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ABSTRACT

This paper examines the image of the pet dog on Greek red-figure pottery from the late archaic and early classical periods. At least half of the representations depict the dogs accompanying boys and men in the gymnasium, often in homoerotic scenarios. The article suggests that rather than being ancillary figures, contributing to a sense of realism in the background setting, the dogs themselves are visual metaphors for the erastês, adding an element of humor and tension to the scenes. In the absence of a depiction of an erastês, a dog may be shown as a comic metonymic stand-in for the pursuing lover. These images are varied and multi-layered, with polyvalent meanings. Considering the representations of dogs within the context of the humorously and erotically charged symposium helps us to understand the function and meaning of the dog as a visual joke referring to the comically aggressive or foolish erastês.
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In late archaic and early classical red-figure symposium pottery, homoerotic images of young athletes surrounded by older men in the gymnasium are very common. Often accompanying the human subjects in the gymnasium is another figure: the pet dog. A rough statistical analysis from the Beazley Archive shows that dogs are represented on over 400 red-figure vases. At least half of these are depicted with athletes in the gymnasium, or otherwise accompanying boys or men, sometimes in explicit scenes of “courtship” [1]. Despite their prevalence on Greek pottery, however, dogs have been underrepresented in scholarship, and when mentioned, have simply been read as illustrations of everyday life, perhaps because our own cultural associations with “man’s best friend” are so strong that we view the images through our own cultural assumptions. The temptation is to see these gymnasium scenes as documentary accounts, perhaps associating them with the matter-of-fact descriptions like Arrian’s, who mentions that his dog “escorts me to the gymnasium, and sits by while I am exercising” [2].

The imagery on Greek painted pottery, however, is not factual evidence, but rather imaginary scenes in which fantasies and humor are given form [3]. This paper will show that the concept of the dog in ancient Greece was multi-faceted, symbolic, and often highly comedic. In fact, the dog could be used as a visual metaphor for the erastês himself (the generally older male lover of a younger boy) and the images of these seemingly innocent domestic canines were used in a particularly rich way to generate humor during the symposium [4]. Indeed, animal scenes in general have been recognized as metaphorical and not just ornamental filler [5], and in light of the textual and visual evidence, the images of dogs, in erotic contexts especially, deserve closer examination.

The painted symptic pottery of the late sixth and early fifth centuries BCE must be understood within the Greek pederastic context. The scenes under examination here depict the gymnasium, and were viewed in the symposium, the two most important loci for homoerotic interaction in the Athenian world [6]. Understanding the images portrayed, and their subversion of the norms, or adherence to them, requires an understanding of the cultural conventions surrounding pederastic practice. Furthermore, it must be understood that the homoeroticism of gymnasium imagery on symposium vessels, like the representations of dogs, does not provide a documentary realism, or necessarily offer a description of historical practice; rather the images play with the expectations regarding social standards in multiple ways. The approach this study takes is based on the fundamental concept that the viewers of these symposium vessels would have normative expectations of pederastic behavior in mind, so that the subversion or exaggeration of them in the images would read as comic. The association of the erastês with either the dangerous hunting dog or the mawkish lap dog could lampoon embarrassing and improper behavior of an erastês towards his erômenos [7].

[1] In general Beazley Archive searches, “dog” is combined with “athlete” 12 times. But this is a low number, since many athletic scenes are not marked as such in the archive. For example, there are 126 instances of “dog and youth”, and many of these boys may be athletes. “Dog and strigil” also appears at least 21 times.
[4] It is necessary to remark upon the convention of referring to these figures as the “erastês” and “erômenos”. The assignment of these labels to the imagery is a modern scholarly creation. It is unknown how the ancient Greeks themselves would have referred to these figures.
[6] “The palaistra is one of the several iconographic markers in the Attic pederastic scenes that indicate the importance of pederastic activities to the visual construction of Athenian aristocratic society. This setting underscores the agonistic nature of gift giving and courtship, which were designed to enhance the status of both erastai and erômenoi. We have also noted that a majority of the pederastic depictions occur on drinking paraphernalia affiliated with the symposion, an aristocratic institution. Kalos inscriptions addressed to beautiful aristocratic youths, the walking sticks on which the erastai lean, and the mantles casually thrown over the shoulders of erastai have also been cited as aristocratic markers.” Barringer 2001: 85.
[7] The mocking of bad pederastic behaviour in Attic comedy also singles out the tropes of the aggressive lecher, or the persistent hopeful erastês. Shapiro 2015: 190, 194-195.
In the images, the erômenos is recognized by his depiction as erotically beautiful and in the ideal age class: a post-pubescent youth who has not yet grown a beard [8]. This is indicated by developed musculature and a smooth face. Often these ideally depicted boys are also shown as smaller than the bearded males nearby, though this use of scale is less consistent in the corpus of Greek pottery than their general appearance is. When pot painters found it necessary to emphasize the perfection of an erômenos’ age, they would also include the detail of the ioulos, the beginning of beard growth on the cheeks [9]. The erastês figure is usually likewise clearly marked. In clearly indicated gymnasia scenes, the younger boys are the practicing athletes, and shown nude, whereas the erastês figures are bearded men who are cloaked and often carrying a walking stick, an indication that they are merely visiting the gymnasium, not participating in exercises themselves [10].

The abundance of eroticized athletic images on sympo-sium vessels encouraged and normalized the sexualization of youths in general [11]. These images also functioned to feed the desire for young beautiful protégés by helping to create a demand. Jacques Lacan’s theories of the relationship between desire and demand can serve to explain the logistics of this process [12]. The upper age limit of the erômenos creates the inevitability of loss for the erastês. The relationship has a culturally prescribed ending, in which the erômenos becomes a fully enfranchised citizen, and then becomes an erastês to his own erômenos. Therefore, the brevity of the relationship creates the eventual feeling of lack, which in turn creates the demand for the next erômenos [13]. To add to the already limited availability of the erômenos, those of ephebe age will be forced to remove themselves from their “Männerbund” for a good part of their final year of their military training, which would, according to Lacan’s theories, intensify the desire felt by the erastês [14]. Desire for the young athlete is also maintained by the fixation upon sympotic images, creating a fantasy of the desired youths. Representations of young, beautiful boys, shown in the symposium would stimulate and further the older men’s interest in the relationship, with all the responsibilities it entailed [15].

It is well documented that the behavior of both parties during pederastic courtship had prescribed ideals [16]. The erastês is the pursuer, and the erômenos is the pursued. We understand from texts that the “boy” should be disciplined and modest, and conduct himself with the utmost aidôs and sôphrosunê (shame and moderation) [17]. Therefore, young athletes would be the most desired of potential erômenoi, since their disciplined training requires these qualities, while their ongoing exercises suggest their physical beauty [18]. In such an asymmetrical relationship, however, these expected behaviors, are fraught with complications and tensions. The boundaries in question offer too many points of slippage for anyone to be completely above reproach. Transgressions are possible at every turn. Fisher notes that though the philosophical ideal of the pederastic relationship stressed the value of a reciprocal, mutual admiration of the minds, the expectation

[10] While the age class markers are used in other scenes without erotic undertones, in the sexually charged contexts of the gymnasium and symposium, the eroticism is implicit.
[11] Shapiro argues convincingly that the eroticization of young men would have been expected and common, not just among elites, but city-wide. Shapiro 2015.
[12] Lacan’s ideas of the fantasy of desire for the Other, and the demand for fulfillment, which is exacerbated by the feelings of lack, or stress of loss, fit the complexities of normative pederastic courtship as we understand it very well. Lacan 1966: 231.
[13] “Most of all, hêbê is fleeting, encompassing the brief span of time between the first appearance of down on the boy’s face and the growth of a proper beard.” Ferrari 2002: 133.
[17] Although our understanding of these norms derives from texts such as Aristophanes’ The Clouds and Aeschines’ Against Timarchus, there are also conventional iconographic ways to depict these behaviors, e.g. by showing a youth draped and veiled to convey aidôs (Ferrari 1990: 190 and passim), or even with his foreskin ligatured, to indicate that he is sexually unavailable (see below), or of course by the manner in which he interacts with the erastês. Dover documents several examples of boys refusing the attentions of older men, Dover 1978: 92. Cairns also discusses the importance of aidôs for boys at the symposium, Cairns 1993: 168, and the physical signs of aidôs: Cairns 1993: 6.
[18] The pinnacle of youthful beauty and athletic achievement is found in the person of Autolykos, in whose honor Xenophon’s symposium was held. The aidôs and sôphrosunê of the young athletic victor are explicitly praised, and his beauty became the topic of the conversation for the night.
The Wolfish Lover: 
The Dog as a Comic Metaphor in Homoerotic Symposium Pottery

On a black-figure amphora in the Vatican, we see two dogs amongst men who are shown to be engaged in different erotic behaviors: bringing each other love-gifts, using the stereotypical “up and down” seduction gesture, and even reaching for a young man’s posterior (fig. 1). The dogs in this scene, when mentioned by scholars at all, are said to connect the erastês to the hunt [21]. The hunt is indeed an important dimension of the dog’s metaphorical role, but these images are more than mere tools used to set the scene. The iconography may contain many associations at once, and while the hunt connection would have been immediately understood, the actions of the dogs in the scene deserve notice.

Upon closer examination, it is clear that dogs add a level of humor and diversion to the scene. The dogs seem to be mirroring their human counterparts: the one with the center couple not only puts its nose directly to the genitals of the erômenos, the younger man, but its tail arches up to “goose” the erastês alongside him, just as the erômenos is being “goosed” by the man behind him. Not only that, but its tail is directly level with and pointed in the same direction as the penis of the man behind him, towards the rear end of the other man, perhaps hinting at that man’s latent desires. The dog to the left seems to have similarly lascivious intentions, poking its nose up to the buttocks of the man in front of him. These dogs are clearly not merely attendants in the scene, but full participants, humorously doubling the actions of the men. At the same time, they also provide a commentary on the erotic wishes of the men who may be refraining from the action themselves out of aidôs. Furthermore, we know from expressions like κυνόδεσμος, among others, that one of the slang terms for the male member was κυω (dog) [22]. It is therefore possible that these canine companions also are a visual pun, giving their actions in this scene an added element of hilarity.

The cultural association of pederastic courtship with the hunting of wild game has received much scholarly attention in recent years [23]. The metaphor of the
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[21] The metaphor, however, is not always to be read in a straightforward way: “The relationship, however, is actually more complex and ambiguous, [than the erastês always being the metaphorical hunter and the erômenos the hunted] involving a vacillating exchange of power between the older erastês, who holds social status, and the erômenos, who, by virtue of the desire that he inspires in the erastês, possesses power.” BARRINGER 2001: 70.

[22] Lilia shows that “κυω” can mean penis or dildo, citing anonymous poets as well as Aristophanes: Lyistrata (158) and Knights (1029). LILJA 1976: 71-72. “κυω, dog, usually stands for the male member”, HENDERSON 1991: 127. “An example from Phrynichos (85; A 13) is typical: kyndesmêi are “the things with which the Athenians tied up their private parts when they stripped, because they called the penis a dog”. MILLER 2004: 12.

The ambiguity and metaphorical complexity of the imagery on vases was part of its appeal, as Richard Neer has shown [32]. In this particular example,
we see a man at a symposium, in his prime as an erastés, reclining and apparently singing the following, written in retrograde script emanating from his mouth: OPAIDONKALLISTE, (“O Most Beautiful of Boys”), while he strokes a hare crouched under his klinê. This scene can be read in several ways. The first is the most simple, in which we see the desirous erastés, thinking about his object of affection, and the hare below may simply be a love-gift he has yet to offer the boy. But the hare also reminds us of the hunt, and the equivalence of the erastés with the hunter; and therefore the erômenos is the hunted, as the hare was before it had been caught. Consequently, the viewer is also reminded of the erastés’ wish to pursue the boy and keep him as his erômenos, which further links the idea of the boy to the hare. Moreover, the words of the symposiast directly associate his touching of the hare with his desire for his erômenos, making the hare a clear stand-in for the erômenos himself. At the same time, however, as one continues to examine the image, there is a wistful humor at play in the dissonance between the concrete metaphor and the boy’s absence.

We can also see this complicated image-play at work in a cup tondo by the Panaitios Painter (fig. 3). We see a long-limbed, muscular hare running up the ground line of the tondo. Behind it is a similarly leggy boy, with hands outstretched in the “sprinter” pose. The painter has also cleverly put him in a crouching position, his height contained by the frame, which makes his arms and legs pinwheel in what appears to almost be the “knielaufen” formula, which in earlier archaic art signified flying. This young athlete is indeed fast, and could evade the swiftest hunter. The association of the boy with the hare in flying gallop is clear. The hare is the boy, the boy is the hare. Similarly, in the “hunt as pederastic courtship” metaphor, the animals’ relationship to the humans is metonymic [33].

This metaphor of erastês-as-hunting dog and erômenos-as-hare was so common that even simple chase scenes could imply such personification. Small oil containers such as askoi often carry animal chase scenes, such as the one by the Pan Painter in Oxford, which features a hound on one side chasing a hare (both in full flying gallop) into a net on the other side [34]. This neat capture of a wild hare may not be simply a hunting scene, as it seems on the surface. It implicitly carries pederastic meaning, for not only are live hares caught this way so that they may be given to erômenoi as love gifts, but these animals may be seen as stand-ins for the erastês and the erômenos themselves. The hound, as the amorous erastês, leaps forward towards the hare, who flees as the erômenos was expected to do at the initial stages of courtship [35]. Seen in this light, the entrapment of the hare may be a humorous indication of the inevitability of the erastês winning the affections of the youth.

The prevalence of dogs in athletic and courting scenes is thus metaphorically significant. This idea seems to have been part of the discourse of pederasty, as shown by the proverb in Plato’s Phaedrus: “as the wolves love lambs, so the erastai love boys” (ὡς λύκοι ἄρνας ἀγαπῶσιν, ὡς παιδὰ φιλοῦσιν ἐρασταί) [36]. Henderson also notes that a “gaping wolf” (λύκος κεχηνώς)
is a proverbial expression for a pederast [37]. In these expressions an element of danger is injected into the relationship, which encourages the younger participant to be reserved, in order to protect himself. This perhaps is part of the implicit education of the boys, since it places the burden of chastity upon the younger participants. The older men are encouraged to pursue the boys so that these erômenoi may themselves learn the power of restraint, the better to become a stable member of the citizen body, while the older men, spurred on by their socially encouraged desire and love for the boys, nurture and teach them. Comparing the erômenos to a lamb about to be ravaged by a wolf, and the erastês to the devouring canine, is a warning as well as a joke. The same is implied by some of these vase paintings. Of course, the images under discussion show pet dogs, not wolves per se, but the devouring canine as rapacious erastês applies to dogs as well, if we can judge from Greek literary conventions. As Saara Lilja notes: “the dog in old myths and in the Homeric epics may have sometimes been identified with the wolf”, and “λύσσα as etymologically akin to λύκος would suit a rabid dog’s wolf-like behavior.” [38]. Furthermore, as Lonsdale has remarked, “the dog is not far removed from his wild cousins, the wolf and jackal. Certain breeds of dogs were believed to contain wild blood. The Laconian hound had no less than seven alleged sires: lion, tiger, civet, cat, fox, jackal, and wolf.” [39] Note too that a term of abuse, κυνώπις (“dog-face”) can signify shamelessness, in particular, sexual intemperance. [40] An even more explicit example of the dog as erastês and the erômenos as hunted may be found on a squat lekythos attributed to the Bowdoin Painter – a shape similar to the prototypical athletic oil jar, the aryballos, and most likely used by young athletes in the gymnasium (fig. 4). It depicts a hound with a figure of Eros in pursuit of a youth, who runs away rapidly [41]. As the boy runs full speed to the right, he turns around towards his pursuers and reaches his right arm back in a gesture of supplication. Sourvinou-Inwood has remarked that this pose is a trope that occurs in heteroerosexual pursuits [42]. She refers to the motif as the “fleeing woman”, although this pose clearly exists in the pederastic repertoire as well [43]. There may be a connection of this formulaic image to the hunt metaphor, assuming the fleeing hare may stand in for the pursued. Hull, in describing a hare hunt, notes that often the hare, after leaping sideways to get away from the hounds, “turns and looks back to see if the hounds can figure out what she has done.” [44] In this composition, Eros flies towards the boy, holding out a garland as a gift [45]. The hound gives chase,
looking up at the youth. Sourvinou-Inwood notes that images such as these suggest that the actions performed by the other figures are done so under the power of Eros [46]. The idea that the erastês is pursuing the youth in the guise of a hunting dog is sharpened by the fact that Eros parallels the dog’s actions in the composition. The dog must be a stand-in for the erastês here, but the boy is then also a hare, behaving like one being chased (he turns his head to look back), while performing the formulaic gesture of the target in a love pursuit [47].

As previously noted, the gymnasium setting is a typical place for aristocratic pederastic courtship. A cup by the Dokimasia Painter shows a gymnasium scene on the exterior, and a complementary scene on the interior of the cup: an elegant erastês type stands in the center of the tondo, accompanied by an enormous Laconian hound (fig. 5) [48]. This associates the man with the wolfish hunting dog, but a further detail brings this idea home: the dog’s hindquarters are hidden behind the erastês, but his tail protrudes from behind the man. The tail is exactly in line with the man’s own rear end, and it appears as though the tail belongs to the man himself. The man would appear to be a hound-dog, on the prowl for young boys in the gymnasium. The symposiast, admiring the athletes in training on the exterior, would find the wolfish lover at the bottom of his cup. This lampoons the drinker’s own possible inclinations, the joke either serving as a warning on how not to behave, or a confirmation of the symposiast’s already untoward desires [49].

In gymnasium grooming scenes we often have the erastês as dog, looking to be fed by the boys (fig. 6). Dogs, present during the boys’ strigiling, stare up at them with rapt attention, shamefully begging like a sexually intemperate “κυνώπης” (dog-eyed) erastês. Often, as here, the young athlete offers the dog the excess olive oil after it has been scraped from

---

[47] There are other examples that offer support for the hypothesis that dogs and hares can be iconographically interchangeable with the erastês and the erômenos with Eros making the intention explicit. See BA 275164*, ARV2 1643.58BIS, Lawrence, KS, University of Kansas, Wilcox Museum, N. 510, and also BA 207614*, ARV2 653.4, Paris, Musée du Louvre 6211.
[48] See also BA 200982*, ARV2 117.4, 1577, St Petersburg, State Hermitage Museum 664.
his body after his exercises [50]. These scenes are usually interpreted as reflecting normal occurrences in everyday life. As we have seen, however, the idea of the simple genre scene in ancient Greek art has rightly been called into question, and I would argue that these cases represent visual humor based on a common pun, in that the dog could be seen as equivalent to the erastês [51]. Therefore, these scenes could be read as a comic take on the practice of potential erastai waiting around the gymnasium to lecherously watch the naked youths practice their events [52]. The dogs, as a metaphor for the erastês, also hang around the gymnasium waiting for the boys. These scenes are not merely anecdotes of daily life, but also an inside joke on the lifestyle of the erastês, begging for a scrap of the beautiful boy’s attention. Dogs had multiple meanings in Greek culture, from vicious and savage to the most loyal and helpful. In some cases the dog represents the desperate, fawning individual [53]. This kind of mockery of the often rather sad position of the potential erastês is well known from Aristophanes’ Clouds. Pet dogs were fed only scraps and bread used for wiping grease away from one’s hands after eating [54]. Dogs in literature are often described as scavengers [55]. So, in these grooming scenes, with the dog literally feeding off the scraps of oil from the boy’s body, there could be quite an erotic undercurrent of both the delectability of the erastês, also hang on the wall behind him. The inscription would weight to the ideas that the slang term for pederast, which young athletes grooming are accompanied by kalos inscriptions that state simply “LYKOS KALOS”, or “the wolf is beautiful”. While the purpose of kalos inscriptions is still debated, many have been shown to underscore jokes in the images or have some other direct relationship to the scene [56]. Kalos inscriptions indeed seem to have a multitude of meanings, to judge from the contexts in which they are found [57]. As Niall Slater shows, the traditional interpretation of kalos inscriptions, which assumes that the name refers to the most sought-after boy of the day, is simplistic, and does not serve us well. He stresses the symposiastic play and the performative nature of the kalos inscriptions [58]. Many varied ironic and humorous uses of kalos inscriptions are documented, including the aryalbas by Phintias which addresses the viewer directly in the vocative “o pai kale” [59]. Moreover, while the name Lykos was quite common in Greece, we must not overlook the comic potential in naming-puns, which are found throughout the corpus of Aristophanes.

This is the case with the cup tondo discussed earlier (fig. 6), which is inscribed "ΛΥΚΟΣ ΚΑΛΟΣ". Here the boy gestures towards the small dog awaiting the excess oil from the strigil after scraping. A sponge hangs on the wall behind him. The inscription would seem to refer to the animal, rather than the boy, since the dog is a major component of the composition [60]. The direct link between the “ΛΥΚΟΣ ΚΑΛΟΣ” inscription and the pet dog on this cup gives weight to the ideas that the slang term for pederast, λύκος, is indeed connected to the pet dogs present in gymnasium scenes. But here, the idea that the “wolfish pederast is beautiful” is juxtaposed not with a hunting hound, but with a very different type of dog: a small, fluffy, gentle breed [61]. This creates a humorous and unexpected contrast between the idea of the dangerous hunter and the mawkish lapdog that the


[52] Aristophanes, Clouds, 971-983. The speaker of these lines, the Just Argument, reveals himself to be a rather an old lecher himself, by describing the boys’ nether regions a bit too zealously.

[53] Pindar, in Pythian 2, lines 82-84 contrasts the sycophantic “tail-wagger” oáïwov, to the brave, direct wolf. LILJA 1976: 51.

[54] HULL 1964: 47.


[58] Slater posits that the usual interpretation of an erastês requesting the potter to “name-check” his beloved is not a sufficient explanation for the corpus of “love-names” that exists. Perhaps the pottery is not as straightforward as the kalos names found in other contexts. “What I am suggesting is that the degree of their proliferation on late archaic vases exceeds anything we can plausibly ascribe to a simple desire of an erastês to communicate with an erômenos. Moreover, their placement on vases demands that they, like other inscriptions, be read out by those using them, especially in the symposion.” SLATER 1999: 155.


[61] It is most likely a Maltese the pampered breed favored by small children, FRANCO 2014: 25, 195.
erastês is in danger of becoming, if he loses dignity in the pursuit of a boy (which, to be sure, would not be kalos). While the fierce hunting dog seems to be the prototypical visual metaphor for the erastês, the harmless-looking Maltese might also carry this connotation for added comedy. The joke would be quite savage, in imagining the reversal of the proper Athenian man, who in his role as erastês is reduced to a hopeful little lapdog to his erômenos, “tail-wagging” and “dog-eyed” [62]. Aeschines speaks of “the hunters of such young men as are easily trapped” (Τοὺς τῶν νέων, ὅσοι ῥαδίως ἁλίσκονται, θηρευτὰς ὄντας) [63]. In images like these, the association of the erastês with the tame, hopeful, admiring dog plays on these metaphorical connections, by twisting the image of the predatory canine into the dependent one [64].

Another instance of the kalos inscription functioning as part of the pederastic joke occurs on a cup by Onesimos: in this image, a robed young man holds a hare by the back legs and the ears (fig. 7). The boy (as a potential erômenos) is linked metaphorically to the hare, and in this image they are also correlated visually. The hunting metaphor, always potentially present in a pederastic context, is activated by the mention of the wolf in the kalos inscription. In the background is a selection of athletic equipment setting the scene as the gymnasion, and the viewer supplies the narrative details. The boy seems to have just finished cleaning up after exercising and has been given a hare by some unseen erastês, the position filled by the viewer, the symposiast using the cup. François Lissarrague notes that kalos inscriptions may link the represented world with the world of the viewer through the performance of the text [65]. This kalos inscription therefore refers to the unseen erastês "ΛΥΚΟΣ ΚΑΛΟΣ", the pederast pursuing the boy in the gymnasium. Put into context, the metaphorical joke involving the viewer comes to light. The viewer himself is the proverbial wolfish lover being referred to in the inscription, which, read aloud during the symposium as the drinker discovers the image at the bottom of his cup, would produce much amusement. The holder of the cup would be compelled to perform his own comic “roast”, at his own expense.

In the right context, the image of the dog and the pederast (λύκος), would be conceptually linked in the appropriate milieu, such as the symposium. Indeed, a series of curious cups in the shape of a dog’s head from around the 480s helps us to locate the context of this metaphor solidly in the symposium (fig. 8) [66]. The jovial, humorous and casual role-playing that occurred during a symposium enables us to understand the use of these unusual vessels. The atmosphere of sexual tension could be lightened by jokes and games. When drinking from a dog cup such as this one, as the symposiast tilts the cup upwards to access the wine inside, the cup covers his face, creating a dog mask [67]. The drinker thus becomes a dog, with all of its humorous and lascivious connotations. The other symposiasts

---

[64] Other examples of the Maltese in pederastic contexts include BA 203815*, ARV2 362.24 Berlin, Antikensammlung F2178 and BA 214094*, ARV2 1005.4, London, British Museum E 315.
[66] These dog shaped plastic vases seem to have been very popular: there are five in existence by the Brygos Painter alone.
[67] This phenomenon seems to have been first noticed by John Boardman: Boardman 1976: 288. The “otherness” of these identities has been documented by François Lissarrague: Lissarrague 1995: 6. Also: Ebbinghaus 2008: 147-153.
might laugh, imagining the reference to erastai, or perhaps the more puerile references to male genitalia [68]. With the drinker masked as a dog, the other symposiasts are cast in the role of the hunted, the erômenos. This may also invert the status of some of the party-goers, causing a comic role reversal.

The rim of this cup is decorated with three symposiasts, reclining, drinking, and playing music. These images are self-referential, linking the context for the use of the cup with the cup itself. The symposiast with the barbiton lyre looks out at the viewer with a frontal face (fig. 9). This may indicate that he is intoxicated, or otherwise in an altered state [69]. The mask-like appearance of this symposiast also relates to the function of the cup, mirroring the use of the dog face as a mask, and the altered states it represents. Literary sources offer several connections between drunkenness and dogs: “A gentle man who gets enraged when drunk” may be compared to “λυσσομανές τι κακόν”, which alludes to a rabid dog” [70]. In Aristophanes’ Wasps, during the trial of the dog, an anecdote about a drunken dog is presented: “One night Aesop was going out to supper. A drunken bitch had the impudence to bark near him.” (Αἴσωπον ἀπὸ δείπνου βαδίζονθ᾽ ἑσπέρας θρασεῖα καὶ μεθύση τις ὑλάκτει κύων) [71]. The word “dog” is used here as a term of abuse, indicating sexual shamelessness [72]. These connections among dogs, drunkenness, and unrestrained sexuality are not unrelated to this style of drinking cup, for before one can make use of the mask function, all the wine must be drained from the cup, making the drinker a canine gluttonous drunk [73]. But humor and pathos come into play when we notice the manner in which the dog is depicted: he is the ideal Laconian hunting hound, but is shown with ears drawn back and a mournful expression, just another love-struck dog-eyed erastês watching a young athlete with wistful longing. He has become a sycophantic tail-wagger, hopeful for some boy’s attention [74].

A cup by the Triptolemos Painter with young men and dogs on the exterior has an intriguing and rare interior tondo (fig. 10). It depicts a nude boy, identified as an athlete in training by his sponge, aryballos, and strigil hanging in the background. He holds a walking stick in his right hand, and touches the back of a dog with his left. The dog is energetically biting his upper thigh. The boy wears a red fillet and his infibulated penis is central to the composition. His ioulos facial hair marks him as of a particularly desirable age [75].

---

[68] See above, on the term κύνοδεσμε, which equates the penis with “dog”. This humorous ambiguity is also found in literature. Lilja 1976: 123.
[73] “Dog gluttons” are also mentioned in Aristophanes, Wasps, 923.
[74] Pindar, in Pythian 2, 82-84 directly contrasts the sycophantic “tail-wagger” oaiwov, to the brave wolf.
the dog bites into him vigorously, even appearing to have drawn blood, the boy seems unperturbed. His reaching towards the dog could be read either as mild restraint or a simple stroking gesture. This strange scene is exceptional in the corpus of extant Greek pottery.

The scenes on this remarkable cup have been interpreted as a “dog training school”, with some even going so far as to suggest that they reflect a progression in training: the dog on the interior is in the beginning stages of his training, and on the exterior, the same dog has become successfully trained (fig. 11) [76]. It seems clear, however, that the scenes are humorous metaphors involving the complex relationship between a pursuing erastês and reluctant erômenos. If we accept that the dog is often a stand-in metaphor for the erastês himself, in the complicated image and word play of the symposium, then we can read these scenes very differently.

One of the comedic aspects of the tondo is the contrast between the calm, restrained athlete and ravaging dog, which is highlighted by his penis ligature, or κυνόδεσμος, literally “dog leash”. This so-called “infibulation” was an important practice for athletes, not as any kind of protection for the genitals, but rather as a marker of sexual abstinence during training [77]. The containment of desire had far-reaching implications for the ideal citizen in the classical period, as Winkler, among others, has shown [78]. The consummate citizen would be the master of self-control. If not, one was on a slippery slope to being labeled

---


The Wolfish Lover: The Dog as a Comic Metaphor in Homoerotic Symposium Pottery

especially prominent" the pleasures of a carousing victor must have been especially prominent" [80]. The symposium would be the primary locus for this, as symposia often followed athletic games, and were frequently held to honor a victor, like the one Xenophon describes.

With the practice of infibulation indicating sexual abstinence and restraint, and being expressed provocatively in Greek as κυναίδος, the dog in the scene being held by the boy may signify something more than merely a dog-training session. It is possible that the scene represents the power and control the erômenos has over the sexual relationship. His ligatured foreskin (leashed dog) may be the metaphor that is the punch line of the scene, for while he is the picture of sôphrosunê, the dog (erastês) needs to be put on a leash! [81] With the young athlete signaling his sexual unavailability during training, and the dog ravaging the top of his thigh – the very location of intercultural sex – this is an inversion of the more typical scenes of letting the dog lick scraps from the strigil [82]. This dog-cum-erastês is not content with small favours and has indeed become a "κύων λυσσατίρъ", a wolfish, rabid, mad dog [83].

But though he is bleeding, the boy seems unmoved. If this scene is meant literally, then this a non-realistic reaction is puzzling. In fact, however, it demonstrates the ideal erômenos: completely in control, prioritizing his athletic training and education by adhering to the ideal of sexual abstinence, but also rejecting the aggressive advances of erastai with kindness. The interplay of power and control between erastês and erômenos is often fodder for iconography, and here there is a definite reversal of the usual position of the helpless hare, unavoidably running into the trap of the skillful hunter. Here instead, we see an unsuccessful hunter who has completely lost control.

The dog even appears to be defecating as he raves the boy’s thigh, adding yet another level of shamefulness [84]. Depictions of symposiasts relieving themselves, elsewhere in the corpus of Greek pottery, seem to suggest the dangers of drinking to excess, as a comic warning to those partaking from the vessels on which they are shown [85]. One cup in Boston depicts a symposiast wiping himself after relieving himself, comically placed on the inside of the cup, as a warning after the fact not to overindulge [86]. Another cup in Brussels shows a boy of ideal erômenos age on another interior cup tondo, squatting and both urinating and defecating [87]. Some of these images also combine lack of sexual control with the other bodily functions. Such behaviors are associated with dogs, since they will transgress the taboos of coprophilia as well as being intemperate enough to mate in public [88]. A black-figure cup in Boston by the Amasis Painter makes this connection very clear: the exterior of the kylix shows two bearded men, vigorously masturbating, while under the handles next to each man’s head, is a squatting dog defecating [89]. The actions of the erastês-age men and the hound dogs are bound together in their shamelessness. These comparanda attest to the meaning proposed here: that the dog biting the thigh of the youth is a metonym for the sexually intemperate, shamelessly drunk, untoward erastês. It is a humorous portrait of how not to behave when approaching a young man.

The exterior of the same cup shows a gymnasium scene with boys at various stages of grooming: one getting dressed in a cloak with a woven design on the

---

[81] This was independently noticed by Pevnick: “the painter has created a visual pun in which the unleashed dog attacks the ‘leashed’ youth”. Pevnick 2014: 156.
[82] For the importance of the eroticization of the thigh, see Parker 2015: 31-54.
[84] Pevnick calls this combination of violence and “simultaneous defecation” “unparalleled”. Pevnick 2014: 156. In his discussion of defecating dogs, Pevnick is convinced that they are comic in black-figure (p. 157), but seems unconvinced of the humor on the Triptolemos Painter cup (p. 158).
[85] Schäfer 1997: 56-7. Also see Plato, Gorgias 494B, which compares the life of a bird that excretes excessively with that of a sexually intemperate κυναίδος.
[86] BA 201586*, ARV2 174.22, Boston, MA, Museum of Fine Arts 08.31B. This image adds further humour by also showing the man with a limp, comically large penis.
edge, another with an aryballos strapped to his arm, and another with a strigil. The other side shows two boys with walking sticks, again clearly in a gymnasium, as there is a grooming kit and strigil in the background. They are interacting with a well-behaving hunting dog, who stands with elegant posture and offers a paw to the boy on the right. There is a definite contrast with the dog depicted on the interior. Seen in a metaphorical sense, it may be read as humorous dating advice: how to seduce a erômenos. If these dogs represent the erastês as the wolf, to whom the erômenos is a lamb, then these scenes are a witty take on the power struggles of the pederastic relationship. While the erastês introduces the erômenos into Athenian society, and guides him in the practices of citizenry, the images on this cup show a comedic reversal of the educational relationship. In these scenes, the boys tame and train the wayward dogs, alluding to the ideal of the erômenos’ self-restraint in the courting stage of the pederastic relationship [90]. The potential erômenos was expected to withhold his affections from the erastês, and tame his over-arduous gestures. The metaphor of the erastês-as-dog allows the pot painter to create humorous scenarios that play with and subvert these cultural ideals. Here, the erômenos is shown as the powerful member of the relationship, the erastês is reduced to a slobbering, “gaping wolf” (λύκος κεχηνώς).

This study demonstrates a few of the many ways in which the image of the dog can be used for humorous effect on symposium pottery. The visual pun of the erastês metonymically depicted as a dog lends itself to multiple comedic uses. There is role-reversal, mocking, sexual and scatological humor, as well as irony. The setting of gymnasium in which many of these scenes occur is ideal for what Mitchell calls “situation comedy”, since the open competition for young men’s attentions could lead to tension [91]. Considering these images were employed during the symposium, where the erotically agonistic atmosphere continued, the images could provide a locus of discussion and laughter. Personal connections to the images could be drawn, or alternatively, the imagery could be used as a deflection from social pressures. The dog, as a figure of both inventive and affection, along with its associations with both danger and ribald humor, provided additional entertainment and meaning to the symopic iconography of the late archaic and early classical periods. ■

[90] The message here, is not unlike the ideals put forth in Phaedrus’ speech in Plato’s Symposium 178b-180c, in which he suggests that the desire to avoid looking shameful to one’s beloved is a force that holds everyone in society accountable.
BIBLIOGRAPHY

ADAMS, Charles D., 1919, transl., The Speeches of Aeschines, Cambridge, MA.


BEAZLEY, John D., 1956, Attic Black-Figure Vase-Painters, Oxford.

BEAZLEY, John D., 1963, Attic Red-Figure Vase-Painters, 2nd ed., Oxford.


CALDER, Louise, 2011, Cruelty and Sentimentality: Greek Attitudes to Animals, 600-300 BC, London.


DINGWALL, Eric John, 1925, Male Infellation, London.


HEARN, Jeff & MORGAN, David, 1990, Men, Masculinities and Social Theory, London.


HOFFMANN, Herbert, 1977, Sexual and Asexual Pursuit, Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland (Occasional papers 34).


Stroblmayr, Tanja, 2011, Mensch und Hund in der griechisch-römischen Antike, Tönning.


