

Over-education for the rich vs under-education for the poor: a search-theoretic microfoundation

Olivier Charlot, Bruno Decreuse

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Charlot, Bruno Decreuse. Over-education for the rich vs under-education for the poor: a search-theoretic microfoundation. 2006. halshs-00409583

HAL Id: halshs-00409583 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00409583

Preprint submitted on 10 Aug 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

GREQAM

Groupement de Recherche en Economie Quantitative d'Aix-Marseille - UMR-CNRS 6579 Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales Universités d'Aix-Marseille II et III Document de Travail n°2006-02

OVER-EDUCATION FOR THE RICH VS UNDER-EDUCATION FOR THE POOR: A SEARCH-THEORETIC MICROFOUNDATION

> Olivier CHARLOT Bruno DECREUSE

> > January 2006



Over-education for the rich vs under-education for the poor: a search-theoretic microfoundation

Olivier CHARLOT LIBRE, Université de Franche-Comté

Bruno DECREUSE GREQAM, Université de la Méditerranée

January 2006

Abstract: This paper provides a search-theoretic microfoundation to the popular view according to which rich but poorly talented individuals crowd out poorer and more talented individuals from schooling. We consider a two-sector, two schooling level matching model of unemployment with ex-post rent-sharing. Individuals differ in ability and schooling cost, the search market is segmented by education, and there is free entry of new firms in each sector. Self-selection in education originates composition effects in the distribution of skills across sectors. This in turn modifies the intensity of job creation, implying the private and social returns to schooling always differ. Agents with large schooling costs – the poor – select themselves too much, while there is too little self-selection among the low schooling cost individuals – the rich. We also show that education should, on average, be more taxed than subsidized.

Keywords: Ability; Schooling costs; Matching frictions; Efficiency

J.E.L. classification: I20, J21, J60