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#### Abstract

The essential feature of a lexicon-grammar is that the elementary unit of computation and storage is the simple sentence subjact-verb-complement(s). This type of representation is obviously needed for verlss: limiting a verb to its shape has no mearing other than typographic, since a verb cannot be separated from its subject and essential complements) ${ }^{2}$. We have shown (M. Gross 1975) that given a verb, or equivalently a simple sentence, the set of syntactic properties that describes its variations is unicue: in general, no other verb has an ideritical symactic paradigm ${ }^{3}$. As a consequence, the proportias of each verbal construction must be represented in a texicon-grammar. The lexicon has no significance taken as an isolatod comporent ansl the grammar componert, viewed as independent of the lexicon, will have to be limited to certaim complex sentencets.


Since be-Adiective forms are close to verbs, their description is quite simitar, that is, they are considered as sentences.

We have appied lexicon-grammar representation not only to the two ohvious predicative parts of speech, verb and adjective, but to nouns and adverbs as well. In the same way as one adjoins the verb to be to adjectives, we have systematically introducod support verbs (Vaup) for mouns and adverbs, as in the following examples (2.8. Harris 1976, M. Gross 1982, 1986):

```
Vsup s:: to be Prep:
    The text is in contradicijon with the law
Vsup :
    Thus text has a certain importance for Boo4
Vsup =: to occur, etc.
        Accitents occur at random
        rhe सccident (was, happened, occurred, took placo) late at
        night
```

1. UA 819 of CNAS. This research has been partly financed by contract "Paf Intomatique Linguistigue" 1985-86 from the Ministry of Research.
2. The notion of essential complement has been refined through the systematic study of 12,000 verbs of French (M. Gross 1975; J.-P. Boons, A. Guillet, C. Lectère 1976a, 1976b, 19a7) and a study of adverbials, that is, of nonessential complements (M. Gross 1906). The subject and/or the complaments may be transtormed and/or omitted through various syntactic operations, in particular, by nominalizing the verb (G. Gross, R. Vives 198G, but the full information can be recovered (Z.S. Harris 1982).
3. A line of "+" and "."" marks in Figure 1 is such a paradigm.
4. Both examples are not isolated entries of the lexicon-grammar, but pather (Z.S. Harris 1964), transforms of other forms:
```
rhis lent contradicts tho law
rhis text is imporiant for Bob
```

Support verbs are trequent in technical texts, and may have stylistic variants, as in this last example.

Grammatical dements such as determiners, prepositions and confurctions, do not belong to the lexicon-grammar in the same sense as the four major parts of speech clo, since they aro parts of structures or rules. For example, prepositions appear in the colurnns of the lexicon-grammar.

An early representation of varbs in a lexicon-grammar or about 12,000 verbs is given in tigure 1 . Each row of the matrix is an entry whose main construction is defired by a table or class code. In figure 1. the code 6 corresponds to the class of constructions: subject-verb-direct sentential complement, noted:
(1) $N_{0} \quad V$ que $p$
( $N_{0}$ is the subject and $\rho$ stands for sentence).
Each column is a syntactic property, and corresponds to a structure into which $V$ may enter, roughly a syntactic transform of the main structure. Eor example, in colurnns we have placed the Passive forms, Extraposed and ronominal forms. Thus, the related structures are semantically close. " + " sign at the intersection of a row and a column indicates that the ntry in the fow is accepted in the structure associated to the column, * "-* sign corresponds to inacceptability. The process of accumulation that led to the formalized lexicon-grammar of 12,000 French verbs bas run into what seemed to be at lirst a minor problem of represertation of words: the difference between simple and compound words. On the orie hand, there are simple words such as the verb know and complex (idiomatic) forms such as keep in mind. Both forms play the same syntactic arid semantic role in sentences such as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Bob knows that Max has moved to Jampe } \\
& \text { Bob keeps in mind that Max has moved to Tampa }
\end{aligned}
$$

but the lexical content (one word vs three) requires different ideritification procedures (simple dictionary tookup vs a certain amount of syntactic analysis).

The representation of tigure 1 treats two forms such as to know (somoone, somelhing) and to keep (someone, something) in mind in the same way, thus omphasizing the semantic equivalence between simple and compound vertes.

But compound terms raise a problem of representation. The unit of representation in a linear lexicon is roughly the word ${ }^{5}$ as defined by its written form, that is, a sequence of letters separated from nedghboring sequences by boundary blanks. As a consequence, compound words cannot be directly put into a dictionary the way simple words are, An identification procedure is noeded for their occurrences in texts, and this procedure will make use of the various simple parts of the compound utterance. Hence, the formal linguistic properties of compound terms will determine both the procedure of identification in texts and the type of storage they require.


TABLE 6 Verbs with Sentential Complements
(From M. Gross 1975)

## Figure 1

We thus have to discuss the main types of compounds and to single out those properties that bear on automatic parsing and dictionary lookup.

1. Compound advertos

We call adverb any circumstancial complement, including sentential phrases, as in the following examples:
(1) The show took place nightly
at night
during a busy night
the night Bob missed his plane

By compound adverbs, or frozen or idiomatic adverbs, we mean adverhs that can be separated into several words, with some or all of their words frozen, that is, semantically and/or syntactically noncompositional. in (1), af night is a compound advert, the lack of compositionality is apparent from lexical restrictions such as:
*at day, *at affernoon, *at evening
and by the impossibility of inserting material that is a priori plausible, syntactically and semantically:

```
*at (coming. present) night
*at (cold, dark) night
```

during the (coming, present) night during a (cold, dark) night

[^0]Notice that nightly can also be considered as a frozen compound, though not constituted of words but of a word and a suffix. Again, lack of compositionality stems from the observation that daily, weekly, monthly, yearly, etc. which are compounds of the same tormal type bave a regular formation, in the sense that their interpretation is homogeneous. Thus, nightly is an isolated case, as opposed to an open series of identical forms with a different interpretation.

## The two other adverbs of (1) are free forms. Thus, the determiners and modifiers (Adj and Aelalause) of:

 Detduring Det Adi night Refclause
can vary freely (within semantic constraints). In the same way, the event associated with the sentence $s$ in the torm:
the night ( $E$, that) $S$
can be expressed by a large variety of unconstrained forms.
Frozen or compound adverbs consitite the simplest case of compound forms because they do not allow variations of their components. As mentioned above, in at might no adjective is authorized. Moreover, one cannot insert a determiner: *at ( $a$, this) night, the plural is forbidden: *at nights and no relative clause can be appended: *af might (that, which) was agreed on.

Such observations are general, and apply to many adverbs of varied form and lexical content:
$1 t$ rained cats and dogs
*many cats and dogs
*big cats and dogs
*eat and dog
*eat and dog
from time to time
trom times to times
*trom a time to another time
from long time to long time

Consequently, thase compound adverbs could be identitied by a simple resognition procedure, for they do not require any lemmatization or syntactic analysis to be reduced to a dictionary form, as is the case wilh verb forms for example.

A lexical study of compound adverbs has been pertormed in French and a systematic inventory has been compiled from various dictionaries. Runnimg texts have been examined as well. It is interesting to note that whereas in current dictionaries there are about 1,500 one word adverbs, most of them in -ment (-ly), we have tound over 5,000 compound advertos.

These compound adverbs have been classified according to their syrtacilic shape. The syntactic forms are described at the elementary level of sequences of parts of speech. We use symbols with obvious interpretations such as Prep, Det, Adj, N, V, Conj (for conjumction) arid $W$ for a variable ranging over verb complements, otc. We write:


Figure 2 shows the classes that have been detined on this basis, together with cxamples and the number of items in each class;

| Tables | Structures | Exemples | Effectifs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PADV | Adv | soudain | 320 |
| PC | Prép C | en bref | 460 |
| PDETC | Prep Dei C | contre towte attente | 570 |
| 12AC | Prép Adj C | de sa belle mora | 440 |
| PCA | Prep C Adj | à gorge deployee | 400 |
| PCDC: | $\operatorname{Prep} C$ de $C$ | en desespoir de cause | 350 |
| PCDN | Prép C le N | au moyen de N | 330 |
| PCPN | Prép C prep $N$ | par rapport a $N$ | 90 |
| PCPC | Prep C Prep C | des pieds à la tête | 140 |
| PCONJ | Prep C Conj C | en tout et pour tout | 170 |
| PV | Prép V W | à dire vrai | 150 |
| Pr: | P (phrase figee) | Dient seul le sait | 230 |
| PLCO | (Adj) comme C. | comme ses pieds | 200 |
| PVCO | (V) comme C | comme un cheveu sur la soupe | 210 |
| PPCO | (V) comme Prép C | comme dans du beurre | 30 |
| PJC | $\operatorname{Conj} C$ | et tout le tremblement | 100 |
|  |  | TOTAL | $\therefore 4190$ |

Frozen Adverbs (M. Gross 19y6)

## Tableau 2

The examples discussed so far are entirely frozen. Hence, as a practical matter, they can be located in a text by using the search function avallable for strings in any lext editor system. There are however more comples examples that require deeper analysis. Consider for example the idiomatic adverb in the sentenco:

Max proposed solutions from the top of his hat

It is largely frozen: no other determiner is allowed, no adjectives can be appended to either noun, etc., but the person of the possessive adjective Poss, may vary. This possessive adjective must reter to the subject of the sentence, and varies accordingly:

```
*Max proposed ideas from the top of your hat
*My sister proposed ideas from the top of his hat
    Bob and Max proposed ideas from the top of their hat(s)
```

In this case, the recognition procedure is no longer a simple string matching operation, since a varlable slot must be dealt with iriside the fixed string. More general mathing rules are required here ${ }^{6}$. Once this compound adverb has been identified in a text to be processed, it can be given an interpretation, for example in terms of a simple adverb such as leisurely or lightly and the referential information carried by Poss can then be ignored. However, one can easily construct particular discourses where the obligatory coreference relation involved will disambiguate some analysis. Thus, not only the variation of Poss must be accounted for at the lexical level, but its reterential information has to be kept for possible use in a parser.

Other compound adverbs offer different degrees of variation. There are cases where one part of the adverb is frozen and another part is ontirely free:

```
Max organized a party in honor of Bob
Max fid the car al the tar end of the parking lot
```

The parts in honor, at the far end are trozen. For example, they alo not allow modifiers. The parts of $N$ are free, for we observe variations such as:

Max orgamized a party in his homor
Max hid the car at the far end, I think, of the parking lot

Consider the adverbials:

```
for the sake of rumaing thinys
for the sake of Dob
for God's sake
```

We call the combination for--sake trozen, since the noun sake does not occur elsewhere than in adverbial phrases with the preposition for: it cannot be the subject or object of any verb On the other band. the modifiers of sake are quite varied and regular from the point of view of the syntax of noum moditiers'.

There are also cases of seemingly free adverbs which require an ad hoc treatment. For example, dates such as:

```
Monday March 13, 1968 at 9 p.m.
```

are described in a natural way by a finite automaton.
rechnical or specialized families of adverbs come close to being frozen adverbs:
(2) They elected Bob on the (first, second) ballot
(3) Max ate his noodles in a bowl

The special semantic relations that hold between the adverbial complement and the rest of the senterice are limited. There are few verbs such as to eat which combine with in a bow and which have the non locative interpretation of (3). The usual interpretation is that found in:

[^1]Mas pur his noodles in a bowl
Entering frozen adverbs into a lexicon-grammar raises many new questions, The bulk of adverbs can be described by means of the following type of derivation (Z.S. Harris 1976):

```
Bob latt; That Bob left occurred af g
= Bob lett, this occurred at 9
: Bob leyt ar 9
```

and support verbs play a crucial role hero. Howover, there are cases whare no general support verb is found and where adverbs have to te considered as a part of the elementary seritence. Considor the adverb in:
Bob sang at the top of his voice

It is syntactically and semantically analogous to free adverbs such as noisily. powerfally. For these iwo free adverbs, derivational source involving the adjective is avalakole:
The way Bob sang was (noisy. powerfut)

This is not the case for at the top of his voice which is practically limited to modifying the verbs of sayimg. Moreover the obligatory coreference link of his leads to a representation where this adverb is not analyzed. Thus two semantically similar types of aciverbs have to be represented quite differently in the lexicon-grammar. All the situations just exemplified with adverbs are quite common, and are also encountered with nouns, adjectives and verbs. The paradox of representation they lead to can only be solved by introducing a complex level of semantic equivatente for the entries of the lexicon-grammar.

## 2. Compound nouns

Compound nouns torm the bulk of the lexicon of languages. Language creativity is largely associated with the growth of technical vocabularies which consist mainly of technical nouns. Compound nouns number in the millions for European Jamguages. They are usually built from the vociabulary of simple words by means of grammatical rutes which may involve grammatical words. By definition, their meaning is noncompositional. The compound nouns can be described in terms of the sequence of their grammatical categories, in the same way as for adverbs (M. Gross, D. Tremblay 1985). We have for example:

```
Det N =: the moon
Adj N =: crude oil, real estate
N of N =: stroke of tuck,
    board of (governors, regents)
Det }N\mathrm{ of Det }N=\mathrm{ : the talk of the town
N N =: test tube, color TV
```

Such nouns can become quite complex in various technical fields.
In general, compound nouns allow variations of determiners and moditiers, but many situations are encountered:

- the moon is a frozen combination, -- definite article-noun -- which behaves like a proper name, because of its unicity of relerence. It cannot be modified by adjectives without losing its reterence: kthe (big. yellow) man;
crude oil takes restricted determiners. Since it is a mass noum, there are difticulties in accepting its plural. It can be modified by adjectives and nouns as in (cheap, high quality) crude oil, but these cannot modify oil: *crude, (cheap, high quality) oil;
- stroke of luck has unrestricted determiners and moditiers, but no imsertion is allowed immediately before or next to of, in particular luck cannot be modified: *stroke of good luck ${ }^{\text {B }}$;

[^2]- board of governors can be modified in several ways: board and governors take separate determiners and modifiers: the powerful boards of the twelve governors of my bank. Such a compound noun comes close to being a free form. It is the limited number of second noums such as director, governor or regent that suggests we are dealing with a compound nown. Also, the meaning of these phrases is noncompositional in the sense that they have a legal or institutional meaning that their components do not have clearly.

The variations of form we have enumerated can be parily handled by attaching a finite automaton to a given entry, and this automaton will describe the main grammatical changes allowed The adjunction of fres reliative clauses to compound nouns may require different treatment.

The kinds of variation of compound nouns are so numerous that determinimy whetfler a given nominal construction is a compound noun or not almost requires a original demonstration. Thus, automatizing the construction of a lexicon is an activity that will present severo limitations.

Deterriming the support verbs for compound nouns does not seam io ralse other problems inan those encountered with simple nouns.

## REMAFTK

Compound mouns raise obher questions in some languages:
in German, where no thanks occur between componems, segmentation is a problearin:

- in Frenct) (G. Gross 1985), where the spelling of the plurat is in general not standardized, extra variations have to be expected.


## Compound motifiers

Adfectives, houn complements and relative clauses can be complex and yot apply to free nouns. From the point of view dovelopped here, that is, the representation in terms of sequences of grammatical categories allowing for efficient matching procedures with texts, thay do not differ from adverbs and nouns.

## Examples are:

> The tahle is as clean as a new pin
> The book is up to date
> Bob is the world's (best, worst) teacher
> They discussed it, on a take it or teave it basis
3. Compound verbs

Compound verbs or Hozen sentences as we have termed them (M. Grass 1982), can be clescribed as sequences of categories. We write $N_{i}$ for variable noun phrases and $C_{i}$ for frozen noun phrases. For subjects: $i=0$, for complements: $i=1,2$. Examples are:
(1) $N_{0} \vee C_{1} \quad=:$ Bob hit the jackpot
(2) $N_{0} \vee N_{1}$ Prep $C_{2}=$ Bob took your project imto account
(3) $N_{0} \vee C_{1}$ Prep $C_{2}=$ Bob took the bull by the horns (4) $N N_{0} \vee C_{1}=$ Bob's dream came true

We outlined in 1 the description of a lexicon-grammar of French verbs and the reasons why compound verbs had to be separated from simple ones.

Systematic search through dictionaries (monolingual, bilingual, and sfecialized) has yielded close to 20,000 compound verbs belonging to the same level of language as the 12,000 simple verbs. A syntactic classification has been built for them (Figure 3).

Compound verbs are the most complex forms that have to be entered into a lexicons. The compounds discussed previousiy were simple
9. There are however a limited number of frozen discourses such as:

11 was for all the world as it $S$
which need an extra level of complexity (L. Danlos 1985).
because by and farge they wore fopologically cormen, that is, either thair parts could not be separated by any extraneous finguistic material or else the inserted material could be otasily sescribed (i.e. by mearis of $\{$ finite automaton).

| Tables | Seructures | Exemples | ETeccils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \vee \mathrm{Cl}_{1}$ | Il a lougé le coche | 2.400 |
| CAN | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \vee(\mathrm{C}$ à de N$)$ ) | Cela a delic la langue de Max (lai) | 500 |
| CION | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~V}$ (C dut N$)$ | Il bat le atppel di ses atus, | 500 |
| CP. | $\mathrm{N}_{0} V \operatorname{Prép} C_{1}$ | Il charie dans les begoniat | 1300 |
| CPN | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{V}$ Prep $(1 \mathrm{C} \text { de } \mathrm{N})_{1}$ | Il abonde dans le sents de Max | 250 |
| CIPN | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \vee \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{Pref}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 11 a déchargé sa bile sur Max | 1750 |
| $\mathrm{CNP}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \vee \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Prep}^{\text {cep }}$ | Ils ont passed Max par les armes | 1350 |
| C1P2 | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \vee \mathrm{C}_{1}$ lrep $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | Il net de leau dans son vin | 800 |
| C5 | Quc P V Prép Ei | Que Max reste milite en sa faveur | 150 |
| C6 | NaV Qu I' Prep C 2 | 11 a pris du bon coté que Max reste | 300 |
| C7 | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ a ce Qu ! | Il a dit non a ce que Max reste | 150 |
| C8 | $N_{0} V \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~d}$ e ce Qup | Il se mord les doigts de cequil est reste | 100 |
| CADV | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~V}$ Adv | Cela me pisse pas loin | 200 |
| CX | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~V} \times$ | il est parii sans taisser d'adresse | 300 |
| CO | $\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~V}$ W | La moutarde monte ant hez de Max | 1300 |
| A1 | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ avoir $C_{1}$ | Il a eu le mot de la fiul | 150 |
| A1PN | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ avoir $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ Prep $N_{2}$ | 11 a barre sur Max | 100 |
| ANP2 | $\mathrm{N}_{6}$ avair $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{Prep} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 11 a Max en hotment | 100 |
| A12 | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ avoir $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ Adjı | Il a la vue basse | 100 |
| A112 | $N_{n}$ avoir $C_{1}$ Prep $C_{2}$ | Il a mal aux cheveux | 250 |
| EO1 | $C_{0}$ de $N$ otre Adj | I a babe de Max est Mleurie | 350 |
| IOPL | $C_{0}$ etre Prep $C_{1}$ | Les rieus somt du cote de Max | 200 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 12800 |

> Frozen Verbs
> (M. Gross 1982)
> Tableall 3

In the case of compound verbs, the various parts of each utterance remain syrutactically indeperndent. Thus, the verbs of (1)-(4) can take any tensed form, as in:

At that time, Bob will be hiting the jackpot
Semtential inserts can separate a verb from its complements:

Boh hit. it seems to me, the jackpot
In example (2), the direct complement $N_{1}$ is liee and general, hence, sententiad structures can separate the verb from its second (frozen) complement:

Bob took the fact that Jo was absent yeaterday into account

Natice that parts of compound verbs may be recognized directly, for example the jackpot, or into accoum, but these parts may be arobiguous, whereas the full utterances can rarely be confused with free forms ${ }^{10}$.

[^3]A. Some canclusians

How to organize the lexicon of compound utterances is an oper questior. From a computational point of view, many solutions are avaliable for the lookup of a compound term:
(i) In classical atgorithms ift which left-to-right analysis is essentid, the compound term could bo viowed as an extension of the first major etement mot while soanring the senterice. for example, the adjective long is the first such element of the compound adverb in the long run. Among many othor possibilitios, the program, peasing on the word long would fest the occurrence of the and if to the jeft of long, and the oscurrence of run to the rigjit. Notice that the left-to-right constraine has to be somewhat refaxed in order to tost both left and right contexts of long.
(ii) In a futuristig view of parsing involving paraltel compuing, one might envision several levels of loxicon. At the first tevel long on the one band and run. or the other, would to two sets of constructions whose intersoction would contain the compound in the fory rim; the latter can then the searched for in the input text. for compound veros ono wouk have to synthesize a matching utterance, raboer than simply koking it up. Such a procedure carn abays bo simulated sequeratially.

Iti all cases, the representation of utterances which wo have used, namely the sequencos of syntactic categorios, allows tor the separation of the texicom of compound forms into classes for which direct access cair be provided. In this way, dictionary loolop can be sped up $1 i$

REMANK

In favor of left-ionight andysis one could point to the lact that complex terms can often be abbreviated and that abbreviations aro mostly right funcotions. In such situations the remaining part (the leflmost part) of the truncated term must carry the inforftation that deseribes the right context in order to allow reconstruction of the reduced part. There are however examples where abbreviations are carried out oft the ell part of a term. leg. a programming language $\Rightarrow$ a larguage).

Prolimitary figures have shown that compound terms form the essential part of a lexicon-grammar. It is atso interesting to observe that they force both the linguist and the computer specialist to aciopt a mosif more abstrad view of language:

- semártically, ty definition, compound utterances cannot be decomposed into smiple utterances; in other terms, meaning is not compositional for compounds. Herace, in a certain sense, one has to recognize that meaning has not much to do with words
- syntactically, it mas become a rather general habit to attach properties to individual words. In the case of compounds this mode of representation is no longer possible: Why privilege one part of a compound with marks rather than some other part? For example, there is no reason to attach the Passive marking to the verb rather than to either of the complements of the utterance to put the cart before the horse. Lextcon-yrammar representations eliminate such questions by delocalizing the syntactic information and by attaching it to the full sentence. In this sense, compound expressions provide a powertul motivation for representing lexical and syntactic phenomena in the form of a lexicorn-grammar.

[^4]
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[^0]:    5. Note that words or roots are often considered as units in most altempts to devise semantic representations.
[^1]:    G. PROLOG rules are particularly well adapted to recognizing such frozon forms (P. Sabatier 1980).
    7. There are nonetheless restrictions on them:
    *ior a heaventy sahe

[^2]:    8. Strake of bad huck would be a different compound word, whose retation to stroke of luck is only etymological.
[^3]:    10. As a matter of fact, when an utterance is found to be ambiguous, wilh one analysis as a frozen form and the other as a free form, igworing competing free forms altogether is a good parsing strategy.
[^4]:    11. The same use of sequences of syntactic categories is found in a string jrammar (Z.S. Harris 1O61), which has proven to be quite efficient in syntactic recognition (N. Sager 1981, M. Salkoff 1973, 1979).
