



HAL
open science

Effectiveness and chemical pest control of Bt-cotton in the Yangtze River Valley, China

Naiyin Xu, Michel Fok, Lixin Bai, Zhiguo Zhou

► **To cite this version:**

Naiyin Xu, Michel Fok, Lixin Bai, Zhiguo Zhou. Effectiveness and chemical pest control of Bt-cotton in the Yangtze River Valley, China. *Crop Protection*, 2008, 27, pp.1269- 1276. halshs-00324126

HAL Id: halshs-00324126

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00324126>

Submitted on 24 Sep 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Effectiveness and chemical pest control of Bt-cotton**
2 **in the Yangtze River Valley, China**
3

41. **Introduction**

5 In China, genetically modified cotton (GMC) was first marketed in 1997, with varieties
6 integrating Bt genes (Bt-cotton) to control some cotton pests, notably *Helicoverpa armigera*.
7 By 2005, it was estimated that Bt-cotton is grown on about 60% of the total Chinese cotton-
8 growing area (ISAAA, 2005), and close to 100% in areas with potentially major bollworm
9 infestations (namely in the Yellow River Valley and Yangtze River Valley).
10 This acceptance of Bt-cotton could be explained by its cost-effectiveness due to a reduction in
11 pesticide use (Huang et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2004; Pray et al., 2002). Three kinds of Bt-
12 cotton varieties have been grown: varieties integrating the Monsanto Bt Cry 1Ac gene,
13 varieties with the Chinese Bt gene¹ (Guo and Cui, 2004), and more recently varieties
14 combining the Chinese Bt gene with the protease inhibitor CpTi gene (Cowpea Trypsin
15 inhibitor). Most varieties currently grown in China have only the Chinese Bt-gene although
16 there was a debate on the illegal use of Monsanto Bt-gene in Chinese varieties(Pray et al.,
17 2006; Zou, 2003). The market share of the Monsanto gene varieties has dramatically fallen in
18 recent years (10% in 2005 and presumably less since then). The relative share of GM varieties
19 combining two genes has not yet been estimated.
20 The Chinese experience is a success story which has nevertheless recently been questioned, at
21 least with respect to the Yellow River Valley, through papers accessible to the international
22 community (CRICAAS, 2006; Lang, 2006), but documented much earlier in China (Yang and

¹ This gene was constructed in 1992 by the team of Prof. Guo Sandui of the Biotechnology Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. Various papers from this team mention this as being a Cry 1A gene, outcome of a gene synthesis. The first cotton varieties integrating this gene were experimented in 1995.

23 Guo, 2002). It is reported that the level of pest resistance is considered to be insufficient, the
24 reduction in chemical control of bollworms seems to be counter-balanced by an increase in
25 insecticides being applied to control other pests and farmers are also complaining about the
26 substantial increase in Bt-cotton seed prices. This worrisome decline in the profitability of
27 cotton growing likely underlies the Chinese Government's decision to implement a seed-
28 subsidy program in 2007 (Liu, 2006; Wang and Lou, 2007; Yang, 2007), hence resuming
29 subsidies, which had been discontinued in 1999, at the time when China was preparing its
30 enter the WTO.

31 Factors underlying the effectiveness of Bt-cotton in China have not been analysed in detail.
32 Are the varieties in the market really GMs? Is the gene expression correct? Is chemical control
33 adapted for these varieties? Our paper is a contribution towards answering these questions.
34 It is not easy to directly address these issues with up to 300 varieties being marketed, in 2005
35 (Lu et al., 2006), and very time-consuming to conduct a proper survey of farmers' cultivation
36 practices, notably in the area of pest control, and to identify the varieties they use. Some
37 authors have even underlined the difficulties encountered in identifying farmers' pest control
38 practices through surveys in China (Pemsl et al., 2005a). The present study provides a more
39 indirect methodology based on the results of a network of varietal experiments conducted in
40 the Yangtze River Valley which have provided informative data on the issues addressed.

412. **Materials and Methods**

42 *The varietal experiment network*

43 The Yangtze River Valley varietal experiment network (YRVEN) dates back to 1950 and
44 spans eight provinces, accounting for 35% of the cotton production in China in 2005. It
45 currently assesses and recommends varieties adapted to local conditions through trials
46 conducted at 22 locations (Table 1). The aim is to have varieties recommended for all or at
47 least for several provinces of the network . A database was recently set up to help record and
48 process results of more than 50 years of research. Results of data for the 2000-2006 period are

49 considered in the present paper.

50

51 (Table 1: General information on the network trial locations)

52

53 The varieties in each trial are proposed by the institutions which have bred them. These

54 generally have already been registered at local level and have been cultivated to some extent

55 by farmers. So, the varietal performances in the network trials are quite indicative of what

56 farmers can actually expect in the field. One variety served as a check in each trial. This was a

57 non-GM variety until 2005, but it was replaced by a GM variety (XiangZa 8) thereafter. Seeds

58 for all varieties tested were provided by the variety owners in quantities sufficient for two

59 series of trials in case they had to be tested in a second year.

60 *The experiment implementation*

61 In all Chinese provinces, there are local research institutes at district and county levels which

62 are mainly involved in implementing adaptive research, such as cultivation practices, crop

63 protection, and plant breeding. The staff of these institutes are also responsible for

64 implementing the YRVEN varietal trials, which were designed to identify varieties adapted to

65 local conditions. Network collaborators were asked to apply local cultivation techniques.

66 Decisions to apply chemical pest control were only made if required, according to the average

67 pressure observed throughout the trial. With mostly Btcotton, the pest pressure observed was

68 illustrative of the average Bt-trait effectiveness. Once decided, chemical sprays were applied

69 on all varieties, regardless to their individual pest resistance status. Hence, chemical control

70 was directed more to GM varieties and potentially less suitable for non-GM varieties.

71 In addition to the number and dates of sprays, additional information was required from 2004

72 to determine the pests targeted at each spray, the particular active ingredient targeted at a

73 specific pest and when it was applied. The number of chemical applied is higher than the

74 number of sprays, as a mixture can be applied in one spray to control several pests. More

75 importantly, it has become possible to identify the date of the first bollworm control treatment.

76 *Monitoring the GM status and bioassays*

77 The GM status of the varieties has been monitored since 2003 by the Biotechnology Research
78 Institute, Beijing, owner of the Chinese Bt gene (Xia and Guo, 2004). Until 2007, this Institute
79 was the only organization authorized to assess the GM status of cotton varieties through
80 ELISA tests. Varieties to be assessed are sown in 30 m² plots in May every year and ELISA
81 tests are performed at the end of June on top leaves from plants at around 45 day post-
82 emergence. The Bt-protein content is determined on the basis of absorbance values (in ng/g).
83 The results of many experiments, indicate that a protein content of 450ng/g can be regarded as
84 a threshold for good potential pest resistance.

85 Bioassays on the same varieties only began in 2004 at the Crop Protection Institute, Jiangsu
86 Academy of Agricultural Science. The indoor and outdoor trials involved assays of cotton
87 leaves picked from cotton plants grown in 20 m² plots per variety, which were generally sown
88 around April 25. In addition to the varieties included in YRVEN, a pest-sensitive variety was
89 sown to serve as a check in the bioassays.

90 Leaves were picked near the top of the cotton plants at the 4-5 true leaf seedling stage for the
91 laboratory bioassays, in which six one-day old neonates are placed on two cotton leaves in
92 Petri dishes. Five dishes were used per variety. The number of dead and alive bollworm
93 larvae, and the extent of leaf damage were recorded after 3 and 5 days. All of these data,
94 notably the mortality at days 3 and 5 for all varieties, were compared with the results obtained
95 with the non-Bt check variety, according to the formula:

96
$$\text{Adjusted mortality} = (\text{treatment mortality} - \text{check mortality}) / (100 - \text{check mortality}) \times 100$$

97 In the outdoor bioassays, nylon nets were placed over plots and 40 pairs of bollworm moths
98 were introduced when the cotton plants had reached the squaring stage. The total number of
99 squares and bolls attacked, the extent of their damage, and the number of living larvae were
100 recorded 10 and 14 days later. The number of larvae surviving was compared with the check.

101 The larvae and moths needed for the bioassays were reared by the Crop Protection Institute at
102 a constant room temperature of 26°C with 16 h of sunlight, from an initial collection of larvae
103 from the field.. After about 30 generations, this population was considered quite sensitive to
104 Bt toxin, although a few individuals had to be introduced into this population in recent years to
105 preserve its vigour.

106 The names of varieties were coded by the YRVEN head agent, who was the only person who
107 could match the codes and variety names after the analyses or bioassays had been
108 implemented. Two non-GM varieties were integrated in the Bt-protein analysis and
109 bioassays.

1103. **Results**

111 *Features of the tested varieties*

112 The number of varieties submitted every year to the network for regional recommendation has
113 risen to as high as 31 varieties (Table 2). These varieties no longer originate from public
114 research institutions alone, as private companies are playing an increasing role. The principally
115 GM varieties are also almost exclusively hybrids as these have stronger vigour, permit lower
116 plant density and hence reduce labour requirement for the widely adopted technique of
117 transplantation (Fok and Xu, 2007).

118
119 (Table 2: Basic information on the varieties tested in YRVEN)
120

121 *Great variation and fluctuation in Bt-toxin production*

122 The protein tests were effective for certifying the GM status of the varieties tested in YRVEN.
123 No Bt-proteins were detected in the two non-GM varieties. The range of Bt-protein production
124 in GM varieties (Figure 1) showed that except three varieties, the protein production was
125 below 800ng/g. For most varieties, the protein production was above the 450ng/g threshold,
126 thus suggesting a high pest resistance potential according to the norm retained in China. There
127 were about 24 cases of production below this threshold (although there were 13 cases of

128 protein production between 400 and 450ng/g), i.e. about 33 % of all tests carried out.

129

130 Figure 1: Distribution of varieties according to their Bt-protein production

131

132 Bt-gene expression is not only an issue between genetic background, as expression has

133 fluctuated considerably for the same genetic backgrounds between years. Indeed, 10 varieties

134 were tested in two subsequent years and their Bt-gene expression was evaluated. In spite of

135 similar seeds from one year to another, Bt-protein production fluctuated substantially for more

136 than 50% of the varieties which were tested in duplicate, revealing a quite substantial protein

137 production gap of more than 200ng/g (about half of the threshold of 450ng/g for resistance

138 effectiveness). We also processed data from the larger Yellow River Valley network in which

139 the varieties tested were also mainly Bt ones but quite different to those submitted to the

140 Yangtze River Valley network. The same protein production fluctuations were observed

141 (Table 3).

142

143 (Table 3: Fluctuations in Bt-protein production for the same varieties)

144

145 *Pest resistance confirmed but not perfect*

146 Bioassay results were obtained for 56 varieties during the 2004-2006 period, and 10 of these

147 were tested for two subsequent years, revealing some fluctuation in Bt-protein production, as

148 mentioned above.

149 In the indoor bioassays, the observed larval mortality after 3 days was not sufficiently

150 indicative of the mortality induced by Bt-toxin, which would require at least 5 days of

151 monitoring. Varieties classified as pest resistant were resistant, especially at the very young

152 cotton plant stage (4-5 real leaf stage). This was clearly indicated by the mortality observed in

153 the indoor trials 5 days after the larvae were placed on cotton leaves: larval mortality was less

154 than 60 - 80% only in 5% and 16% of the varieties tested respectively (Table 4).

155 The reduction in larval survival noted in the outdoor bioassays (implemented in Nanjing) was

156 not correlated with the Bt-protein production measured (in Beijing) but the outdoor bioassay
157 results were quite consistent with the indoor results, although the correlation between these
158 results was not perfect (coefficient of determination of 33%). There was no reduction in larval
159 survival in the field for around 10% of the GM varieties tested. For 10-20% of the other
160 remaining varieties, the reduction was low (less than 60%). These figures are indicative of
161 some pest-resistance effectiveness whose level is already considered to be below expectation
162 in the Yangtze River Valley.

163 (Table 4: Bt-protein production and bioassay results)
164

165 ***Relatively frequent chemical control of cotton pests***

166 The number of chemical controls and sprays² varied substantially between provinces and
167 years. It cannot be considered that chemical control requirements diminished during the 2004-
168 2006 period in any of the provinces considered while no changes were introduced in the
169 spraying practices. Averaged across the 8 provinces, 14.5 insecticide treatments were applied
170 in 8.2 sprays in 2006 (Table 5). Less chemical control was noted in three provinces, two of
171 which (Anhui and Jiangsu) are partially connected to the Yellow River Valley where farmers
172 reduced their chemical sprays to a greater extent after the advent of GM cotton (Pray et al.,
173 2002).

174
175 (Table 5: Variation of the numbers of chemical controls and sprays in provinces)
176

177 Cotton plants still require up to five sprays against bollworms but with variation between
178 provinces and years (Table 6). In addition to the well known *H. armigera* and *P. gossypiella*,
179 the Asian Corn Borer (*Ostrinia furnacalis Guenee*) is another Lepidopteran pest, which is

² Each control is based on a particular active ingredient targeted at a specific pest at a specific time, so the number of chemical controls is higher than the number of sprays, as one spray can combine several active ingredients to control several pests.

180 reported to be a pest of increasing threat in the Yellow River Valley (He et al., 2006) where
181 maize and cotton crops are grown.

182 More than two sprays are currently needed to control leaf-eating pests, but this category
183 includes *Spodoptera litura* that the single gene Cry 1Ac has never controlled. It is reported that
184 this pest no longer feeds only on leaves but attacks also squares and bolls, and whose chronic
185 infestation is now regarded as a worrisome threat to cotton production (Guo et al., 2003; Li,
186 2004; Li et al., 2004; Qin et al., 2000; Russell and Deguine, 2006).

187 At all locations, sucking pests require more insecticide sprays than bollworms, as it was
188 pointed out (Lang, 2006; Yang and Guo, 2002) and noted in two surveys carried out in Hebei
189 and Jiangsu, respectively located in the Yellow and Yangtze River Valleys (Fok and Xu, 2007;
190 Fok et al., 2005).

191 (Table 6: Chemical control patterns according to pest types)

192

1934. Discussion

194 *Reality and rationale of the wide diffusion of hybrid varieties*

195 Since the year 2000, Bt and hybrid cultivars have dramatically increased their share among the
196 varieties tested in the Yangtze regional network (Table 2). Bt-varieties increased from 30% in
197 2000 to 94% in 2006, while hybrid cultivars represented 100% of all the varieties tested in
198 2006. The dominance of hybrid varieties agrees with the full coverage of cotton area with
199 these varieties in many provinces of the Yangtze River Valley (Xu and Fok, 2008).

200 The hybrid cultivars achieve a higher yield resulting from more numerous and heavier bolls
201 compared to non-hybrid cultivars (Table 7). Hybrid and non-hybrid cultivars showed the same
202 average value in terms of Bt-protein production during the 2003-2006 period, suggesting that
203 the Bt gene used in creating hybrids is completely dominant.

204 Nevertheless Bt-cultivars did not show superiority for any of the criteria considered in the
205 Yangtze River Valley, consistent with previous observations which have pointed out the low
206 specific advantage of pest resistance by Bt-gene in the Yangtze River Valley (Xu et al., 2004).

207 The superiority of varieties which successfully pass through the regional testing, appears to be
208 due mainly to improved lint quality criteria (lint length and "spinning quality"), as well as a
209 slightly higher average yield (Table 7). However, this result is biased as non-hybrid cultivars
210 contribute to the lower mean yield of the group of non-approved varieties. The less influence
211 of yield criteria is illustrative of a situation where quite high yields have been obtained for
212 many years.

213
214 (Table 7: Hybrid and GM effects on various recommendation criteria)
215

216 *Efficacy threshold of Bt-toxin*

217 In China, Bt-varieties are considered effective in pest resistance when the Bt-toxin production
218 exceeds 450ng/g, as in 67% of the varieties tested during the 2003-06 period. The Bt-toxin
219 production seldom exceeds 800ng/g. Since some authors have proposed the efficacy threshold
220 of 1900ng/g of Cry 1Ac toxin, on the basis of research undertaken in India (Kranthi et al.,
221 2005), could the low toxin production level being observed in the Yangtze River Valley
222 network impact negatively on the pest resistance?

223 The low level of Bt-toxin production (relatively to what is reported in other countries for Cry
224 1Ac) is not specific to the Yangtze River Valley network. The levels we have reported are
225 quite consistent with what has been found in previous studies. The maximum values were less
226 than 600ng/g (Xia and Guo, 2004) and all values were below 600ng/g (except one value at
227 900ng/g) in another study (Xia et al., 2005). When the Bt-toxin production is reported from
228 both the Monsanto and Chinese Bt-gene, the data is similar with at most 700ng/g (Wan et al.,
229 2005), by using the ELISA kit provided by the American firm Agdia (Elkhart, IN). When the
230 Bt-toxin production is controlled at the farmers' level³, from cotton plants from seeds of Bt-
231 varieties they have held back from previous season or they have bought, Bt-toxin

³ Analysis was made by the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences in Beijing.

232 concentrations seldom exceed 1000ng/g and 80% were below 800ng/g (Pemsl et al., 2005a).
233 With reference to bioassays results, mortality ratios were quite substantial whatever the levels
234 of the Bt-toxin production, this implies that the threshold retained in China does not seem to be
235 too low. Nevertheless, the Bt-toxin production measured (in Beijing) is badly correlated to the
236 results of bioassays (implemented in Nanjing); this observation implies that the figure of Bt-
237 gene expression in one place is not a sufficiently good indicator of the pest-resistance efficacy
238 in real conditions. This is consistent with the multitude of factors which impact on this efficacy
239 (cf. infra).

240 The content of Cry1Ac toxin is reported to reach 6000ng/g in India and Australia. In India,
241 depending on the plant parts and the period of analysis, the content has fluctuated from 50 to
242 5510ng/g (Kranthi et al., 2005). In Australia, a very wide range of Cry 1Ac toxin content has
243 been obtained in various experiments to assess the effects of genetic background, agronomic
244 practices and water or fertility stresses. The toxin concentration ranged from 270 to 6010ng/g,
245 but most figures, even for varieties integrating the single Cry 1Ac gene, ranged from 1000 to
246 2500ng/g (Rochester, 2006).

247 The maximum values of Bt-toxin production measured in China are far much lower than in
248 India and Australia, and their range is also smaller consequently. These lower values cannot be
249 regarded as a factor of lower efficacy against the target pests when the results of bioassays are
250 considered. Thus, the suggestion of an efficacy threshold of 1900 ng/g reported from India
251 (Kranthi et al., 2005) cannot be applied to China.

252 *Fluctuating expression of Bt-gene*

253 During our research work, we observed that the expression of the Bt-gene in terms of
254 production of Bt-toxin fluctuated a lot between varieties (Figure 1). This is consistent with the
255 observation that genetic background is a major factor of fluctuation in Australia (Rochester,
256 2006). So far, in China, no systematic study has clarified the influence of genetic background

257 on Bt expression.

258 Our results also demonstrate that Bt expression can fluctuate between years for a given variety
259 (Table 3) with seeds of similar source and with the Bt-toxin concentration measured by the
260 same laboratory. This result confirms that the expression of the Bt-gene, Cry 1A in our case, is
261 sensitive to climatic factors as well as to agronomic factors (Rochester, 2006). This means that
262 the Bt-protein production measured at one location one year cannot accurately reflect pest
263 resistance ability everywhere and at all times.

264 *Factors of lower pest resistance efficacy in the Yangtze River Valley*

265 Our data is essentially related to the Yangtze River Valley, so does not allow comparison and
266 thus confirmation that pest resistance is less than in the Yellow River Valley. However
267 efficacy, although satisfactory, is far from perfect (Table 4) and raises the question whether
268 pest resistance is sub-optimal in the Yangtze area.

269 One possible factor is the origin of the Bt-gene in the varieties used in the Yangtze River
270 Valley. Based on studies (He et al., 2006; Wan et al., 2005), one can argue that the Monsanto
271 Cry 1Ac is superior, and yet it is represented in only 4.7% of the total cotton area in Jiangsu
272 province of the Yangtze River Valley in 2003, as opposed to 76.9% in Hebei Province of the
273 Yellow River Valley (Xu and Fok, 2008). This indicates that the Monsanto varieties did not
274 compete well against the Chinese varieties, subsequently making the Monsanto Bt-gene less
275 attractive for integration into new varieties, even illegally⁴ as it was observed in 2001 and

⁴ It is quite possible that Monsanto Bt-gene has been illegally used in creating new varieties in the Yellow River Valley, but it is doubtful that this practice has persisted after the application of the Biosecurity Act and of the Plant Variety Protection Act (whose decree of application modalities were issued in 1999). After this implementation, breeders have to contract with the Biocentury Transgene Ltd to use the Chinese Bt-gene carried out by Prof. Guo Sandui's team, the only Bt-gene they can use. To formally register a new Bt-cotton variety, the breeder must provide a transgenic biosecurity certificate for which the Bt gene is identified through

276 reported in the Yellow River Valley (Pray et al., 2006). The argument of the superiority of the
277 Monsanto Bt-gene is nevertheless flawed, at least in the Yangtze River Valley where the
278 Monsanto varieties did not perform better than the Chinese varieties. Even in the Yellow River
279 Valley, the superiority reported is debatable. Only very slight superiority has been observed for
280 the Monsanto Cry 1Ac gene, in the control of the third generation of the Asian Corn Borer (He
281 et al., 2006), late in the cotton crop cycle when complementary chemical control is needed. In
282 other research, the expression of the Chinese Bt-gene was more variable along the cotton plant
283 cycle and plant parts (Wan et al., 2005), but there is no difference when considering the case of
284 top leaves till the early boll setting stage, organs and periods which are more crucial for the
285 pest-resistance efficacy.

286 In China, the unique factor considered is the climate as high temperatures have reduced Bt-
287 gene expression in experimental conditions (Rui et al., 2002; Xia and Guo, 2004). In reality,
288 high temperatures might limit the Bt-gene expression but they can hardly explain the efficacy
289 differential observed between the Yellow and the Yangtze River Valleys as temperatures are
290 equally high during the cotton growing months.

291 Explaining factors have mainly to do with the specificities of the cotton growing techniques in
292 the Yangtze River Valley. One of these factors is the widespread if not exclusive practice of
293 transplantation (Fok and Xu, 2007). This technique is quite specific to China and notably to the
294 Yangtze River Valley. Cotton seeds are sown in nursery and plantlets are transplanted when
295 they reach the five-true leaf stage. As yet, no research work in China has checked the
296 influence of transplanting on the production of Bt-toxins, although plants are stressed and
297 this could reduce the Bt-toxin production (Rochester, 2006).

DNA sequencing. Till 2007, the Bt-trait has been tested in Beijing by the research lab run by Prof. Guo Sandui, the owner of the Chinese gene.

298 The other specific factor in the Yangtze River Valley is the almost exclusive use of hybrid
299 cultivars. Debates are ongoing as to whether the hybrid form of the varieties could induce a
300 reduction in Bt-cotton effectiveness, with the argument put forward that the genetic resistance
301 is derived from just one parent (Kranthi et al., 2005). This is nevertheless not consistent with
302 the fact that Bt-genes are single dominant genes, so no reduction in resistance effectiveness has
303 been observed in hybrid varieties (Xiao et al., 2001). The dominance might not be complete
304 but this is not the case with the varieties considered, as we have noticed earlier.

305 The negative impacts on Bt-toxin production from using hybrid cultivars could be indirect
306 ones. The plant vigour of hybrid cultivar has led to reduce plant densities in the Yangtze River
307 Valley; this is the feature which has made hybrid cultivars so much attractive to farmers who
308 implement transplantation because labour requirement is proportionally reduced. It is observed
309 in Australia that the Bt-toxin production is reduced with low densities (Rochester, 2006). If
310 this is true also in China, then the Valley efficacy differential is partly explained.

311 Another indirect negative factor linked to the use of hybrid cultivars is related to the lack of
312 sufficient purity of hybrid cultivar seed, which is probably due to the constraints on manual
313 hybrid seed production. Workers inevitably miss some flower buds when they implement the
314 stamen elimination so some flowers are not hybridized and the seeds they produce are non-Bt
315 cotton mixed with the hybrid seeds when the seed multiplication plots are harvested. The risk
316 of collecting non-hybridized seeds is increased by rain which prevents access to the fields
317 every day. Flowers which have been self-pollinated during the rainy days should be removed,,
318 but some of them can be missed too. Thus purity of the hybrid seeds is not perfect, notably at
319 commercial scale of their production. This shortfall might not be observed at the stage of
320 regional varietal test because the variety owners will have provided the purest seeds.

321 *Sub-optimal chemical control*

322 Since the pest resistance of Bt-cotton is not total, variable larval survival rates are noted in the

323 field, thus explaining why farmers might spray chemicals as a precaution. This precautionary
324 behaviour implies a still high level of chemical control which has been observed but it was
325 either related to the opportunistic behaviour of the extension staff who could make profit by
326 selling insecticides (Huang et al., 2002) or to the lack of proper technical assistance to cotton
327 growers (Pemsl et al., 2005a). Our results, suggest that the still high use of insecticides must
328 also be due in part to the suboptimal effectiveness of Bt-cotton.

329 In China, it is generally acknowledged that *H. armigera* could undergo 4 to 5 generations
330 during the cotton growing cycle and that GM cotton cannot control them all. Generally, the first
331 generation coincides with the cotton plant seedling stage; the next generation appears at the
332 cotton squaring stage; the third generation at cotton flowering; the fourth corresponds to the
333 cotton boll setting stage while the fifth generation is at the beginning of boll opening. Surely
334 GM cotton cannot control *H. armigera* up to the fourth generation at a period when Bt-gene
335 expression has seriously slowed down (Yang and Guo, 2002). In the Yangtze River Valley,
336 chemical control is generally recommended when high infestation levels are observed for the
337 third generation of *H. armigera*.

338 In practice, we observed that at all the network experimental sites the first chemical control of
339 *H.armigera* was always conducted before the *H. armigera* fourth generation. For the three year
340 period of 2004-2006, 50-60% of the first spray was applied against the second generation and
341 even earlier, particularly in 2005 when 37 of the sprays were directed at the first generation,
342 probably because that year was warmer with possibly lower Bt gene expression.

343 The continued frequent chemical control against *H. armigera* could be explained by the fact
344 that farmers still observe bollworms that have survived due to the insufficient genetic pest
345 resistance of the cotton crops. This nevertheless highlights that farms habitually spray
346 whenever bollworms are observed regardless of the infestation levels. Furthermore, so far no
347 information is disseminated to help farmers adjust chemical control to threshold levels

348 according to the bollworm populations.

3495. **Conclusion**

350 This study provides further insight into the effectiveness of GM cotton use in China since the
351 international community has become aware that its sustainability is under threat (Lang, 2006).

352 It addresses this issue of effectiveness in the Yangtze River Valley, one of the two main

353 regions where Bt-cotton is widely used in China. An indirect assessment approach was

354 adopted for this study through processing of data collected within the Yangtze River Valley

355 Varietal Experiment Network.

356 In this network, the status of all varieties classified as GM, with integration of the Bt-gene, was

357 actually confirmed. However, this does not guarantee that all seeds of the same varieties sold

358 to farmers were necessarily GM, i.e. there is sufficient information on the poor quality of

359 seeds, and even fake GM seeds, sometimes supplied to farmers (Liu, 2006; Zhang et al., 2006).

360 This situation prompted the launching of the quality seed subsidy policy in 2007.

361 It turned out that the expression of the Cry 1A gene substantially varied between genetic

362 backgrounds and between two subsequent years for a few of these varieties. The efficacy of

363 pest-resistance is confirmed but its level is not perfect, the mortalities of the *H. armigera*

364 larvae in indoor and furthermore in outdoor bioassays are not always sufficiently high. This is

365 consistent with the acknowledgement that the pest-resistance of Bt-cotton in the Yangtze River

366 Valley is not so good, notably with reference to the Yellow River Valley. We suggest that this

367 relatively lower efficacy could result from the transplantation technique which induces stresses

368 to the cotton plant growth and development. We believe also that it is an indirect effect of the

369 widespread use of hybrid varieties for which it is quite difficult to achieve pure enough hybrid

370 seeds containing the Bt-gene.

371 Globally speaking, the Bt-cotton varieties being used cannot totally control bollworms even

372 early in the growing season in the Yangtze River Valley. Surviving larvae will inevitably be

373 observed, thus prompting farmers (or professional agents in charge of supplying technical

374 assistance to farmers) to spray chemicals, regardless of the infestation level, i.e. the habit of
375 total eradication seems to still predominate in the area of pest control. Bollworms seem to be
376 sprayed more often than required and far earlier than necessary, i.e. against *H. armigera*.
377 Farmers nevertheless are not to blame. No information is disseminated to farmers to inform on
378 how to adapt chemical control according to infestation thresholds and to the bollworm
379 generation number. This shortfall is unfortunately very likely to prevail in developing
380 countries, hence justifying more attention to the institutional aspect in promoting GM varieties
381 (Pemsl et al., 2005b).

382 From an economic viewpoint, due to the insufficient and variable pest resistance level of the
383 Bt-cotton varieties released in the Yangtze River Valley, and partly to the lack of tailored
384 complementary chemical control, farmers are paying high prices for Bt-cotton hybrids seeds
385 which are not totally pest resistant while pest control costs are not reduced to the extent they
386 might expect. These two phenomena undermine the cost-effectiveness of cotton production.
387 An additional reason why chemical protection costs are not decreasing is that alternative pests
388 are becoming an increasing threat due to the pest complex shift.

389 Acknowledgement

390 The authors sincerely thank the two anonymous reviewers and Dr Maurice Vaissayre of
391 CIRAD for their valuable comments to the intermediary versions of this paper

392 References

393 CRICAAS. 2006. Outcome from a sino-american research on Bt-cotton in China: long term
394 effectiveness is not positive [Online]. Available by Chinese cotton Science and Technology
395 Website <http://www.cricaas.com.cn/research/sgene/050917.htm> (consulted on 10/10/2006.
396 2006)

397 Fok, A.C.M., and N. Xu. 2007. Technology integration and seed market organization: The case
398 of GM Cotton diffusion in Jiangsu Province (China). Life Sciences International Journal 1 (1):

- 399 59-72.
- 400 Fok, A.C.M., W. Liang, G. Wang, and Y. Wu. 2005. Diffusion du coton génétiquement
401 modifié en Chine : leçons sur les facteurs et limites d'un succès. *Economie Rurale* (285): 5-32.
- 402 Guo, J., L. Dong, and F. Wan. 2003. Influence of Bt transgenic cotton on larval survival of
403 common cutworm *Spodoptera litura*. *Chinese Journal of Biological control* 19 (4): 145-148.
- 404 Guo, S.D., and H.Z. Cui. 2004. Insecticidal genes and elements regulating their expression, p.
405 74-82, *In* S. Jia, ed. *Transgenic Cotton*. Science Press, Beijing (China).
- 406 He, K., Z. Wang, S. Bai, L. Zheng, Y. Wang, and H. Cui. 2006. Efficacy of transgenic Bt
407 cotton for resistance to the Asian corn borer (*Lepidoptera*: Crambidae). *Crop Protection* 25:
408 167-173.
- 409 Huang, J., C.E. Pray, and S. Rozelle. 2003. Bt cotton benefits, costs and impacts in China.
410 *AgBioForum* 5 (4): 153-166.
- 411 Huang, J., R. Hu, C.E. Pray, and S. Rozelle. 2004. Plant biotechnology in China: public
412 investments and impacts on farmers. In: *Proceedings of 4th International Crop Science*
413 *Congress, Brisbane, Australia. 26 Sept - 1 Oct. 2004.* 10p.
- 414 Huang, J., R. Hu, S. Rozelle, F. Qiao, and C.E. Pray. 2002. Transgenic varieties and
415 productivity of smallholder cotton farmers in China. *The Australian Journal of Agricultural*
416 *and Resource Economics* 46 (3): 367-387.
- 417 ISAAA. 2005. Bt cotton Highlights [Online]
418 <http://www.isaaa.org/kc/Global%20Status/crop/gmcotton/highlights> (consulted on
419 08/02/2006.)
- 420 Kranthi, K.R., S. Naidu, C.S. Dhawad, A. Tatwawadi, K. Mate, E. Patil, A.A. Bharose, G.T.
421 Behere, R.M. Wadaskar, and S. Kranthi. 2005. Temporal and intra-plant variability of Cry1Ac
422 expression in Bt-cotton and its influence on the survival of the cotton Bollworm, *Helicoverpa*
423 *armigera* (Hübner) (*Noctuidae*: *Lepidoptera*). *Current Science* 89 (2): 291-298.

- 424 Lang, S. 2006. Seven-Year glitch: Cornell warns that Chinese GM cotton farmers are losing
425 money due to secondary pests [Online]. Available by Cornell University Chronicleonline
426 <http://www.news.cornell.edu/stories/July06/Bt.cotton.China.ssl.html> (consulted on 01/08/2006.
427 2006)
- 428 Li, F. 2004. *Prodenia litura* (Fabricius): factors of its outbreak and how to control it (in
429 Chinese). *Anhui Agriculture* 7 (20).
- 430 Li, G., Y. Wang, S. Zhang, and J. Hua. 2004. IPM of *Prodenia litura* Fabr. - a serious worm in
431 Upland cotton (in Chinese). In: Chinese Cotton Scientific Study Association (ed.) Proceedings
432 of Chinese Cotton Research Conference, YiChang, Hubei. August 2005. Chinese Cotton
433 Publications, AnYang (Henan),. pp. 300-303
- 434 Liu, J. 2006. Situation and consolidation of the market of national pest resistant cotton seeds
435 (in Chinese). In: Chinese Cotton Scientific Study Association (Zhong Guo Mian Hua Xue Hui)
436 (ed.) Proceedings of Chinese Cotton Research Conference, Baoding, Hebei. August 2005.
437 Chinese Cotton Publications, AnYang (Henan),. pp. 390-392
- 438 Lu, S., X. Tian, and R. Zhang. 2006. Need to further address the issue of cotton quality (in
439 Chinese). In: Chinese Cotton Scientific Study Association (Zhong Guo Mian Hua Xue Hui)
440 (ed.) Proceedings of Chinese Cotton Research Conference, Baoding, Hebei. August 2005.
441 Chinese Cotton Publications AnYang (Henan),. pp. 56-58
- 442 Pemsal, D., H. Waibel, and A.P. Gutierrez. 2005a. Why do some Bt-cotton farmers in China
443 continue to use high levels of pesticides. *International Journal of Agricultural sustainability* 3
444 (1): 44-56.
- 445 Pemsal, D., H. Waibel, and A.P. Gutierrez 2005b. Institutional Constraints for the Success of
446 Agricultural Biotechnology in Developing Countries: The Case of Bt-Cotton in Shandong
447 Province, China [Online] <http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/zbwgdec05/3498.htm> (consulted
448 on 22/03. 2008)
- 449 Pray, C.E., J. Huang, R. Hu, and S. Rozelle. 2002. Five years of Bt cotton in China - the
450 benefits continue. *The Plant Journal* 31 (4): 423-430.

- 451 Pray, C.E., B. Ramaswami, J.k. Huang, R.f. Hu, P. Bengali, and H. Zhang. 2006. Costs and
452 enforcement of biosafety regulations in India and China. *Int. J. Technology and Globalisation*
453 2 (1/2): 137-157.
- 454 Qin, H., Z. Ye, S. Huang, and H. Li. 2000. Study on the impact of *Spodoptera litura* and its
455 control on cotton crop (in Chinese). *China Cotton* 27 (4): 24-25.
- 456 Rochester, I.J. 2006. Effect of Genotype, Edaphic, Environmental Conditions, and Agronomic
457 Practices on Cry1Ac Protein Expression in Transgenic Cotton. *The Journal of Cotton Science*
458 10: 252-262.
- 459 Rui, C.H., X.J. Fan, F.S. Dong, and S.D. Guo. 2002. Temporal and spatial dynamics of the
460 resistance of transgenic cotton cultivars to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hiibner) (in Chinese). *Acta*
461 *Entomologica Sinica* 45 (5): 567-570.
- 462 Russell, D., and J.P. Deguine. 2006. Durabilité de la culture des cotonniers transgéniques en
463 Chine et en Inde. *Cahiers d'agricultures* 15 (3): 54-59.
- 464 Wan, P., Y. Zhang, K. Wu, and M. Huang. 2005. Seasonal expression profiles of insecticidal
465 protein and control efficacy against *Helicoverpa armigera* for Bt cotton in the Yangtze River
466 Valley of China. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 95 (1): 195-201.
- 467 Wang, Z., and Y. Lou. 2007. Survey analysis of the seed subsidy policy in China (in Chinese).
468 In: Chinese Cotton Scientific Study Association (Zhong Guo Mian Hua Xue Hui) (ed.)
469 Proceedings of Chinese Cotton Research Conference, QingHai, Shandong. Chinese Cotton
470 Publications AnYang (Henan),. pp. 486-488
- 471 Xia, L.Q., and S.D. Guo. 2004. The Expression of Bt Toxin Gene Under Different Thermal
472 Treatment (in Chinese). *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* C37 (11): 1733-1737.
- 473 Xia, L.Q., Q.F. XU, and S.D. GUO. 2005. Bt Insecticidal Gene and Its Temporal Expression in
474 transgenic cotton plants (in Chinese, English abstract). *Acta Agronomic Sinica* 31 (2): 197-202.
- 475 Xiao, S., J. Liu, J. Di, N. Xu, X. Chen, and J. Huang. 2001. Inheritance of Insect Resistance of

- 476 Transgenic Bt Cotton to Cotton Bollworm (in Chinese). Cotton Science 13 (6): 351-356.
- 477 Xu, J., Z. You, W. Wang, and Y. Yang. 2004. Economic analysis of Bt cotton Planting in
478 Jiangsu (in Chinese). Journal of Yangzhou University (Agricultural and Life Science Edition)
479 25 (3): 65-69.
- 480 Xu, N.y., and A.C.M. Fok. 2008. State and market interaction: cotton variety and seed market
481 development in China. Presented to the ISSCRI International conference "Rationales and
482 evolutions of cotton policies", Montpellier, France. May 13-16, 2008.
- 483 Yang, P.Y., and R. Guo. 2002. Analysis of the response to the risk associated to Bt-cotton use
484 [Online] <http://202.127.42.169/jjzwlwj/zj1.htm> (consulted on 04.01. 2008)
- 485 Yang, Y. 2007. Analysis of the practical modalities in the implementation of the seed subsidy
486 policy in China (in Chinese). In: Chinese Cotton Scientific Study Association (Zhong Guo
487 Mian Hua Xue Hui) (ed.) Proceedings of Chinese Cotton Research Conference, QingHai,
488 Shandong. Chinese Cotton Publications AnYang (Henan),. pp. 483-485
- 489 Zhang, J., X. Guo, L. Chen, and X. Zhou. 2006. Lack of effectiveness of part of the Bt-cotton
490 varieties in Jinan District (Shandong): Factors and countermeasures (in Chinese). In: Chinese
491 Cotton Scientific Study Association (ed.) Proceedings of Chinese Cotton Research
492 Conference, Baoding, Hebei. August 2005. Chinese Cotton Publications, AnYang (Henan). pp.
493 347-348
- 494 Zou, K. 2003. Analysis of the current situation of pest-resistant cotton varieties in China.
495 China Cotton 30 (8): 2-4.
- 496

Table 6: Chemical control patterns according to pest types

Province & year		Average number of chemical controls by pest types			
		borers	leaf-eater	Picker-sucker	pest from soil
Anhui	2004	1.0		1.0	1.0
	2005	3.0		4.5	1.0
	2006	1.5		7.5	1.5
Henan	2004	3.0		7.0	2.0
	2005	2.0		7.0	1.0
	2006	1.0		7.0	2.0
Hubei	2004	3.2	1.0	5.0	1.0
	2005	5.4	1.3	7.2	1.0
	2006	4.4	1.0	8.0	1.3
Hunan	2004	6.3	2.0	5.7	1.0
	2005	4.7	2.0	3.7	1.0
	2006	4.5	2.5	5.0	1.0
Jiangsu	2004	3.0	2.0	4.3	1.0
	2005	3.7	1.0	4.3	1.0
	2006	2.7	1.7	5.3	1.0
Jiangxi	2004	4.0	1.0	5.0	
	2005	6.0	2.0	8.0	
	2006	8.0	3.0	11.0	
Sichuan	2004	9.0		9.0	1.0
	2005	5.0		5.0	
	2006	15.0		8.5	
Zhejiang	2004	6.0	2.0	9.0	1.0
	2005	11.0	1.0	5.0	1.0
	2006	4.0	3.5	5.0	2.0

Note: borers are composed of *Helicoverpa armigera*, *Ostrinia furnacalis* (Guenée) and *Pectinophora gossypiella*(Saunders), leaf-eaters are composed of *Sylepta derogate* Fabrilius and *Spodoptera litura* (Fabr.); picker-suckers are composed of *Aphis gossypii*, *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*, *Adelphocoris suturalis* (Jacovlev), *Lygus lucorum* (Meyer-Dur) and *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius); pests from soil are composed of *Agrotis ypsilon* and *Trachea tokionis*(Butler).

Table 5: Variation of the total numbers of chemical controls and sprays in provinces

	Number of chemical controls			Number of chemical sprays		
	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
anhui	3.0	8.5	10.5	3.0	7.5	6.5
henan	12.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.0
hubei	9.2	14.0	13.4	8.0	9.4	8.6
hunan	13.3	11.0	12.5	9.0	7.3	7.0
jiangsu	9.3	9.0	10.3	6.0	7.3	6.7
jiangxi	10.0	16.0	22.0	7.0	6.0	8.0
sichuan	18.5	10.0	23.5	8.5	7.5	11.5
zhejiang	18.0	17.0	13.5	10.0	10.0	9.0
Average	11.7	11.9	14.5	7.7	7.9	8.2

Table 3: Fluctuations in Bt-protein production for same varieties

		Yangtse River Valley network	Yellow River Valley network
Number of varieties analysed for protein content for 2 subsequent years		10	20
Protein content gap, ng/g	<100	3	3
	100-200	1	4
	200-400	3	8
	>400	3	5

Table 2: Basic information on the varieties tested in YRVEN

	No. varieties tested	% varieties from public institutions	% hybrid	% GM	No. varieties approved
2000	10	n.a.	50%	30%	1
2001	10	n.a.	60%	20%	1
2002	10	n.a.	70%	70%	1
2003	17	100%	71%	88%	3
2004	18	73%	71%	83%	2
2005	30	75%	90%	67%	3
2006	31	74%	100%	94%	4*

* 4 other varieties should be approved too after a new experiment

Table 1: General information on the network trial locations

Provinces	Cotton lint production, 2005		Number of trial locations
	in tons	in % China	
Anhui*	324 634	5.68	2
Henan*	677 000	11.85	1
Hubei	374 960	6.56	6
Hunan	197 511	3.46	4
Jiangsu*	322 660	5.65	3
Jiangxi	87 196	1.53	2
Sichuan	24 713	0.43	2
Zhejiang	21 566	0.38	2


* only part of the related provinces belong to the Yangtze River valley.
Cotton production is given for the whole province.

Table 7/ Hybrid and GM Effects on various recommendation criteria

		Seedcotton Yield kg/ha	Average Boll weight, g	No. bolls per ha	Bt-protein content, ng/g	Lint length mm	lint spin index
Hybrid	Yes	3 521	5.9	787 665	530	29.86	141
	No	3 057	5.3	793 327	530	29.88	141
	Probability. ANOVA	0.0001	0.0001	0.0101	0.5666	0.5835	0.6493
GM cotton	Yes	3 513	5.8	789 676	530	29.90	141
	No	3 219	5.7	786 643	0	29.76	140
	Probability ANOVA	0.0675	0.6840	0.1156		0.0489	0.2460
Variety approved	Yes	3 543	5.9	785 487	532	30.10	143
	No	3 368	5.7	790 278	534	29.76	140
	difference	175*	0.2**	4 792	-2	0.3*	3.2*

* t test Significant at 95%; ** t test Significant at 99%

Figure 1: Distribution of the tested varieties according to their Bt-protein content at 6-leaf stage



Variety code pertains to one variety and one year. The same variety tested during two years will appear in two distinct codes