High performance work practices in non-liberal market economies: some lessons from three industries in France, Germany and Sweden

Karen Jaehrling (IAB-Duisburg University)
Jérôme Gautié (CES-University Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne)
Chris Mathiew (Lund University)

Introduction

- High performance work practices' (HPWPs), are assumed to reconcile productivity, innovation capacity and job quality (in terms of job enrichment, skill development, greater autonomy, higher involvement.... and overall job satisfaction) = "win-win" work systems for both the workers and their companies (Appelbaum et al., 2000)
- Previous research on HPWP points to discrepancies between 'theory' and practice and at best partial successes (biased towards productivity 'wins') due to increased competitive pressures in globalized supply chains + financialization
- So far limited knowledge about the interactions between technological change ('digitalization') and HPWPs, in conjunction with these economic dynamics, as well as institutional and other context factors
- Aim of the paper (still in a very exploratory stage): better assess the factors influencing the adoption, the implementation and the outcomes of HPWPs in the (early) digital age

Methods + Empirical basis

- Research Context: European research project on interrelationship between innovations and job quality (<u>www.quinne.eu</u>, 2015-2018)
- Qualitative research: comparative case study methodology covering 7 countries, 8 industries
- For this paper: Selection of HPWPs found...
 - in 3 CMEs countries (France, Germany, Sweden);
 - In 3 contrasted industries (aerospace, computer games and retail logistics) to cover HRM strategies for occupations with different skill levels

.

>>> For lack of space and time, we will present the case of Retail logistics and Aerospace

Neo-Taylorism and the failure of job rotation in France and Germany

- 5 case companies were chosen in retail logistics, in-house warehouses, food (FR-Food; GER-Food) and non-food (FR-Media; GER-FMCG; GER-Fashion); 44 interviews with managers, employee representatives and employees
- 2 work systems
 - Traditional warehouses: highly impacted by "warehouse management systems" software, and the increasing digitalization of picking ("pick-by-voice")
 - Semi-automated systems (conveyors and automated sorting of packages)

Neo-Taylorism and the failure of job rotation in France and Sweden

- The "Neo-Taylorist" scenario in both work systems ("digital-Taylorism) => in the opposite direction of what is usually associated to High Performance Work Systems
 - Deskilling, reduction in task discretion
 - Increased monitoring ("management by indicators", "digital panopticon"), reduction in employees' autonomy (even at top level, such as in FR-Food)
- In some warehouses attempts to promote Job Rotation: a move in the direction of HPW practice? Not really:
 - In semi-automated warehouses, rotation between very repetitive tasks only to avoid musculoskeletal disorders
 - In traditional warehouses, more associated with multiskilling, but used to increase functional flexibility, on a very limited scale, and with some negative consequences for the workers
 - Overall: job rotation is just a device to better cope with neo-taylorist requirements and/or consequences

Lean and the search for high involvement work systems in Aerospace firms in France and Sweden

- 5 case companies were chosen in different segments of the supply-chain and thus include both Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) (FR-Plane and SW-Plane), and tier-one / tier-two suppliers (FR-Parts 1, Fr-Parts 2 and FR-SW); 70 interviews with managers, employee representatives and employees
- Lean principles and tools were implemented in all the companies; if "Lean" is associated with efficient operations management in manufacturing (Bloom and Van Reenan, 2007), it not does equate necessarily HPWPs => contrast between the technocratic-top downrigid form of lean in the French cases, and the "lean principles with an agile approach" in the Swedish cases => key differences between the two countries: workers involvement, autonomy, skill-development

Lean and the search for high involvement work systems in Aerospace firms in France and Sweden

- But in France, many managers (up to the level of chief executive of industrial plant) realized that the current work system was problematic, as it was an obstacle to employees' initiative and innovative capacities, and had a negative impact on job satisfaction => search of new models to move to high involvement work systems, even with some radical innovations (such as the "liberated company" experiment at FR-Plane
- Contrasted ways in using digital tools (e.g. tablets and computers for instructions for operators)
 - In France, so far, digitalization has increased monitoring and the "management by indicators", reinforcing the "technocratic" mode of functioning => in contraction with high involvement work practices
 - In Sweden and in France new experiments, digitalization is more used as an empowerment tool, to make work more autonomous and more cooperative => promotes high involvement

Concluding remarks

- No technological determinism: organizational choices associated with digital technologies are crucial, to undermine or to promote HPW practices
- Beyond "formal" institutions (such as legal rules of employees' consultation), social dialogue, and the way managers and employees and employees representatives interact play a role; a "cultural dimension" to explain some across countries differences? ("lean à la française" in Aero and Retail Log)
- Our results also confirm that economic pressures, related in particular to the position in the supply chain, may be an obstacle for the emergence of a "win-win" solution (see the problem of suppliers of standard parts in Aero; and all the logistics companies in Retail Log), even in a favourable institutional context (as compared to the LMEs); the potential higher employee's "institutional" power in CMEs may be constrained by a too weak "positional" power, that also undermines employees associational power