# Economic Efficiency of Social Housing in France

Radmila Datsenko

2015 Housing Economics Workshop, Oslo

February 5-6, 2015

### **Outline**

- Introduction
- 2 Literature Overview
- Theoretical Framework
- Empirical Strategy
- Preliminary Empirical Results

### Context & Motivation

#### Some observations :

- Global trend since 2007: austerity & budget cuts ⇒ Questioning on the efficiency of public expenditures
- Europe : Social housing is a leverage for overcoming the economic, social and environmental crisis
- France and Finland are the only OECD countries with increasing share of social rented housing since 1990s

Two types of housing policy: housing allowances (based on income, household size and housing consumption) and social rental sector (allocated on income criteria)

Housing allowances cover on average 60% of the rent for the poorest households

Social rental sector = 4.5 million units = 17% of the housing stock

Solidarity and Urban Renewal Law (2000): at least 20% of the housing stock in all urban municipalities with over 3500 inhabitants should be social

About 65% of French households are eligible for social housing

Introduction

- Develop a theoretical framework based on household behaviour
- Take into account two existing types of housing policy: social rental sector & housing allowances
- Evaluate tenant benefit in the social rental sector

# Mainstream approach

Using Hicksian welfare variation measures

Tenant Benefit = Income - Theoretic Expenditure in Market Conditions

Murray 1975, Kraft & Kraft 1979, Quigley 1982, Barton & Olsen 1983, Clemmer 1984, DeBorger 1985, Tiwari & Hasegawa 2001

 Computing 'market value' of the public sector dwellings

Characteristics approach → Hedonic valuation method

### Some results

Aggregate results : Tenant Benefit = from 4 to 27% of the Household Income

### FRENCH Case:

Durif & Berniard, 1971:

Social rents = 40% of their 'market value'

LeBlanc & Laferrère, 2001 :

- Social rents 62% below free market rents below market value
- +10% housing consumption
- +11% comnsumption of other goods

Jacquot, 2007:

Difference between social rents and their 'market value' estimated at 50%

### Household behaviour

Household utility function :

$$U_i(h,x) = (h - \overline{h_i})^{\alpha_i} (x - \overline{x_i})^{1-\alpha_i}$$

Free market budget constraint :

$$ph + x \leq y_i$$

Inverse demand function for housing service :

$$p = \frac{\alpha_i(y_i - \overline{x_i})}{h - (1 - \alpha_i)\overline{h_i}}$$

# 1st type public policy

**Housing Allowance**  $t_i$ : a cash grant paid to households depending on:

size of the household  $m_i$  income  $y_i$ ,  $\forall y_i > y_s$ ,  $t_i = 0$  minimum rent  $ph_0$  maximum rent  $ph_s$ 

If  $y_i \leq y_s$ :

$$t_i = \gamma \ln m_i \times ph_s$$

If  $y_r < y \leqslant y_s$ 

$$t_i = \beta y_i + (\gamma \ln m_i)(ph_s - ph_0)$$

## 2nd type public policy

**Social Rental Housing**: a housing market segment built and managed by a public organisation

Hypothesis 1 : Unitary price of housing in the Social Rental sector  $p^s$  is lower than the unitary price of housing in free market conditions :  $p^s < p$ 

Hypothesis 2 : *Ceteris peribus*, households prefer Social Rental sector

## Policy impact on household choice

• If  $y_i < y_r$ Budget constraint :

$$p^s h + x \leq y_i + \gamma \ln m_i \times p^s h_s$$

Optimal housing consumption:

$$p^{s}h = p^{s}\overline{h_{i}} + \alpha_{i}(y_{i} - \overline{x_{i}} - p^{s}\overline{h_{i}} + \gamma \ln m_{i} \times p^{s}h_{s})$$

• If  $v_r < v \leq v_s$ Budget constraint :

$$p^{s}h + x \leq y_{i} + \beta y_{i} + (\gamma \ln m_{i})(p^{s}h_{s} - p^{s}h_{0})$$

Optimal housing consumption:

$$p^{s}h = p^{s}\overline{h_{i}} + \alpha_{i} \left[ y_{i} - \overline{x_{i}} - p^{s}\overline{h_{i}} + \beta y_{i} + (\gamma \ln m_{i})(p^{s}h_{s} - p^{s}h_{0}) \right]$$

- **Step 1**: Estimating Housing Allowances parameters :  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $ph_0$
- **Step 2**: Estimating Utility function parameters :  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\overline{h_i}$ ,  $\overline{x_i}$
- **Step 3**: Estimating theoretic housing consumption of Social

Tenants in free market conditions

**Step 4**: Comparing actual Tenant consumption with their theoretic consumption

# **Housing Allowances parameters**

Income	Estimated coefficients		
	Zone I	Zone II	Zone III
$y \leqslant y_r$	$\gamma=1.1804$	$\gamma=1.1686$	$\gamma=1.1819$
	$\beta = -0.0153$	$\beta = -0.0166$	$\beta = -0.0159$
$y_r < y \leqslant y_s$	$\gamma = 0.0821$	$\gamma = -0.3506$	$\gamma = -0.3677$
	$ph_0 = 547.85$	$ph_0 = 775.40$	$ph_0 = 743.87$

Thank you for your attention!