

The court of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm Khān-i Khānān as a bridge between Iranian and Indian cultural traditions

Corinne Lefèvre

▶ To cite this version:

Corinne Lefèvre. The court of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm Khān-i Khān-i Khānān as a bridge between Iranian and Indian cultural traditions. Allison Busch et Thomas de Bruijn. Culture and Circulation. Literatures in Motion in Early Modern India, E. J. Brill, p. 75-106, 2014, 978-90-04-26447-2. halshs-01956007

HAL Id: halshs-01956007 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-01956007

Submitted on 4 Jan 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Literature in Motion in Early Modern India

Edited by

Thomas de Bruijn and Allison Busch



BRILL

Cover illustration: Photo taken from the interior of the Hawa Mahal, Jaipur, by Thomas de Bruijn.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Culture and Circulation : Literature in Motion in Early Modern India / edited by Thomas de Bruijn, Allison Busch.

pages cm. -- (Brill's Indological Library)

Includes index.

II. Busch, Allison, editor of compilation. -History and criticism. 2. Literature and society--India. I. Bruijn, Thomas de, editor of compilation. ISBN 978-90-04-26447-2 (hardback: alk. paper) -- ISBN 978-90-04-26448-9 (e-book) 1. Indic literature-

891'.1-dc23 PK2903.C85 2014

2013043386

For more information, please see www.brill.com/brill-typeface. covering Latin, IPA, Greek, and Cyrillic, this typeface is especially suitable for use in the humanities. This publication has been typeset in the multilingual "Brill" typeface. With over 5,100 characters

ISSN 0925-2916 ISBN 978-90-04-26447-2 (hardback) ISBN 978-90-04-26448-9 (e-book)

Copyright 2014 by the Editors and Authors.

This work is published by Koninklijke Brill NV. Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Nijhoff, Global Oriental and Hotei Publishing. Koninklijke Brill NV reserves the right to protect the publication against unauthorized use and to

authorize dissemination by means of offprints, legitimate photocopies, microform editions, reprints, translations, and secondary information sources, such as abstracting and indexing services including be addressed to Koninklijke Brill NV. databases. Requests for commercial re-use, use of parts of the publication, and/or translations must

This book is printed on acid-free paper



Printed by Printforce, the Netherlands

CONTENTS

| 222 | "Krishna is the Truth of Man": Mir 'Abdul Wahid Bilgrami's Haqā'iq-iHindī (Indian Truths) and the Circulation of Dhrupad and Bishnupad |
|--------|--|
| 186 | Poetry in Motion: Literary Circulation in Mughal India |
| 160 | The Gopīs of the Jñāndev Gāthā |
| 139 | Shifting Semantics in Early Modern North Indian Poetry: Circulation of Culture and Meaning |
| 107 | Mirabai at the Court of Guru Gobind Singh |
| 75 | The Court of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm Khān-i Khānān as a Bridge between Iranian and Indian Cultural Traditions |
| 47 | Pirates, Poets, and Merchants: Bengali Language and Literature in Seventeenth-Century Mrauk-U |
| 21 | Persian as a Passe-Partout: The Case of Mīrzā 'Abd al-Qādir Bīdil and his Hindu Disciples |
| ۲ | Introduction |
| ix ∑ii | Acknowledgements List of Contributors |

≤.

| Index 303 | A Braj Poet in Colonial Times | Culture in Circulation in Eighteenth-Century North India: Urdu Poetry by a Rajput Krishna Devotee |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---|
| 303 | 278 | 247 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present volume originated in a conference in 2006 at Leiden University in the Netherlands, which brought together a group of scholars who saw the need to better understand the cultural exchanges that were driven by the circulation of writers, poetic forms, and ideas in premodern South Asia. The editors convened a panel entitled "People in Motion, Ideas in Motion: Culture and Circulation in Premodern South Asia" over two days, provoking many interesting nodes of discussion. We have since worked closely with the contributors over a period of years to produce the essays collected here. Not all of the original panelists (there were nearly twenty) are represented, but all helped in the conceptualization of the project. We are particularly thankful to Vasudha Dalmia, Françoise "Nalini" Delvoye, Samira Sheikh, Ramya Sreenivasan, and Hugh van Skyhawk for their contribution to the ideas that fuel the essays in the volume.

The Leiden conference marked a moment of taking stock of the history and practice of the study of India's centuries of textual traditions. Several of the scholars working in vernacular languages (especially Hindi) had regularly interacted at a triennial international conference that was often dubbed "the Bhakti conference". Many of us felt that it would be desirable to meet more regularly as well as to engage with wider linguistic terrains and broader questions. One productive outcome of the panel was to foreground the links and crossovers between the discrete and sometimes fragmented academic lineages that comprise the field. This volume, which especially foregrounds the early modern period, develops new ideas, but also stands on the shoulders of a pioneering generation of scholars, who brought a wealth of material to light and first charted this relatively unknown terrain.

The sparkling presence of Aditya Behl merits a special tribute. As a discussant, he commented on and summarized the insights from the various papers, and helped to synthesize them in a manner that enormously benefited the present volume. His untimely death in 2009 stopped short his contribution to this field of scholarship, but his sparkling genius still inspires the essays in the volume.

We would also like to thank the hosts of the Leiden conference, EASAS (the European Association for South Asian Studies) and the IIAS

- _____. 2002. On Arakanese Territorial Expansion: Origins, Context, Means and Practice. In Gommans and Leider 2002, pp. 127–50.
- 2004. Le royaume d'Arakan, Birmanie, son histoire politique entre le début du XV et la fin du XVII' siècle. Paris: Ecole Française d'Extrême-Orient.
- . 2006. "Specialists for Ritual, Magic and Devotion: The Court Brahmins (punna) of the Konbaung Kings (1752–1885)." Journal of the Burma Studies 10, pp. 159–202.
- Lombard, Denys. 1967. Le sultanat d'Atjéh au temps d'Iskandar Muda: 1607–1636. Paris: Ecole Française d'Extrême Orient.
- Meisami, Julie Scott. 1987. Medieval Persian Court Poetry. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Mitchiner, Michael. 2000. The Land of Water: Coinage and History of Bangladesh and Later Arakan, Circa 300BC to the Present Day. London: Hawkins Publications.
- Pollock, Sheldon. 2006. The Language of the Gods in the World of Men. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Prakash, Om. 2002. "Coastal Burma and the Trading World of the Bay of Bengal, 1500–1680." In Gommans and Leider 2002, pp. 93–106.

 Prakash, Om and Deny Lombard, eds. Commerce and Culture in the Bay of Bengal, 1500–1800.
- New Delhi: Manohar.

 Raymond, Catherine. 1995. "Etude des relations religieuses entre le Sri Lanka et l'Arakan du XII" au XVIII" siècle: documentation historique et évidences archéologiques." Journal Asiatique 283: 2, pp. 469–501.
- Roy, Asim. 1983. The Islamic Syncretistic Tradition in Bengal. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Sen, Sukumar. 1999–2000 [1975–78]. *Bāṅgālā sāhityera itihāsa. 2* vols. Kolkata: Ānanda publishers.
- Shackle, Christopher. 2007. "The Story of Sayf al-Mulūk in South Asia." *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 17: 2, pp. 115–29. Sharif, Ahmad, ed. 1958. *Abdul karim sāhityavišārada-saṃkalita puthi-pariciti*. Dhaka: Dhākā
- Sharif, Ahmad, ed. 1958. *Ābdul karim sāhityavišārada-saņikalīta puthi-pariciti*. Dhaka: Dhākā Višvavidyālaya.
- _____ 1999 [1983]. *Bāṅgālī o baṅglā sāhitya. 2* vols. Dhaka: Bangla Academy. Sharif, Md. Jamal. 2004. *Dakkan main urdū shā'irī walī se pahle*. Hyderabad: Idāra-yi
- Adabiyat-i Urdu.

 Sternbach, Ludwik 1963. "The Pali Lokanīti and the Burmese Nīti kyan and their Sources."

 Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 26: 2, pp. 329–45.
- Subrahmanyam, Sanjay. 2002. "And a River Runs through it: The Mrauk-U Kingdom and its Bay of Bengal Context." In Gommans and Leider 2002, pp. 107–26.
- 2005. "Persianization and "Mercantilism" in Bay of Bengal History, 1400–1700." In S. Subrahmanyam, ed. Explorations in Connected History, from the Tagus to the Ganges. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, pp. 45–79.
- Wormser, Paul, and Thibaut d'Hubert. 2008. "Représentations du monde dans le golfe du Bengale au XVII" siècle: Alâol et Rânîrî." *Archipel* 76, pp. 15–35.
- Yegar, Moshe. 1972. Muslims of Burma. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.

THE COURT OF 'ABD-UR-RAHĪM KHĀN-I KHĀNĀN AS A BRIDGE BETWEEN IRANIAN AND INDIAN CULTURAL TRADITIONS

Corinne Lefèvre*

'Abd-ur-Raḥīm Khān-i Khānān (1556–1627) was a major figure from the Mughal nobility during the reigns of Akbar (1556–1605) and Jahāngīr (1605–27). Born in India, he was the son of Bairam Khān—one among the few Iranian amīrs who had gathered around Bābur (r. 1526–30) in the hope of a new and rewarding career under the rising Mughal power. Bairam Khān had indeed achieved considerable success under Bābur and his successors (he became the tutor and vice-regent of the young Akbar) before falling into disgrace in 1561 and being murdered soon afterwards. Following Bairam Khān's death, Akbar took his only son under his personal care and entrusted his education to several distinguished scholars. The 1580s saw the real start of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's political career, the sequence of which closely parallels that of the Mughal expansion: After having successfully headed the imperial campaigns in Gujarat (1583) and Sindh (1591), he was assigned to the Deccan (1593) where he spent his remaining life and enjoyed the highest degree of power and autonomy.¹

Following the imperial example—and more particularly that of his father—'Abd-ur-Raḥīm was a man of the sword as well as of the pen. He first distinguished himself by his language skills: His own writings show that he was proficient in Turkish, Persian, and Hindavi, and he is said to have known Arabic, Sanskrit, and Portuguese—learning the last at Akbar's request. His personally translating Bābur's memoirs from Turkish into Persian testifies to his linguistic capabilities. The Khān was also an author in his own right, as is evident from the poetry he composed under the pen name of "Raḥīm" ("the compassionate"). Aside from his own literary skills, the amīr was an enthusiastic patron of architecture as well as an ardent collector of books. From the 1580s onwards, he maintained a library-cumworkshop, which is one of the best documented examples of a sub-imperial library in Mughal times. Reflecting the wide-ranging interests of its patron

^{*} I am grateful to Allison Busch, Sunil Kumar, Imre Bangha, and the late Aditya Behl for their valuable comments on earlier drafts of this article.

For a detailed account of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's career under Akbar, see Husain 1999: 27–36.

in literature, painting, and architecture, the <u>Kh</u>ān's court (and renowned generosity) attracted scholars and artists from all over Iran as well as from different parts of India.

across South Asia through a study of the Khān's entourage. I am also cen on what these dynamics meant for their participants in terms of prestige. cultural dynamics of circulation at work in a sub-imperial court envisioned Raḥīm. After analysing these intertwined instances of circulation, the essay cultural traditions as expressed in the works produced or patronized by trally concerned with crossovers between Persian and multi-faceted Indian jectories. The first is the circulation of people between Iran and India and In this essay I will focus more particularly on two types of circulatory traas one among the many courtly layers of early modern India, and to reflect should help to uncover it. Third is the opportunity to closely examine the addressed in scholarship, but the circulation paradigm adopted in this book will conclude with a brief examination of the links between 'Abd-urpossessed a certain fluidity in identity that has by and large failed to be the author of a rich corpus of poetry written in Hindavi. Raḥīm obviously pen of historians of Hindi literature and is presented almost exclusively as briefly his cultural activities, the man takes on the garb of Raḥīm under the Khān-i Khānān as a great general and administrator but mention only accounts found in handbooks of Mughal history generally portray the dual characterization of his person in secondary literature. While the his activities as a patron—is a strong draw for the historian. Second is the chronicle commissioned by 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm that details his life as well as untapped documentation—in this case the Ma'āṣir-i Raḥīmī, a massive and cultural paradigms. Except for the Iranian origin and the geographical of West and South Asian literati, who carried with them different languages elaboration. Successively established in Thatta, Ahmadabad, and foremost the existence of contemporary, relevant, and yet relatively choose to address the question of circulation through this figure? First and however, equally apply to any of the Mughal royalty he served. Why then Burhanpur, his court became another moving center for the growing flow sively within and on the edge of the royal territories, bringing him and locations of his court, the above characterization of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm could, tions that differed in some cases substantially from the central norm under many other soldier-administrators into contact with regional courtly tradi-Mughal Empire. Himself the son of an Iranian migrant, he travelled extenfully participated in some of the circulatory dynamics that nurtured the As shown by this brief survey, 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's life and achievements

Raḥīm's cultural stance and contemporary norms at the imperial Mughal court and thus address the question of the circulation of models from an imperial to a sub-imperial level. Before dealing with these questions, however, it is essential to pause a moment and properly introduce the main Persian source for this study, the *Ma'āṣir-i Raḥīmī.*²

of the time, also of Iranian origin), and stayed in India until his death sometime after 1636.4 Prince Parwīz, and subsequently Mahābat Khān (one of the greatest amīrs after he completed the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī: He first served Jahāngīr's son achievements. Unfortunately, not much is known of the life of Nahāwandī service of the $\underline{\mathit{Kh}}$ an, who commissioned him to write a book on his life and immediately proceeded to Burhanpur and shortly afterwards entered the he had no choice but self-imposed exile. On his arrival in India in 1614, he over his charge of wazīr in Kashan he was slandered to such a degree that of fortune: his elder brother was murdered, and when Nahāwandī took description of the Khān's munificent patronage but he decided to leave Iran for a very different reason. In 1607, his family suffered a sudden reversal served 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm. Nahāwandī may well have been swayed by the Asadābādī, a Persian poet who had just returned from India where he had elder brother discharged the function of wazir in Kashan, while he himsel to write poetry. Sometime after 1598 he met Mīr Mughiṣ-ud-dīn Maḥwī It was also in Kashan that he began to associate with literary people and held the position of steward of the Shāh's private purse in that same city. Isma'îl (r. 1501–1524). During Shāh 'Abbās's reign (1587–1629), Nahāwandī's origin, his family joined the service of the Safavids at the time of Shāh 'Abd-ul-Bāqī was born in 1573 near Hamadan in western Iran. Of Kurdish period, and his itinerary thus deserves more than a cursory treatment. networks that linked Iran to the Subcontinent during the early modern life, he was one among the many participants in the cultural and courtly immigrant named 'Abd-ul-Bāqī Nahāwandī. As shown by the events of his The work was written in 1616 at the behest of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm by a Persian

The Ma'āṣir-i Raḥīmī was thus composed at the request of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm during one of the most important periods of his career: Between 1612 and 1616 he exercised an undivided authority over the southern prov-

 $^{^2}$ Raḥīm being both the <u>Khā</u>n's pen name and a divine epithet, the title of the book may alternatively be translated as "The Memorable Deeds of Raḥīm" or "The Glories of the Compassionate".

³ The following account is based on Nahāwandī 1910–31, iii: 1536–46.
⁴ Orthmann 1996: 12.

medium for dynastic and royal propaganda. The Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī should scholars. On the verge of old age (he was then sixty years old), the Khān accusations are far too numerous in the contemporary sources to be and fomenting division among the Mughal amirs serving in the Deccan, questioned. He was thought to be secretly collaborating with the enemy during the first quarter of the seventeenth century, his management of more than three thousand pages) naturally necessitate a great deal of caupaign of public relations directed at the emperor and the Mughal nobility.8 thus be considered not only a highly eulogistic work but also a great cam an amīr as chronicles had, by the seventeenth century, become a privileged for his rehabilitation—a bold (yet not uncommon?) gesture on behalf of present quite a different image from the one spread by his detractors. To probably felt the need to answer the charges brought against him and to ignored or ascribed to malevolence or jealousy, as has been argued by some thereby compromising the chances of Mughal success in the area.⁵ These against the independent sultanate of Ahmadnagar was, however, seriously Deccan affairs and, more particularly, of the Mughal campaigns launched inces of the Mughal Empire. From the mid 1590s onwards, and even more tion in its use by scholars The political stakes that underlie this monumental work (encompassing this end, he appropriated the model of imperial chronicling as the means

'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's Entourage

Of particular interest for understanding the various constituencies of 'Abdur-Raḥīm's immense patronage activities is the epilogue (<u>khātima</u>) of the *Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī*: Covering nearly one thousand and seven hundred pages, it deals with the life and work of the men who benefited from the <u>Kh</u>ān's patronage. The biographical entries are divided into three sections: 'ulamā'

reward. Zuhūrī and Malik Qummī are telling examples of poets featured amounted merely to the sending of a poem in the hope of some financia tioned in the epilogue never left Iran, and their relationship to the Khān were only very vaguely connected with the amir's court. Some poets menobviously quite loose and he included individuals, especially poets, who when listing the recipients of the Khān's patronage. These criteria were observation about the epilogue relates to the criteria applied by Nahāwandī hagiography shown by some parts of the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī. The second his panegyrist were fully aware of this is borne out by the shift towards was a space with a freer scope for self-assertion. That 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm and ment is not altogether surprising: As a sphere of activity that state authorculture was in the $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ ān's definition of (his own) power. Albeit, this statewhole Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī) were devoted to poets highlights how central that one thousand and five hundred pages alone (i.e., nearly half of the of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm as an embodiment of the perfect patron clearly chalin Mughal India and underlies their political significance. The presentation a statesman points to the enormous prestige attached to cultural activities ity could not so easily control, culture (and, more particularly, literature) the Khān's patronage was deemed fit to mend his damaged reputation as tor as well as a dervish whose piety verged on sanctity.¹⁰ That the scale of Raḥīmī—the other two being to portray him as an exceptional administrastands as one of the pillars of the rehabilitation put forward by the Ma'āṣir-i special attention to 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm as an outstanding patron, and this more than half of his work to the "protégés" of the Khān, Nahāwandī drew observations about the epilogue. The first relates to its scale, By devoting lenged the emperor who claimed that very status for himself.¹¹ The fact assessed its status as a historical document. Here I would like to make two of merit (sipāhiyān wa musta'iddān). A number of scholars have relied on and scholars ('ulamā' wa fuẓalā'), poets (shu'arā'), and soldiers and men this epilogue to reconstruct the amīr's patronage,9 but none has critically

Harawī 1960, ii: 498; Jahāngīr 1999: 114; 'Abd-us-Sattār 2006: 114–5; Bhakkarī 1993: 32. See in particular Schimmel 1990: 154, 159–60, and 1992: 210, 212, and 217.

⁷ While no trace of such an appropriation may be found (except for one case) during the preceding period, three other sub-imperial chronicles were commissioned by Mughal amīrs during the reign of Jahāngīr: the Zubdat-ut-tawārikh for Shalkh Farīd Bukhārī also known as Murtazā Khān (d. 1616), the Tārīkh takhān Jahān iwa Makhzan-iAfahānī (1613) for Khān Jahān Lodi, and the Anfa'-ut-akhbār (1626–1627) for Khanja Khān. Taken together, these works testify to the filtering down of imperial genres and instruments to the "lower" levels of the state apparatus—a process whose centrifugal implications would become clear by the eighteenth century.

⁸ For a demonstration of this point, see Lefèvre 2007: 1292–9.

Haq 1931, Naik 1966, Khan 1985, and Orthmann 1996

¹⁰ This is argued in greater detail in Lefèvre 2007.

In this respect, it is interesting to note that whereas Raḥīm is never mentioned as a patron in the Johāngīr Nāma, most of the references to him found in the Majālis-i Jahāngūrī—a newly discovered chronicle that was written under the close supervision of the emperor—pertain to the poets he patronized (Shakībī, Nažīrī Nishāpūrī, Nau'ī Khurāsāmi, and Kufīr) and to his connoisseurship of poetry ('Abd-us-Sattār 2006: 39–40, 49, 189–90, and 199). While this discrepancy may be explained by the different focuses and audiences of the texts, it shows that Jahāngīr was perfectly aware of the Khān-i Khānān's high status as a patron and makes his silence in the memoirs all the more significant.

patronage. Even though both poets wrote verses in praise of 'Abd-urpoets enjoyed with the Deccani courts did not prevent them from estabof Ahmadnagar and Bijapur. Of course, the privileged connection that both $Rah\bar{i}m,^{12}$ it is well known that they were based at the courts of the sultans in the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī who were not actually under 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's missed by his panegyrist or the reader: 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's own status as a the rubric of the poets patronized by the Khān could hardly have been tage of including such famous literary figures in the Ma'āṣir-i Raḥīmī under lishing special relations with other leading regional figures. Still, the advanpatron swelled accordingly as a result.

or gifted members of the $\underline{\mathsf{Kh}}$ ān's atelier, and has pointed to the lack of a of five known from the Ma'āṣir-i Raḥīmī. Seyller's analysis has further shown and he has uncovered the names of sixteen artists to supplement the list ducted by John Seyller, these inscriptions have recently been collected, scripts made or altered for 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm. Thanks to the research conbenefit from consulting the notes and signatures inscribed on the manuprovides must be checked against other sources. For the painters, we may minded reliance on its contents. Whenever possible, the information it coherent motivation behind their inclusion in the chronicle.¹³ This last that the painters mentioned by Nahāwandī were far from the most prolific Raḥīmī as a historical document, but rather to caution against any simplebuilt on the orders of the $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ ān in Burhanpur. 15 As to the Persian-speaking I only know of one, which is dated 1607–1608 and concerns a hammam their buildings,14 and very few inscriptions have actually survived. In fact, process proves more intractable since none of the other descriptions that using his work. Concerning the architectural production, the verification point may be interpreted as a sign of Nahāwandī's unfamiliarity with or biographical literature (tazkiras) produced in Mughal India, the Deccan poets, additional information may be found in their own works, and the have come to my notice provide any new evidence on the architects or lack of interest in the visual arts; it certainly calls for further caution in Sultanates, Safavid Iran, and Uzbek Central Asia. Altogether different is The aim of these remarks is not to preclude us from using the Ma'asir-t

15 Verma 1955-6: 115-6.

to have extensively patronized. the case of the Hindavi-speaking literati whom 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm is also said

giving them an equal visibility in the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī¹9—a rather astute able to attract to their court. 18 Even though the Khān personally cultivated and foremost on the number and greatness of the Persian-speaking (rather cerned. Concurrently, the personal prestige of these amīrs depended first them the most prestigious idiom where culture and politics were conin the literature written in that language, Persian certainly remained for emperor and the Mughal nobility (as hinted above) or, more precisely, the answer can be given here, several lines of reflection may be offered. The to read the poems? If so, why did he (or 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm, for that matter) both literary traditions, he or his panegyrist apparently refrained from than Hindavi-speaking) literati (preferably of Iranian origin) they were 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm) were also proficient in Hindavi and took a keen interest Persian-speakers among them. Now, while many of these men (including first one pertains to the intended audience of the Ma'asir-i Raḥīmī, i.e., the finally decide not to include them in his chronicle? While no definitive works of these Hindavi-speaking poets: Did he, during his stay in the raises a number of questions. First is the issue of Nahāwandi's access to the this group later.¹⁷ His wish was, however, never fulfilled and his silence showered praise on the \underline{Kh} ān, and expresses the wish to deal at length with also apologizes for not taking into account the Hindavi-speaking poets who responded to one-tenth of the latter's. 16 Further on in the text, Nahāwandī contains very little information on the subject. Nahāwandī states that Deccan, learn some kind of Dakani Hindavi that would have enabled him former's material and symbolic remuneration (wages and prestige) corinferior to that shown to Persian-speaking poets, meaning thereby that the favor (tajammul wa in am wa iḥsān) he bestowed on them was ten times Hindavi-speaking poets eulogized the Khan, but he also indicates that the In this respect, it is first important to note that the Ma'asir-i Raḥīmi

Nahāwandī 1910-31, iii: 399-446, 450-2, 469-89

¹³ Seyller 1999: 317-8.

¹⁴ Compare, for example, Nahāwandī 1910—31, ii: 607—9, and Jahāngīr 1999: 247 on the Garden of Victory (*fath bāgh*) built at the behest of 'Abd-ur-Rahim near Sarkhej (Gujarat).

¹⁶ Nahāwandī 1910-31, ii: 561-2.

Ibid., 590.

awarded only once to an Indian Muslim (Abū'l Fazl's brother Faizī) is even more telling instituted by Akbar was exclusively meant for Persian-speaking poets. That this title was (Alam 2003: 161). 18 It is significant, in this regard, that the position of malik-ush-shu'arā' (poet-laureate)

guages are concerned (Nahāwandī 1910-31, ii: 590-3). the Ma'asir-iRahīmī provides a detailed list of all the different types of Turkish and Iranian the Khān, whom he significantly refers to as zabān-dān (linguist). Whereas the author of Abd-ur-Raḥīm is said to have mastered, he remains somewhat elusive where Indian lan-19 A similar imbalance is manifest in Nahāwandī's treatment of the multilingualism of

choice in view of the cultural hierarchy that prevailed in the Mughal milieu the very limited degree of circulation between the two poles of the Khān's for which the book was intended. Here again, one cannot help but note

part that acutely points to the necessity of a sustained dialogue between active during the 1950s-1960s at a time when the newly-born Republic of was produced largely by Indian historians of Hindi literature who were this book participates), the following discussion relies solely on secondary faceted society. Pending the full realization of such a cooperation (in which disciplinary fields in order to reach a deeper understanding of this multischolars of early modern India working in various languages and different attempted here in view of my own ignorance of Hindi—a limitation on my look for answers in the works of the latter. Unfortunately, it will not be 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's patronage of Hindavi-speaking poets should normally connections with the Hindavi literary milieu as well as the poetry he wrote kept in mind when dealing with modern accounts of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm. transcending religious boundaries.²⁰ This ideological context should be Indian historians who praised him as the architect of a national unity and somewhat precarious sense of nationalist feeling. Akbar was certainly India was eagerly looking for historical figures able to bolster the nascent partial and preliminary treatment of the question. This secondary literature Hindu-Muslim concord in pre-colonial times.²¹ The historian eager to Hindavi literature that he became at their hands a powerful symbol of have indeed portrayed 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm as such an exceptional patron of in the manner of other putatively proto-nationalist figures. Their books in that language seem to have inspired historians to put him on a pedestal Comparable to constructions of Akbar's religious pluralism, the Khān's the most qualified to carry out the task, as shown by the writings of many literature written in English and should consequently be regarded as a very reconsider this received wisdom has, furthermore, to face an even greater exclusive focus of Mughal scholars on texts produced in Persian) need not nationalist perception of this courtly tradition as decadent, and the near The factors that lie at the root of this relative inaccessibility (e.g. the Hindu problem: the difficulty of accessing Mughal-period Hindavi court poetry Facing the gaps in Nahāwandi's account, the historian hoping to assess

> ies drawing on reliable manuscript traditions. published editions of the aforementioned corpus and the absence of studdetain us longer here;²² suffice it to say that they resulted in both a lack of

and to the kind of evidence given in favor of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's patronage. works are relatively well known today. Arousing particularly strong suspicion are the names of two poets whose highly questionable when one turns to the names of the poets it provides poets are mentioned in the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī. Second, the list itself appears speaking poets seems to have operated—over a hundred Persian-speaking different scales on which the Khān's patronage of Persian- and Hindavitwo remarks. First, even if taken at face value, the list testifies to the very said to have been patronized by 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm. This list in turn calls for Naik draws from these sources a list of fourteen Hindavi-speaking poets in Hindi, from which quotations of poems are occasionally extracted.²⁴ the few original sources available, but only on secondary literature written relates to the material on which Naik relied for his chapter entitled "Hindi activities has been somewhat overstated.²³ The first point of criticism of Hindavi, a critical analysis of his 'Abdur Rahim Khan-i Khanan and his Poets Patronised by the Khan-i-Khanan." He does not base his analysis on Literary Circle (1966) leads one to conclude that this aspect of the Khān's for the kind of assessment C. R. Naik provides of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's patronage intellectual parameters of Hindi literary history account to a large extent While the ideological context of the 1950s and 1960s and the specific

of the Mughal Empire benefited from such a preferential treatment at the series of verses praising Irāj Khān as well as his father 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm and of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm.²⁶ There are indeed a number of elements pointing in argues that this historical poem was written for Irāj Khān, one of the sons of the Jahāngīrjascandrikā (Moonlight of the Fame of Jahāngīr, 1612), Naik of the founders of the rīti literary tradition and one who has recently been his grandfather Bairam <u>Kh</u>ān.²⁷ As a matter of fact, no other Muslim lineage that direction, including the fact that the Jahāngīrjascandrikā contains a the subject of a thorough re-examination.²⁵ Drawing on the opening verses The first of them is Keśavdās (1555–1617), a poet often regarded as one

^{1978: 173-7.} See, among others, Srivastava 1973.
 Vidyalankar 1950, Chaudhuri 1954, Jindal 1993: 136–41, Naik 1966: 463–97, and Handa

See Busch 2010a and 2011 for a discussion of these factors

attention to 'Abd-ur-Rahīm's patronage of Hindavi-speaking poets ²³ To this day, C. R. Naik is the scholar writing in English who has paid the greatest

Naik 1966: 463-97.

²⁵ Busch 2004, 2005, and 2011, especially: 23–64

²⁶ Naik 1966: 488, probably relying here on Chaudhuri 1954: 7.

For further details on this subject, see Busch 2010a: 279, and 2011: 56 and 141.

whose family had been employed by the Bundelas of Orchha for several the poet. However, there is no clear evidence that he patronized the latter, hands of Keśavdās. The Khān was then probably on very good terms with

is totally baseless.²⁹ Rāmāyaņ to Tulsīdās remains to this day "far from proven," Rupert Snell of the barvai meter. 28 Apart from the fact that the attribution of the Barvai influence of Raḥīm—whom Naik, as many others, considers the "father" assertion that Tulsīdās would have written his Barvai Rāmāyaṇ under the link and is satisfied with echoing the otherwise baseless (if widespread) supposedly patronized by the amīr. Naik gives no formal evidence of this great bhakti poet Tulsīdās (1532–1623) among the Hindavi-speaking literati has recently shown that the hypothesis of Raḥīm's influence on Tulsīdās Further calling into question the reliability of Naik is his mention of the

even these four known poets, all we have is, as mentioned above, scant been unable to trace any of these poets elsewhere. Moreover, concerning poets: Alāqulī, Bān, Gaṅg, Harināth, Jādā, Lakṣmīnārāyaṇa, Maṇḍaṇ, information and a very sketchy manuscript tradition except, perhaps, for Gang, Narhari, Narhari's son Harināth, and Maṇḍaṇ, 30 I have unfortunately Mukund, Narhari, Prasiddh, Santa Kavi, and Tārā. With the exceptions of ficult to ascertain. According to Naik, the $\underline{\mathsf{Kh}}$ an patronized the following much less well known and whose patronage networks are extremely difand 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm casts serious doubts on the links he further establishes between the latter and a number of other Hindavi-speaking poets who are The way Naik misinterprets the connections between Keśavdās, Tulsīdās

poets like Keśavdās or Gaṅg did eulogize the Khān in their poems. In the alist historiography. The only thing we can actually be sure of is that some material and seems to owe much to the wishful thinking of Indian nationpatron of Hindavi-speaking poets is based on very meager contemporary As a matter of fact, the tradition portraying 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm as a great

Rahīm through his assimilation to two eminent Hindu figures (Hanumān opening verses of the Jahāngūjascandrikā, Keśavdās thus praises 'Abd-urand Rāma):32

Raḥīm protected Akbar's sovereignty in every respect.

Raḥīm's charisma dazzles the entire earth, its splendor like the milk He was as dutiful as the brave Hanumān towards his one master.

And his pure motives and limitless nobility are respected everywhere, Just like Ganges water.

The $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ ān of $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ āns is like Rāma's arrow. It destroys evil and protects the world.³³

the amīr by asking him the following question: Gang, for his part, paid a stirring homage to the renowned generosity of

this habit of giving alms? How did you pick up

the eyes go downwards as though automatically.34 As the hand goes up to give,

numerous Persian verses praising the Khān's munificence. Gang's dohā, if authentic, appears to be a vernacular reiteration of the

composition of this group clearly testifies to the importance of the cultural as a number of regional centers within the Subcontinent, during the early and courtly circuits that connected West, Central, and South Asia, as well a number of Indian Muslims and a few individuals from Central Asia. The ity of these people came from Iran, but the Khān's entourage also included connection to 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's circle stands on firmer ground. The majortoday. Pending further research, the focus here will be on the people whose scanty evidence found in Hindavi contemporary sources as they are known of the enormous prestige the latter enjoyed in Mughal India; second, the emphasizes Persian-speaking literati of Iranian origin, presumably because architects are verifiably associated with his court. As to the question of the patron. Dozens of Persian-speaking poets, twenty painters, and at least two lem: first, the biased nature of the Ma'asir-i Raḥīmī whose account strongly Hindavi-speaking poets, we have seen it come up against a two-fold probbut does not ultimately call into question the role of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm as a The critical survey of the available sources imposes some limits upon

Naik 1966: 470.

Snell 1994: 376 (quotation), 380, and 397.

^{1660 (}McGregor 1984: 193). 31 Personal communication with Allison Busch. is deemed to have been patronized by Rāja Mangad Singh of Jaitpur (Bundelkhand), circa 1993: 141, Handa 1978: 177–9, and 180–1. The case of Mandan is more problematic since he ³⁰ Gang (c. 1538–1617), Narhari, and Harināth (fl.? 1587) were closely associated with the Mughal court and composed a number of poems in praise of the Mughal emperors (Humāyūn, Akbar) and amīrs (including 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm). See McGregor 1984: 119-20, Jindal

Keśavdās, see Busch 2005: 45-50. 32 For a further analysis of the Hinduization of Muslim Mughal figures in the work of

³³ Quoted from the English translation of Busch 2005: 49.

³⁴ Quoted from the English translation of Vidyalankar 1950: 126

before turning to assess the position of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's court vis-à-vis outline the circumstances that led so many Iranians to leave their homes, and transregional courtly hierarchy? By way of background, I will first How did they operate? What was their role in the shaping of a multi-layered What factors presided over the constitution of such circulation networks? other Indo-Persian centers of patronage. modern period. Now I will attempt to address the following questions:

2. The Indian Eldorado

artists to try their luck in the Subcontinent, and more particularly at the Safavids as a decisive factor. Four other inducements for Iranian poets and described as "push factors": wars and political disfavor. Khān's court are, however, stressed repeatedly.35 The first two can best be India, the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī does not depict the religious intolerance of the Contrary to the usual explanation for the exodus of literati from Iran to

of the 1580s, many Khurāsānīs chose to leave their native place to escape sentatives. Such was the case of the young Maulana Tasalli, whose father suspects that there were many more. While some left for India from fear approach of Ottoman troops at the beginning of the 1580s, 37 one strongly regularly threatened by its Ottoman rival, and although we have evidence Yūlqulī Beg Anīsī and Isma'īl Beg Unsī, who made their way to India and the depredations of war. Such was the case with Muḥammad Amīn Jadwal, When 'Abdullāh Khān Uzbek (r. 1583–1598) conquered the area at the end between the Safavid and Uzbek powers since the beginning of the century Iranians. On the eastern frontier, Khurasan had been a bone of contention of the war, others sought to escape the wrath of the Shah and his reprefor only one poet who fled from Hamadan to India when he heard of the Mashhad and Herat.³⁶ On the western frontier, the Safavid Empire was joined 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's service after the Uzbek army had taken control of the last quarter of the sixteenth century account for the migration of many Shāhwardī Khān 'Abbāsī had rebelled against Shāh 'Abbās in 1598. After his father had been sentenced to death, he spent his childhood wandering The wars waged between Safavids, Uzbeks, and Ottomans throughout

We do not, for instance, possess any information explaining either the murder of Nahāwandī's brother or the nature of the slander to which he However, the causes of royal disfavor are not always so easily identifiable: incognito in Iran until he was old enough to undertake a journey to India.38

shared by the political elite of the realm. 40 Furthermore, the presence of as in engaging Iranian literati is well known, and this interest was widely India. Akbar's interest in promoting intellectual contacts with Iran as well factor lies in the immense prestige enjoyed by Persian culture in Mughal and human resources and consequently offered far better opportunities Indian Subcontinent. The Mughal Empire commanded far greater financial one relates to the economic disparity between the Iranian Plateau and the in quite a number of individual cases, but cannot fully account for the large Persian migrants in Indian courts reciprocally enhanced the reputation of for patronage than its Safavid counterpart.³⁹ As hinted above, the second best explained by the combination of two powerful "pull factors". The first Reaching its apogee under Akbar and Jahāngīr, this movement is perhaps migration of literati from Iran to India from the mid-sixteenth century. The "push factors" so far mentioned certainly played an important part

two qualities to which the following verses pay tribute: court: he was renowned for his exceptional generosity and "Iranophilia"— The two same factors also account for the attraction of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's

In this Iran the palate of my soul has become bitter— In Iran nobody appeared to buy the merchandise of "meaning

Now I have to go toward Hindustan.

Like the drop sent toward the vast ocean

I send my merchandise toward Hindustan,

No one to buy words save the Khān-i Khānān.41 For among those who know words in this age there is

viduals who joined the $\underline{\text{Kh}}$ ān's entourage just long enough to obtain from main incentive for many poets who came to 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's court. The Selling their merchandise at the best price seems indeed to have been the him a generous reward, thereafter returning to Iran. Writing about the poet Ma'ā<u>sir-i Raḥīmī</u> accordingly presents us with numerous examples of indi-

Subrahmanyam 1992 and Haneda 1997. 35 On Iranian migration to India during the early modern period, see more generally

 $^{^{37}}$ His name was \underline{Gh} anī Beg Asadābādī (ibid., 979–82, and Orthmann 1996: 100) For these three cases, see Nahāwandī 1910-31, iii: 1678-9, 517-21, and 625-33.

³⁹ 38 Nahāwandī 1910-31, iii: 1525-6, and Orthmann 1996: 97.

Dale 2003: 66–7, and Subrahmanyam 2005, i: 182

Alam 2003: 159.

⁴¹ Poem by a neglected Persian poet called Kausarī, as cited in Schimmel 1992: 202–3.

Fahīm-ud-dīn Fahīmī, Nahāwandī tells us that "once he had gathered [enough] money and presents, he returned to his ordinary residence. Thanks to the benefits and gifts he had taken back with him, he spent his time in this port [Hurmuz] in leisure."42 We also get a glimpse of the Khān's generosity through the description of the rewards he offered to the poets connected with him: Nawā'ī is said to have been weighed in gold, and we are told that Ḥayatī and Shūqī were both brought to the amīr's private treasury where they were allowed to take away as much gold as they could carry. Although such descriptions were, to some extent, formulaic, the economic reality they referred to can hardly be questioned. Until the midseventeenth century, India was certainly a place where financial rewards and elevated positions could quite easily be won by those among the Iranian elite who were willing to undertake the journey.

'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's court was of course not the only place in the Sub-continent where literati seeking patronage could try their luck. The Khān was in fact only one among many potential patrons: Mughal emperors, princes and amīrs, as well as independent sultans, all aimed at assembling a brilliant court where Iranian poets and artists often held pride of place. Analyzing the various trajectories followed by the literati who chose to associate (whether temporarily or long-term) with the Khān affords a good view of the circulation of producers of culture in Persianate India during the first quarter of the seventeenth century; it also enables us to reconstruct (at least to some extent) the prevailing courtly hierarchy at the time, and to better assess the position of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's establishment within the latter.

'Abd-ur-Raḥām's Court and Other Indo-Persian Patronage Centers: Circulation and Hierarchies

Let us first take a look at 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's status as a patron within Mughal India. The <u>Kh</u>ān was certainly not the only *amīr* to bestow generous patronage on the Persian-speaking *ahl-i qalam*, but few other grandees offered such good prospects. Judging from the *Maʾaṣir-i Raḥīmī*, Ḥakīm Abū'l Fatḥ

Gilānī—who was himself of Iranian origin as shown by his *nisbat* (patronymic)—appears as the only such figure, ⁴⁵ and it is interesting to note that some members of his literary circle later enjoyed 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's patronage. ⁴⁶ Furthermore, no poet is known (at least from Nahāwandī's account) to have left the <u>Kh</u>ān to serve another *amīr*. If we are to believe the evidence at hand, 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's court then appears as the most attractive and, thereby, as the most eminent among the *amīrid* courts of the empire.

strategy turned out to be profitable, and it also partly accounts for the circulation of Persian-speaking literati between his and the Mughal court a strong network of influence. As evident from his own Deccani career, this may be more generally explained by his willingness to build through them some of his "protégés" at the courts of the princes appointed in the Deccan introducing the poet to the prince. The $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ ān's eagerness to find a job for itary operations there; second, 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm was often instrumental in the princes (Dāniyāl, Parwīz, and $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ urram) were in command of the milobservations: first, they generally took place in the Deccan, at a time when imperial court, 48 These movements from $am\bar{\nu}$ to prince call for two further 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's for a prince's service made their way afterwards to the expectations. It is significant that three of the five individuals who left getting closer to the imperial court for those who nourished still greater terms of salary and socio-cultural recognition; above all, it was one way of Moving from an amir's to a prince's entourage meant higher prospects in the benefit of the latter. 47 The motives at work here are easily discernible: between the court of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm and those of the princes occurring to upper strata of the Mughal courtly hierarchy, almost every movement Things were, however, different when it came to competing with the

As a matter of fact, 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's patronage seems to have been considered a springboard for entry into imperial service by many poets and artists who had freshly arrived in India from Iran. Their hope was to win the favor of the Khān in order to convince him to introduce them to

⁴² Nahāwandī 1910–31, iii: 1427. Also quoted by Orthmann 1996: 112.

⁴³ Orthmann 1996: 116. See also 'Abd-us-Sattār 2006: 40 for the large amount of money (mablagh-i farāwān) presented by the Khān to Shakībī as a reward for the composition of his Sāqī Nāma.

⁴⁴ As recently shown by Alam and Subrahmanyam 2007: ch. 5, this situation changed during the second half of the seventeenth century.

⁴⁵ For a brief survey of his life and deeds, see Bhakkari 1993: 143

⁴⁶ Such were the cases of 'Urfi Shirāzi, Sanā'i Khurāsāni, and Malhami (Nahāwandi 910–31, iii: 293–9, 354–60, and 604–10).

^{1910—31,} iii: 293—9, 354—60, and 604—10).

47 Nažīrī Nishāpūrī left 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's service for Prince Murād's and Nau'i <u>Kh</u>urāsānī for Dāniyāl's (ibid., 115—8, and 635—8). While both Faghfūr Lāhījānī and Nahāwandī made their way to Parwīz, Shukrullāh Shirāzī attached himself to <u>Kh</u>urram (ibid., 901—13, 1535—46, and 27—30).

⁴⁸ This was the case for Nazīrī Nishāpūrī, Nau'ī <u>Kh</u>urāsānī, and Shukrullāh Shirāzī.

the monarch.⁴⁹ For his part, the *amīr* willingly played the game since men who entered royal service through his mediation henceforth became indebted to him. He could then reasonably expect that they would intervene on his behalf if the need arose. Fueling the imperial court with new talents was, moreover, a safe way to win the monarch's favor. All in all, it proved to be a fair deal for both parties: social promotion in exchange for political leverage. Equally interesting are the departures from the imperial court to 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's service, because they allow us a glimpse into another set of factors explaining the attractiveness of the Khān's circle. Contrary to what one might expect, these departures were not so rare and did not systematically occur as a consequence of royal disgrace.

to the Taklū tribe of Mashhad but had settled at Fatehpur Sikri. Shaidā as this was a time when frontiers between different occupations and social socio-professional categories as a hallmark of the Khān's patronage alone, should, however, be careful not to consider this circulation in terms of where poets were given the opportunity to fulfil their aspirations. One professional identities were not only allowed but also encouraged, and of Shaidā Taklū portrays 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's court as a place where shifts of to Shāh Jahān's court, this time, however, as a recognized poet. 50 This story Khān's service as a poet. After the death of the latter, he made his way back and Shaidā Taklū immediately resigned his imperial office to join the he asked for a position at his court. 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm accepted his proposal, in 1616, he then decided to address a poem to the Khān-i Khānān wherein chance to compose poetry. While escorting the imperial train to Mandu became dissatisfied with his new position because it did not allow him the had been favorably impressed by his poetic skills, thereafter introducing Taklū himself began his career in the service of some Mughal noble who Shaidā Taklū appears particularly significant. His family originally belonged pursuits with administrative or military activities. artists (including those patronized by the amīr) often combined literary horseman serving directly under the emperor's orders), Shaidā Taklū soon him to Jahāngīr. Though the monarch enrolled him as a royal ahadī (a identities were much less strictly defined than today, and when poets and Among the examples provided by the Ma'asir-i Raḥīmī, the case of

ers for the savoir-faire they had acquired in the imperial studio and the sequently occurred is all the more likely because the majority of the innovations they were likely to introduce in his own workshop. reign of that monarch. Finally, the $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ ān himself highly valued these paintmanuscripts executed at his behest were produced precisely during the imperial patrons, benefited from the flow of ex-imperial artists that consmall team of outstanding painters. That 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm, like other subing size of the Mughal workshop under Jahāngīr, who chose to rely on a and hence had to find a new patron. 53 The second is linked to the decreaspainters were probably unable (or unwilling) to adapt to the new trends imperial atelier throughout the second half of the sixteenth century: Some Raḥīm's.⁵² The first relates to the stylistic changes that took place in the three main motivations for painters to move from Akbar's workshop to in Akbar's studio before entering the Khān's service. 51 We can conjecture patron, and eight out of the twenty-one so far traced had been employed these painters is known to have left the Khān's workshop for another the poets. According to the limited information available today, none of seems to have been quite different from the one guiding the mobility of another interesting case-study, for the logic underlying their trajectories The circulation of the painters patronized by 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm serves as

Situating 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's establishment within the courtly hierarchy of Mughal India is necessary but not sufficient in order to correctly assess his status as a patron for, as seen above, he resided for different lengths of time in two regions of the Subcontinent that were also dynamic cultural hubs: the court of the Tarkhāns of Sindh (at least until the 1590s) and those of the Deccani sultanates of Bijapur, Golconda, and, to a much lesser extent, Ahmadnagar. Since these regional centers also offered good patronage opportunities for Persian-speaking literati, exploring the networks of circulation that connected them with the Khān's circle should enable us to enrich the picture delineated so far and to answer the following questions:

⁴⁹ The poets Ḥusain Kufrī and 'Abd-ul-Bāqī Tābīnī stayed so briefly at 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's court that we can safely assume that their main aim was to advance from there to the imperial court (ibid., 807–8, and 1452–5).

⁵⁰ Ibid., 1487–8, Orthmann 1996: 74, and Naik 1966: 429–34

⁵¹ These are Maulānā Ibrāhim Naqqāsh, Ghulām 'Alī, Kamāl, Mōhana, Syāma Sundara, 'Abdullāh, Banavāri (Khūrd), and Bhagavāti (Nahāwandı 1910—31, iii: 1688 and Seyller 1999)

⁵² The following development takes its inspiration from a number of remarks scattered in Seyller 1999.

⁵³ For a synthetic presentation of the major trends in Mughal manuscript painting, see each 1992.

⁵⁴ No mention is made here of Gujarat for, by the time 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm took up residence in the region (in the 1580s), the Mużaffarid dynasty had already been severely weakened by the Mughal campaigns of the 1570s, and its role as a patronage center had accordingly shrunk. Furthermore, of all the poets and artists who entered the <u>Kh</u>ān's service during his stay in Ahmadabad, none seems to have previously benefited from Mużaffarid patronage.

up to the other patrons of the day? entourage of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm with new talents? How did the latter measure To what extent, if at all, did the Sindhi and Deccani courts supply the

Sindh were, however, not locals but recent migrants from Iran to India. by the amīr in Thatta did not have a great impact on the composition of From the few examples gathered here, it thus appears that the years spent majority of the poets and artists who entered 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's service in Thatta by joining his entourage: Such was the case of the young Muḥibb Some poets of Sindhi origin also took advantage of the Khān's sojourn in position at 'Abd-ur-Rahīm's court following the Mughal conquest of Sindh. 55 Tar<u>kh</u>ān (d. 1585), a son of the monarch Mīrzā 'Isā, before applying for a Ghurūrī served the Safavid governor of Darabgird and then Mīrzā Bāqi service for that of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm confirms this. A native of Kashan, Mīr of Thatta. That we only know of one poet who chose to leave Tarkhān's was allowed to stay in his former kingdom as governor of the new province effect on the status of his court as a regional center of patronage since he 'Alī Sindhī, who had so far failed to attract the attention of a patron. 56 The bestowed on literati. His submission to Akbar in 1593 did not have much (d. 1598/9) was a sovereign renowned for the generous patronage he On the eve of the Mughal conquest of Sindh, Mīrzā Jānī Tarkhān

sultanates were at the time on very good terms with Iran and had encourof these men actually chose to try their luck in Bijapur or Golconda. Both did not really benefit from the stream of literati leaving Ahmadnagar: Most attacks. Contrary to what Nahāwandī suggests by including Zuhūrī and as a cultural center had begun to decline in the face of repeated Mughal aged Iranian literati to settle in their territories since the mid-sixteenth Malik Qummī among the poets patronized by the Khān, the latter's court attractiveness as a patron.⁵⁷ When 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm settled in the Deccan and corresponded to the apogee of his career as a Mughal amīr and of his Khān's residence in Burhanpur extended over a much longer period of time patrons of Islamicate South India, while the importance of Ahmadnagar by the mid 1590s, the sultans of Bijapur and Golconda were the most active lishment came to occupy in the cultural landscape of the Deccan. The Far more interesting to analyze is the position 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's estab-

sub-imperial court of the amīr? speaking literati. Now, how did Bijapur and Golconda compete with the undoubtedly stood as very attractive centers of patronage for Persian a new vigor during the reign of Muhammad Qutb Shāh (1612-26),60 Throughout 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's stay in the Deccan, both sultanates then also promoted a kind of mixed culture between 1550 and 1612, the Iranian ahl-i qalam, as is clearly shown by the examples of Firishtah, Żuhūrī, and Shāh II (r. 1580–1627), 58 the monarch nonetheless kept patronizing Persian influence remained strong throughout these years before developing with Malik Qummī, and by the contents of his library.⁵⁹ If the sultans of Golconda indigenization at the expense of Iranian influence under Ibrāhīm 'Adil century. While it is true that Bijapur underwent a political and cultural

courts to local literary resources—a situation that parallels what has been nature of Nahāwandī's account: Does this imperviousness reflect a real described for Sindh. This, in turn, leads us back to the issue of the biased ent imperviousness of the cultural flux that connected the three Deccani the Deccan. Third is, at least according to the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī, the apparthe patronage of the fully-fledged (yet ultimately threatened) monarchs of court and favor could be considered as good a position as working under Mughal amīr whose intercession could eventually lead to the emperor's towards annexation under his rule. It was therefore a time when serving a continuous pressure, it is well known that no significant progress was made equally prestigious is a good indicator of the contemporary balance of patronage. That the courts of the sultans and the amīr could be deemed is the density of the cultural flux, especially when compared with what power in the region: Although Jahāngīr kept the Deccani sultanates under three Deccani poles in the eyes of the Persian-speaking literati in quest of seems to have been the situation in Sindh. Second is the equivalence of the should be stressed with regard to the observations I have made so far. First Interestingly, none of these individuals was of Deccani origin. Three points two poets who made a roundtrip between Golconda and the Khān's court. others who made the opposite choice. Furthermore, we know of at least ates and then applied for 'Abd-ur-Rahīm's patronage in Burhanpur, and of individuals who resigned their position in one of the two Deccani sultan-The Maʾāṣir-i Raḥīmī provides us with a roughly equivalent number of

⁵⁵ Nahāwandī 1910-31, iii: 1133-4.

Ibid., 489-94.

activities as a patron with the Deccan at several points in the text ('Abd-us-Sattār 2006: 39-40 and 49) 57 This is also echoed by the author of the Majālis-i Jahāngīrī who connects the Khān's

On this subject, see Eaton 1996: 89–105

Overton 2011: ch. 2. For a thorough assessment of Ibrāhīm 'Ādil Shāh II's collection of Persian books, see

For a survey of Golconda history under the Qutb Shāhīs, see Sherwani 1974.

overall purpose and intended audience of the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī that have ur-Raḥīm's patronage of Persian-speaking poets of Sindhi or Deccani origin and Dakani material, one should keep in mind that the mention of 'Abdbeen described above. the answer to these questions must await the analysis of relevant Sindhi absence of such connections, or is it the result of the chronicler's (or his (as well as Hindavi-speaking poets) was of limited use in respect of the patron's) lack of interest in—or ignorance of—such connections? Although

and South Asia and within the Subcontinent, but also to raise the question to not only address the physical circulation of poets and artists across West Raḥīm and his entourage provides the historian with a great opportunity of accompanying stylistic circulations As mentioned in the introduction of this essay, focusing on 'Abd-ur-

The Play of Persian and Indian Cultural Traditions in the Works of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm and his Entourage

4.1 The Two Worlds of Raḥīm's Poetry

help questioning their very existence—even though 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's transand Turkish verses. 62 The latter having not yet been identified, one canno poetry in Persian and Hindavi, but he is also credited with Sanskrit, Arabic, poetical work stems from the variety of languages it explored. He composed participants is of key importance. Indeed, the originality of the amir's of this literary milieu it is clear that the multilingualism of a number of its of which he was such an exemplary patron. In considering the influence whether 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's own poetry was touched by the literary milieu among them being undoubtedly 'Urfi Shīrāzī. And yet it is worth asking more appropriately, of the $t\bar{a}za$ - $g\bar{u}\bar{i}\bar{i}$ or "fresh style", 61 the most famous selves leading exponents of the so-called sabk-i hindī ("Indian style") or, article. It is, however, well-known that many of those literati were themized by the Khān makes a detailed analysis far beyond the scope of this here. Furthermore, the vast corpus of the Persian-speaking poets patronof Hindavi-speaking poets, I will not be able to address the matter further Given the doubts cast above on the extent of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's patronage lation of the Bābur Nāma from Turkish into Persian gives some credibility

whole attention to his writings in Persian and in different Indian languages to his composition of Turkish verses—and we will therefore devote ou

account of the poet Kāmī Sabzawārī (d. 1606/7), Nahāwandī thus tells us of a master in the eyes of the Persian-speaking poets he patronized. In his from his own genius. ⁶³ One is, moreover, told that the *amir* played the role guidance of any master in this field and his harmonious verses stemmed Khān started composing poetry at the age of eleven; he never sought the again as the principal source of information. According to Nahāwandī, the With regard to Raḥīm's Persian poetry, the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī stands once

praise and approbation. Through the mediation of this group, his poems composed were read before them and were then distinguished by their often took part in his majlis [poetic gathering]. The poems he [Kāmī] had he made great progress (taraqqi).64 were laid before the Nawwāb's eyes for correction (ba iṣlāḥ). Little by little fellows were among the companions of the sipah sālār ['Abd-ur-Raḥīm] and At this time, Maulānā Shakībī, Mullā Nazīrī, Maulānā 'Urfī, Anīsī, and their

which the genius of the patronized artist only came to maturity through the attention and instruction of his patron. 65 Nahāwandī evidently draws here on the traditional topos according to

uscript tradition, it seems safer to focus on the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī verses not clear and, pending further investigation into the reliability of the man tazkiras. 67 How the latter came to be attributed to Raḥīm is, at the moment, ably composed before 1616, plus a number of others quoted in later we have at our disposal are the poems copied by Nahāwandī and presumtioned either in the Ma'āṣir-i Raḥīmī or in any contemporary source. 66 All little thought into the collection of his poems, and no dīwān of his is menterms of the quantity of surviving poems. The Khān in all likelihood put oeuvre of Raḥīm—at least as it stands today—appears rather limited in In striking contrast to Nahāwandi's laudatory description, the Persian

Stefano Pellò in this volume.
⁶² Nahāwandī 1910—31, ii: 561 61 On this complex question, see Alam 2003, Kinra 2011, as well as the contribution of

Ibid., iii: 864. Also quoted by Orthmann 1996: 122.

See, for example, Nahāwandī 1910–31, ii: 1678, 1681–2, and Seyller 1999: 52–3, 318–9 for a further analysis of this subject. 65 This topos also permeates the accounts of several other artists in the Ma'āṣir-i Raḥīmī

a dīwān of Persian ghazals. He, however, produces no proof for it. 66 To my knowledge, Jindal 1993: 136 is the only historian crediting 'Abd-ur-Rahīm with

⁶⁷ Among them are the Tagkira-i Nasrabādī of Muhammad Ţāhir Nasrabādī (Safavid Iran, 1672–80), the Kalimāt-ush-shu'arā' of Muhammad Afzal Sarkhwush (Mughal India, 1682–97), and the *Atash-kadah* of Ḥājī Luṭf'Alī Beg "Azar" (Zand Iran, 1760)

and rubā īs (quatrains).68 These consist of one ghazal (love lyric), as well as a few baits (couplets)

Sufism.⁷⁰ For example, in one of his poems, he says: millenarian brands of Islam and the liberal waḥdat-ul-wujūd trend of the Mongol legacy and the ethics of Nāṣir-ud-dīn Ṭūṣī (1201–74) as well as of the Mughals—a multi-layered ideology whose diverse sources included impregnated with mystical connotations. Nahāwandī, for his part, describes a theme which is here—as often in classical Persian poetry—heavily collection of verses mainly deals with the longing (shauq) for the beloved lay well beyond the traditional religions and reflected the non-sectarianism 'Urfi), Raḥīm's verses are imbued with a conception of the divine which Like the poetry of a number of his contemporaries (especially Faizī and Raḥīm's poetry as "verses of mystical love (abiyāt-i 'ashiqāna-i 'ārifāna)."65 Testifying to Raḥīm's preference for shorter poetical forms, this small

has been released from the mosque (masjid) and freed from the synagogue He whom fate has taken away from the thought of lovers

are all firmly rooted in a Persianate episteme. Judging from stylistic and rather impervious to its Indian environment. formal criteria at least, Raḥīm's Persian poetry thus seems to have been images stemming from the Indian literary tradition. The surviving poems Persian oeuvre; also of note is the absence of Hindavi words or poetical Of concern to us here is not simply the apparent scantiness of Raḥīm's

a matter of fact, the literary theorist Bhikhārīdās paid tribute to his contri-Raḥīm was already considered a part of the Braj canon a century after his from the Hindavi tradition.⁷² While these verses undoubtedly show that bution to Brajbhasha poetry in the opening verses of his Kāryanirṇay tutes a far richer corpus than anything that can be attested in Persian. As death, the amīr's literary production in Hindavi calls for a number of (Critical Perspective on Literature, 1746), a major work of literary theory The poetry composed by the amir in different Indian languages consti

so far attributed to Raḥīm. Pending a thorough re-examination of the liminary but hardly definitive basis for understanding Raḥīm's Hindavi manuscript tradition, the discussion by R. S. McGregor serves as a preone should therefore be very cautious in dealing with the literary corpus Hindavi-speaking poets considered to have thrived under his patronage, resemble verses attributed elsewhere to other poets.74 As in the case of edition.⁷³ Indeed, some poems present in these collections quite closely of the collections so far published has actually been a proper critical tradition of Raḥīm's Hindavi poetry remains unclear to this day, and none remarks. One is that none of the poems is dated. Furthermore, the textual

Brajbhasha and Persian poetic genres. Nagarśobhā also testifies to his capacity for bridging the gap between court poetry as well as its lyrical imagery and formal conventions. The markedly Awadhi or Eastern flavor. Besides, both works are distinctive for command over a range of linguistic registers in the Hindavi tradition of their playfully experimenting with Sanskrit and Persian vocabulary.77 Brajbhasha, the language of the Barvai Nāyikābheda has a much more heroines (nāyikābheda).76 While most of these poems were composed in vais)—are for their part closely linked to an aspect of the topic of sprigar tions of verses—the Nagarśobhā (dohās) and the Barvai Nāyikābheda (barare a well-known and appreciated segment of his work. Two other collecnīti tradition, Raḥīm's numerous dohās on worldly wisdom and morality Taken as a whole, this portion of Raḥīm's oeuvre shows that he had full (love) prominent in court poetry: the description and classification of flourishing since the sixteenth century. Heavily drawing on the Sanskrit keeping with the tradition of Brajbhasha court poetry, which had been of his poetry is the wide-ranging themes it explores. Part of his work is in barvai) best suited to a pithy mode of expression. Another salient feature In Hindavi as in Persian, the $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ an favored the poetical forms (doha

composition of ghazals, see Jahāngīr 1999: 265. 68 Nahāwandī 1910—31, ii: 562—70. For another contemporary piece of evidence of Raḥīm's

^{2012.} Wahdat-ul-wujud literally means "Oneness of Being" and refers to the Sufi trend initi ated by Ibn 'Arabī (1165–1240) 70 On this subject, see Ernst 2003: 179, Alam 2003: 168-70, and 2004: 93 ff, and Moin

Nahawandī 1910-31, ii: 567.

⁷² Busch 2011: 230.

mainly based on earlier Hindi printed editions of Raḥīm's poetry rather than a thorough poetry is by Vidyanivas Mishra and Govind Rajnish, Rahīm granthāvalī, Delhi, 1985. It is appraisal of manuscript traditions. 73 Busch 2010a: 282, 2010b: 109, and 2011: 140. The most recent edition of Rahīm's Hindavi

⁷⁴ McGregor 1984: 121 (n. 366).

from this work. Ibid., 121–2. Unless otherwise indicated, the following discussion is exclusively drawn

lar city's handsome youth. Also see Busch, this volume. (lament for the city), conventional poems that celebrate the exquisite charms of a particu-76 The Nagarśobhā has also much in common with the Persian genre of shahrāshūb

Snell 1994: 377, Busch 2010b: 112-3, and 2011: 94.

Elsewhere, Raḥim's work drew its inspiration from devotional or bhakti poetry, at times lending a more worldly character to some of its privileged themes. Such is, for instance, the case with the topic of viraha (the pain of separation felt by the gopīs when deserted by their lover Krishna) which, at the amīr's hands, becomes a more general state of longing for the beloved, be he (or she) human or divine. This blending of devotional and courtly atmospheres makes itself best felt in a collection of barvai poems, which included four Persian couplets and may be described as "a loosely arranged anthology of mainly Krishnaite material." It also permeates the Madanāṣṭak, eight verses on viraha and śṛnigāra composed in Sanskrit meters and in a Sanskritized Khari Boli idiom interspersed with Persianized words. What emerges from this more religious aspect of Raḥīm's Hindavi poetry is his great familiarity with the major figures of Hinduism and with the poetical metaphors generally used to evoke them.

The last work worth mentioning here is the *Kheṭa-kautukam*, a collection of astrological verses purported to be by Raḥīm. Composed in a surprising mixture of Sanskrit and Persian, it summarizes the effects of the nine planets in each of the twelve houses of the horoscope and ends with a chapter containing twenty-five verses on Rājayoga or lucky combinations of planets.⁸¹

This brief survey of Raḥīm's works raises the question of the impact of the amīr's multilingualism on his poetic oeuvre. In this respect, the contrast between the Persian and Hindavi corpus is striking. Raḥīm's Hindavi poetry appears to be much more polyphonic and hybrid—at least at the linguistic level—than his Persian verses, as revealed by the interspersing in the former of vernacular idioms (Brajbhasha, Awadhi, and Khari Boli) and of Sanskrit, as well as the smattering of Persian or Persianized words and the presence of full couplets written in this same language. Furthermore, Raḥīm's linguistic behavior calls for a number of general remarks. It is first particularly interesting to note that his residence in Gujarat, Sindh, and the Deccan had very little impact in this respect. As a matter of fact, he tried his hand at a variety of languages—those of the cultural tradition in

which he was educated (Persian, Turkish, and maybe Arabic) and those of the Indian environment in which he lived (be it Sanskrit or the Brajbhasha, Awadhi, and Khari Boli dialects). But he primarily cultivated two idioms—Persian and Brajbhasha—which the Mughals were promoting or encouraging as the two great channels of cultural (and political, in the case of Persian) circulation within the Indo-Persian ecumene. Rapim's self-definition—which may be described as the selective literary bilingualism of a polyglot—can thus be said to derive from the literary attitudes at the royal court even though his personal involvement in Hindavi literature clearly exceeded the frontiers of the royal model. Significantly, this very bilingualism is also a prominent feature of the manuscript paintings he commissioned.

4.2 The Shāh Nāma and Mahābhārata Manuscripts of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's Atelier

all of these men were probably either Indian Muslims or Hindus.86 As cases difficult to ascertain, the data we have interestingly points to a group origins of the twenty-one painters patronized by the $\underline{\mathsf{Kh}}$ an remain in most to have been employed in the imperial atelier before entering the amir's mentioned in the first part of this essay, eight of them are, moreover, known of indigenous artists: With the exception of one clearly identified Iranian, Shiraz—two cities highly reputed for their artistic production.85 While the these people originated from Iran, more particularly from Herat and one time or another in different capacities (supervisor, calligrapher, gilder, a hundred visitors a day, and ninety-five people worked in its precincts at the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī and the surviving inscribed manuscripts, many of book-binder, painter, etc.).84 According to the information provided by rise to prominence. The institution is said to have welcomed approximately amīr. Over time its size kept increasing in keeping with the $\underline{\text{Kh}}$ ān's own in its time the largest establishment of this sort maintained by a Mughal In the 1580s, 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm founded a library-cum-workshop, which was

Unfortunately, only a small number of the books kept in the library are known to us today. Thanks to the tremendous work done by John Seyller,

⁷⁸ Snell 1991: 33-4-

⁹ Snell 1994: 378.

 $^{^{80}\,}$ For further details on the intermingling of Indic and Persian lexicons and motifs in this work, see Busch 2010b; 109—11.

⁸¹ For a partial translation of this work, see Udhrain 1973.

⁸² As of today, Busch 2010b: 108–14 provides the most comprehensive treatment of this aspect of Raḥīm's Hindavi poetry. For the <u>Kh</u>ān's macaronic Rekhta verses, see also Bangha 2010: 45–47.

⁸³ For the transformation of Brajbhasha into a transregional idiom under the impetus of the Mughals, see Busch 2010a and 2011.

⁸⁴ Seyller 1999: 50.

⁸⁵ Nahāwandī 19±0—31, iii: 27—30, 1658—60, 1675—6, 1678, 1680.

⁶ Ibid., 1681–2, and Seyller 1999.

seen as the result of the influence exerted by the imperial model, as is made translation of Badā'ūnī for copying and illustrating. His decision is to be vernacular versions of the Rāmāyaṇa—most famous among which was also contained Persian translations of the Sanskrit Rāmāyaņa and were most esteemed along with theological and mystical texts, the library own poetical production, these were wide-ranging: While Persian classics about the literary interests of the Khān.87 Reflecting to some extent his clear by the Khān himself in the note he inscribed on folio Ia of the manu-Tulsīdās's Awadhi Rāmcaritmānas—'Abd-ur-Raḥīm chose the Persian ing to note that despite his knowledge of Sanskrit and the existence of $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$ ($RazmN\bar{a}ma$). 88 In this last respect, it is particularly interestthis portion is now, however, fairly well known and tells us a great deal

sion was granted. This work was prepared and illustrated by the scribes and seeing this book, I be allowed to have a copy made. By royal favor, permisof the emperor, 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm ... requested that as I had the privilege of the Rāmāyaṇa, now in Jaipur (1588-92)], this slave reared by the kindness Upon completion of that [work] [Akbar's earliest illustrated manuscript of painters of this well-wisher of the king.89

and Razm Nāma (1616-17). 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm also commissioned a Rāgamāli century Panj Ganj of Jāmī (c. 1603-07). The original paintings of a sixteenthworks of Persian poetry. Illuminations were thus added to a sixteenthonly one exception, all the books altered at 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's request were were, should the need be felt, restored or illustrated by his painters. With Some of the library books were rare specimens acquired by the amir and shop: This was the case with the above-mentioned Rāmāyaṇa (1597–1605) Other manuscripts were, however, entirely produced in the Khan's workadded to an originally unillustrated Timur Nama of Hatifi (c. 1610–1615). workshop in 1605–1616 and 1616 respectively. Finally, five paintings were century Khamsa (by Amīr Khusrau) and of a fifteenth-century Shāh Nāma album, which was completed in 1610. (by Firdausī) were also replaced by works executed by the artists of the

BRIDGE BETWEEN IRANIAN AND INDIAN CULTURAL TRADITIONS 101

ever, completely absent from the architectural works he patronized Persianizing pictorial language. This kind of cultural bilingualism is, howhis behest alternatively used what may be called an Indianizing and a exclusively) composed in Hindavi and Persian, the paintings produced at Khān's poetical work: Much like the verses he preferentially (although not choice appears all the more significant in view of the above analysis of the cultural and literary tradition to which the illustrated work belonged. This consistently chose to associate a particular mode of painting with the Shāh Nāma, and, to a lesser extent, the Tīmūr Nāma]."91 In other words, and color arrangements for Persian literary texts [the Khamsa, the degree, the Rāmāyaṇa], and reserved their most ambitious compositional subjects [i.e., for the Razm Nāma, the Rāgamālā album and, to a lesser a strong palette, and a coarse painting technique for indigenous Indian 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm (or, more likely, the supervisor and artists of his workshop) 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's artists "almost always selected a simplified composition, may be explained by the structural mobility of the library, but also by the for illustrating two different bodies of work. As argued by John Seyller, tance is the coexistence within the workshop of two distinct styles used Khān's ability to attract ex-imperial painters. The second element of importhese paintings bore the stamp of any particular regional influence. 90 This ing in view of the present inquiry. It is first significant to note that none of The stylistic analysis of these manuscript paintings is especially reveal

4-3 "Turning Hindustan into Iran"

of Khurasan")—speaks for itself, the nādira-i mi'mārān ("Marvel of archigins: While Muḥammad 'Alī's title—Gurg-i Khurāsānī (literally, "the wolf monarchs of Iran and to have designed beautiful buildings for them.93 tects") Ustād Barwalī is said to have been previously employed by the two names which have come down to us indicate exclusively Persian oriamīr's behest. But who were the architects employed by the $\underline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ ān? The to the strong Iranian character of most of the buildings constructed at the Hindustan into Iran."92 However bombastic, this statement rightly points According to Nahāwandī, 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's architectural patronage "turned

Unless otherwise indicated, the following description is based on Seyller 1997 and

Truschke 2012: 181-252 and 279-300. 88 For an in-depth re-examination of the Mughal Mahābhāratas and Rāmāyaṇas, see

in 'Abd-u-Raḥīm's workshop is further testament to the influence of the imperial court. hand, for Akbar's Rāmāyana and Mahābhārata and, on the other, for the copies illustrated 89 As cited in Seyller 1999: 74. The similarity of the cycle of images chosen, on the one

imperial idiom (ibid, 29–32).

91 Ibid., 316. manuscripts made for identified sub-imperial patrons; their style was an imitation of the 90 Nor is any particular regional influence visible in the few other known illustrated

⁹² Nahāwandī 1910-31, ii: 601,

⁹³ The following discussion is based on ibid, 600–11, Verma 1955–6: 115–6, and Koch

a new township—Jahangirpura—on the outskirts of the city. pond in its center suggest an Iranian inspiration. The Khan may further be qanāts. Although 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm's Ruby Garden has not survived, the careground pipes brought water to the city and to the amīr's La'l Bāgh ("Ruby system: Devised along the lines of the Iranian qanāts, this network of underin the Deccan. In 1615, he also endowed the city with an extensive irrigation meant for public use, and which is probably the oldest such bath known of architecture in this Deccani city. Among them was a hammam (1607-08) stay in the Deccan—that the $\underline{\mathit{Kh}}$ ān's imprint on the urban landscape was appointed to construct in Agra, they were to strictly conform to the ones credited with the building of a sarāy (1617-18) and with the foundation of ful planning described by the Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī and the presence of a lotus-Garden"), and remains to this day the only known "Indian" example of most conspicuous: In an outwardly civic spirit, he patronized several works Burhanpur—the place where 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm resided during his extended he had previously designed for the Iranian monarchs. It is, however, in during the winter of 1617–18.94 As to the buildings which Ustad Barwalī was he built in Sarkhej to commemorate his victory over Mużaffar Gujarātī blossoming of Persianate buildings. The Fath Bagh ("Garden of Victory") Iran, the cities and regions where the amir successively resided saw the (1583) aroused Jahangir's admiration when the emperor toured Gujarat

account his own religious liberalism, one is left to wonder why the amīr raphy. According to Rupert Snell, this text relates from the Rasik-ananyamāl, a seventeenth-century Rādhāvallabhī hagiogstyles at hand. 95 To my knowledge, the only exception to this picture comes Indian environment or even from following one of the indigenous regional refrained from patronizing buildings more closely connected with his well as in the illustrated manuscripts he commissioned, and taking into In view of the polyphony in the poetical oeuvre of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm as

took the building of a temple to Rādhāvallabha in Vrindavan. When enemies how a kāyasth named Sundardās Bhaṭnāgar, a dīvān of Abdurrahīm, underof Sundardās reported to Abdurrahīm that Sundardās was misappropriating

BRIDGE BETWEEN IRANIAN AND INDIAN CULTURAL TRADITIONS 103

openly, and himself provided ornaments and funding ... for the deity.96 funds for this project, Abdurrahīm chastised him, told him to ask for funds

onwards, the imperial patronage surfaces nowhere in the contemporary official chronicles.98 lishments were partly maintained by the Mughal monarchs from the 1560s Although administrative documents have revealed to us that these estaband neighbouring areas probably provide the best examples in this respect: allotted through discrete channels. The Krishnaite temples of Mathura $am\bar{u}r$ is known to have ordered the building of temples. Funding was always sponsor the construction of mosques, 97 no Mughal emperor or Muslim of the temple, but only provided some additional funding for it. In this respect, it is also worth remembering that while some Hindu amīrs did One should, however, note that 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm did not initiate the building

have previously worked for his father Humāyūn). When compared with patronage of Hindavi-speaking poets (even though some of them seem to initiated the translation of Indian classics into Persian as well as the royal encouraged the coming of Persian literati to India on a large scale; he also painting, and architecture broadly followed the lines drawn up by Akbar. picture of conformity. As mentioned above, the $\underline{\mathsf{Kh}} \mathtt{\bar{a}} \mathtt{n}' \mathtt{s}$ patronage of poetry, dynasty. The works he produced or patronized only slightly qualify this of a sultan,"99 Raḥīm still remained within the boundaries set by the Mughal tional administrator and patron, as a great poet, or as "a dervish in the garb and cultural paradigms it provided: Whether he was described as an excepur-Raḥīm was at the same time unable to break away from the political ciently using the model of imperial chronicling for his rehabilitation, 'Abdstandards set up by the imperial Mughal court? Turning once again to the and as a patron: How should we define the Khān's position vis-à-vis the One should keep in mind that Akbar was the Mughal monarch who first Maʾāsir-i Raḥīmī provides us with a preliminary set of answers. While effiwhen trying to assess the originality of 'Abd-ur-Raḥīm as a man of culture through the pages of this essay, and which appears particularly relevant In conclusion, I would like to address a question which has been running

⁹⁴ Jahāngīr 1999: 247,

models, which subsequently acquired "new meanings". What these "new meanings" were fer of cultural models from one setting to another generally implied a reshaping of these remains, however, very difficult to ascertain in view of the scarcity of material on the sub-95 As pointed out by the late Aditya Behl during the discussion of this paper, the trans-

Bengal (Asher 1992: 192-3) the first situated in Lahore, the second and more impressive in Rajmahal/Akbamagar in 96 Snell 1994: 399 (n. 7).
97 A major figure of Akbar's reign, the Rajput Rāja Mān Singh thus built two mosques,

⁹⁸ For the documents, see Mukherjee and Habib 1988 and 1989

⁹⁹ Nahāwandī 1910-31, ii: 538-9.

the imperial cultural stance, Raḥīm's composition of Hindavi poetry indeed revealed itself not only in the Hindavi verses traditionally ascribed to him but also in his commissioning of the Rāgamālā album. As rightly underined by John Seyller, its paintings constitute "the sole instance in which appears as his only truly distinguishing characteristic. This peculiarity Abd-ur-Rahīm ordered the illustration of a Hindu subject which had not been explored previously by the Mughal emperor."100

If 'Abd-ur-Rahim can be regarded as a perfect embodiment of the cultural circulation that connected the imperial to the sub-imperial levels of the Mughal courtly hierarchy, he also took considerable part in two prominent networks of literary and artistic exchanges that linked, on the one ity with the Hindavi cultural sphere. Involving peoples, languages, literary genres, and styles, these exchanges certainly endowed the Mughal Empire Persianate culture as the most preeminent in the eyes of the majority of the Mughal elite. The superiority attached to the Persianate episteme is an it has allowed us to rebuild the bridge that he had helped establish between and its principal actors with a fair degree of cosmopolitanism. And yet underlying this cosmopolitanism was a sense of hierarchy that held aspect that must not be overlooked, especially in the case of Abd-ur-Raḥīm. As a matter of fact, it is this very sense of superiority that accounts for the somewhat flat portrayal of the $\overline{\mathrm{Kh}}$ ān in the $\mathrm{Ma'}\bar{a}\bar{s}ir$ -i $\mathrm{Rah}\bar{i}m\bar{i}$ and obscures the flux in his cultural identities. Considering 'Abd-ur-Rahīm under the rubric of circulation has proven particularly fruitful in this respect because the Persian and Hindavi traditions and to go beyond the truncated images hand, Safavid Iran and Mughal India and, on the other, the Mughal nobilprovided by both pre-colonial and post-colonial historiographies.

Bibliography

Abd-us-Sattār (b. Qāsim Lāhaurī). 2006. Majālis-i Jahāngīrī, ed. A. Naushāhī and M. Niżāmī. Tehran: Miras-i Maktub.

Alam, Muzaffar. 2004. The Languages of Political Islam in India, c. 1200–1800. Delhi: Permanent

. 2003. "The Culture and Politics of Persian in Precolonial Hindustan." In Sheldon Pollock, ed. Literary Cultures in History: Reconstructions from South Asia. Berkeley: University of California Press, pp. 131–198.

Alam, Muzaffar and Sanjay Subrahmanyam. 2007. Indo-Persian Travels in the Age of Discoveries, 1400–1800. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

BRIDGE BETWEEN IRANIAN AND INDIAN CULTURAL TRADITIONS 105

Imperial Patronage." In Barbara Stoler Miller, ed. The Powers of Art. Patronage in Indian Asher, Catherine Blanshard. 1992. "The Architecture of Rāja Mān Singh: A Study of Sub-Culture. Delhi: Oxford University Press, pp. 183–201.

Bangha, Imre. 2010. "Rekhta: Poetry in Mixed Language. The Emergence of Khari Boli Literature in North India." In Francesca Orsini, ed. Before the Divide. Hindi and Urdu Literary Culture. Delhi: Orient Blackswan, pp. 21–83.

Beach, Milo Cleveland. 1992. Mughal and Rajput Painting (New Cambridge History of India,

I/3). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bhakkarī, Sha<u>ikh</u> Farīd. 1993. <u>Zakhī</u>rat-ul<u>kh</u>awānīn. The Dhakhirat ul-khawanin of Shaikh Farid Bhakkari (A Biographical Dictionary of Mughal Noblemen), trans. Z. A. Desai, vol. 1. Delhi: Idarah-i Adabiyat-i Delli.

Busch, Allison. 2011. Poetry of Kings. The Classical Hindi Literature of Mughal India. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

.- 2010a. "Hidden in Plain View: Brajbhasha Poets at the Mughal Court." Modem Asian Studies 44: 2, pp. 267-309.

2010b. "Riti and Register. Lexical Variations in Courtly Braj Bhasha Texts." In Francesca Orsini, ed. Before the Divide. Hindi and Urdu Literary Culture. Delhi: Orient Blackswan, pp. 84-120.

2005. "Literary Responses to the Mughal Imperium: The Historical Poems of Keśavdás."

South Asia Research 25:1, pp. 31–54. 2004. "The Anxiety of Innovation: The Practice of Literary Science in the Hindi/Riti Tradition." Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East 24: 2, pp. 45–59. Chaudhun, Jatindra Bimal. 1954. Khānān Abdur Rahim (1557 A.D.—1630 A.D.) and Contemporary

Dale, Stephen Frederic. 2003. "A Safavid Poet in the Heart of Darkness. The Indian Poems Sanskrit Learning (1551-1651 A.D.). Calcutta: Pracyavidya Mandir.

of Ashraf Mazandarani." In M. Mazzaoui, ed. Safavid Iran and her Neighbors. Salt Lake City: The University of Utah Press, pp. 63–80.

Eaton, Richard Maxwell. 1996. Sufis of $ilde{B}$ igapur, 1300–1700: Social Roles of Sufis in Medieval India. Reprint. Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal.

Ernst, Carl W. 2003. "Muslim Studies of Hinduism? A Reconsideration of Arabic and Persian Handa, Rajendra Lal. 1978. History of Hindi Language and Literature. Bombay: Bharatiya Translations from Indian languages." Iranian Studies 36: 2, pp. 173–195.

Vidya Bhavan.

Haneda, Masashi. 1997. "Emigration of Iranian Elites to India during the 16th-17th centuries." In Maria Szuppe, ed. L'héritage timouride, Iran – Asie centrale – Inde, XV XVIIIP siècles (Cahiers d'Asie Centrale, 3-4), Tashkent and Aix-en-Provence: Édisud, pp. 129-43.

Haq, M. Mahfuzul. 1931. "The Khan Khanan and his Painters, Illuminators and Calligraphists." Islamic Culture 5: 4, pp. 621–30.

Harawī, <u>Kh</u>wāja Ni'matullāh. 1960. *Tārīkh-i Khā*n Jahānī wa Ma<u>kh</u>zan-i Afghānī, ed. S. M. Imamuddin, 2 vols. Dacca: Asiatic Society of Pakistan.

Husain, Afzal. 1999. The Nobility under Akbar and Jahāngīr. A Study of Family Groups. Delhi: Manohar.

trans. W. M. Thackston. Washington, D. C. and New York: Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur ļāhāngīr. 1999. Jahāngīr Nāma. The Jahāngīr Nāma. Memoirs of Jahāngīr, Emperor of India, M. Sackler Gallery, Smithsonian Institution & Oxford University Press.

Khan, Rahmat Ali. 1985. "The Grand Court of the Khān-i Khānān". *Lalit Kalā z*., pp. 27–35. Koch, Ebba. 2002. *Mughal Architecture. An Outline of its History (1528–1858)*. Reprint. Delhi: Jindal, K. B. 1993. History of Hindi Literature. Reprint. Delhi: Mushiram Manoharlal.

Kinra, Rajeev. 2011. "Make it fresh: time, tradition, and Indo-Persian literary modernity." In Anne C. Murphy, ed. Time, History, and the Religious Imaginary of South Asia. New York: Oxford University Press. Routledge, pp. 12-39.

¹⁰⁰ Seyller 1999: 258.

Lefèvre, Corinne. 2007. "Pouvoir et noblesse dans l'empire moghol. Perspectives du règne de Iahāngīr (1605–1627)." Annales HSS 62: 6, pp. 1287–1312

McGregor, Ronald Stuart. 1984. Hindi Literature from Its Beginning to the 19th Century. A History of Indian Literature, ed. J. Gonda, VIII/6. Wiesbaden: O. Harrassowitz.

Moin, Ahmed Azfar. 2012. The Millennial Sovereign. Sacred Kingship and Sainthood in Islam. New York: Columbia University Press.

of Vrindavan during the Reigns of Jahangir and Shah Jahan." Proceedings of the Indian Mukherjee, Tarapada and Habib, Irfan. 1989. "The Mughal Administration and the Temples Historical Congress, 49th Session, pp. 287-99.

1988. "Akbar and the Temples of Mathura and its Environs." Proceedings of the Indian Historical Congress, 48th Session, pp. 234-50.

Nahāwandī, 'Abd-ul-Bāqī. 1910–1931. Ma'āsir-i Raḥīmī, ed. M. Hidayat Husain, 3 Vols. Calcutta: The Asiatic Society of Bengal.

Naik, Chhotubhai Ranchhodji. 1966. 'Abdur Rahim Khan-i Khanan and his Literary Circle. Ahmadabad: Gujarat University.

Orthmann, Eva. 1996. 'Abd or-Rahim han-e hanan (964-1036/1556-1627): Staatsman und

Overton, Keelan H. 2011. "A Collector and His Portrait: Book Arts and Paintings for Ibrahim Mäzen. Berlin: Klaus Schwarz Verlag.

Rahīm as a Patron." In Barbara Stoler Miller, ed. The Powers of Art: Patronage in Indian Schimmel, Annemarie. 1992. "A Dervish in the Guise of a Prince: Khān-i Khānān Abdur 'Adil Shah II of Bijapur (r. 1580–1627)." Ph.D. diss., University of California at Los Angeles. Culture. Delhi: Oxford University Press, pp. 202-223.

eds. Intellectual Studies on Islam: Essays Written in Honor of Martin B. Dickson. Salt Lake 1990. "Khankhanan 'Abdur Rahim and the Sufis." In M. M. Mazzaoui and V. B. Moreen, City: University of Utah Press, pp. 153-60.

Seyller, John. 1999. Workshop and Patron in Mughal India. The Freer Rāmāyaṇa and Other Illustrated Manuscripts of 'Abd al-Raḥīm. Artibus Asiae Supplementum, XLII. Zürich: Artibus Asiae Publishers.

1997. "The Inspection and Valuation of the Manuscripts in the Imperial Mughal Library." Artibus Asiae 57: 3-4, pp. 243-349.

Sherwani, Haroon Khan. 1974. History of the Qutb Shāhī Dynasty. Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal.

Snell, Rupert. 1994. "Barvai' Metre in Tulsīdās and Rahīm." In A. W. Entwistle and F. Mallison, eds. Studies in South Asian Devotional Literature: Research Papers 1988-1991. Delhi and Paris: Manohar and École Française d'Extrême-Orient, pp. 373–405.

1991. The Hindi Classical Tradition: A Braj Bhāṣā Reader. London: School of Oriental and African Studies.

Subrahmanyam, Sanjay. 2005. Explorations in Connected History. 2 Vols. Delhi: Oxford Srivastava, A. L. 1973. Akbar the Great. 3 Vols. Agra: S. L. Agarwala.

- 1992. "Iranians Abroad: Intra-Asian Elite Migration and Early Modern State Forma-University Press.

Truschke, Audrey. 2012. "Cosmopolitan Encounters: Sanskrit and Persian at the Mughal tion." Journal of Asian Studies 51: 2, pp. 340–63. Court." Ph.D. diss., Columbia University.

Udhrain, S. D., ed. and trans. 1973. Star-Lore [Khet-Kautuk]. Delhi: Sagar Publications.

Verma, B. D. 1955-1956. "Inscriptions from the Central Museum, Nagpur." Epigraphia Indica Vidyalankar, V. 1950. "Abdur Rahim and his Hindi Poetry." Islamic Culture 24, pp. 123-33.

(Arabic and Persian Supplement), pp. 109-18.

MIRABAI AT THE COURT OF GURU GOBIND SINGH

John Stratton Hawley and Gurinder Singh Mann*

recorded, and finally, of course, the saints themselves, whose lives often Everything about the poet-saints of North India seems to circulate: the songs that bear their names, the performers who sing them, the motifs that are conjoined to form these saints' traditional life-stories, the manuscripts in which such stories and the songs attributed to these saints are trace telling itineraries. Circulation itself seems to be one of the factors that turns an ordinary bhakta into a saint.

We see this certifying power of circulation in the great debating tours tas who come to play the role of preceptor $(\bar{a}c\bar{a}rya)$, including Madhva, Chaitanya, and Vallabha. But circulation is also a prominent motif in the biographies of other bhaktas too—the wanderings of Surdas or Namdev, or the story that we hear in Sikh hagiographies about how Kabir was tas as well. Circulation does not merely certify, it alters. This essay will focus digvijaya, śāstrārtha) that are often encoded into the lives of those bhakattracted into the magnetic presence of Baba Nanak 1 The Kabir we meet, when such a thing happens, is not exactly the Kabir who is remembered in his hometown, Banaras. The same is true for other "bhakti period" bhakon a surprising and little-known example of this phenomenon: What happens to Mirabai when she—or rather, her story—visits the Punjab?

1. Circulatory and Stationary Miras

Mirabai was hardly a homebody. Anyone who knows her story knows that she traveled to Vrindavan, where she was led while searching for Krishna. Mirabai's attempt to enter the religious culture of Vrindavan precipitated

 $^{^{*}}$ Jack Hawley wishes to acknowledge his considerable debt to Anne Murphy, Jaswinder Garg, and Yusuf Muhammad for early help in reading segments of the Prem Ambodh. We are of providing a Devanagari transliteration of the text of the Prem Ambodh that has been printed both grateful to Gurpreet Singh Lehal of Punjabi Universify for performing the very useful task in Gurmukhi as Osahan 1989, and to Allison Busch and Thomas deBruijn for close and percepive readings of earlier drafts.

¹ E.g. McLeod 1980: 151-5.