

Teacher's engagement at work in a developing country Gérard Lassibille

▶ To cite this version:

Gérard Lassibille. Teacher's engagement at work in a developing country. Journal of African Economies, 2013, 22 (1), pp.52-72. 10.1093/jae/ejs014. halshs-00834774

HAL Id: halshs-00834774 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00834774

Submitted on 11 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Teachers' Engagement at Work in a Developing Country

Gérard LASSIBILLE IREDU, Université de Bourgogne

Article publié dans Journal of African Economies, Vol. 22, number 1, pp. 52-72

doi:10.1093/jae/ejs014

Abstract

Using data from a survey conducted recently in Madagascar, this article analyses what teachers and school directors do when they are at work and how they manage the pedagogical process. The results show that in only 15% of the sample schools do all of the teachers and school directors consistently perform the tasks considered essential to their role. Engagement at work is found to be significantly lower among contract teachers than among civil service teachers, and the ability of teachers to manage the pedagogical process does not improve with accumulated experience. Other noteworthy features in the results are that the principal is the key agent in the school and that leadership is vitally important in developing effective schools.

Introduction

Education economists have spent considerable effort examining what factors affect academic achievement. While there is a large literature on the importance of financial resources in determining educational outcomes (Hanushek, 1997; Filmer and Pritchett, 1999), researchers have paid considerably less attention to the management of the pedagogical process and its impact on schooling outcomes. That school management and teachers' engagement at work need more attention is suggested by the near absence of a link between the resources that a school receives and the schooling outcomes it delivers (see, for example, Lassibille and Tan, 2003; Banerjee et al., 2005; Duflo et al., 2007; Glewwe et al., 2009b).

This paper explicitly considers these rather neglected aspects of educational achievement. It attempts to document and present information on how public primary school teachers in a developing country conduct their work and manage their classroom. It adds to the current base of knowledge on education quality and provides insightful lessons for the development of policies that seek to improve the management of primary schools in low-income countries. The data for the analysis reported in this paper pertain to a survey of some 300 schools with information on teachers and school heads, conducted in 2007 in the framework of a school management programme implemented in Madagascar (World Bank, 2010). By taking advantage of survey data of uncommon richness, the article analyses how principals and teachers in public primary schools handle tasks that educators deem essential to their role and examines the effects of select teacher characteristics and school demographics on engagement at work among school personnel.

The findings of this paper can be summarised as follows. Many aspects of the pedagogical process are poorly managed, and tasks that are essential for student learning are neglected. For example, about 20% of teachers do not prepare daily lesson plans, school directors rarely follow up with their teaching staff on student performance, communication from teachers to parents on student learning is often perfunctory, pupil absences are rarely recorded and communicated to parents, and in only 15% of the sample schools do all of the teachers and school directors consistently perform the tasks considered essential by Malagasy educators. Beyond documenting teachers' behaviour at work, the paper evaluates the extent to which differences in teachers and school characteristics are associated with teachers' engagement at work. The type of employment contract held by teachers is found to be a potent influence of teachers' engagement at work. The empirical evidence shows that contract

teachers are significantly less likely to execute conscientiously the tasks that are considered essential to their role compared with civil service teachers. This is an important result because Madagascar, like many others developing countries, has recently hired a large number of contract teachers who are paid much lower salaries than civil service teachers, and have fairly limited promotion opportunities within the education sector. When teacher pay is low, the incentives to generate additional income from secondary activity are generally high. In this regard, the results indicate that having a second job significantly affects work effort in the main job. Another noteworthy feature in the results is that school leadership has a significant impact on teachers' engagement at work and is vitally important in developing effective schools and in facilitating quality teaching and learning.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the existing literature on teachers' engagement at work. Section 3 introduces the data. Section 4 provides empirical evidence on how school personnel conduct their work. Section 5 identifies predictors of teachers' engagement at work. The paper concludes in Section 6 with a discussion on the policy implications of our findings.

Literature review

Although the way teachers spend their time as workers is important from various policy perspectives, the literature has paid little attention to this issue. No large-scale study has examined how teachers conduct their work, although the early research by Hilsum and Caine (1971) and Hilsum and Strong (1978) maps out baseline data on the working days of junior and secondary school teachers in the UK. These influential studies were updated 20 years later by Campbell et al. (1994), who analyse the work of about 300 primary school teachers in England and Wales and describe the time they spent on teaching, preparation, grading, administration and professional development. By relating teachers' behaviour and conscientiousness to issues of school management and curriculum manageability, this emerging body of research has contributed to the understanding of teacher effectiveness.

In the education and occupational psychology literature, several studies have focused on work engagement among teachers and the factors influencing teachers' engagement at work (see, for example, Rutter, 1986; Hakanen et al., 2006; Kirkpatrick, 2007). The majority of these works are qualitative case studies, with little statistical analysis. Work engagement is generally defined as a positive state of mind. A highly engaged employee is defined as one who is highly motivated, is committed to his job and believes that his job is meaningful and challenging (see, for example, Brown, 1996). Although this body of research does not focus directly on the way school personnel spend their time at work, certain behaviours, like spending extra time preparing classes or tutoring students, are obviously good indicators of work engagement.

In the context of developing countries, a rapidly expanding literature documents teachers' absence and evaluates the effectiveness of interventions designed to reduce absenteeism (see, for example, Alcázar et al., 2006; Banerjee and Duflo, 2006; Chaudhury et al., 2006; Glewwe et al., 2009a). However, a search of published sources reveals a disappointingly small literature on what is really occurring in classrooms when teachers are present and on the way school personnel conduct their work. Basikin (2007) investigates work engagement among a sample of secondary school English teachers in Indonesia. Teachers' work engagement is measured on a three-factor scale consisting of nine items aiming to measure three specific dimensions of work engagement: effort (vigour), enthusiasm (dedication) and immersion in the work. The results indicate that work engagement among secondary school English teachers in Indonesia is generally high and that observable teacher characteristics are not significantly related to teachers' work engagement; unfortunately, the study does not address the impact on student learning of teacher engagement in teaching. During

successive unannounced visits to a sample of public primary schools in India, Pandey et al. (2008) measured engagement in teaching by identifying teachers who were teaching, writing on the board, supervising written work or teaching by rote. They find substantial variation in teacher effort within schools and a positive and significant correlation between engagement in teaching and student learning achievement. However, the gain in test score is small largely because teacher activity might not precisely measure real teacher effort. Adekanmbi et al. (2009) present results of a baseline survey administered in the context of an impact evaluation of two pilot programmes in the Republic of The Gambia. The authors consider whether teachers had a lesson plan or not. The data were collected during unannounced visits to public primary schools. The results indicate that more than half of the teachers did not have a lesson plan or could not show it when asked. This very partial attempt to describe teachers' work suggests that many teachers do not execute conscientiously the tasks for which they are responsible and that improving the management of work flow processes is needed in order to improve the performance and efficiency of the education system. This paper aims to investigate these aspects more deeply and to add to the emerging literature on teacher engagement at work in the context of developing countries. Taking advantage of a data set of uncommon richness, it analyses how school principals and teachers in public primary schools handle tasks that educators deem essential to their role and examines factors that influence their engagement at work.

The data

Data for the analysis come from surveys fielded with World Bank assistance in the framework of a school management programme implemented on an experimental basis by the Ministry of Education of Madagascar (the Amélioration de la Gestion de l'Education à Madagascar—AGEMAD; see World Bank, 2010).¹

Data on the behaviour of school personnel were collected in 2007 through a school questionnaire administered following a random schedule of unannounced visits to schools. The questionnaire generated detailed information on the pedagogical and administrative organisation of the school, on the personal characteristics and qualifications of the teachers and on teacher and student absenteeism. In addition to the standard teacher characteristics, the survey also gathered data on various aspects of school personnel behaviour and how teachers and principals do their job. This was done by asking each sampled teacher and school director to answer a series of questions about their administrative and pedagogical practices,² such as those controlling absence, preparing lesson plans, monitoring student learning and helping lagging pupils, communicating with parents and local school community. The data set used here includes information on 303 public primary schools and about 1,200 teachers; schools in the sample are located in eighty-four subdistricts distributed across fifteen school districts.

In addition to the above, a survey of workflow processes was conducted at the end of the 2006 - 07 school year. About 450 administrative and pedagogical work flow artefacts that provide a record of the work of school personnel over a full school year were collected from about 100 teachers in twenty randomly selected schools. This unique database reveals how teachers and school directors performed their duties throughout the school year, in particular regarding following actions: monitoring and following up on student absenteeism, preparing lesson plans, tracking progress in student learning, following up on teacher absenteeism. This information provides an independent external check on the data reported by school personnel to the survey enumerators.³ As indicated

¹ The results presented here pertain to schools that did not receive any of the interventions associated with the experiment.

² This information is available for up to five teachers who were randomly selected in each school.

³ Detailed data on artefacts, such as those collected in the framework of this research, are extremely rare. This rarity is not surprising given that teachers may be unwilling to part with a source of information that provides a relatively

below, there is a high degree of consistency between the two sources of information, a feature that strengthens confidence in the analytical results based on the reported data.

School personnel at work

What do teachers and school heads do when they are at work, and how do they manage the pedagogical process? The survey of work flow processes mentioned above offers particularly telling revelations in this regard (Table 1). The data show that pupil absenteeism is poorly monitored by teachers, with attendance taken, on average, only 13 days a month, and poorly supervised by school directors, with only one-third of the attendance records kept by teachers signed by school heads. Essential pedagogical tasks are often neglected: 14% of teachers do not use weekly lesson plans; teachers prepare daily lesson plans for a very small portion of the school year, covering an average of 34 days out of a total of 150 days; only 15% consistently prepare daily and weekly lesson plans; one-third of school heads never discuss with teachers their daily lesson plans. Students' academic progress is poorly monitored too: the results of tests and quizzes are badly recorded, communication from teachers to parents on student learning via student report cards is often perfunctory and pupil absences are rarely communicated to parents. School directors are seldom involved in following up on student performance: three-quarter do not discuss learning outcomes with teachers, according to the school survey data, and 80% of test results and student report cards are not signed by school heads. The same goes for teacher absences, which average nearly 10%—hardly a negligible figure⁴; only 20% of school directors monitor teacher absences by taking daily attendance and a monthly summary of absences, with attendance taken, on average, only 3 months during the school year; more than 80% of them fail to report teacher absences to administrators at the subdistrict and district levels; 92% of teachers do not use travel pass for authorised trips.⁵ The general impression that emerges from these observations is an obvious lack of organisation, control and accountability, all of which can compromise the performance of the system and the chances of success for the many ongoing reforms.

This paper focuses on the tasks that Malagasy educators deem essential to the mission of managing for results (Government of Madagascar, 2004). The list contains seven tasks for teachers and seven for school heads (Table 2). For a teacher, these tasks include, for example, taking daily roll call, preparing the lesson of the day, monitoring student learning and helping lagging pupils. For a school head, they include keeping a register of enrolment, analysing student absences on a regular basis, following up lesson planning with teachers, reviewing pupils' performance and so on. As a strategy for keeping the analysis tractable, a 'good' teacher (or, more accurately, a minimally conscientious teacher) is defined as one who performs all seven work flow tasks that Malagasy educators consider essential to the role and likewise for a 'good' school head. In the same vein, a well-managed or a 'conscientiously managed' school is one where the school director and all of the teachers perform all of their essential tasks.

complete record of their work.

⁴ For more information on teachers' absence in Madagascar, see, for example, World Bank (2008).

⁵ In Madagascar, teachers are routinely granted permission for travel to collect their salaries at designated localities. In the absence of supervision of such travel, the trips may result in excessive absences from the classroom.

Table 1: Task Execution Rates by Teachers and School Head in a Subsample of Schools (%)^a

Task	Execution rate	Task	Execution rate	
Roll call (average over the school year)		Record of tests		
Number of days the task was performed per month	13.0	Evaluation reports		
Monthly sheets with a recapitulation of the rate of pupil absenteeism	21.0	With no annotation on the number of pupils missing their tests	62.7	
Monthly sheets not signed by the director	67.0	With no annotation on the number of pupils	74.4	
Weekly lesson plans		performing above the class average		
Teachers not using the tool	13.6	Not signed by the director	80.0	
Teachers using the tool at least once in the year	86.4	Student report cards		
Frequency of use (average number of bimestrial	2.8	With missing information on students' class rank	11.7	
segments)		With no information on the average score of	94.4	
Teachers using weekly lessons plans throughout	21.1	pupils in the class		
the year		With no observations on pupils' attendance	46.9	
Teachers not reporting the subtitle of the lessons	74.1	in the class		
Teachers not annotating their observations on the	60.5	Not signed by the teacher	16.7	
lesson plans		Not signed by the director		
Weekly lesson plans not signed by the director	49.0	Teacher absences	80.2	
Daily lesson plan		Monthly teacher attendance records (average		
Average number of days used in the year	34.1	over the school year)		
(maximum 150)		School heads not using the tool	80.0	
Weekly sheets with annotated observations	11.5			
Teachers who have followed the weekly lesson plans	14.8	School heads using the tool at least once	20.0	
Weekly sheets not signed by the director	71.9	in the year		
		Frequency of use (average number of months)	3.0	
		School heads not reporting absences to subdistrict	84.0	
		and districts officers		
		Teachers' travel pass for authorised trips		
		Teachers not using the tool	92.0	
		Teachers using the tool at least once in the year	8.0	
		The pass is signed on the teacher's arrival at the destination by the relevant authority	13.8	
		The pass is signed on the teacher's leaving the locality by the relevant authority	20.7	

Sources: 2006-07 AGEMAD tools survey.

Notes: The results are based on the data codified from the work flow artefacts collected from about 100 teachers in twenty schools that provided a record of their work over a full school year.

Table 2: Tasks Considered by Malagasy Educators to Be Essential for Teachers and School Directors

Table 3: Execution Rates of Essential Tasks

Indicator	Number of observations	Percentage
Teachers performing all seven essential tasks ^a	1,046	42.4
Schools with all teachers performing all seven essential tasks ^b	200	23.9
Well-managed schools ^c	200	14.6

Source: 2006-07 AGEMAD school survey.

^aUnless otherwise indicated.

^aThe teacher is the unit of observation.

^bSchools with up to five teachers only.

^cSchools where the director and all teachers perform their essential tasks; schools with up to five teachers only.

According to the school survey data, only 42% of teachers perform all of the tasks deemed essential for good classroom management (Table 3). In 24% of the schools, all of the teachers perform all of their essential tasks. The share of 'conscientiously managed' schools is only 15%. Obviously, these findings reveal that many aspects of the pedagogical process are poorly managed and that far too many school personnel neglect tasks that are deemed essential for student learning. The lack of organisation, control and accountability within schools compromises the performance of the system and the chance of success for many ongoing reforms. Results reported in a companion paper indicate that the way schools are run has a significant impact on students' performance. All else remaining the same, students enrolled in a 'conscientiously managed' school score about 0.14 of a standard deviation above the sample mean, compared with their counterparts, and this management effect is much stronger for low achievers (Lassibille, 2009).

Correlates of teachers' engagement at work

The picture that emerges from the previous analysis is that teachers' engagement in teaching and teachers' effort at work are low. To examine which attributes are associated with engagement at work, the probability that a teacher will execute all of the tasks considered essential to his role is regressed on his personal characteristics and on school-level variables representing his workplace environment. Specifically, the individual factors postulated to influence teacher behaviour include gender, marital status, residential location, attachment to the local community, employment conditions and professional experience, including whether the teacher had previously been employed in a private school and whether he held a second job at the time of the survey. Variables at both the classroom and school levels include the number of students in the classroom, an index of school conditions—constructed using principal components analysis based on the quality of the physical facilities of the school⁷—and the school head's management style, controlling for subdistrict-specific fixed effects. These fixed effects capture unobserved characteristics that are common to all teachers within the same subdistrict and that influence teachers' engagement at work. Among these school-district effects is the management style of the subdistrict officers who supervise teaching and learning practices in schools. Under certain circumstances, teachers may self-select the schools to which they will apply and thus may have some choice in location. While the micro-level variation in teacher distribution may reflect variations in the attractiveness of specific schools, such as the availability of housing, proximity to roads and access to centres of population, it is highly unlikely that new teachers will be able to select a school on the basis of the quality of work their future peers may perform in the classroom. In the absence of self-selection on unobserved characteristics related to teachers' engagement at work, a probit model with no selection is used to adjust the probability for a teacher to execute all of the tasks considered essential to his role. Because teachers' behaviour within the same school may be correlated, and residuals may be

An alternative approach is to follow the methodology of Kling et al. (2007) whereby engagement at work is analysed using 'seemingly unrelated regression' for each task—either separately or in clusters of tasks. This approach was considered for this article, but the judgement of Malagasy educators that the individual tasks are an integrated package of closely connected actions required for managing the teaching and learning process was ultimately accepted. The robustness of the results presented here was also checked by considering the number of tasks performed by each teacher. Regression results not shown here to save place indicate that considering a continuum of conscientiousness does not alter the results discussed below.

⁷ The following items are included in the construction of the index: the structure is permanent, the number of classrooms is sufficient and the school is equipped with electricity, water, latrines and chairs for all pupils. The index ranges from about 126 in schools with all of these features to 75 in those with none of them.

⁸ If peer pressure is sufficiently strong, teachers' behaviour may also be affected by the presence of good teachers in a school. Because the data do not permit to separate peer effects from confounding effects that could cause individual behaviour and peer behaviour to correlate, estimating peer effects on the management of the pedagogical process is beyond the scope of this paper.

not independent within schools, standard errors of the coefficients may be biased unless this correlation is corrected for. Hence, possible intra-school correlation is taken into account by clustering standard errors at the school level. Two basic specifications are adjusted: first, a reduced model that only controls for teacher characteristics and, second, a full specification that includes class- and school-level variables. The results are shown in Table 4 along with the summary statistics of the variables for the data set. After excluding observations with missing values, the final sample includes 1,007 teachers in 294 schools.

Table 4: Probability for a Teacher to Execute All the Tasks Considered Essential to His Role

Variable	Mean (standard deviation)	Personal characteristics		Personal characteristics and fixed effects at the subdistrict level		Personal characteristics, school-level variables and fixed effects at the subdistrict level	
		Coefficient	Marginal effect	Coefficient	Marginal effect	Coefficient	Marginal effect
Personal characteristics							
Male	0.330 (0.470)	0.082 (0.095)	0.032 (0.036)	-0.008 (0.113)	-0.002 (0.028)	-0.019 (0.115)	-0.005 (0.028)
Married	0.675 (0.469)	0.045 (0.095)	0.017 (0.037)	-0.020 (0.114)	-0.005 (0.028)	-0.007 (0.115)	-0.002 (0.028)
Local origins ^a	0.762 (0.426)	-0.179 (0.112)	-0.069 (0.043)	0.012 (0.121)	0.003 (0.030)	0.014 (0.122)	0.003 (0.030)
Walking distance to school ^b	0.053 (0.223)	-0.024 (0.170)	-0.009 (0.065)	-0.184 (0.187)	-0.045 (0.046)	-0.154 (0.185)	-0.038 (0.045)
Civil service teacher ^c	0.521 (0.500)	0.253* (0.137)	0.097* (0.052)	0.410** (0.168)	0.101** (0.041)	0.425** (0.166)	0.104** (0.040)
Years as a teacher	14.690 (12.17)	0.273** (0.129)	0.105** (0.049)	-0.014 (0.155)	-0.003 (0.038)	-0.018 (0.155)	-0.004 (0.038)
Years in the present school	6.200 (6.794)	-0.008 (0.007)	-0.003 (0.003)	-0.008 (0.008)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.009 (0.008)	- 0.002 (0.002)
Previously employed in a private school	0.125 (0.331)	0.005 (0.009)	0.002 (0.003)	0.001 (0.011)	0.000 (0.003)	0.001 (0.011)	0.000 (0.003)
Holding a second job	0.075 (0.264)	-0.404* (0.209)	-0.155* (0.080)	-0.692*** (0.255)	-0.170*** (0.062)	-0.683*** (0.254)	-0.167*** (0.062)
School-level variables							
School administered by a 'good' school head	0.644 (0.479)	-	1 	4-	_	0.327** (0.163)	0.080** (0.040)
Index of school conditions ^d	101.400 (9.861)	<u>===</u>	<u>-</u>	_	<u> </u>	0.003 (0.010)	0.001 (0.003)
Class size	25.190 (22.29)	_	_	_	_	-0.001 (0.004)	-0.000 (0.001)
Constant		0.087 (0.174)	10 <u>-0</u> 1	1.715*** (0.634)	<u></u>	1.408 (1.274)	_
Subdistrict fixed effects		No		Yes		Yes	

Table 4:

Variable	Mean (standard deviation)	Personal characteristics		Personal characteristics and fixed effects at the subdistrict level		Personal characteristics, school-level variables and fixed effects at the subdistrict level	
		Coefficient	Marginal effect	Coefficient	Marginal effect	Coefficient	Marginal effect
Significance fixed effects (P-values)	12_1	<u>2</u> 2	-	0.000	<u> </u>	0.000	<u> </u>
Log likelihood function	_	-66.213	_	-42.858	_	-42.551	_
Pseudo-R ²	3-20	0.0168		0.364	<u></u>	0.368	(<u>)</u> 2:
Number of teachers	1,007	1,007	_	1,007		1,007	(-
Number of schools	294	294	0-0	294	_	294	_
Number of subdistricts	85	85	<u> </u>	85	<u>0.008</u>	85	

Source: 2006-07 AGEMAD school survey.

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses. Clustering is at the school level.

^aTeacher born in the region where the school is located.

^bWalking distance more than 1 h.

FRAM teacher is the omitted category.

^dSee footnote 7 for an explanation of the construction of this index.

^{*}Significant at 10%.

^{**}Significant at 5%.

^{***}Significant at 1%.

The findings indicate that personal demographics explain little of the variation in the behaviour of school personnel. As shown in Table 4, taken together, the variables used in the analysis account for less than 2% of the variation in the probability for a teacher to perform all of the pedagogical and administrative tasks that are considered essential for a well-functioning system. To some extent, this result is in line with what is observed in other countries. To illustrate, Rutter (1986) finds very moderate relationships between the characteristics of teachers and teachers' commitment at work in the USA; Basikin (2007) obtains a similar result for secondary school teachers in Indonesia. The estimated coefficients on school districts are jointly very significant, as revealed by the *P*-values at the bottom of the table.

Focusing now on the characteristics of individual teachers, the results from the regressions presented in Table 4 show no significant gender effect on the probability of performing the essential tasks. Several studies have argued that motivation and incentives to help the community are probably higher among local teachers because of their ties to the local area and greater sense of accountability (see, for example, Alcázar et al., 2006). However, the results of this study indicate that attachment to the local community—measured by a dummy indicating whether the teacher was born in the region where the school is located—has no significant impact on teachers' behaviour at work. 10 In a similar vein, teachers who were previously teaching in the private sector are not more likely to perform the essential tasks than their counterparts. One possible reason may be that the public sector is not able to attract the best private sector teachers or that private sector teachers who move to the public sector tend to adapt their level of work effort to the level of commitment of their new peers. Attachment to the teaching force, measured by the years of service as a teacher over the teachers' entire career and in the present school, has no significant impact on the probability of performing all of the tasks deemed essential for good classroom management. Otherwise stated, the ability of teachers to manage the pedagogical process in their classroom does not improve with accumulated experience. This result also suggests that the probability for an experienced teacher to be a good mentor is probably low and that the probability for a novice teacher to learn good practices from his senior peers in the school is probably small. Because good managerial skills with regard to the pedagogical process are not acquired through learning-by-doing and probably not through learning from others either, building skills for good classroom management would require specific actions and interventions. As teachers in Madagascar do not receive specific training on the management of the pedagogical process, ongoing pre- and in-service training programmes for teachers could include short modules on classroom management, and operational tools designed to help teachers to accomplish their tasks should be developed.

Another noteworthy feature in the results presented in Table 4 is that the type of employment contract held by teachers has a significant impact on teachers' work behaviour. Based on their job status, there are two broad categories of teachers in Madagascar. The first group of teachers consists of civil service teachers who are recruited and paid by the government. The second group consists of FRAM teachers. In past years, FRAM teachers were hired by the communities to respond to the lack of publicly paid teachers in the country; they were paid entirely by the parents' association through fees paid by pupils' families. Since 2002 – 03, the government has contributed to the pay of FRAM teachers. Today, these teachers make up 50% of the teaching staff at the primary level, compared with only 5% in 1998. Substantial differences do exist between the two categories of teachers that are likely to affect their effectiveness. Contract teachers in Madagascar are generally less experienced than civil service teachers, with about 18 years less of professional experience than

⁹ However, the definition of engagement at work used by these authors departs from the one used here, as they also take into account attitudes and predispositions at work

¹⁰ A similar result is obtained when measuring attachment to the local community by a dummy indicating whether the teacher was born in the community where the school is located.

¹¹ FRAM is the acronym for Fikambanan'ny Ray Amandrenin'ny Mpianatra, the schoolbased parent-teacher association.

the former, on average. They have very often more years of formal schooling than civil service teachers; for example 22% of FRAM teachers have an upper secondary education diploma compared with only 9% of civil service teachers. Exposure to pre-service teacher training is relatively limited among contract teachers, with about 14% of them holding a pedagogical diploma compared with more than 85% of regular teachers. They are paid considerably less than their counterparts for doing the same job. Their monthly salary is 60% below that of civil service teachers on average, and they are paid for only 10 months out of 12.12

According to the results in Table 4, FRAM teachers are significantly less likely to execute conscientiously the tasks that are considered essential to their role. The estimates indicate that contract teachers have a probability of performing the seven essential tasks 10 percentage points lower than their counterparts, ceteris paribus. This is an important result because Madagascar, like many others developing countries, has recently hired a large number of contract teachers. The lower engagement at work of con- tract teachers may reflect a weaker attachment to the post. To a consider- able extent, it reflects lower motivation due to a combination of weak incentives, fairly limited promotion opportunities within the education sector and low job satisfaction. Results not reported here to save place indicate that: (a) the probability for a contract teacher to be integrated into the regular teaching force—and consequently to improve his economic situation—is only 13% on average; and (b) 34% of civil service teachers are highly satisfied with their job compared with 25% of contract teachers, where highly satisfied is defined as reports of job satisfaction at the level of 7 or higher on a 10-point scale.

When teacher pay is low, the incentives to generate additional income from secondary activity are generally high. In the sample, about 8% of teachers hold a second job. As expected, having a second job directly affects work effort in the main job. As shown in Table 4, teachers with a second job have a probability of executing the essential tasks 16 percentage points lower than their counterparts.

Regarding school- and class-level variables included in the model, no significant relationship is found between the number of students taught in the classroom and the way teachers handle the essential aspects of teaching. The intuition of this result is clear with regard to the nature of many of the elemental tasks teachers have to perform. Obviously, preparing lessons, discussing student learning issues with the director or monitoring student learning is to a large extent 'fixed-cost tasks'—that is, the cost of performing one of these particular tasks stays the same regardless of the number of students in the classroom. Similarly, no significant relationship is found between the overall working conditions that teachers encounter at the school—measured through an infrastructure index—and their probability of performing all of the tasks deemed essential for good classroom management. This is a somewhat unexpected result because workers are generally expected to do a better job and to be more motivated in a good physical working environment. A quadratic term of the infrastructure index was included in the model to check for possible threshold effects and non-linearities in the relationship between teachers' working conditions and engagement at work. However, results not reported here indicate no significant non-linearities in the relationship. Finally, results in Table 4 show that the principal's management style has a significant and positive impact on teachers' commitment at work. Teachers in schools administered by a 'good' school head have a probability of performing all of the essential tasks about 8 percentage points higher than their counterparts. This finding is in line with the commonly held belief that school leaders are vitally important in influencing teachers' behaviour and have a central role in developing effective schools (see, for example, Purkey and Smith, 1983; Bennell, 2004; Dinham, 2005). Where teachers' motivation and incentives are low and professional qualifications are scarce, making explicit to teachers their responsibilities, giving them proper support and supervising their work are crucial elements in promoting excellence among staff. For these reasons, particular attention should

¹² For more details on FRAM teachers, see Government of Madagascar (2008) and World Bank (2002).

be paid to the recruitment and training of head teachers in order to ensure that every school is placed under the control of a strong and engaged principal.

Conclusion

Schools face complex problems, and for the most part the solutions have not yet been found. A detailed analysis of how education is delivered in schools reveals that many aspects of the pedagogical process are poorly managed and that far too many school personnel and administrators neglect tasks deemed essential for student learning. As mentioned earlier, pupil absenteeism is poorly monitored by teachers and poorly supervised by school directors. Essential pedagogical tasks are often neglected: 20% of teachers do not prepare daily lesson plans, and school directors rarely discuss with teachers their daily lesson plans. Students' academic progress is poorly monitored, and communication from teachers to parents on student learning is often perfunctory. School directors rarely follow up with their teaching staff on student performance; more than 80% of them fail to report teacher absences to administrators at the subdistrict and district levels. In only 15% of the sample schools do all the teachers and school directors consistently perform the package of seven tasks considered essential by Malagasy educators.

The regression results clearly show that contract teachers are significantly less likely than civil service teachers to execute conscientiously the tasks that are considered essential to their role. The lower engagement at work of contract teachers may reflect a lower attachment to the post due to a combination of weak incentives, fairly limited opportunities for promotion and low job satisfaction. It is nonetheless important to emphasise that even for civil service teachers, there is no room for complacency. The share of 'good teachers' among this group is about 45%. Moreover, the results show that the ability of teachers to manage the pedagogical process in their classroom does not improve with accumulated experience. Otherwise stated, the probability for a novice teacher to learn good practices from his senior peers in the school is probably small. The findings from this study have also shown that the principal is the key agent in the school and that leadership is vitally important in developing effective schools and in facilitating quality teaching and learning. In this regard, teachers in schools administered by a 'good' school head have a probability of performing all of the essential tasks about 8 percentage points higher than their counterparts.

There are clear signs of weak management. Various interventions could be fashioned with the goal of rationalising and tightening the work processes of teachers and making schools more effective. Improving the management of the pedagogical process would require defining and prioritising the responsibilities of teachers and school directors, with mechanisms for support and performance monitoring. In this regard, school personnel should be equipped and trained with management tools specific to their role and designed to aid in task execution and to reinforce reporting relationships among school personnel. The toolkit of operational instruments should focus on teachers' core responsibilities—for example, pedagogy, student learning and follow-up, management of instructional time, administration, school statistics and partnership with the local community—and should be designed to modify the current behaviour of personnel. The management action plan should include features that encourage the school director to pay closer attention to the work of the personnel he or she supervises, to review information supplied by a teacher and to suggest specific follow-up actions when needed. Special attention should be paid to the recruitment and training of school directors in order to ensure that every school is placed under the control of a strong and engaged principal. By increasing information flows between personnel and improving accountability, this set of interventions should lead to improvement in their behaviour. These positive behavioural changes would lead to better-functioning schools, which would positively influence the quality of education and translate into improved student learning.

Funding

Financial support from the Government of Andalucía (grants P09SEJ-4859 and SEJ-0157) is gratefully acknowledged.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the World Bank and the Ministry of Education of Madagascar for providing access to the AGEMAD (Amélioration de la Gestion de l'Education à Madagascar) database used here. The author thanks Cornelia Jesse, Lucia Navarro Gómez, Jee-Peng Tan and participants at the Fourth Workshop on Improving Education Management in African Countries for helpful discussions and comments.

References

- Adekanmbi, A., M. Blimpo and D. Evans (2009) The State of The Gambia Lower Basic Education. The Republic of The Gambia: Department of State for Basic and Secondary Education.
- Alcázar, L., F.H. Rogers, N. Chaudhury, J. Hammer, M. Kremer and K. Muralidharan (2006) Why Are Teachers Absent? Probing Service Delivery in Peruvian Primary Schools, Mimeo. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Banerjee, A. and E. Duflo (2006) 'Addressing Absence', Journal of Economic Perspectives, 20 (1): 117 32.
- Banerjee, A., S. Jacob, M. Kremer, J. Lanjouw and P. Lanjouw (2005) Promoting School Participation in Rural Rajasthan: Results from Some Prospective Trials, Working Paper. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Basikin, B. (2007) Vigor, dedication, and absorption: work engagement among secondary school English teachers in Indonesia, Mimeo. Victoria: Monash University.
- Bennell, P. (2004) Teacher Motivation and Incentives in Sub-Saharan Africa and Asia, Mimeo. Brighton: Knowledge and Skills for Development.
- Brown, S.P. (1996) 'A Meta-analysis and Review of Organizational Research on Job Involvement', Psychological Bulletin, 120 (2): 235 55.
- Campbell, R.J., S. Rupert and S.R. St J. Neill (1994) Primary Teachers at Work. London: Routledge.
- Chaudhury, N., J. Hammer, M. Kremer, K. Muralidharan and H. Rogers (2006) 'Missing in Action: Teacher and Health Worker Absence in Developing Countries', Journal of Economic Perspectives, 20 (1): 91 116.
- Dinham, S. (2005) Principal leadership for outstanding schooling outcomes in junior secondary education, Mimeo. Woollongong: University of Woollongong.
- Duflo, E., P. Dupas and M. Kremer (2007) Peer Effects, Pupil— Teacher Ratios, and Teacher Incentives, Working Paper. Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Filmer, D. and L. Pritchett (1999) 'What Education Production Functions Really Show: A Positive Theory of Education Expenditures', Economics of Education Review, 18: 223 39.
- Glewwe, P., A. Holla and M. Kremer (2009a) 'Teacher incentives in the developing world', in M. Springer (ed.) Performance Incentives: Their Growing Impact on American K–12 Education. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press.

- Glewwe, P., M. Kremer and S. Moulin (2009b) 'Many Children Left Behind? Textbooks and Test Scores in Kenya', American Economic Journal of Applied Economics, 1: 112 35.
- Government of Madagascar (2004) Amélioration de la gestion de l'éducation à Madagascar: Approche stratégique et plan d'actions. Antananarivo: Government of Madagascar.
- Government of Madagascar (2008) Eléments de diagnostic du système éducatif Malagasy: Le besoin d'une politique éducative nouvelle pour l'atteinte des objectifs du millénaire et la réduction de la pauvreté. Antananarivo: Government of Madagascar.
- Hakanen, J.J., A.B. Bakker and W. Schaufeli (2006) 'Burnout and Work Engagement among Teachers', Journal of School Psychology, 43: 495 513.
- Hanushek, E. (1997) 'Assessing the Effects of School Resources on Student Performance: An Update', Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 19 (2): 141 64.
- Hilsum, S. and B. Caine (1971) The Teachers' Day. Slough: National Foundation for Educational Research.
- Hilsum, S. and C.R. Strong (1978) The Secondary Teachers' Day: Report of the NFER Research Project. National Foundation for Educational Research, Windsor. Atlantic Highlands, NJ: Humanities Press.
- Kirkpatrick, C.L. (2007) To invest, coast or idle: second-stage teachers enact their job engagement, Mimeo. Cambridge: Havard Graduate School of Education.
- Kling, J., J. Liebman and L. Katz (2007) 'Experimental Analysis of Neighborhood Effects', Econometrica, 75 (1): 83 119.
- Lassibille, G. (2009) La gestion des processus pédagogiques, Mimeo. Dijon: IREDU.
- Lassibille, G. and J.P. Tan (2003) 'Student Learning in Public and Private Primary Schools in Madagascar', Economic Development and Cultural Change, 51 (3): 699–717.
- Pandey, P., S. Goyal and V. Sundararaman (2008) Public Participation, Teacher Accountability, and School Outcomes: Findings from Baseline Surveys in Three Indian States, Policy Research Working Paper 4777. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Purkey, S. and S. Smith (1983) 'Effective Schools: A Review', Elementary School Journal, 83 (4): 427–52.
- Rutter, R.A. (1986) Facilitating Teacher Engagement. Madison: National Center on Effective Secondary Schools, University of Wisconsin.
- World Bank (2002) Education and Training in Madagascar: Toward a Policy Agenda for Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- World Bank (2008) Service Delivery in the Education and Health Sectors in Madagascar, Mimeo. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- World Bank (2010) Améliorer la gestion de l'enseignement primaire à Madagascar: Résultats d'une expérimentation randomisée. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.