



HAL
open science

Are critical slowing down indicators useful to detect financial crises?

Hayette Gatfaoui, Isabelle Nagot, Philippe de Peretti

► To cite this version:

Hayette Gatfaoui, Isabelle Nagot, Philippe de Peretti. Are critical slowing down indicators useful to detect financial crises?. 2016. halshs-01339815v1


HAL Id: halshs-01339815

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-01339815v1>

Submitted on 30 Jun 2016 (v1), last revised 7 Dec 2016 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**Are critical slowing down indicators useful to
detect financial crises?**

Hayette GATFAOUI, Isabelle NAGOT, Philippe De PERETTI

2016.45



Are critical slowing down indicators useful to detect financial crises?

Hayette Gatfaoui*, Isabelle Nagot†, Philippe de Peretti‡

January 15, 2016

Abstract

In this article, we consider financial markets as complex dynamical systems, and check whether the critical slowing down indicators can be used as early warning signals to detect a phase transition. Using various rolling windows, we analyze the evolution of three indicators: i) First-order autocorrelation, ii) Variance, and iii) Skewness. Using daily data for ten European stock exchanges plus the United States, and focusing on the Global Financial Crisis, our results are mitigated and depend both on the series used and the indicator. Using the main (log) indices, critical slowing down indicators seem weak to predict to Global Financial Crisis. Using cumulative returns, for almost all countries an increase in variance and skewness does precede the crisis. However, first-order autocorrelations of both log-indices and cumulative returns do not provide any useful information about the Global Financial Crisis. Thus, only some of the

*IESEG School of Management (LEM) and Centre d'Economie de la Sorbonne (CES),
Socle de la Grande Arche 1 Parvis de La Défense - F-92044 Paris La Défense cedex. email:
h.gatfaoui@ieseg.fr, gatfaoui@univ-paris1.fr

†Centre d'Economie de la Sorbonne (CES), Université Paris1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, 106-112
Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris. email: isabelle.nagot@univ-paris1.fr.

‡Corresponding Author. Centre d'Economie de la Sorbonne (CES), Université Paris1
Panthéon-Sorbonne, 106-112 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris. email: peretti@univ-
paris1.fr.

reported critical slowing down indicators may have informational content, and could be used as early warnings.

1 Introduction

The rapid succession of financial crises over these recent years has created a strong incentive to build some tools able to predict crises, i.e. early warning signals. One very appealing framework, widely used with success in ecology, climatology (Dakos *et al.* [DAK 08]) or physics is to consider that systems are complex or self-critically organized (Sornette [SOR 09]). Under such a framework, systems can switch between multiple equilibria without external forces (Scheffer [SCH 09], [SCH 12]). In other words, the complex dynamic interplay between each particle of the system will lead to a phase transition or a bifurcation (Kuehn [KUE 11]).

Within complex systems, the critical slowing down (CSD) concept is useful to predict critical transitions. Critical slowing down refers to the fact that a system becomes less and less able to absorb shocks and then to come back to an equilibrium state. In particular, the accumulation of shocks can alter the resilience of the system, driving it towards a critical transition, i.e. the system will switch to an other state. Before that transition, the changes will become slower and slower and as a consequence, for a particular series, the autocorrelation at lag one will increase, as well as the variance, and maybe other higher moments. Critical slowing down is therefore appealing because it allows for studying phase transitions within a theoretical framework with very simple tools, and without having to specify the particular underlying model.

The goal of this paper is to empirically investigate the CSD concept, when applied to financial markets. For this prospect, we focus on stock exchanges for ten European countries plus the United States, from the post Dot.com bubble up to the beginning of the Global Financial Crisis (GFC). We focus on two kinds of data, the main indices, in log-form, as suggested by Diks *et al.* [DIK 15] within a similar framework, and the cumulative returns, which are considered

as a re-scaling of main indices. Then, we analyze whether auto-correlations at lag one, as well as moments of orders two and three increase before the crisis, thus signaling a phase transition. Following Lenton *et al.* [LEN 12], our study is performed on large rolling windows of size $T/2$ and $T/4$, T being the length of the whole sample period, and on detrended data, using a Gaussian kernel with a low bandwidth.

Whereas CSD has turned to be very useful in other domains, our results are here quite mitigated. Compared to Diks *et al.* [DIK 15], we first show that using log-indices, basing our analysis on first-order autocorrelations, leads to false alarms. At best, and unexpectedly the analysis might have an informational content about the May 2006 market crash, but not about the Global Financial Crisis, i.e. not about a phase transition. There is no information in the evolution of the variances and skewness, which questions the use of log-indices in this kind of analysis. Applied to cumulative returns, indicators, do provide an important information about the GFC, but only through upward trends in variances and sudden downward trends in skewness. But still, first-order autocorrelations provide no useful information. Since upward trends in variances have been reported by several authors, such as Hens and Schenk-Hoppe [HEN 09] in other frameworks, and since first-order autocorrelations are considered as the main indicator of CSD, concluding about the validity of CSD is by far not straightforward.

Our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 focuses on theoretical aspects while introducing CSD concept, indicators and related measurement tools. Then, section 3 implements the empirical analysis and displays CSD indicators. Finally, section 4 proposes concluding remarks.

2 Theory

Over past and recent history, financial markets have been subject to several sudden changes, i.e. regime shifts. Such abrupt changes depict critical transitions from a non-depressed or normal state to a depressed or crisis state and conversely. Shifts occur when the considered system, such as a given set of finan-

cial markets, reaches a critical threshold frequently named tipping point (Dakos *et al.* [DAK 08]). In particular, the system converges towards a tipping point around which it becomes more and more unable to absorb small shocks (Kuehn [KUE 11]). As a result, its ability to recover from such perturbations slows down (see for example the fold catastrophe model, Scheffer [SCH 09]), so that its potential for recovery becomes also low, i.e. zero recovery rate and increasing recovery time are observed (van Nes and Scheffer [NES 07]). Indeed, the dynamical system exhibits a decreasing resilience (Dai *et al.* [DAI 12]). Under such a low resilience regime, the system loses efficiency and accumulative small perturbations¹ can trigger the system's shift to a depressed state, i.e. a critical transition (Carpenter *et al.* [CAR 11], Scheffer [SCH 09]). Such phenomenon is called critical slowing down (van Nes and Scheffer [NES 07], Scheffer [SCH 09], Wissell [WIS 84]).

Under a slowing down regime, the pattern of data fluctuations undergoes modifications, which can be characterized by a set of three indicators. Such indicators signal the closeness of the system to a tipping point. Firstly, among predicted changes, data auto-correlation should increase (Livina and Lenton [LIV 07], Ives [IVE 95]). When the system converges towards a tipping point, it becomes less able to recover from perturbations and remains therefore close to its previous state. As a result, data auto-correlation should increase in the short run, i.e. lag-1 auto-correlation. Such memory phenomenon will help trap the system in the depressed state. For example, the higher the lag-1 auto-correlation becomes, the slower the recovery of the system is (Ives [IVE 95], van Nes and Scheffer [NES 07]). Such a characteristic can be observed a long time before the critical transition (Dakos *et al.* [DAK 08]). Secondly, critical slowing down can also translate into an increase and sometimes a decrease in variance (Berglund and Gentz [BER 06], Carpenter and Brock [CAR 06], Dakos *et al.* [DAK 12]). In the vicinity of a tipping point, shocks keep the same magnitude and accumulate within the system, which increases the variance of the

¹Such perturbations can consist of a possible combination of additive and multiplicative noises.




Figure 1: Fold catastrophe model and critical transition (F_1 and F_2 are bifurcation points, source: Scheffer [SCH 09]).

system's fundamentals. Alternatively, following its low recovery rate, the system shouldn't be able to fluctuate too much around its prevailing state so that fundamentals' variance should decrease. Under the first scenario, the increase in variance happens before the occurrence of a critical transition. Thirdly, an increase in fluctuations' asymmetry, i.e. skewness, can arise before the occurrence of a catastrophic bifurcation. Under the fold catastrophe model for example, the system can reach two alternative stable equilibrium states (solid lines) and one intermediate unstable equilibrium state (dashed line, see figure 1). Specifically, unstable equilibria illustrate the border between the basins of attractions of the two previous stable states. When slowing down, the system stays more often in an unstable equilibrium than in a stable equilibrium, generating therefore asymmetry.

As a result, first-order auto-correlation, variance and asymmetry can indicate the vicinity of a tipping point when a dynamical system gets closer to a critical transition (Carpenter and Brock [CAR 06], Carpenter *et al.* [CAR 11], Dakos *et al.* [DAK 08], [DAK 12], Drake and Griffen [DRA 10]). As such,

they can be considered as critical slowing down indicators, or equivalently, early warning signals, which predict a significant future transition in the dynamical system's state (van Nes *et al.* [SCH 12]). Hence, such indicators can help predict forthcoming downward shifts in financial markets, i.e. financial crises. For example, financial markets' reversals can happen after a period of either increased volatility (Hens and Schenk-Hoppe [HEN 09]) or decreased volatility (Arvedlund [ARV 02]). Moreover, variance and first-order autocorrelations are linked in financial markets (Campbell *et al.* [CAM 93]).

In the following of the chapter, we'll study if critical slowing down indicators can indeed anticipate critical transitions in financial markets such as the beginning of a major crisis.

3 Data and empirical application

In this section, we set the focus on the ability of critical slowing down indicators to predict the Global Financial Crisis. We consider stock market indices for the U.S. stock market and for ten European stock exchanges such as Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain and U.K. Data span from October 10th, 2002 to July 2nd, 2007 (i.e. 10OCT02-02JUL07 period), which starts at the end of the Dot.com bubble and terminates at the beginning of the GFC (see 1). Specifically, we implement the analysis on computed log-indices and on cumulative returns of indices.

To extract the relevant information in view of our critical slowing down study, we follow the methodology of Dakos *et al.* (2008). In their paper, they propose a two-step methodology while analyzing univariate time series. First, detrend daily log-indices and cumulative returns over the 10OCT02-02JUL07 period. Second, define rolling windows with two different sizes, $T/2$ and $T/4$, where $T = 1122$. Over each rolling window, considering detrended time series, compute: a) First-order auto-correlations by ordinary least squares, b) The second moment, c) The third moment.

To detrend series, we employ a classical moving-average process. For a given

Table 1: Stock market indices

Country	Index label
Belgium	BEL20
France	CAC40
Germany	DAX
Greece	ASE
Ireland	ISEQ
Italy	FTSEMIB
Netherland	AEX
Portugal	PSI
Spain	IBEX
U.K.	UKX
USA	SP500

series $\{y_t\}_{t=1}^T$, the corresponding detrended series $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^T$ is computed as:

$$x_t = y_t - MA_t \quad (1)$$

where MA_t is a signal, computed as:

$$MA_t = \frac{\sum_{i=t-T}^{t-1} G(i)y_{t-i}}{\sum_{i=t-T}^{t-1} G(i)} \quad (2)$$

and $G(\cdot)$ is a gaussian kernel defined as:

$$G(i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{i^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (3)$$

In (3), σ is the bandwidth parameter, which is normally assumed to range from 10 to 20. According to figure (2), a high value of the bandwidth parameter such as setting $\sigma = 20$ tends to slightly oversmooth the series, possibly introducing an artificially high auto-correlation. Hence in the sequel of the study, the lowest bandwidth value $\sigma = 10$ will be applied.




Figure 2: SP500 (log), trends for two different bandwidths and corresponding detrended series.

We compute the three critical slowing down indicators of detrended log-indices. We first report results for the log-indices and then for cumulative returns.

Log-indices

First-order auto-correlation First-order auto-correlation is considered as the major indicator of phase transitions. Figure (3) summarizes the results for the two rolling windows using log-indices. It provides heatmaps, which illustrate the magnitude of autocorrelations over time for each stock exchange under consideration. The darker the colour, the stronger the first-order auto-correlation is. Clearly, there is no empirical evidence of an increase in the first-order dependence of stock market indices, except maybe for Portugal, before the GFC. Heatmaps rather suggest that auto-correlations decrease for some countries, in particular France and the United-States, which invalidates the announcement of the GFC contrary to what happened later to these countries. Moreover, the result is robust, whatever the considered rolling window is.

Nevertheless, it is obvious that first-order correlations, based on $T/2$ trigger a false alarm in late 2005, early 2006, where at best it might provide an informational content concerning the May 2006 market crash, for some countries such as Ireland, Belgium, Netherland, or UK. The May 2006 market crash being not a phase transition. Figure (4) clearly shows a sharp increase in the magnitude of the autocorrelations, followed by a plateau up to early May 2006. Nevertheless, on the end of the period sample, there is no information concerning the GFC.




Figure 3: First-order autocorrelation of log-indices for two rolling windows: $T/2$ (left), $T/4$ (right).




Figure 4: Smoothed autocorrelations of log-indices based on rolling windows ($T/2$). Vertical reference 10MAY06.

Variance The second critical slowing down indicator consists of the second moment of detrended time series. According to the theory, we expect here again an upward increase or decrease in variance before a tipping point occurs. Figure (5) plots corresponding heatmaps, and highlights the strong lack of evidence that variances increase or decrease before the GFC. Again, such feature seems to invalidate the use of a variance increase or decrease as a forthcoming crisis warning.




Figure 5: Second moment of log-indices for two rolling windows: $T/2$ (left), $T/4$ (right).

Skewness The last indicator consists of skewness. Such indicator is not directly linked to critical slowing down but rather describes instability properties in catastrophic bifurcations. Close to a tipping point, probability distributions may become highly asymmetric, resulting in a non-null skewness. The alternance of regimes before a phase transition, i.e. flickering, might also drive skewness away from zero. Skewness may increase or decrease, depending on the differences between the two possible states. As shown by Figure (6), skewness converges towards zero for all countries. Results are obvious for the first rolling window of size $T/2$ whereas skewness becomes slightly negative, but close to zero, under the second rolling window of size $T/4$.




Figure 6: Third moment of log-indices for two rolling windows: $T/2$ (left), $T/4$ (right).

Cumulative returns We now focus on the study of cumulative returns, and similarly check if we can extract predictive information about the GFC.

First-order auto-correlation Strictly in line with our previous findings, first-order autocorrelations still provide no empirical evidence about the forthcoming GFC, except maybe for Portugal.




Figure 7: First-order autocorrelation of cumulative returns for two rolling windows: $T/2$ (left), $T/4$ (right).

Variance Contrary to previous analysis, variance indicators do provide early warning signals whatever the rolling window under consideration. In particular, cumulative returns' variances exhibit a sharp increase before the GFC for almost all stock market places. Indeed, heatmaps in figure (8) show a clear increase in the magnitude of variances since corresponding colors get darker as we get closer to the GFC. As an extension, figure (9) illustrates further this result while providing the variance trends of four stock exchanges such as Belgium, Greece, Ireland and Spain. Such findings are in line with observed market reversals, which follow a variance increase (Hens and Schenk-Hoppe [HEN 09]).




Figure 8: Second moment of cumulative returns for two rolling windows: $T/2$ (left), $T/4$ (right).




Figure 9: Smoothed variances of cumulative returns based on rolling windows ($T/2$).

Skewness Analogously to previous variance analysis, skewness indicators exhibit a predictive signal about the forthcoming GFC (see figure 10). Indeed, corresponding heatmaps and smoothed skewness estimates (for example, see figure 11) exhibit a sudden decreasing trend and strong deviation from the zero threshold just before the beginning of the GFC for several countries. Our findings provide an early warning signal about a forthcoming crisis in line with the increased asymmetry.




Figure 10: Third moment of cumulative returns for two rolling windows: $T/2$ (left), $T/4$ (right).




Figure 11: Smoothed third moments of cumulative returns based on rolling windows ($T/2$).

Table (2) summarizes our findings for both log-indices and cumulative returns.

Table 2: Usefulness of indicators to predict the GFC

Country	AR(1)	Variance	Skewness
Belgium	No	Yes	Yes
France	No	Yes	Yes
Germany	No	Unclear	No
Greece	No	Yes	Yes
Ireland	No	Yes	No
Italy	No	Yes	Yes
Netherland	No	Unclear	Yes
Portugal	Yes	Yes	Yes
Spain	No	Yes	No
U.K.	No	Yes	Yes
USA	No	No	Yes

4 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have tested the ability of critical slowing down indicators to predict a major future crisis such as the GFC in the present case. Our results are mitigated and deeply depend on both the series used and the indicators. Using log-indices, as in Diks *et al.* [DIK 15], the framework clearly fails to predict the GFC, leading to a false alarm. Indeed, based on autocorrelations, we falsely predict a phase transition, whereas we only observe the May 2006 market crash, which is not a phase transition. This result holds for some countries, as shown by related heatmaps. Also, there is no clear informational content in the second and third moments. This result deeply questions the use of log-returns in this kind of analysis. Considering cumulative returns, first-order autocorrelations still convey no relevant information. Conversely, the analysis of trends in variance and skewness turns out to be very useful. Especially, trends in variance as well as shifts in skewness do precede the GFC.

There are several conclusions to draw from our findings. First, concerning

the series used, the study performs much better on a re-scaled index than on a log-index. Second, concerning CSD indicators, whether we can have increases in variance and/or skewness without an upward trend in first-order autocorrelation is unclear. As a result, drawing sound conclusions about the validity of CSD for financial markets is by far not straightforward. Nevertheless, within or beyond the CSD, we found that increases in variance (Carpenter *et al.* [CAR 11]) and skewness (Guttal *et al.* [GUT 08]) are two useful early warning indicators. A combination of these two moments may provide a good early warning signal for financial markets, i.e. the probability of an upcoming financial crisis.

References

- [ARV 02] Arvedlund, E. (2002). Calm before the storm? Low volatility often precedes market downturn. Barron's.
- [BER 06] Berglund, N., Gentz, B. (2006). Noise-Induced Phenomena in Slow-Fast Dynamical Systems - A Sample-Paths Approach, Springer.
- [CAM 93] Campbell, J. Y., Grossman, S. J., Wang, J. (1993). Trading volume and serial correlation in stock returns. Quarterly Journal of Economics 108, no. 4: 905-939.
- [CAR 06] Carpenter, S. R., Brock, W. A. (2006). Rising variance: a leading indicator of ecological transition. Ecol. Lett. 9, 308-315.
- [CAR 11] Carpenter, S. R., Cole, J. J., Pace, M. L., Batt, R., Brock, W. A., Cline, T., Coloso, J., Hodgson, J. R., Kitchell, J. F., Seekell, D. A., Smith, L., Weidel, B. (2011). Early warnings of regime shifts: A whole-ecosystem experiment. Science 332(6033):1079-108.
- [DAI 12] Dai, L., Vorselen, D., Korolev, K. S., Gore, J. (2012). Generic indicators for loss of resilience before a tipping point leading to population collapse. Science 336(6085):1175-1177.

- [DAK 08] Dakos, V., Scheffer, M., van Nes, E. H., Brovkin, V., Petoukho, V., Held, H. (2008): Slowing down as an early warning signal for abrupt climate change. *The National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 105, 14308–14312.
- [DAK 12] Dakos, V., van Nes, E. H., D’Odorico, P., Scheffer, M. (2012). Robustness of variance and autocorrelation as indicators of critical slowing down. *Ecology* 93:264–271.
- [DIK 15] Diks, C., Hommes, C., Wang, J. (2015): Critical Slowing Down as Early Warning Signals for Financial Crises? Working Paper, Department of Quantitative Economics, University of Amsterdam.
- [DRA 10] Drake, J. M., Griffen, B. D. (2010). Early warning signals of extinction in deteriorating environments. *Nature* 467(7314):456–459.
- [GUT 08] Guttal, V., Jayaprakash, C. (2008). Changing Skewness: An early warning signal of regime shifts in ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* 9, 311–318.
- [HEN 09] Hens, T., Schenk-Hoppe, K. R. (eds) (2009). *Handbook of Financial Markets: Dynamics and Evolution*, North-Holland.
- [IVE 95] Ives, A. R. (1995). Measuring resilience in stochastic systems. *Ecol. Monogr.* 65, 217–233.
- [KUE 11] Kuehn, C. (2011): A mathematical framework for critical transitions: Bifurcations, fast-slow systems and stochastic dynamics. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 240, number 12, 1020–1035.
- [LEN 12] Lenton, T. M., Livina, V. N., Dakos, V., van Nes, E. H., Scheffer, M. (2012). Early warning of climate tipping points from critical slowing down: comparing methods to improve robustness. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, 370, 1185–1204.
- [LIV 07] Livina, V. N., Lenton, T. M. (2007). A modified method for detecting incipient bifurcations in a dynamical system. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, L03712.

- [NES 07] van Nes, E. H., Scheffer, M. (2007). Slow recovery from perturbations as a generic indicator of a nearby catastrophic shift. *Am. Nat.* 169, 738–747.
- [SCH 09] Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., Held, H., van Nes, E. H., Rietkerk, M., Sugihara, G. (2009): Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, 461, number 7260, 53–59.
- [SCH 12] Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S. R., Lenton, T. M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W., Dakos, V., van de Koppel, J., van de Leemput, I. A., Levin, S. A., van Nes, E. H., Pascual, M., Vandermeer, J. (2012). Anticipating critical transitions. *Science*, 338, number 6105, 344–348.
- [SOR 09] Sornette, D. (2009): *Why stock markets crash: critical events in complex financial systems*. Princeton University Press.
- [WIS 84] Wissel, C. (1984). A universal law of the characteristic return time near thresholds. *Oecologia* 65, 101–107.