

Coping with scarcity: The construction of the water conservation imperative in newspapers (1999–2018)

Anne-Lise Boyer, Yves-François Le Lay, Pascal Marty

▶ To cite this version:

Anne-Lise Boyer, Yves-François Le Lay, Pascal Marty. Coping with scarcity: The construction of the water conservation imperative in newspapers (1999–2018). Global Environmental Change, 2021, 71, pp.102387. 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102387. halshs-03500072

HAL Id: halshs-03500072 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03500072v1

Submitted on 17 Jan2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 2	Coping with scarcity: the construction of the water conservation imperative in newspapers (1999-2018)
3	
4 5	Global Environmental Change 71 (2021) 102387
6 7	Anne-Lise Boyer, UMR 5600 EVS, 15 Parvis René Descartes, BP 7000, 69342 Lyon CEDEX 07
8 9	Yves-François Le Lay, Department of Geography, ENS de Lyon, UMR 5600 EVS, 15 Parvis René Descartes, BP 7000 69342 Lyon CEDEX 07, France
10	
11 12	Pascal Marty, USR 3129/UMIFRE 11 Maison Française d'Oxford, 2-10 Norham Road, Oxford OX2 6SE, London, UK
13 14	Highlights
15	
16 17	- We analyze the success story of water conservation as a drought mitigation tool.
18	- We conduct a quantitative textual analysis of press articles published in Arizona.
19	- The press supports water conservation as the main adaptation strategy to water scarcity.
20	- Water conservation is framed as a non-mandatory imperative and as a new lifestyle.
21	- It operates as a consensual solution for the future of urbanization in arid lands.
22	
23	
24	Abstract
25	
26	As water-stressed areas are expanding worldwide due to climate change, water conservation has
27	become an important tool for managing water resources in drought contexts. Within a political
28	ecology framework, our research questions the success story of water conservation. To do so, we
29	conducted a quantitative textual analysis of 520 daily local press articles dealing with drought and
30	water issues in Phoenix and Tucson (Arizona, USA). Using two lexicometric software, our
31	analysis traces the rise of the water conservation narrative in the press. Our results show how
32	newspapers can become an instrument of public policies to work towards their social acceptance.
33	Moreover, water conservation is framed as a consensual tool. It reassures that threats associated
34	with water scarcity will be successfully managed to sustain urban growth in arid regions. In this
35	sense, water conservation operates following a logic of fix, in line with the successive hydrosocial
36	fixes that helped the development of arid lands and does not question inherited power structures
37	in water management.
38	
39	Keywords: water scarcity; water conservation; newspapers; discourse; political ecology
40	
41	
42	1. Introduction
43	

44 According to the World Meteorological Organization (2016; 2020), on a global scale, the 45 part of lands affected by severe droughts has doubled between the 1970s and 2000, and five times 46 as many territories could experience extreme droughts in 2050. Scientists thus predict an increase 47 in aridity: on one hand, in the drylands, the water deficit is worsening; on the other hand, the arid 48 climate zone tends to expand and could cover half of the Earth's surface by the end of the 21st 49 century (Huang et al., 2017). By 2050, with a global climate warming of 1.5°C, 178 million people 50 are projected to live in drylands highly vulnerable to water stress and intense droughts (IPCC, 51 2020).

52 In this context, multiple studies have questioned how droughts are represented in the media, 53 and especially in newspapers (Changnon and Easterling, 1989; Haughton, 1998; Degaetano, 1999; 54 Sonnett et al., 2006; Tänzler et al., 2008; Bell, 2009; Paneque Salgado and Vargas Molina, 2015; 55 Madruga de Brito et al., 2020). The press continues to play a key role in informing the general 56 public about environmental issues (Boykoff and Boykoff, 2007; Boykoff, 2009; Bohensky and 57 Leitch, 2014; Comby et al., 2014). Indeed, if we consider risks communication (Wakefield and 58 Elliott, 2003), drought raises a particular challenge as it is a slow onset hazard that does not 59 necessarily attract the direct attention of event-oriented media (Wilkins and Patterson, 1990; 60 Sonnett et al., 2006), but also because it is the object of competing definitions (Whilhite and 61 Glantz, 1985; Lloyd-Hughes, 2013; Wilhite and Pulwarty, 2017). Because of competing 62 understandings of drought - an event diffused in time and space that affects different types of non-63 human (i.e., meteorological, hydrological, agricultural, and ecological drought) and human (i.e., 64 socioeconomic drought) actors with different levels of intensity-, it is of utmost importance to 65 understand the discursive and thus the socio-cultural context in which meaning is given to this 66 phenomenon (Greene, 2021).

To discuss how newspapers shape the definition of drought and display the problems that 67 68 drought represents, many scholars have adopted a Foucaldian approach (Foucault, 1972; 1980) 69 focusing on the politics of environmental discourses (Hajer, 1995; Dryzek, 1997) and inspired by 70 the critical discourse analysis (Fairclough, 2001; Richardson, 2007). This approach considers 71 discourses as they operate as "knowledge regimes" (Adger et al., 2001), the making of which 72 implies power struggles so that one discursive configuration can gain dominance over the other. 73 Understanding how media discourses prioritize certain perspectives and understate others is indeed 74 critical as media coverage has the potential to amplify or attenuate risk issues and therefore 75 influence public perception (Kasperson et al., 1988; Church et al., 2017, Flint et al., 2019).

76 In this perspective, understanding the construction of a dominant discourse makes it possible to elucidate the political choices associated with it, in our case the range of solutions 77 78 available to mitigate or adapt to environmental risks, implemented through particular public 79 policies and institutional arrangements (Hajer and Versteeg, 2005; Rinne and Nygren, 2016). 80 Previous research on drought discourses has focused on the importance of documenting the 81 framing of drought as it influences public perceptions, decision-making, and practices. Our 82 research proposes to reverse the approach and i) to focus on how media discourses frame solutions 83 to environmental issues and ii) to unveil the "complex webs of interests, ideologies, and power"

(Molle, 2008: 132) at the origins of the emergence of such solutions. Following a political ecology 84 85 approach, in that it focuses on discourses as revealing asymmetrical power relations (Adger et al., 86 2001; Svarstad and Benjaminsen, 2017; Parks, 2018) and tackles the questioning of scientific arguments mobilized in the implementation of environmental public policies (Forsyth, 1996; 2003; 87 88 Kull, 2004), we propose to look at the solution that is now favored worldwide as the main tool for 89 drought mitigation: water conservation, i.e. the reduction in water loss and water use through 90 mainly demand-management (March et al., 2013; Maggioni, 2014; Vickers, 2017). Water 91 conservation has been indeed gaining momentum over water supply management strategies, such 92 as reservoirs building, inter-basins transfers, new technologies (i.e., desalination) or non-93 conventional water resources (e.g., greywater and rainwater uses). How to explain the success 94 story of water conservation, from a notion coined by experts belonging to the technical and 95 academic world to a notion that can now be found on every street corner, especially in American 96 desert cities (Figure 1)? How did this notion become an imperative rarely challenged, even though 97 it relies on the efforts of individuals, who are made responsible for the imbalance between water 98 supply and demand?

99 Our objective is to retrace the construction of the water conservation narrative, defined as 100 a "social construction about a specific case [i.e., drought mitigation]" (Benjaminsen and 101 Svarstad, 2008, p.51) to understand how it has become a dominant strategy since the early 2000s. 102 Water conservation corresponds to a shift from highly centralized water management dominated 103 by experts (Gleick, 2002) to the integration of multiple stakeholders, and in particular of water 104 users asked to reduce their water uses (Brooks, 2007; Brooks and Holtz, 2009). Thus, the 105 implementation of water conservation aims largely at changing people's behavior regarding water 106 consumption and relies strongly on public outreach and participatory awareness campaigns 107 (Wutich et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2018; Boyer et al., 2020). For example, water providers can 108 directly mobilize the local newspapers in which advertisements are published encouraging users 109 to monitor their consumption (Howarth and Butler, 2004). In this regard, it has been shown that 110 inhabitants well informed through news media tend to enact conservation behaviors (Dolnicar et 111 al., 2012; Quesnel and Ajami, 2017; Moglia et al., 2018). In this paper, we focus instead on what 112 is said about water conservation, and by whom, in the press, as it is a dimension of the public 113 sphere (Habermas, 1974; 1989), a deliberative space that potentially engages opposing viewpoints 114 that affect civic and community life. We seek to pay closer attention to what different actors want 115 to see happen, in pursuit of which goals and by which means (Rinne and Nygren, 2016).

116 We analyzed the water conservation discourse in local daily newspapers published in 117 Phoenix and Tucson (Arizona, USA). Both cities constitute key study sites for examining the 118 profound consequences of climate change on water resources in arid lands (Bolin et al., 2010; 119 Zuniga-Teran and Staddon, 2019). As they are tackling global changes effects on already over-120 allocated water resources, Phoenix and Tucson can be considered as laboratories where technical 121 and political responses are first elaborated that may be of interest to other cities facing similar 122 problems (Megdal and Forrest, 2015; Hondula et al., 2019). In those two desert cities, drought has 123 become the dominant environmental explanation (Forsyth, 2003) to water scarcity issues and water 124 conservation has become a "nirvana concept" – a concept quickly integrated into public policies 125 that represent a source of satisfaction for most of the stakeholders (Molle, 2008) – as it lies at the 126 core of Arizona's strategy to reassure that threats associated to drought will be successfully 127 managed to sustain growth in this booming region of the U.S. (Hirt *et al.*, 2008; 2017). Based on 128 a corpus of 520 articles published between 1999 and 2018 in the *Arizona Republic* (Phoenix) and 129 the *Arizona Daily Star* (Tucson), this research proposes a quantitative textual analysis of the water 130 conservation narrative.

To understand how water conservation was constructed in the press as a consensual imperative for coping with water scarcity, we address three main questions: i) how much attention does water conservation get in the press when it comes to water and drought issues? ii) who are the actors involved when it comes to promote water conservation through newspapers and what are their relationships (conflictual vs. cooperative)? iii) as water conservation remains a difficult concept to define (Baumann *et al.*, 1984; Butler and Memon, 2005; Brooks, 2006), which interpretation does the press contribute to making as dominant?

- 138
- 139
- 140
- 141 142

143

2. Materials and methods

144 2.1 Water conservation in Arizona desert cities145

Global changes have already profound consequences for the climate of the American
Southwest and its over-allocated water supplies. As a result, the region is a key site for exploring
ways to manage worsening droughts and pressures on water resources, especially in urbanized arid
lands (Bolin *et al.*, 2010; Hondula *et al.*, 2019).

150 Phoenix and Tucson in Arizona are amongst the fastest growing cities in the United States 151 (Benites-Gambirazio, 2016; US Census, 2019). Located in the arid Sonoran Desert, their growth 152 is closely tight to the availability of water supplied by local aquifers and large infrastructures 153 (dams, aqueducts, canals) built during the 20th century in the Colorado River Basin (Kupel, 2003) 154 following a Promethean and extractivist approach to natural resource development (Worster, 1985; 155 Sheridan, 2012) (Figure 2). In Arizona, the water conservation imperative was first formalized in 156 1980 through the Groundwater Management Act (GMA), considered at the time as a leading 157 innovation. The law, by defining objectives for the sustainable management of groundwater – then 158 Arizona's main water supply – changed the state's relationship to water resources (Connall, 1982; 159 Jacobs and Holway, 2004; Poupeau et al., 2016). Groundwater is since then considered a non-160 renewable resource to be protected. The Colorado River water transported by the Central Arizona 161 Project (CAP) has however become central as it is classified as a renewable water resource (Bernat 162 et al., 2020). The GMA created a new state water agency, the Arizona Department of Water 163 Resources (ADWR), which supervises five Active Management Areas (AMAs) in which all uses 164 of groundwater are quantified and regulated. This law places water demand management at the

165 core of its objectives and defines an ambitious water conservation program to be carried out by the 166 various users of the resource in Arizona, to limit and eventually eliminate pumping from the 167 aquifers (Colby and Jacobs, 2007).

168 The conservation goals are set at the scale of the AMA where decennial management plans 169 provide requirements and guidelines. We will focus here on municipal water uses in Phoenix and 170 Tucson AMAs. As early as 1984, the first management plan required a "reasonable reduction in 171 per capita use" with a threshold of 140 gallons per person per day. It presented the following 172 guidelines for undertaking demand management (Phoenix AMA, 1984; Tucson AMA, 1984): 173 restriction of water deliveries to "turf-related facilities" (e.g., sports fields; golf courses); the 174 prohibition of groundwater delivery for large private swimming pools and large private 175 recreational ponds or lakes; the need to reduce leaks and water loss in local distribution systems; 176 the need to implement efficient irrigation practices (e.g., drip irrigation, irrigation controllers); need to promote the planting of low water use vegetation; need to support low water use plumbing 177 178 devices development (Phoenix AMA, 1984; Tucson AMA, 1984). The most recent documents 179 (Phoenix AMA, 2016; Tucson AMA, 2016) organize water conservation around three main axes: 180 i) reducing per capita water consumption; ii) encouraging the use of best available water 181 conservation practices; iii) maximizing the efficient use of all water supplies. Finally, the 182 documents highlight that public information and education programs are essential to the success 183 of urban water conservation programs. As the ADWR and most municipal water utilities like to 184 point out, Arizona's major cities have seen their populations grow steadily while the amount of 185 water use per capita has declined. For example, from 267 gallons per capita per day (GPCD) in 186 Phoenix and 168 gallons GPCD in Tucson in 1984, these figures have decreased to 202 and 130 187 gallons respectively in 2015 (Tucson AMA, 1984; 2016; Phoenix AMA, 1984; 2016). This gradual 188 decrease in municipal water consumption can be explained by municipal landscaping (i.e., 189 xeriscaping programs) and plumbing ordinances (e.g., install reduced-flow plumbing fixtures) 190 adopted in the 1980s and 1990s and extensive information and education campaigns regarding 191 drought and conservation in the 1990s and 2000s (Larson et al., 2009; Hirt et al., 2017).

- 192
- 193 194

2.2. A corpus of articles from the regional daily press

195 We examine discourse patterns regarding water conservation through analyses of articles 196 published in Arizona's two major newspapers, namely the Arizona Republic and the Arizona Daily 197 Star. The Arizona Republic, based in Phoenix, is owned by Gannett Company and is the state's 198 main newspaper. From a daily circulation of 574,798 in the early 2000s (Sonnett et al., 2006), the 199 Arizona Republic is today down to an average of 130,000 daily copies in a region - Central Arizona 200 - with a total population of over 4 million. However, it is important to note here that its digital 201 version is regularly consulted by many subscribers. General readers can consult for free up to five 202 articles a month. Since its inception in 1890, the newspaper has consistently supported Republican 203 candidates until the 2016 presidential election, when it ran in favor of Hillary Clinton. Based in 204 Tucson, the Arizona Daily Star, now with an average daily circulation of 106,436, covers the 205 Southern Arizona region with a population of 1,3 million. The newspaper was founded in 1877 by

L.C. Hughes, an elected Democrat who became the 11th governor of the Arizona Territory in the late 19th century. The editorial line of the newspaper has always been closer to the Democratic party. Both newspapers have environmental journalists covering local and regional issues, and reporting on events triggered by weather conditions (e.g., drought, extreme heat, heavy rain, and flash floods). The analysis of the local press makes it possible to assess what is considered newsworthy (Dispensa and Brulle, 2003): what kind of events (natural hazards or management decisions) are likely to interest readers.

213 The political divergences mentioned above does not significantly impact environmental 214 coverage as both newspapers contribute to re-affirm perceptions about the necessity of growth for 215 political and economic reasons (Christopher, 2008; Poupeau et al., 2016). However, Phoenix and 216 Tucson face two different hydro-geographic situations: located at the confluence of several local 217 watersheds, Phoenix has access to a more diversified water resource through the Salt and Verde 218 River hydraulic system; Tucson's supply, in the Santa Cruz watershed, relies on water from the 219 Central Arizona Project for more than 80% and is directly threatened by shortages on the Colorado 220 River (Megdal and Forrest, 2015). How newspapers reflect on drought and water issues depends 221 less on their political inclinations than on the importance of regional particularities in terms of 222 water resources.

223 Our study period begins in January of 1999 and ends in December 2018. January 1999 224 corresponds to the eve of the intense drought which has shaped the last 20 years in the Southwest 225 and before public awareness of drought began to grow, especially from 2002 onwards (Sonnett et 226 al., 2006). We consulted online databases ProQuest and Access World News. To focus on how the 227 emergence of severe drought has affected perceptions of water and decisions on water issues in 228 Arizona, we identified news article through keyword searches and selected all articles containing 229 the term "water" (main subject) along with "drought" (all text). These keywords allowed us to 230 collect a sufficiently large number of articles that show a diversity of objects, situations, and 231 problems reported in the press. We had previously tried more targeted queries (e.g., "water 232 +conservation") which left out too many articles. A total of N=520 articles published between 233 January 1999 and December 2018 were identified. This corpus has a total of 622,126 words. The 234 distribution of articles through time is uneven (Figure 3), with peaks corresponding to periods of 235 severe drought. The first peak corresponds to the period of a severe to extreme drought affecting 236 more than 80% of Arizona between 2002 and 2005; after a year of relief in 2006, 70% of Arizona 237 is then under a severe drought alert until 2008 (according to the U.S. Drought Monitor¹); the second 238 peak (2014-2018) corresponds to the prolonged drought effects on Lake Mead, the principal 239 reservoir supplying the region (Figure 2), reaching a low level and threatening water users with a 240 shortage. The year 2018 is marked by the Drought Contingency Plan (DCP) negotiations, overseen 241 by the US Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) with the goal of establishing a regional and long-term 242 drought strategy.

243 244

2.3 Data analysis

¹ See US Drought Monitor's data for Arizona here: <u>https://www.drought.gov/states/arizona</u>

246 First acquired in pdf format, we formatted the 520 articles for analysis with two open-247 access software allowing quantitative textual analyses (Lebart et al., 1998; 2019): IRaMuTeQ 248 (Ratinaud, 2009; Chaves et al., 2017) and TXM (Heiden, 2010). They both work in relation to the 249 R software (R Core Team, 2018) which enables different processing and statistical analysis of 250 texts. IRaMuTeQ and TXM both provide pre-processing tools such as lemmatization (i.e., 251 grouping inflected forms together as a single base form) or the calculation of word frequency in 252 the corpus. In contrast with content analysis or with a qualitative study of texts, the quantitative 253 textual analysis allows the interpretation phase to be performed after the procedure, following 254 an explanatory sequential mixed method. IRaMuTeQ and TXM both allow users, with just one 255 click, to return to the text in order to observe the actual occurrences in context, and thereafter to 256 construct qualitative interpretations.

257 IRaMuTeQ divides the corpus into text segments (i.e., segments of homogeneous size 258 while respecting as much as possible the structure of the language, clauses or whole sentences of 259 40 words on average) and performs a top-down approach for hierarchical clustering as developed 260 by Reinert for his ALCESTE method (1983; 1990) to analyze the corpus's lexical structure 261 (Cottet et al., 2015; Comby et al., 2019; Adam et al., 2020). Developed since the 1980s, the 262 classification algorithm has proven robust. It produces a dendrogram displaying a certain number of clusters and specifying the percentage of text segments in each cluster. The clustering tree is 263 264 inductive and proceeds directly from the data. Each cluster is associated with a list of lemmas 265 ranked according to their Chi-squared values, from the highest scores on top of the list to the 266 lowest (to express the strength of the link between one lemma and the cluster) (Marpsat, 2010). 267 Each cluster can then be run through a similarity analysis. This calculation is based on graph 268 theory and more specifically, on the Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm (1991) using co-269 occurrences. It aims at studying proximity and relationships between components (the lexical 270 forms) of a set (the considered cluster) using a maximum tree (Ratinaud and Marchand, 2012).

271

If the top-down clustering reveals the main "lexical worlds" structuring the entire corpus, thesimilarity analysis shows a given cluster's internal organization.

274 Both these statistical treatments rely on the co-occurrence analyses of specific lexical 275 forms (Lebart et al., 1998). Through TXM, we conducted a more detailed analysis of all the co-276 occurrences happening throughout the corpus, for different text sections of different lengths. 277 Moreover, TXM offers tools to apprehend the diachronic dimension of the corpus's textual 278 content and allows full-text search techniques. This allows for retracing the emergence and the 279 evolution of narratives, as they describe changes in public perceptions of water scarcity issues 280 (Molle, 2008; Whitfield et al., 2015; Leong, 2021). In the results part below, we combine these 281 quantitative analyses with a qualitative approach thanks to different quotations. We retrieved most quotations thanks to TXM's "concordances" function that makes it possible to perform a 282 283 query on a "pivot" word which allows a content analysis of what precedes and follows a term or 284 expression.

286 287

288

290

3. Results

289 *3.1 The growing importance of the water conservation narrative*

291 The agora of the regional daily press is highly sensitive to local concerns and provides 292 insight into issues over time (Spencer and Triche, 1994; Comby et al., 2014; 2019). Thus, with the 293 newspaper corpus, we can examine the process through which narratives are constructed to 294 understand why environmental policies end up assuming a particular shape (Roe, 1994; 295 Lockwood, 2011). In the corpus, the lemmas "conservation" (n=709), "conserve" (n=223) and 296 "save" (n=278) appear 1,210 times. Figure 4 shows how the use of the lemmas "conservation" and 297 "save" evolves between 1999 and 2018 throughout the corpus. We observe a particularly strong 298 progression of the term "conservation" between 1999 and 2007: from a single occurrence of the 299 word "conservation" in 1999, we count 112 in 2005 – the peak year for the word "conservation" 300 in the corpus – and then 60 times in 2007. The progressive entry into a period of drought from 301 2002 onwards lies in the background of this increase. In March 2003, Arizona Governor Janet 302 Napolitano (Democrat; 2003-2009) launched the Arizona Governor's Drought Task Force, 303 bringing together water managers and members of the local legislature. In 2004, their work led to 304 the publication of the Arizona Drought Preparedness Plan, which created the Drought Interagency 305 Coordinating Group providing the Governor with twice-yearly reports on the status of drought and 306 water resources. Starting in 2004, the drought and the Arizona Governor's actions brought to the 307 forefront the water conservation measures recommended by the Groundwater Management Act 308 and the Active Management Areas management plans, which had been relatively ignored until 309 then.

The top-down method for hierarchical classification shows how two main poles structure the clustering tree: i) the first emphasizes water management (Clusters 1; 2; 3; 6); ii) the second is organized around biophysical issues associated with the availability of water resources (Clusters 4 and 5) (Figure 5).

314 Within these two overarching poles, clusters are assembled into subgroups.

Among the clusters centered on management issues, clusters 3 ("mead"; "cap"; "colorado") and 2 ("interior"; "secretary"; "state") form a subgroup as they are both tackling water management at the level of the state of Arizona and of the entire Colorado River Basin through large-scale hydraulics. Each corresponds to a different facet of water management. Cluster 3 corresponds to the empirical and technical dimensions of water management in the Colorado basin to avoid a shortage: "foot"; "acre"; "shortage". Cluster 2 refers to the political aspect ("agreement"; "deal"; "negotiation") of water management.

Clusters 6 and 1 are related to the implementation of water policies at the local level as it involves local actors (cluster 6: "public", "director", "group") and affects local development orientations (cluster 1: "groundwater", "pump", "well", "county"). They also articulate two different aspects of water governance. Indeed, cluster 6 focuses on the governance aspect of water management at the local level (public policies, relations, and cooperation with other actors such as universities, institutes or associations). Cluster 1 focuses on the operational side, especially through land-use planning, and the challenge of water resource scarcity in the context of rapid urban growth. It shows a tension between residential and municipal uses ("city"; "home") and agricultural uses ("farm"; "crop"; "rural").

Finally, the clusters that address biophysical issues are divided into two sub-themes. Cluster 5 relates to the observation and measurement of climatic and meteorological events ("winter"; "snow"; "runoff") that condition the availability of the water resource. Cluster 4 is more concerned with the water needs of ecosystems ("fish"; "canyon"; "tree").

335 This top-down classification highlights an opposition between two visions of water 336 management: 66.1% of the text segments see it as a techno-managerial issue, handled by large 337 technocratic institutions; 33.9% see it much more from the perspective of environmental protection 338 and of scientific attention to climate change. We note that the word "conservation" does not belong 339 to the environmental lexical world in the corpus but rather strongly contributes to characterize 340 cluster 6. This lexical cluster focuses on water conservation as a management tool. It contains the 341 main elements of the demand management toolbox: a set of public policies ("public"; "policy") 342 that gives rise to information dissemination and environmental education campaigns ("campaign"; 343 "education") and that aims to transform individual practices ("person") in order to implement 344 environmentally acceptable options for water management. 18.9% of the corpus's text segments 345 deal directly with water conservation as a new strategy for water management. According to this 346 graph, with 18.9% of the text segments, it is the second most important theme structuring the 347 corpus (after climate considerations in cluster 5).

- 348
- 349 350

3.2 Collective efforts towards innovative solutions?

351 352 3.2.1 A diversity of stakeholders

353 According to the results presented above, the inclusion of new actors in water 354 management contributes strongly to the characterization of water conservation practices. Figure 355 6 therefore shows the actors who appeared more than 100 times in the local newspapers' articles 356 dealing with water and drought. There is a strong domination of governmental actors, at the 357 federal, state, county and city levels. The world of science is also important, through the mention 358 of universities, scientists, researchers and especially hydrologists. Two major types of large-359 scale water consumers are well represented: farmers and developers. We also note the 360 importance of inhabitants and their individual water consumption through the occurrences of 361 "resident", "homeowner" and "family".

To analyze more precisely the set of actors involved in water conservation, and especially the roles they can play, Figure 7 shows the graph of the similarity analysis based on cluster 6. This tree clearly shows the four major actors of conservation: the ADWR which provides the 365 general framework ("resources"-"department"); the municipal level which represents the scale 366 at which public water conservation policies are implemented ("city"); different types of groups 367 which are concerned by the measures ("group") and finally the individual scale ("person").

We see that the theme of water conservation is linked to strong words like "force", "act", "effort". It requires the implementation of creative solutions as shown by the frequent cooccurrences of "conservation" with "create" and "idea". The figure also highlights the issue of financing water conservation programs: "money", "fund".

The network of words around "resource" indicates on the one hand the existence of a "problem" and a "crisis" whose stakes would be "growth" and "development", but also mentions the need to put in place "solutions". This part of the graph highlights a collaboration between public actors and universities.

The network that takes shape around the word "city" translates to the existence of an "issue" that is open to discussion in the deliberative instances mentioned: "council"; "board". The lexical fields of the question and the proposition dominate, as both can be submitted to the civic debate.

The co-occurrences of the word "group" show two kinds of groups involved in water conservation: on the one hand, those concerned with environmental issues, associated with the presence of strong verbs such as "fight", "protect", "support"; and, on the other hand, groups with business-related interests.

Finally, the branches formed around the co-occurrence of "conservation" and "person," indicates not only the importance of the individual scale but above all the extent to which the issue is that of a change in mentalities: "change"; "know"; "think"; "live." It is interesting to note that the word "future" stands out, setting a vision of a horizon that individuals and communities should strive to reach.

- 389
- 390 391

3.2.2 From conflictual to cooperative relations?

392 The qualitative analysis shows that the corpus includes the mentions of more than 15 393 environmental organizations. Among the most frequently mentioned, we find the Sierra Club, 394 followed by the Center for Biological Diversity and The Nature Conservancy. The relationship 395 between associations and other stakeholders is expressed in two ways. The first, conflictual, has 396 ancient roots and aims to denounce the environmental impacts of large hydraulic infrastructures. 397 For example, in 2002, for the 100th anniversary of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation in charge of 398 developing the arid west since the beginning of the 20th century, a journalist writes in the Arizona 399 *Republic* (06/17/2002): "not everyone will be celebrating the agency's work tonight. Activists from 400 more than 80 environmental groups have planned a counter-event to protest what they believe is 401 a legacy of ecological neglect at best and wholesale destruction at worst."

The other dimension however is that of cooperation, as this extract from an article
presenting the USBR's *Colorado River Basin Water Supply and Demand Study* in 2012
illustrates: *"The report includes four scenarios for dealing with the shortage. One, offered by the*

405 states and water users, relies on large-scale projects such as pipelines to complement conservation

406 and reuse in filling the gap. Another, presented by environmental groups, favors conservation,

storing water in an aquifer above Lake Powell and relatively low-energy desalination of brackish
 groundwater. The other two scenarios either combine those proposals or use their points of

overlap" (12/13/2012, AZ Republic). This last extract shows the participatory and integrated
management dimension of water conservation. Compared to the excerpts from the early 2000s,
there has been an evolution of water management from a highly conflictive field to the inclusion
of and collaboration with environmentalist groups in decision-making.

- 413
- 414 415

3.3 Promoting a water conservation lifestyle

416 This final part of the analysis looks at the framing of water conservation across the entire press corpus. Table 1 shows the most frequent co-occurrences of the word "conservation". This 417 418 table describes water conservation primarily as an incentive strategy ("promote "; "encourage"; 419 "push") that involves communication ("message"; "campaign") and education efforts. 420 Furthermore, we see in Table 1 that the terms "ethic", "culture" and "lifestyle" are frequent co-421 occurrents of conservation. The government of J. Napolitano originated this rhetoric of water 422 conservation as a new "lifestyle" that still prevails today: "we need to develop that culture of 423 conservation (that Gov. Janet Napolitano has urged)" says an Arizona Republic's article on 424 08/28/2005 (see also the weight of "napolitano" in Figure 5, cluster 6). The ambition, and even the 425 challenge, of water conservation lies in changing the habits and practices of the inhabitants towards 426 water. Indeed, for decision-makers, it seems very important not to alert, nor to frighten. Their goal 427 is rather to propose a new model and convince individual water users that conservation is 428 preferable: "saving water is also a lifestyle change for many people. It requires many to 429 consciously change their habits. It takes a strong leader to coax those changes from voters who 430 like wide, green lawns or long, hot showers" (AZ Republic, 07/01/2005). As shown by this excerpt, 431 there is a dimension of social acceptance, and more broadly a political stake tied to the proposal 432 of water conservation.

Table 1 also shows that the word "specialist" appears in third position in the list of water conservation's co-occurrents. Indeed, the carriers of this message are the water conservation specialists who work for the water utilities at the municipal level. Their main task is to implement demand-management measures on the technical (leak management, water use data processing) and social sides (information, education) (Hamilton, 2013). Our press corpus presents 40 interviews conducted with water conservation specialists.

Surprisingly, Table 1 presents both the words "voluntary" and "effort" but also the words "mandatory" and "imposes" which are completely opposite. A query around the term "mandatory" in the TXM concordances function shows that if the word is totally absent from the corpus in 1999, 2000 and 2001, it appears 9 times in 2002, 11 times in 2003, then 17 times in 2004 to drop to 5 times in 2005. Between 2008 and 2015, in a period of respite from drought conditions, the word is no longer mentioned. We can see that the word "mandatory" refers 41 times to the fact that water conservation measures are not mandatory. For example, in August 2002, a water district office manager in North Phoenix tells the *Arizona Republic*: "*All we can do is beg and plead for people to stop watering lawns*. *District bylaws don't allow mandatory restrictions*" (08/23/2002).

448 Actually, the word "mandatory" refers only 24 times to the fact that water conservation 449 measures are mandatory, including in California, in Southern Nevada, in Colorado, in Flagstaff in 450 Northern Arizona, and once in Oro Valley, a suburb of Tucson in 2007. Indeed, throughout the 451 corpus, "mandatory" measures are seen as very negative and limiting. In the following excerpt, 452 mandatory water conservation measures lie among the "disasters" - between water shortages and 453 ravaged farms - that could affect Arizona residents as an effect of the drought: "Climate experts 454 say even a reasonably normal winter could ease the drought's effects, but a dry one, on top of all 455 the other dry ones, would trigger new disasters: water shortages, mandatory conservation, ruined 456 farms and ranches, despoiled forests and wildfires" (01/19/2003, AZ Republic).

457 458

459 460

4. Discussion

461 462 463

4.1 Water conservation: for the seek of the common good

464 The analysis of media discourse has proven quite efficient in reconstructing discursive 465 trajectories and political strategies associated with them (Burke et al., 2015; Duffy, 2016; Comby 466 et al., 2019). Indeed, news media coverage of natural risks often follows regulatory events and 467 political actions (Hurlimann and Dornicar, 2012; Wei et al., 2015). Since the early 2000s and the 468 political reaction of Gov. Janet Napolitano to the 2002 drought, water conservation has gradually 469 become the watchword for water decision-makers in Arizona as they are confronted with rapidly 470 declining reservoirs and uncertainty about long-term water supplies. The media's interest for 471 politics and policies can be interpreted as following two different logics. First, it works within an 472 Habermasian realm which considers the press as supposed to "support reflection and value on 473 policy choice" (Baker, 2002: 148) and to support the search for a general agreement on common 474 good (Benson, 2009). Second, as discourses embody power in the way they help make people 475 more compliant and governable (Foucault, 1980), we can push the interpretation further and 476 conclude that the importance of political decisions in the newspapers can be explained by their 477 reliance on government information sources but also by the need to govern the population, and 478 thus to convince it of the validity and legitimacy of the decisions taken. The press becomes an 479 instrument of public policies and their social acceptance.

Consistent with the results of studies conducted by Sonnett *et al.*, (2006) or Addo *et al.*, (2019), most of the sources quoted in our daily press corpus are official sources, especially from government at different levels (federal, state, county, city) and from universities. They correspond to "meaning-making institutions" (Browne and Keil, 2000) that contribute to set narratives with the ability to be realized, not because of the "correctness of [their] assumptions" but because of the power position of those communicating (Whitfield *et al.*, 2015: 134). These protagonists have enough legitimacy and credibility to present themselves as the protectors of a sustainable and responsible development and, most importantly, to define what is common good and to closely
associate water conservation with it. Finally, in doing so, they are also able to construct as
ignorance the failure to behave accordingly to their definitions (Cher, 2019).

490 The importance of the vocabulary of the "lifestyle" or of the "cultural change" that is required with 491 water conservation shows that the challenge is to modify city dwellers' habits. Acculturated by 492 this new narrative of Arizona's desert cities as champions of water conservation, residents are 493 more likely to be gradually convinced that they must change their habits. The objective is to shape 494 a reasonable water user, following the principle of the eco-citizen. The success of the water 495 conservation lifestyle is therefore largely based on the individual scale and the involvement of 496 individuals who must be convinced, without being frightened. Implementing the water 497 conservation lifestyle allows to achieve two objectives: i) postpone or avoid the risk dimensions 498 (i.e., water shortage) associated with the drought; ii) continue to sell the quality of life that makes 499 the region attractive, promoted as a lifestyle destination since the 1920s (Hirt et al., 2008; Schipper, 500 2008; Sheridan, 2012). However, this strategy helps individualizing responsibility for the current 501 ecological crisis. The guilt-inducing small gestures imperative makes it indeed possible to avoid 502 questioning the viability of limitless capitalist urbanization in the desert (Davis, 2002; Boyer et 503 al., 2020; O'Neill and Boyer, 2020).

504

506

505 4.2. A process of depolitization

507 With water conservation, it seems that the logic of adaptation takes precedence over that of 508 mitigation of global environmental changes. In a sense, the actors seem to have given up discussing 509 the chosen development models (e.g., mega oasis cities in the desert) that strongly contribute to the 510 imbalance between water resource availability and demand. Given the emphasis in the press corpus 511 on biophysical issues (Clusters 4 and 5) that condition the availability of the water resource, the 512 problem of water scarcity is presented as a natural phenomenon in Arizona. First, this natural fact 513 is the one of aridity which characterizes the region, the Sonoran Desert. Second, the "natural" 514 phenomenon which explains the difficulties faced by water managers in the 21st century is more 515 and more – since the 2010s – presented as climate change. Thus, our results highlight clearly how water scarcity is explained primarily by natural causes. Such an observation is in line with the 516 517 work of K. Bakker (1999; 2000) in the United Kingdom and especially M. Kaika (2003) in Greece, 518 which has shown that drought has served as "the 'ferment' for (...) political-economic 519 transformations" (p.919) towards a more neoliberal direction (Edwards, 2013).

520 This discourse, which places great emphasis on "natural" factors and climate change, borrows 521 from the typical "blame it on nature" rhetoric identified by E. Swyngedouw (2011) in other case 522 studies with similar large water infrastructures projects (e.g, Spain; Ecuador). This rhetoric aims 523 to legitimize and make socially acceptable the political and economic orientations chosen for water 524 management, which very often take the form of hydrosocial fixes (Swyngedouw, 2013). According 525 to E. Swyngedouw, a hydrosocial fix is achieved through the displacement of water resource 526 management from an arena that has become conflictual (i.e., water supply management) to the 527 point of slowing down the processes of accumulation, production and consumption to new political 528 spaces (i.e., the ones framing demand management) for water resources management issues. It is 529 therefore a question of adapting the system each time and reproducing "a development trajectory" 530 (Swyngedouw, 2013: 262). Demand management allows indeed for a scalar fix (Smith, 1984; 531 Brenner, 1998; Harvey, 2001; Cohen and Bakker, 2014) since the solution envisaged consists of 532 changing scales, from national and regional scales to a local (municipality) or even micro-local 533 scale (home; individual). Molded with environmental concerns on the preservation of resources, 534 water conservation also operates as a socio-ecological fix (Eckers and Prudham, 2017). It intends 535 changes in the modes of regulation at the origin of new landscapes (especially xeriscapes through 536 the water conservation lifestyle) (Figure 8), in the ways water circulates in the city (e.g. through 537 upgraded water fixtures) and new social configurations: the turn towards more cooperation and 538 collaboration in a post-conflict context (Fleck, 2016). Other findings have therefore shown that 539 more than a political choice, water conservation is framed as a necessity to ensure the survival and 540 flourishing of desert cities (Poupeau et al., 2018). Therefore, the idea is to make it a consensual 541 practice articulated around a win-win principle between environmentalists, water managers, and 542 developers. From a political ecology perspective, such a depoliticization of water issues is 543 questionable but can also be explained by a pragmatic strategy in the context of the increasingly 544 polarized political spectrum, a trend observed worldwide. In Arizona, as the region is still 545 culturally and politically attached to individual freedoms (Altheide et Johnson, 2011; Ross, 2011), 546 the social acceptance of water conservation must perhaps go first through this depoliticization 547 process of the ecological challenged of desert cities.

- 548
- 549
- 550

4.3 Building consensus: from environmentalist fights to "unholy alliances"

551 The quantitative textual analysis we conducted shows that water conservation belongs mainly 552 to the lexical world of administrative and technical water management. This result highlights how 553 the press is defining water conservation within an administrative rationalist framework. According 554 to Dryzek (1997), the environmental discourse of "administrative rationalism" offers to solve 555 problems through bureaucracies of experts and managers. He notes that administrative rationalism 556 has close similarities with the conservationist discourse that emerged in the U.S. in the late 19th 557 century and argued for the careful, rational management of natural resources (Hays, 1959; Brulle, 558 1996). Following this model, the administrative state is in charge of issuing water conservation 559 mandates (e.g., the Groundwater Management Act of 1980; the successive AMA management 560 plans), water managers are implementing specific water conservation measures (e.g., the water 561 conservation specialists at the municipal levels), and scientists and advocacy groups' role is to 562 communicate the rationale on why water conservation is so important. This model is therefore far 563 from new and is implemented according to a top-down logic, strongly supervised by the 564 institutions. Thus, water conservation does not challenge power structures in place. In the contrary, 565 while environmental organizations have opposed large infrastructures and their management by 566 centralized and bureaucratic agencies, the press corpus shows that water conservation functions as

a compromise and allows a new cooperation movement between water managers and environmental organizations. The strategy of water managers in Arizona has proven to be successful worldwide, and some of the discursive tools developed are being mobilized in other English-speaking drylands (e.g., in Australia, in South Africa) (Boyer *et al.*, 2020).

571 In our analysis, the text segments dealing specifically with water conservation are 572 characterized by the diversity of the actors mentioned. This diversity reflects that the 573 implementation of demand management follows a governance-based model (Brooks, 2006) 574 defined as a neutral, optimistic, managerial vision of collective action. Following Adger et al. 575 (2001), the administrative rationalist discourse seems also to join the typical "managerial 576 discourse" on the environment in that water conservation is depicted as a win-win solution for, on 577 the one hand, actors fighting for the health of ecosystems and, on the other hand, proponents of 578 economic growth. However, water managers and political decision-makers do not seem to 579 incorporate views of the environment that consider water as more than a material commodity vital 580 for economic growth. Water conservation is even accepted only insofar as it is not hostile to 581 Arizona's growth (Sheridan, 2012; O'Neill et al., 2018). This political alliance between water managers, political decision-makers and environmentalists can therefore be seen as "unholy" or 582 583 "strange" (Swyngedouw et al., 2002). For example, it is interesting to note that in the corpus, we 584 do not really find trace of any alternative propositions (e.g., controlling growth, massive turn 585 towards rainwater harvesting or greywater reuse, etc.): the press most often echoes the popular 586 detestation of restrictive water conservation measures (Hughes, 2012; Inskeep and Attari, 2014); 587 the regulation of land use and the limitation of urban sprawl, requested by many since the 1970s 588 (Logan, 1995; Gober et al., 2013) is not mentioned. Indeed, similar findings (Swyngedouw et al., 589 2002) analyze this form of activism involved with dominant institutions as working only towards 590 social acceptability of top-down policies which contributes to closing down the emergence of 591 alternatives.

- 592
- 593 594

4.4 Epilogue: in Arizona, despite water conservation, the water shortage has come

595 Due to drought conditions that have lasted since the early 2000s, in Spring 2021, the level of Lake 596 Mead has reached 1070 ft., its lowest level in history. On August 16, 2021, the Federal Bureau of 597 Reclamation has announced the first ever water shortage on the Colorado River, which is 36% of 598 Arizona's state water supply (USBR, 2021). Their hydrological model even predicts that the 599 reservoir level will drop below 1050 ft. by April 2023. By 2022, Arizona is expected to lose 20% 600 of its water supply. More recently, Arizona newspapers have been mentioning solutions 601 reactivating the paradigm of water supply management, such as an inter-basin transfer from the 602 Mississippi River. Such projects are indeed more newsworthy than the progressive effort to change 603 people's habits. Our study could be completed to test the following hypothesis: in the event of a 604 crisis, the paradigm of water conservation - composed of a multitude of expedients whose 605 definition remains vague - is slowly put away as climate change and emergency justify the return 606 to large infrastructure projects (Crow-Miller et al., 2017). Indeed, in various regions of the world,

recent analyses have shown the role of the climate discourse in the reframing of mega-projects
(Ahlers *et al.*, 2015 in Eastern Himalaya; Warner *et al.*, 2017 in Ecuador; O'Neill, 2020 in
California; Flaminio, 2021 in Australia).

610 611

612

5. Conclusion

613 614 Our results show that in the past 30 years water conservation has gained attention in the media, as 615 a drought management and water governance tool. Through the press, among other media – social 616 media are also particularly mobilized in the framing of water conservation (Boyer et al., 2020) -617 water conservation is defined as a successful and optimistic solution to fix the water scarcity issue, 618 exacerbated by the recurrence of droughts. Indeed, it functions as a consensual solution supported 619 by government actors that brings together different types of stakeholders who can work together 620 to sustain urban water security in a drier world. This consensus is made possible by the integration 621 of environmental issues into water conservation which is now widely considered as a "good" way 622 to address ecological issues in water management (Gleick, 2002; Savenjie and Van Der Zaag, 623 2002; Moglia et al., 2018). As a result, this consensual version of water politics avoids rethinking 624 and reconsidering the power structures organizing water management, especially since this would 625 imply questioning a complex set of actors, devices, investments, and infrastructures that are characterized by a certain inertia and path dependency. It proposes a weak sustainability model 626 627 that emphasizes the least economically and socially costly solutions: in particular, water 628 conservation focuses mainly on moderating water users demand and thus participates in the 629 individualization of responsibility in mitigating global environmental change impacts on society. 630 Thus, our study shows that the dominant discourse of water conservation does not propose a shift 631 in development paths in a manner that would foster greater resilience against climate change. 632 These results on water issues could also certainly apply to other topics related to global 633 environmental change, such as energy alternatives to reduce greenhouse gas emissions or changes 634 in agricultural practices to preserve biodiversity.

635

636

637 References

638 639

640Adam, M., Cottet, M., Morardet, S., Vaudor, L., Coussout, L. and Rivière-Honegger, A., 2020. Cycling along641a River: New access, new values?. Sustainability, 12(22), p.9311. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229311

- 642
- Addo, I.B., Thoms, M.C., Parsons, M., 2019. The influence of water-conservation messages on reducing
 household water use. *Applied Water Science*, 9(5), pp.1-13. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-1002-0</u>
- 645 646 Adger, W.N., Benjaminsen, T.A., Brown, K. and Svarstad, H., 2001. Advancing a political ecology of global

647 environmental discourses. Development and Change, 32(4), pp.681-715. DOI:

- 648 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00222</u>
- 649

- 650 Altheide, D.L. and Johnson, J.M., 2011. Living in Arizona: Reflections on Life in the Tumultuous Sunbelt.
- 651 *Cultural Studies* \leftrightarrow *Critical Methodologies*, 11(4), pp.346-358. DOI:
- 652 https://doi.org/10.1177/1532708611414660 653
- 654 Baker, C. E., 2002. Media, markets, and democracy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 655 656 Bakker, K., 1999. Deconstructing discourses of drought. Transactions of the Institute of British
- 657 Geographers, 24(3), pp.367-372. DOI:
- 658 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0020-2754.1999.t01-1-00367.x 659
- 660 Bakker, K., 2000. Privatizing water, producing scarcity: The Yorkshire drought of 1995. Economic
- 661 *Geography*, 76(1), pp.4-27. DOI:
- 662 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-8287.2000.tb00131.x
- 663 664 Baumann, D.D., Boland, J.J. and Sims, J.H., 1984. Water conservation: The struggle over definition. *Water*
- 665 Resources Research, 20(4), pp.428-434. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i004p00428
- 666 Bell, S., 2009. The driest continent and the greediest water company: newspaper reporting of drought in
- 667 Sydney and London. International Journal of Environmental Studies, 66(5), pp.581-589. DOI: 668 https://doi.org/10.1080/00207230903239220
- 669 Benites-Gambirazio, E., 2016. The social logic of urban sprawl: Arizona cities under environmental pressure.
- 670 In Poupeau, F., Gupta, H., Serrat-Capdevila, A., Sans-Fuentes, M., Harris, S. and Hayde, L., eds., Water 671 Bankruptcy in the Land of Plenty, CRC Press, pp.121-140.
- 672 Benjaminsen, T.A. and Svarstad, H., 2008. Understanding traditionalist opposition to modernization: Narrative 673 production in a Norwegian mountain conflict. Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography, 90(1),
- 674 pp.49-62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0467.2008.00275.x
- 675 Benson, R., 2009. Shaping the public sphere: Habermas and beyond. The American Sociologist, 40(3), pp.175-676 197. DOI: 10.1007/s12108-009-9071-4
- 677
- 678 Bernat, R.F., Megdal, S.B. and Eden, S., 2020. Long-Term Storage Credits: Analyzing Market-Based
- 679 Transactions to Achieve Arizona Water Policy Objectives, Water, 12(2), p.568. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/w12020568
- 680 681
- 682 Bohensky, E.L. and Leitch, A.M., 2014. Framing the flood: a media analysis of themes of resilience in the
- 683 2011 Brisbane flood. Regional Environmental Change, 14(2), pp.475-488. DOI:
- 684 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0438-2
- 685 Boyer, A.L., Vaudor, L., Le Lay, Y.F. and Marty, P., 2020. Building Consensus? The Production of a Water 686 Conservation Discourse Through Twitter: The Water use it Wisely Campaign in Arizona. Environmental
- 687 Communication, pp.1-16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2020.1821743
- 688 Boykoff, M.T. and Boykoff, J.M., 2007. Climate change and journalistic norms: A case-study of US massmedia coverage. Geoforum, 38(6), pp.1190-1204. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2007.01.008
- 689
- 690
- 691 Boykoff, M.T., 2009. We speak for the trees: Media reporting on the environment. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 34, pp.431-457. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.environ.051308.084254
- 692 693

- 694 Brenner, N., 1998. Between fixity and motion: accumulation, territorial organization and the historical
- 695 geography of spatial scales. *Environment and planning D: Society and space*, 16(4), pp.459-481. DOI:
- 696 <u>https://doi.org/10.1068/d160459</u>
- Brooks, D.B., 2006. An operational definition of water demand management. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 22(4), pp.521-528. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07900620600779699</u>

Brooks, D. B. and Holtz, S., 2009. Water soft path analysis: from principles to practice. *Water International*,
34(2), pp.158-169. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060902839940</u>

- Browne, D.R. and Keil, R., 2000. Planning ecology: The discourse of environmental policy making in Los
 Angeles, *Organization & Environment*, 13(2), pp.158-205. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026600132002</u>
- Brulle, R.J., 1996. Environmental discourse and social movement organizations: A historical and rhetorical perspective on the development of US environmental organizations. *Sociological Inquiry*, 66(1), pp.58-83.
 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682X.1996.tb00209.x</u>

Burke, B.J., Welch-Devine, M. and Gustafson, S., 2015. Nature talk in an Appalachian newspaper: What
 environmental discourse analysis reveals about efforts to address exurbanization and climate change. *Human Organization*, pp.185-196

- Butler, D. and Memon, F. A., eds., 2006. *Water demand management*. Iwa Publishing, London.
- Changnon Jr, S.A. and Easterling, W.E., 1989. Measuring drought impacts: The Illinois Case. JAWRA Journal
 of the American Water Resources Association, 25(1), pp.27-42. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-</u>
 <u>1688.1989.tb05663.x</u>
- Chaves, M.M., dos Santos, A.P., dos Santosa, N.P. and Larocca, L.M., 2017. Use of the software IRAMUTEQ
 in qualitative research: an experience report. In Costa, A.P., Reis, L.P., de Sousa, F.N., Moreira, A. and Lamas,
 D., eds., *Computer supported qualitative research*, Springer, Studies in Systems, Decisions and Control, 71,
 pp. 39-48.
- Cher, S.D., 2019. Drought, Discourse, and Dominance: How Newspapers Constructed California's Drought
 Emergency, 2014–2017. Doctoral dissertation, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.
- Christopher, D., 2008. *The Media, Groundwater, and Development: Scientific Input in the Arizona Daily Star,* 2006. Doctoral dissertation, University of Wisconsin- Madison. URL:
- 728 <u>http://digital.library.wisc.edu/1793/28892</u> 729
- Church, S.P., Haigh, T., Widhalm, M., de Jalon, S.G., Babin, N., Carlton, J.S., Dunn, M., Fagan, K., Knutson,
 C.L. and Prokopy, L.S., 2017. Agricultural trade publications and the 2012 Midwestern US drought: A missed
 opportunity for climate risk communication. *Climate Risk Management*, 15, pp.45-60. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2016.10.006
- Cohen, A. and Bakker, K., 2014. The eco-scalar fix: Rescaling environmental governance and the politics of
 ecological boundaries in Alberta, Canada. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 32(1), pp.128146. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1068/d0813
- Colby, B.G. and Jacobs, K.L., eds., 2007. Arizona water policy: management innovations in an urbanizing,
 arid region. Routledge.
- 741

- 742 Comby, E., Le Lay, Y.F. and Piégay, H., 2014. How chemical pollution becomes a social problem. Risk
- communication and assessment through regional newspapers during the management of PCB pollutions of the
- 744 Rhône River (France). Science of the Total Environment, 482, pp.100-115. DOI:
- 745 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.137</u>
- 746 Comby, E., Le Lay, Y.F and Piégay, H., 2019. Power and changing riverscapes: The socioecological fix and
- newspaper discourse concerning the Rhône River (France) since 1945. Annals of the American Association of
 Geographers, 109(6), pp.1671-1690. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2019.1580134
- Connall Jr, D.D., 1982. A History of the Arizona Groundwater Management Act. *Arizona State Law Journal*,
 p.313.
- Cottet, M., Piola, F., Le Lay, Y.F., Rouifed, S. and Riviere-Honegger, A., 2015. How environmental managers
 perceive and approach the issue of invasive species: the case of Japanese knotweed s. l.(Rhône River, *France*)?. *Biological invasions*, 17(12), pp.3433-3453. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-0969-1
- 756 Crow-Miller, B., Webber, M. and Molle, F., 2017. The (re) turn to infrastructure for water 757 management?. *Water Alternatives*, 10(2), pp.195-207.
- 759 Davis, M., 2002. *Dead Cities and Other Tales*. New Press 760
- DeGaetano, A.T., 1999. A temporal comparison of drought impacts and responses in the New York city
 metropolitan area. *Climatic Change*, 42(3), pp.539-560. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005413410160</u>
- Dispensa, J.M. and Brulle, R.J., 2003. Media's social construction of environmental issues: focus on global
 warming–a comparative study. *International Journal of sociology and social policy*, 23(10), pp. 74105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/01443330310790327</u>
- Dolnicar, S., Hurlimann, A. and Grün, B., 2012. Water conservation behavior in Australia. *Journal of environmental management*, 105, pp.44-52. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.03.042</u>
- 771 Dryzek, J. S. 1997. The politics of the earth: Environmental discourses. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Duffy, K., 2016. Setting the drought agenda: A comparative study of local and national newspaper coverage
 of the California drought, 2013-2015. Doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University.
- Ekers, M. and Prudham, S., 2017. The metabolism of socioecological fixes: Capital switching, spatial fixes,
- and the production of nature, *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 107(6), pp.1370-1388. DOI:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2017.1309962</u>
- Edwards, G.A., 2013. Shifting constructions of scarcity and the neoliberalization of Australian water
 governance. *Environment and Planning A*, 45(8), pp.1873-1890. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1068/a45442
- 780

755

- Fairclough, N., 2001. Critical discourse analysis as a method in social scientific research. In Wodack, R. and
 Meyer, M., eds., *Methods of critical discourse analysis*, SAGE Publications Ltd, pp.121-138.
- Fleck, J., 2016. Water is for fighting over: And other myths about water in the West, Island Press.
- Flint, C.G., Wynn, E., Paveglio, T., Boyd, A. and Bullock, C., 2019. Variations in Newspaper Coverage on
- Water in the US Intermountain West. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 55(5),
- 788 pp.1306-1322. DOI:
- 789 https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12780

- Forsyth, T., 1996. Science, myth and knowledge: testing Himalayan environmental degradation in Thailand,
- 792 *Geoforum*, 27(3), pp.375-392. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7185(96)00020-6</u> 793
- Forsyth, T., 2003. *Critical political ecology: the politics of environmental science*, Routledge.
- Foucault, M., 1972. *The Archeology of Knowledge*, Tavistock Publications, London.
- Foucault, M. 1980. *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews & Other Writings*, Pantheon Books, New York.
- Fruchterman, T.M. and Reingold, E.M., 1991. Graph drawing by force-directed placement. *Software: Practice and experience*, 21(11), pp.1129-1164.
- 803 Gleick, P.H., 2002. Water management: Soft water paths. *Nature*, 418(6896), pp.373-373. DOI:
 804 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/418373a</u>
- 805 Gober, P., Larson, K.L., Quay, R., Polsky, C., Chang, H. and Shandas, V., 2013. Why land planners and water
- 806 managers don't talk to one another and why they should!. *Society & Natural Resources*, 26(3), pp.356-364.
- 807 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2012.713448</u>
- Greene, C., 2021. "Drought isn't just water, it is living": Narratives of drought vulnerability in California's
 San Joaquin Valley. *Geoforum*, 121, pp.33-43. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2021.02.007</u>
- 811 Habermas, J., 1974. The public sphere: an encyclopedia article. *New German Critique*, 3, pp.49–55. 812
- Habermas, J., 1989. The structural transformation of the public sphere. An inquiry into a category of
 Bourgeois society, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- 816 Hajer, M.A., 1995. *The politics of environmental discourse: Ecological modernization and the policy process*,
 817 Oxford University Press.
- 818 Hajer, M. and Versteeg, W., 2005. A decade of discourse analysis of environmental politics: Achievements,
- challenges, perspectives. *Journal of environmental policy & planning*, 7(3), pp.175-184. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1080/15239080500339646
- 820 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15239080500339646</u>
- 821 Hamilton, J., 2013. Careers in Water Conservation. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. URL:
- 822 <u>https://www.bls.gov/green/water_conservation.pdf</u>
 823
- Harvey, D., 2001. Globalization and the 'spatial fix'. *Geographische Revue*, 2, pp. 23-30.
- Haughton, G., 1998. Private profits-public drought: the creation of a crisis in water management for West
- 827 Yorkshire. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 23(4), pp.419-433. DOI:
- 828 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0020-2754.1998.00419.x</u> 829
- Hays, S. P., 1959. Conservation and the gospel of efficiency: The progressive conservation movement, 1890–
 1920, University of Pittsburgh Press.
- 832
 - Heiden, S., 2010. The TXM platform: Building open-source textual analysis software compatible with the TEI
 - 834 encoding scheme. In Ryo Otoguro, K.I., ed., 24th Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and
 - 835 *Computation*, Institute for Digital Enhancement of Cognitive Development, Waseda University, pp.389-398.
- 836

- Hirt, P., Gustafson, A. and Larson, K., 2008. The mirage in the Valley of the Sun, *Environmental History*, 13(3), pp.482-514. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/envhis/13.3.482</u>
- 838 *History*, 15(5), pp.482-514. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1095/envnis/15.5.482</u> 839
- 840 Hirt, P., Snyder, R., Hester, C. and Larson, K., 2017. Water consumption and sustainability in Arizona: A tale
- 841 of two desert cities, *Journal of the Southwest*, 59(1), pp.264-301. DOI: <u>doi:10.1353/jsw.2017.0017</u>.
 842
- Howarth, D. and Butler, S., 2004. Communicating water conservation: How can the public be engaged ?. *Water Science and Technology: Water Supply*, 4(3), pp. 33-44. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2004.0041</u>
- Huang, J., Li, Y., Fu, C., Chen, F., Fu, Q., Dai, A., Shinoda, M., Ma, Z. *et al.*, 2017. Dryland climate change:
- Recent progress and challenges. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55(3), pp.719-778. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RG000550
- 849
- 850 Hughes, S., 2012. Voluntary Environmental Programs in the Public Sector: Evaluating an Urban Water
- 851 Conservation Program in California. *Policy Studies Journal*, 40(4), pp.650-673. DOI:
- 852 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2012.00468.x</u>
- 853
- Hurlimann, A. and Dolnicar, S., 2012. Newspaper coverage of water issues in Australia. *Water*
- 855 *research*, 46(19), pp.6497-6507. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.09.028</u>
- 856 Inskeep, B.D and Attari, S.Z., 2014. The water short list: The most effective actions US households can take to 857 curb water use. *Environment: Science and policy for sustainable development*, 56(4), pp.4-15. DOI:
- 858 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00139157.2014.922375</u>
- Jacobs, K.L. and Holway, J.M., 2004. Managing for sustainability in an arid climate: lessons learned from 20
 years of groundwater management in Arizona, USA. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 12(1), pp.52-65. DOI:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-003-0308-y</u>
- Kaika, M., 2003. Constructing scarcity and sensationalising water politics: 170 days that shook Athens.
 Antipode, 35(5), pp.919-954. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8330.2003.00365.x</u>
- 865

Kasperson, R.E., Renn, O., Slovic, P., Brown, H.S., Emel, J., Goble, R., Kasperson, J.X. and Ratick, S., 1988.
The social amplification of risk: A conceptual framework, *Risk analysis*, 8(2), pp.177-187. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1988.tb01168.x

- 869
 870 Kull, C.A., 2004. *Isle of fire: the political ecology of landscape burning in Madagascar*, University of Chicago
 871 press.
 872
- Kupel, D.E., 2003, *Fuel for growth: Water and Arizona's urban environment*, Tucson, University of Arizona
 Press.
- Larson, K.L., Gustafson, A. and Hirt, P., 2009. Insatiable thirst and a finite supply: an assessment of municipal
 water-conservation policy in greater Phoenix, Arizona, 1980–2007, *Journal of Policy History*, 21(2), pp.107137. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0898030609090058</u>
- Lebart, L., Salem, A. and Berry, L., 1998. *Exploring textual data*, Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Lebart, L., Pincemin, B. and Poudat, C., 2019. *Analyses des données textuelles*, Presses de l'Université du
 Québec.
- 884
- Leong, C., 2021. Narratives and Water: A bibliometric review. *Global Environmental Change*, 8, p.102267.
 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102267</u>

- 887 Liang, Y., Henderson, L.K. and Kee, K.F., 2018. Running out of water! Developing a message typology and
- 888 evaluating message effects on attitude toward water conservation. Environmental Communication, 12(4),
- 889 pp.541-557. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2017.1288648
- 890 Lloyd-Hughes, B., 2014. The impracticality of a universal drought definition. Theoretical and Applied 891 Climatology, 117(3), pp.607-611. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-1025-7
- 892 Lockwood, M., 2011. Does the framing of climate policies make a difference to public support? Evidence from
- 893 UK marginal constituencies. *Climate Policy*, 11(4), pp.1097-1112. DOI:
- 894 https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2011.579301
- 895 Logan, M.F., 1995. Fighting sprawl and city hall: Resistance to urban growth in the Southwest, University of 896 Arizona Press, Tucson.
- 897 Madruga de Brito, M., Kuhlicke, C. and Marx, A., 2020. Near-real-time drought impact assessment: a text 898 mining approach on the 2018/19 drought in Germany. Environmental Research Letters, 15(10), p.1040a9. 899 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba4ca
- 900
- 901 Maggioni, E., 2014. Water demand management in times of drought: What matters for water conservation. 902
- Water Resources Research, 51(1), p.125-139. DOI:
- 903 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016301 904
- 905 March, H., Domènech, L. and Saurí, D., 2013. Water conservation campaigns and citizen perceptions: the 906 drought of 2007–2008 in the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona, Natural hazards, 65(3), pp.1951-1966. DOI: 907 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-012-0456-2 908
- 909 Marpsat, M., 2010. La méthode Alceste. Sociologie, 1(1). URL :
- 910 http://journals.openedition.org/sociologie/312 911
- 912 Megdal, S.B. and Forrest, A., 2015. How a Drought-Resilient Water Delivery System Rose Out of the Desert: 913 The Case of Tucson Water. JAWWA Journal-American Water Works Association, 107(9), pp.46-52. DOI: 914 https://doi.org/10.5942/jawwa.2015.107.0136
- 915

- 916 Moglia, M., Cook, S. and Tapsuwan, S., 2018. Promoting water conservation: where to from here?. 917 Water, 10(11), p.1510. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/w10111510
- 919 Molle, F., 2008. Nirvana concepts, narratives and policy models: Insights from the water sector. *Water* 920 alternatives, 1(1), pp.131-156. 921
- 922 O'Neill, B., Cortinas, J., Coeurdray, M. and Poupeau, F., 2018. Reinventing Water Conservation. In Poupeau, 923 F., Razafimahefa, L., Robert, J., Mercier, D., Massardier, G. and Jacobi P.R., eds., Water Conflicts and
- 924 Hydrocracy in the Americas, University of Sao Paulo Press, p.293.
- 925 926 O'Neill, B.F. and Boyer, A.L., 2020. Water Conservation in Desert Cities: From the Socio-ecological Fix to
- 927 Gestures of Endurance. Ambiente e Sociedade, 23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-
- 928 4422asoc20190069r1vu2020l1ao
- 929 Paneque Salgado, P. and Vargas Molina, J., 2015. Drought, social agents and the construction of discourse in 930 Andalusia. Environmental Hazards, 14(3), p.224-235. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/17477891.2015.1058739

- 931 Parks, L., 2018. Challenging power from the bottom up? Community protocols, benefit-sharing, and the
- 932 challenge of dominant discourses. Geoforum, 88, pp.87-95. DOI:
- 933 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.11.011
- 934
- 935 Phoenix AMA, 1984. First Management Plan. URL:
- 936 http://infoshare.azwater.gov/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-10006/1MP%201980-
- 937 1990%20Dec.1984.pdf 938
- 939 Phoenix AMA, 2016. Fourth Management Plan.
- 940 URL: http://infoshare.azwater.gov/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-10007/PhoenixAMA 3MP.pdf 941
- 942 Poupeau, F., Gupta, H., Serrat-Capdevila, A., Sans-Fuentes, M.A., Harris, S. and Hayde, L.G., eds., 2016. 943 Water bankruptcy in the land of plenty, CRC Press. 944
- 945 Ouesnel, K.J. and Ajami, N.K., 2017. Changes in water consumption linked to heavy news media coverage of
- 946 extreme climatic events, Science advances, 3(10), p.e1700784. DOI:
- 947 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700784 948

949 Ratinaud, P., 2009. IRAMUTEO: Interface de R pour les Analyses Multidimensionnelles de Textes et de 950 Questionnaires. URL: http://www.iramuteq.org 951

- 952 Ratinaud, P. and Marchand, P., 2012. Application de la méthode ALCESTE à de "gros" corpus et stabilité des
- 953 "mondes lexicaux": analyse du "CableGate" avec IRaMuTeQ. Actes des 11eme Journées internationales 954
- d'Analyse statistique des Données Textuelles, pp.835-844. URL: http://lexicometrica.univ-
- 955 paris3.fr/jadt/jadt2012/Communications/Ratinaud,%20Pierre%20et%20al.%20-
- 956 %20Application%20de%20la%20methode%20Alceste.pdf
- 957 R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 958 Reinert, A., 1983. Une méthode de classification descendante hiérarchique : application à l'analyse lexicale par 959 contexte. Cahiers de l'Analyse des Données, 8, pp. 187-198.
- 960 Reinert, M., 1990. Alceste une méthodologie d'analyse des données textuelles et une application: Aurelia De
- 961 Gerard De Nerval. Bulletin de méthodologie sociologique, 26, pp. 24-54.
- 962

- 963 Richardson, J., 2007. Analysing newspapers: An approach from critical discourse analysis, Palgrave.
- 964 Rinne, P. and Nygren, A., 2016. From resistance to resilience: media discourses on urban flood governance in
- 965 Mexico. Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning, 18(1), pp.4-26. DOI:
- 966 https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2015.1021414
- 967 Roe, E., 1994. Narrative policy analysis: Theory and practice, Duke University Press. 968
- 969 Ross, A., 2011. Bird on fire: Lessons from the world's least sustainable city, Oxford University Press. 970
- 971 Savenije, H. H. and Van Der Zaag, P., 2002. Water as an economic good and demand management paradigms 972 with pitfalls. Water international, 27(1), pp.98-104. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060208686982</u>
- 974 Schipper, J., 2008. Disappearing desert: The Growth of Phoenix and the Culture of Sprawl, University of 975 Oklahoma Press, Norman.
- 976 Sheridan, T.E., 2012. Arizona: A History, University of Arizona Press, Tucson.

- 977 Smith, N., 1984. Uneven development: Nature, capital, and the production of space, University of Georgia
 978 Press, Athens.
- 979
- 980 Sonnett, J., Morehouse, B. J., Finger, T. D., Garfin, G. and Rattray, N., 2006. Drought and declining
- reservoirs: Comparing media discourse in Arizona and New Mexico, 2002–2004. *Global Environmental Change*, 16(1), pp. 95-113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2005.11.004
- 983
 984 Spencer, J.W. and Triche, E., 1994. Media constructions of risk and safety: Differential framings of hazard
 985 events. *Sociological Inquiry*, 64(2), pp.199-213. DOI:
- 986 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682X.1994.tb00388.x
- 987 Svarstad, H. and Benjaminsen, T.A., 2017. Nothing succeeds like success narratives: A case of conservation
- and development in the time of REDD. *Journal of Eastern African Studies*, 11(3), pp.482-505. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1080/17531055.2017.1356622
- 990 Swyngedouw, E., Page, E. and Kaïka, M., 2002. Sustainability and Policy Innovation in a Multi-Level
- 991 Context: Crosscutting Issues in the Water Sector. In Heinelt, H., Getimis, P., Kafkalas, G., Smith, R. and
- 992 Swyngedouw, E., *Participatory Governance in Multi-Level Context: Concepts and Experience*, Leske and
- 993 Budrich, Opladen, p. 107-131.
- 994
- 995 Swyngedouw, E., 2011. Whose environment?: the end of nature, climate change and the process of post-
- 996 politicization. *Ambiente & sociedade*, 14(2), pp. 69-87. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S1414-</u> 997 753X2011000200006
- Swyngedouw, E., 2013. Into the sea: desalination as hydro-social fix in Spain. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 103(2), pp.261-270. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00045608.2013.754688</u>
- Tänzler, D., Feil, M., Krömker, D. and Eierdanz, F., 2008. The challenge of validating vulnerability estimates:
 the option of media content analysis for identifying drought-related crises. *Regional Environmental Change*, 8(4), pp.187-195. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-008-0064-6
- 1003 1004 Tucson AMA, 1984. *First Management Plan*. URL:
- 1005 http://infoshare.azwater.gov/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-10008/1MP%201980-1990.Dec.1984.pdf 1006
- 1007 Tucson AMA, 2016, *Third Management Plan*. URL:
 1008 http://infoshare.azwater.gov/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-10038/TAMA_4MP_Complete.pdf
- 1009 1010 USBR, 2021. 24-Month Study Report, May 2021. URL: http://usbr.gov/uc/water/crsp/studies/24Month_05.pdf 1011
- 1012 US. Census, 2019, Fastest-Growing Cities Primarily in the South and West. URL:
- 1013 <u>https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2019/subcounty-population-estimates.html</u> 1014
- 1015 Vickers, A., 2017. Drought Mitigation: Water Conservation Tools for Short-Term and Permanent Water
- 1016 Savings. In Wilhite, D. and Pulwarty, R.S., eds., *Drought and Water Crises: Integrating Science*, 1017 *Management, and Policy*, CRC Press, p.307.
- 1018
- Wakefield, S.E. and Elliott, S.J., 2003. Constructing the news: The role of local newspapers in environmental
 risk communication. *The Professional Geographer*, 55(2), pp.216-226. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-</u>
 0124.5502009
- Wei, J., Wei, Y., Western, A., Skinner, D. and Lyle, C., 2015. Evolution of newspaper coverage of water issues in Australia during 1843–2011. *Ambio*, 44(4), pp.319-331.

- 1026 Wilhite, D.A. and Glantz, M.H., 1985. Understanding: the drought phenomenon: the role of definitions. *Water* 1027 *international*, 10(3), pp.111-120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/02508068508686328
- 1028
- 1029 Wilhite, D. and Pulwarty, R.S., eds., 2017. *Drought and water crises: integrating science, management, and policy*, CRC Press.
- Wilkins, L. and Patterson, P., 1990. Risky business: Covering slow-onset hazards as rapidly developing news.
 Political Communication, 7(1), pp.11-23. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.1990.9962884</u>
- 1033 WMO, 2016. Handbook on Drought Indicator and Indices, Integrated Drought Management Program
 1034 (IDMP), Integrated Drought Management Tools and Guidelines Series 2, Geneva, Switzerland. URL:
 1035 https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=3057
- 1036 1037 WMO, 2020. State of the Global Climate 2020, Provisional Report, Geneva, Switzerland. URL:
- 1038 <u>https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=10444</u> 1039
- Worster, D., 1985. *Rivers of empire: Water, aridity, and the growth of the American West*, Oxford University
 Press.
- Wutich, A., White, A.C., White, D.D., Larson, K.L., Brewis, A. and Roberts, C., 2014. Hard paths, soft paths
 or no paths? Cross-cultural perceptions of water solutions. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 18(1),
 pp.109-120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-109-2014
- 1046 1047
- 1048List of figures1049

Figure 1: A sign provided by Tucson water utility indicating the use of reclaimed water to irrigate this
 property in the Sam Hughes neighborhood (Photo by first author, May 2018).

- 1053 **Figure 2:** Study area: Phoenix and Tucson in the Lower Colorado River Basin. 1054
- Figure 3: Distribution of published articles tackling drought and water issues in the Arizona Daily Star
 and Arizona Republic between 1999 and 2018.
- **Figure 4:** Evolution of the occurrences of "conservation" and "save" in the corpus, 1999-2018.
- Figure 5: Clustering tree after a top-down hierarchical clustering on *The Arizona Daily Star and the Arizona Republic* (1999–2018) performed with IRaMuTeQ according to the Alceste Method (Reinert,
- 1062 1983; 1990). The percentage correspond to the % of text segments contributing to form each cluster. Words
- 1063 are ranked according to their Chi^2 values, from the highest scores on top of the list to the lowest.
- 1064 Cap= Central Arizona Project; buschatzke = Arizona Department of Water Resources' Director (2015-);
- norton= Secretary of Interior (2001-2006); connor= Deputy Secretary of the Department of Interior
 (2014-2017); cooke= CAP's general manager (2016-); napolitano= Arizona Governor (2003-2009).
- 1000
- 1068 Figure 6: List of actors mentioned more than 100 times in the corpus. ducey= Arizona Governor (2015-)
- 10691070 Figure 7: Graph of the similarity analysis based on cluster 6, dealing with water conservation (see
- 1070 Figure 5). A similarity analysis based on cluster 0, dealing with water conservation (see
- 1072 The thickness of the line is function of the words co-occurrences. The font size is determined by the word
- 1073 frequency. napolitano = Arizona Governor (2003-2009).

- Figure 8: Xeriscape Demonstration Garden in Glendale (Phoenix Metro Area) (Photo by first author, June 2018).

List of tables

 Table 1: Cooccurrences of the lemma "conservation". The co-frequency is the number of encounters that

- occur between the co-occurrents and the occurrences of the query. The co-occurrence score is an indicator determining the probability of association. The mean distance indicates the physical distance between two
- words. For example, in the text, the word "reuse" is often more than 2.5 words away from the word "conservation".