



# Risk Management Committee and Disclosure of Hedging Activities Information Among Malaysian Listed Companies

Azrul Abdullah, Ku Nor Izah Ku Ismail, Norshamshina Mat Isa

## ► To cite this version:

Azrul Abdullah, Ku Nor Izah Ku Ismail, Norshamshina Mat Isa. Risk Management Committee and Disclosure of Hedging Activities Information Among Malaysian Listed Companies. International Conference on Business, Management, Tourism and Hospitality 2015 (BIZMATOUR 2015), Malaysian Technical Scientist Association (MALTESAS), May 2015, Melaka, Malaysia. pp.1871-1874, 10.1166/asl.2015.6140 . halshs-01509153

**HAL Id: halshs-01509153**

**<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-01509153>**

Submitted on 20 Apr 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

## **Risk Management Committee and Disclosure of Hedging Activities Information among Malaysian Listed Companies**

Azrul Abdullah<sup>1,2</sup>, Ku Nor Izah Ku Ismail<sup>2</sup>, Norshamshina Mat Isa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universiti Teknologi MARA (Perlis), 02600, Arau, Perlis, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>School of Accountancy, College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 UUM Sintok, Kedah, Malaysia

### **Abstract**

This paper examines the relationship between Risk Management Committee (RMC) characteristics and the extent of hedging activities disclosure within the annual reports of the Malaysian listed companies. In particular, relationships are tested on RMC size, independence, RMC meeting, RMC gender diversity and RMC training. Our regression analysis shows that RMC independence significantly and negatively influences the extent of hedging activities information disclosure, while RMC meeting positively influences the disclosure. The implications of these findings are discussed.

**Keywords:** Financial Instruments, Hedging Activities, Disclosure Index, Risk Management Committee.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The introduction of IFRS 7 (Financial Instruments: Disclosure) is argued to be able to enhance the disclosure of derivatives and hedging activities information. On the Malaysian scene, FRS 7 (Financial Instruments: Disclosures), now MFRS 7, has been made effective from Jan 1, 2010. MFRS 7 which is based on IFRS 7, segregates IAS 32 (the Malaysian equivalent of FRS 132: Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation). It provides an overview of the entity's use of derivatives and the exposure to risks they create. While MFRS 7 has just been applied by business entities in Malaysia, several studies in other countries have raised concerns regarding the extent and quality of the derivatives disclosure provided by companies in meeting this accounting standard [see 1, 2, 3 and 4] particularly on the hedging activities information. It was claimed that the derivatives disclosure was less useful and subject to management discretion [see 5, 6, and 7]. Moreover, since hedge accounting is optional, companies may use their discretion not to report the use of derivatives for hedging activities<sup>5</sup>. Several studies

provide evidence that companies avoid full compliance in disclosing their hedging activities information in annual reports [see, 1, 4, 5]. In this respect, some studies propose that one of the important elements that should exist in a company for a better transparency of derivatives and hedging activities disclosure is the establishment of Risk Management Committees (RMCs) [see 1,6,9]. Nevertheless, there are mixed empirical evidences justifying the existence of RMCs and the degree of transparency and quality of financial instruments disclosure, particularly on the derivatives and hedging activities information<sup>1</sup>, 8, 9. Thus, it is the objective of this study to examine the effectiveness of RMCs. Different from earlier studies, this study focuses on the characteristics of RMCs as a proxy for its effectiveness (i.e. size, independence, meeting, diversity and training). This paper is outlined as follows: Section 2 highlights related Malaysian studies on financial instruments disclosure. Section 3 shows the hypotheses of this study. Section 4 explains the methodology and Section 5 presents the results. Lastly, Section 6 concludes this paper.

## **MALAYSIAN RELATED STUDIES**

In Malaysia, limited studies were found to address the disclosure of financial instruments information [see 6, 8, 15, 18]. The earliest traceable study that provides evidence of the extent of financial instruments disclosure (including derivatives) only relates to two specific company characteristics, which are company size, and the level of foreign activities. Moreover, the study also claims that the level of disclosure of derivatives before the issuance of the accounting standard is low, possibly due to the lack of control mechanisms in Malaysia. In addition, the results of the study has been argued to be bias since the disclosure is voluntary in nature and certain companies might have different capabilities in terms of money and human resources. In another study<sup>6</sup>, it was reported that the existence of the risk management committee (RMC), company size, and profitability are associated with high quality financial instruments information. Although it provides some meaningful insights, the study is still not conclusive as the results may be outdated. The study was conducted in the period before the revised accounting standard for financial instruments was issued. Moreover, in recent studies [8],[18], it is found that RMCs has no relationship with the extent of derivatives disclosure while audit committees have more influence on the extent of the disclosure[18]. It is argued that this may be due to the lack of independence and effectiveness of RMCs.

## **HYPOTHESES**

This study uses agency theory and resource dependency theory as underpinning theories to develop the hypotheses [see, 10, 11, 12]. The following hypotheses have been developed in this study:

- H1:** The extent of hedging activities information disclosure is positively associated with RMC size.
- H2:** The extent of hedging activities information is positively associated with RMC independence
- H3:** The extent of hedging activities information is positively associated with RMC meeting.
- H4:** The extent of hedging activities information is positively associated with the proportion of female directors on RMCs.
- H5:** The extent of hedging activities information is positively associated with the number of risk management training attended by RMC members.

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study uses secondary data, collected from two separate sources which are DataStream and the Malaysian listed companies' annual report, downloaded from the Bursa Malaysia's website. Financial data (i.e. ROA, total assets and leverage) are obtained from the Datastream. Information on the risk management committees is gathered from the board of directors' profile and risk management report section in the annual reports. In the case where RMC is a sub-committee of the audit committee (AC), this study selects the RMC characteristics based on AC characteristics. It is assumed valid as the establishment of an RMC through AC performs similar roles. Our sample comprises 300 largest companies listed on the Main Board of Bursa Malaysia in 2013. Companies that are in the sectors such as banking, insurance, trust, REITs and closed-end funds are omitted from the sample due to additional regulations imposed on them [6,13]. This sample size is assumed sufficient because many previous financial instrument disclosure studies had shown that there is no specific rule that specifies the sample size [See, 1, 2, 8]. The 2013 financial year has been chosen because it is the third year MFRS 7 has been fully adopted. The time is sufficient for companies to adopt the standard. Nevertheless, we eventually ended up with 117 companies since not all the sampled companies use derivatives to evade their financial risk exposure and establish an RMC.

The dependent variable of this study is the extent of hedging activities information disclosure (EHAD). To measure this variable, a disclosure index was prepared, based on 32 mandatory and discretionary disclosure items. The score is calculated by adding up all items disclosed divided by the maximum number of possible scores. Mandated disclosures on derivatives and hedge activities information are directly derived from MFRS 7 (Financial Instruments: Disclosure). Specifically, such disclosure items include all those in the hedge accounting section of MFRS 7 (paragraph 22-24) and other related hedging activities disclosure requirements. For voluntary hedging activities information, the disclosure items are extracted and composed from the accounting literature. In order to avoid unsystematic evaluation processes and the reliability of the design disclosure checklist, we further cross-check such disclosure items with the PWC's IFRS Presentation and Disclosure Checklist 2012. The PWC's disclosure checklist is used because it presents a practically tested auditing tool where this study assumed that high assurance on disclosure of hedging activities information can be counted on. Table 1 shows the measurements of the all the RMC characteristics and control variables.

Table.1. Measurement of Explanatory Variables

Variable Acronym	Definition	Measurement
RSize	RMC Size	Number of RMC members
RINDE	RMC Independence	Proportion of independent non-executive members on the RMC
RMEET	RMC meeting	Number of RMC meetings during the financial year
RDIVER	RMC Gender Diversity	Proportion of female members on the RMC.
RTRAIN	RMC Training	Number of types of risk management training attended by RMC members
CSize	Company Size	Natural log of total assets
PROF	Profitability	Return on assets (ROA)
LEV	Leverage	Debt to total assets ratio
AUDITOR	Auditor Quality	Dichotomous variable 1 if audited by Big 4, 0 otherwise

To test the relationship between RMC characteristics and the extent of hedging activities information disclosure, a multiple linear regression is performed. The regression model is constructed as follows:

$$EHAD_i = \alpha + \beta_1 RSize_i + \beta_2 RINDE_i + \beta_3 RMEET_i + \beta_4 RDIVER_i + \beta_5 RTRAIN_i + \beta_6 CSize_i + \beta_7 PROF_i + \beta_8 LEV_i + \beta_9 AUDITOR_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Descriptive statistics for the variables is shown in Table 2. As evidenced from the table, there are variations in the disclosure of hedging activities information between sampled companies. The mean score for the extent of hedging activities disclosure is 0.7729 with a minimum score of 0.44 and a maximum score of 0.98. The average of RSIZE is 3.83 members (approximately 4), exceeding the minimum demand of three members in AC. The largest RMC comprises 9 members while the smallest comprises 2 members. The mean score of 0.7082 for RINDE indicates that on average, the number of independent directors in RMCs is greater than non-independent directors. In other words, RMCs in Malaysia are more likely to be independent, although it is voluntary in nature. Some of the RMCs comprise of all independent directors, while some have no independent director. On average, RMCs conduct their meetings 4 times a year; however, there are RMCs that did not conduct any RMC meeting. The highest number of meetings of the sampled companies is 12 times. The mean RDIVER of 0.32 shows that on average women represent 32 percent of the RMC.

Table.2. Descriptive Statistics

	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Deviation</b>	<b>Min.</b>	<b>Max.</b>
<b>EHAD</b>	<b>.7729</b>	<b>.15226</b>	<b>.44</b>	<b>.98</b>
<b>RSIZE</b>	<b>3.83</b>	<b>1.132</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>RINDE</b>	<b>.7082</b>	<b>.34945</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>RMEET</b>	<b>4.01</b>	<b>1.887</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>RDIVER</b>	<b>.32</b>	<b>.467</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.71</b>
<b>RTRAIN</b>	<b>2.44</b>	<b>1.214</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>CSIZE</b>	<b>14.8927</b>	<b>1.43135</b>	<b>12.43</b>	<b>18.41</b>
<b>PROF</b>	<b>2.3559</b>	<b>1.16062</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>7.76</b>
<b>LEV</b>	<b>4.8722</b>	<b>1.70329</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>7.65</b>
<b>AUDITOR</b>	<b>.84</b>	<b>.370</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>

Table 3 presents the outcomes of the regression analysis between the disclosure of hedging activities information, RMC characteristics and control variables. The Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) statistics indicate that multicollinearity does not exist in the model as the values are less than 10. The model explains 21.1 percent of the variation in the level of hedging activities information disclosure, which is relatively low. Although the adjusted R<sup>2</sup> is low, the finding is consistent with those of the previous studies, which pointed out that the low R<sup>2</sup> is

common in corporate governance researches [see, 1, 15, 16]. We provide some insights on the association between EHAD and each of RINDE, RMEET, CSIZE and LEV. Table 3 shows that RINDE (t value = -2.497) has a significant and negative relationship with the extent of hedging activities disclosure, at  $p < 0.01$ . This finding indicates that independent directors in RMCs are not concerned with the extent of hedging activities information disclosure. A possible explanation is that independent directors do not contribute their experience as well as their skills and knowledge towards increasing the information on hedging activities [see 15, 16]. As argued in the literature, independent directors do not play an active role in supervising the management as the directors usually rely on management for information due to their busy schedule and commitment towards other activities. Another possible explanation is that the independent directors may have a relationship with the management, making way for their long period of experience on the boards. As a result, their decision making may have been influenced by the management since their appointment to the board is merely due to their relationship with the company. Results from Table 3 also show that RMEET has a significant and positive relationship with the extent of hedging activities information disclosure. This indicates that frequent meetings by RMCs are more likely to be related to high level of hedging activities disclosure. However, RSIZE, RDIVER, RTRAIN and REXPERT are found insignificant.

Table.3. Regression Results

Model	Predicted Sign	Coeff.	SE	t	Sig.	VIF
(Constant)		0.001	0.144	0.009	.993	
RSIZE	+	0.013	0.012	1.071	.286	1.138
RINDE	+	-0.099	0.039	-2.497	.014***	1.204
RMEET	+	0.020	0.007	2.841	.005***	1.142
RDIVER	+	-0.017	0.028	-.617	.539	1.072
RTRAIN	+	-0.013	0.011	-1.101	.274	1.228
CSIZE		0.041	0.010	4.274	.000***	1.183
PROF		0.014	0.013	1.024	.308	1.102
LEV		0.017	0.008	2.168	.032**	1.147
AUDITOR		0.027	0.035	0.780	.437	1.047
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.211	P value	0.000			
F statistic	4.448	N	117			

\*\*\*Significant at the 0.01 level, \*\*significant at the 0.05 level, \*significant at the 0.1 level.

Consistent with prior research, company size was also found to be positively related to the extent of hedging activities information disclosure [see, 1, 2, 3, 6, 15, 16]. Based on agency theory, large firms incur lower information processing costs, but higher political costs, thereby encouraging them to disclose greater information. With regard to audit quality, this study found that hedging activities disclosure is not significantly influenced by Big 4 audit firms<sup>6</sup>. PROF is found not to be related to EHAD. One factor that could explain the finding is the impact of the global systemic economic crisis (i.e. Eurozone debt and world petroleum price fluctuations). The recovery planning after the crisis that occurred during the period may affect the performance of the sampled companies. However, the prediction that the disclosure of hedging activities information is positively related to financial risks (i.e. leverage) is supported at  $p < 0.05$ . The result is consistent with some of the previous studies. The extent of disclosure increases with increasing financial risks, where companies with higher leverage increase disclosure to reduce potential agency costs associated with external funding and their asset replacement<sup>16</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

The objective of this study is to examine the influence of risk management committee characteristics (i.e. RMC size, RMC independence, RMC meeting, RMC gender diversity and RMC training) to the extent of hedging activities information disclosures in the annual reports of Malaysian listed companies. The analysis shows that the RMC characteristics (i.e. RINDE, RMEET) have some significant bearing in influencing the extent of hedging activities information disclosure in Malaysia. Intrinsically, this finding may provide some meaningful insights to the regulator, policy makers and researchers, especially in incorporating RMCs as part of the corporate governance mechanisms. It is not just the existence of RMC, but their effectiveness is something that needs to be emphasized.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks to Ministry of Education Malaysia, Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) and Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM).

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. Hassan, M. Percy, and J. Stewart, "The Transparency of Derivative Disclosures by Australian Firms in the Extractive Industries," *Corporate Governance and Control* vol. 4, pp. 257-270, 2006.

- [2] P. T. Lopes and L. L. Rodrigues, "Accounting for financial instruments: An analysis of the determinants of disclosure in the Portuguese stock exchange," *The International Journal of Accounting*, vol. 42, pp. 25-56, 2007.
- [3] M. Wei and G. Taylor, "Determinants of Fair Value Financial Instrument and Share-Based Payment Disclosure Patterns of Australian Listed Firms," *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, vol. 15, pp. 73-94, 2009.
- [4] M. Bamber and K. McMeeking, "An examination of voluntary financial instruments disclosures in excess of mandatory requirements by UK FTSE 100 non-financial firms," *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, vol. 11, pp. 133-153, 2010.
- [5] V. T. Papa and S. J. Peters, "User Perspectives on Financial Instrument Risk Disclosures under Derivatives and Hedging Activities Disclosures" CFA Institute 2013.
- [6] M. S. Hassan, N. M. Saleh, P. Yatim, and M. R. C. A. Rahman, "Risk Management Committee and Financial Instrument Disclosure," *Asian Journal of Accounting and Governance*, vol. 3, pp. 13-28, 2012.
- [7] G. Taylor, G. Tower, M. V. D. Zahn, and J. Neilson, "Corporate governance determinants on Australian resource companies' financial instrument disclosure practices," *Asian Review of Accounting*, vol. 16, pp. 56-73, 2008.
- [8] M. Abdullah and L. Chen, "The Association between Committees Responsible for Risk Management and the Disclosure Level of Financial Instruments Information among Listed Companies in Malaysia," Master Thesis, School of Business, Economics and Law, University of Gothenburg, 2010.
- [9] J. Birt, M. Rankin, and C. L. Song, "Derivatives use and financial instrument disclosure in the extractives industry," *Accounting & Finance*, vol. 53, pp. 55-83, 2013.
- [10] M. C. Jensen and W. H. T. Meckling, "Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure," *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 3, pp. 305-360, 1976.
- [11] J. Pfeffer and G. R. Salancik, *The external control of organisations: A resource dependence perspective*. New York: Harper & Row, 1978.
- [12] L. Erakovic and S. Goel, "Board-management relationships: resources and internal dynamics.," *Management Review*, vol. 19, pp. 53-69, 2008.
- [13] A. Abdullah and K. N. I. K. Ismail, "Disclosure of voluntary ratios by Malaysian Listed Companies," *Journal of Financial Reporting & Accounting*, vol. 6, pp. 1-20, 2008.
- [14] S. Beretta and B. S., "A framework for the analysis of firm risk communication," *International Journal of Accounting* vol. 39 pp. 265-288, 2004.
- [15] T.-H. Ng, L.-L. Chong, and H. Ismail, "Is the risk management committee only a procedural compliance? An insight into managing risk taking among insurance companies in Malaysia," *Journal of Risk Finance*, The, vol. 14, pp. 71-86, 2013.
- [16] R. Ismail and R. A. Rahman, "Institutional Investors and Board of Directors' Monitoring Role on Risk Management Disclosure Level in Malaysia," *The IUP Journal of Corporate Governance*, vol. X, pp. 37-60, 2011.

[17] J. Oliveira, L. L. Rodrigues, and R. Craig, "Risk-related disclosures by non-finance companies: Portuguese practices and disclosure characteristics," *Managerial Auditing Journal*, vol. 26, pp. 817-839, 2011.

[18] S. Adznan and S. P. Nelson, "Financial Instruments Disclosure Practices: Evidence from Malaysia Listed Firms," in *International Conference on Accounting Studies*, Putra World Trade Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 2014.