right]}{s \left( \varepsilon_t / h_t - \mu \right)} \right\}. \tag{3.10}$$
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