

Exposed to NO2 in the center, NOx polluters in the periphery: Evidence from the Paris region

Alexis Poulhès, Laurent Proulhac

▶ To cite this version:

Alexis Poulhès, Laurent Proulhac. Exposed to NO2 in the center, NOx polluters in the periphery: Evidence from the Paris region. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 821, pp.153476. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153476 . halshs-03578362

HAL Id: halshs-03578362 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03578362v1

Submitted on 1 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Exposed to NO₂ in the center, NO_x polluters in the periphery: evidence from the Paris region

3 Alexis Poulhès (corresponding author), Ecole des Ponts, Laboratoire Ville Mobilité Transport, 14-20

4 boulevard Newton - Cité Descartes - Champs-sur-Marne, 77447 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2, France.

5 <u>alexis.poulhes@enpc.fr</u>

6 Laurent Proulhac, Université Gustave Eiffel, Laboratoire Ville Mobilité Transport, 14-20 boulevard Newton -

7 Cité Descartes - Champs-sur-Marne, 77447 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2, France.

8 Abstract

9 Air pollution is the cause of many health problems. In cities, combustion vehicles are a major contributor to 10 emissions of key air pollutants. While many studies have focused on populations exposed to pollutants and the resulting environmental and social inequalities, few compare exposures and contributions. In this research, 11 12 the population of the Household Travel Survey of the Paris region is studied by confronting two elements: the average individual exposure to NO2 during an average working day and the average traffic NOx emitted 13 14 during a day by the motorized trips for each resident surveyed. The dynamic exposure to NO2 of each resident 15 is estimated according to activities in an average working day. The results confirm an environmental inequality 16 according to the place of residence: on average, the center residents contribute little to pollutant emissions but 17 are highly exposed. Some categories of the population, including women and the socially disadvantaged, are 18 the most affected by these inequalities.

Keywords: Urban Air quality; NO_x emission; NO₂ exposure; environmental justice; Paris Region; Air
pollution

21 1. Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO, 2018) estimates that 7 million people die each year due to poor air quality; according to recent research, globally this air quality is deteriorating (Shaddick et al., 2020). For this reason, the WHO has recently decided to lower its air quality thresholds (25µg/m³ 24-hour mean for NO₂)¹.
Most major European cities exceed the EU air quality thresholds, which correspond to the old WHO

¹ <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health</u>, visited on November 16, 2021

thresholds (40µg/m³ for NO₂). Road traffic plays a central role in pollutant emissions in all cities (Setton et al., 2011). The challenge of reducing the impact of road vehicles on air quality determines a number of public policies. However, many studies already point to certain social inequalities in exposure to air pollutants, demonstrating the need for better knowledge and information on environmental injustices. In particular, a focus on the populations exposed to air pollutants and the populations contributing to them, within a territorial approach, is a necessary step in knowledge. Thus, the targeting of certain populations should help in the drafting of coherent public policies to reduce air pollution that are accepted by the population.

33 A very rich literature has focused on inequalities in the exposure of populations to air quality. The term 34 "environmental justice" can be used to define the adequate distribution of populations faced with 35 environmental impacts such as air pollution. It can also define a rebalancing of an environmental injustice 36 through compensation, often financial. The study of exposed populations and in particular exposure inequalities mostly follows a standard method that crosses Census data at the scale of a large territory and 37 38 maps of pollutant concentrations (Clark et al., 2014; Li and Myint, 2021; Mikati et al., 2018; Miranda et al., 39 2011; Samoli et al., 2019; Verbeek, 2019). One example of research conducts analyses over multiple years (Buzzelli et al., 2003), cross-referencing multiple censuses with changes in air quality in a city in Canada. Also 40 41 over a long period, Guak et al. study exposure in Seoul over a long period of time by differentiating 42 seasonality; they use a time location method and try to see whether there is a correlation between types of population and exposure (Guak et al., 2021). All of this research highlights the dual inequalities in income and 43 NO₂ exposure among certain disadvantaged populations. These inequalities are confirmed by a literature 44 45 review of environmental justice studies (Mohai and Saha, 2015). These authors confirm environmental injustice in sociopolitical, racial and economic terms. They also defend longitudinal studies that investigate 46 47 whether emission sources are growing in disadvantaged neighborhoods or whether other population 48 categories are leaving polluted areas. Other research points to a spatial inequality according to population 49 density, with air quality declining as population increases (Borck and Schrauth, 2021; Li and Myint, 2021). 50 Solutions to improve air quality in polluted areas are being studied: implementation of Low Emissions Zones, 51 implementation of Toxicity Loads, reductions in vehicle speed, etc. However, in order to ensure that these

52 measures are accepted and perhaps propose others, it is necessary to identify the contributors. Studies of the 53 population of polluters are very uncommon in air quality research, which has only touched upon policy solutions to limit emissions at the origin, i.e., directed at the driver or at the planning policies that favor 54 55 driving. Charging the pollution emitter, or at least redistributing some of the gains from air quality measures to 56 those who do not benefit from them, is called distributional effects in economics. The topic of the link 57 between air quality and industry has a long history (Gianessi et al., 1979) as the subsidy or tax policies on 58 certain categories of vehicles (West, 2004). Economic reviews have also evaluated certain environmental policies with an impact on air quality, such as the diffusion of electric vehicles in the US (Holland et al., 2019). 59 Inequalities in air quality purchases and gains are analyzed across population types. In the case of policy 60 61 evaluation, the evaluation is performed against a current baseline situation. Our research is limited to an 62 understanding of the current situation and a comparison between population profiles, and does not consider 63 the temporal aspect. In the field of research on climate change prevention, studies are numerous (Fullerton, 2011), in particular on the adoption of a carbon tax (Wang et al., 2016) and its impacts on the budgets of poor 64 households without compensatory measures, an effect that has been observed in France with the "vellow 65 66 vests" and the non-acceptance of the measure by the middle classes, who are car-dependent. Few research 67 studies jointly investigate exposures to air pollutants by population types and those emitting the pollutants 68 (Jephcote and Chen, 2012; Mitchell and Dorling, 2003; Sider et al., 2015). In their UK-wide research, Mitchell 69 and Dorling do not assess exposure by activity locations and focus on exposed populations. By crossing 70 exposure with emissions, they only show that the poorest populations are the most NO₂-exposed populations 71 and also the ones that emit the least NO_x. In Leicester, Jephcote and Chen point to the relationship between 72 PM10 emissions from road traffic and hospitalization rates among children. They also show the 73 environmental inequity of a situation where the wealthier populations in the center contribute the most to 74 road PM10 emissions and have the least exposure to PM10. Sider et al. use a model of simulations at the scale 75 of the Montreal metropolitan area to compare values of exposure, emission and a socio-economic indicator. 76 They analyze by area and not by individual, calculating a polluter-pays index that masks the specificities of the territory. Their study shows that some socially disadvantaged areas are also more exposed to pollution, eventhough they emit little.

In conclusion, a large number of studies highlight the types of populations, often socially disadvantaged ones, that are most exposed to poor air quality. Some of them use a method of exposure assessment according to individual activities. In that research, pollution is seen as an exogenous negative factor that is not produced by the population itself. Few research studies attempt to compare the same population by its exposures but also by its emissions of pollutants in order to gain a new perspective on environmental injustices.

The objective of this research is to analyze and compare the socio-demographic characteristics of polluted
populations and those of polluting populations on the same territory. We will focus on road traffic pollution
with NO₂ exposure and NO_x emissions from private vehicles.

Our method is based on individual daily evaluations of spatial and temporal exposure to NO₂ on the one hand 87 88 and of NO_x emissions from motor vehicles on the other. The individuals concerned are those from the 89 Household Travel Survey (HTS), all of whose daily trips are provided, making it possible to reconstruct the 90 detailed time use of the individuals and thus their exposure. These surveys also provide information on the 91 technical characteristics of each household's vehicles and those used for each motorized trip. We also use 92 pollutant emission models that simulate emissions per kilometer as a function of speed. This speed is 93 estimated by a traffic model that gives us information on traffic conditions at different times of the day. Thus, 94 we can reconstruct both the daily exposure of each individual to NO₂ but also these NO_x emissions if the 95 individual uses a motorized vehicle for their trips. Each individual, whose socio-economic characteristics are 96 known, is then associated with their average NO₂ exposure values during an average working day and with 97 their NO_x emissions.

98 The rest of the article is divided into 3 parts. The first part presents the methodology in more detail with the 99 exposure model and the emission model assigned to each individual applied to the Paris region. Part 2 100 presents the general results on the territory and then by individual profile. The last part outlines some of the 101 limits of our approach and its possible perspectives for public policies.

102 2. Method and case study

103 2.1 The Paris Region

The Paris region (France) corresponds to the administrative region of Ile-de-France (Figure 1). 11.9 million people reside in the region. It is organized around the central city (Paris) and the inner ring (Hauts-de-Seine, Seine-Saint-Denis and Val-de-Marne), which form the center of the agglomeration in our study. This territory, which accounts for 6% of the total area of the region, concentrates 57% of inhabitants and 67% of jobs. The outer ring (Essonne, Seine-et-Marne, Val-d'Oise and Yvelines), accounting for the rest of the agglomeration, will be called the periphery in our study. Air pollution is an important issue in this area, with exceedances of the threshold of 40µg/m3 for NO₂ as an annual average for 1.3 million inhabitants (AirParif, 2018).

111 Figure 1: Human density (population and employment) of the Paris region by commune



Number of inhabitants and jobs per km² Administrative boundaries Source : census 2012 (INSEE)



Départment

Commune and arrondissement (Paris)

112

Why emission of NO_x and exposure to NO_2 ? 113 2.2

114 This research aims to contextualize NO_x emissions from road transport with exposure to NO_2 , a pollutant 115 that still far exceeds regulatory thresholds in European cities (Guerreiro et al., 2014) and has a significant 116 impact on health and mortality in urban populations (Khomenko et al., 2021). One previous study has also 117 compared emissions of and exposures to the same pollutants (Mitchell and Dorling, 2003). Other research 118 confirms that NO_x emissions contribute to a significant portion of the NO₂ background concentration 119 (Degraeuwe et al., 2017). To explain the NO_2 background concentration, emissions of all NO_X and not just 120 NO₂ emissions need to be analyzed, due to the chemical reactions between NO and ozone that form NO₂ 121 (Keuken et al., 2009; Wild et al., 2017). This same NO₂ then enables the production of ozone, a pollutant that also has significant health impacts (Khaniabadi et al., 2017). The relevance of assessing NOx emissions is 122

therefore obvious. We will focus on NO_x emissions from road vehicles excluding heavy duty vehicles. Also excluded from the scope of the study are emissions from airports (9% of emissions in the Paris region) and other more marginal emission sources (AirParif, 2018). The studied contributions of road transport (56% of the total in the Paris region, Airparif) are mostly emitted in the central part of the study area, which is the densest area (Figure 1) and therefore the one with the most impact on population exposure.

128 2.3 General method

The method followed in this research is based on an HTS. The description of the movements and activities of the individuals in this database allow the construction of spatio-temporal profiles which are used to evaluate exposure to NO₂ while the description of movements in individual vehicles allow the calculation of traffic NO_x emissions. Thus, the exposed and contributing populations are analyzed in a consistent way.

133 NO₂ attribution method according to place of activity

134 The method for calculating NO₂ exposure focuses not only on residential locations in the assessment of 135 average air pollution exposure but also on exposures at the place of activity, which may be in urban settings 136 that are very different from the place of residence. These methods are increasingly applied using activity-based 137 models (Beckx et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2019), but also survey data (Fenech and Aquilina, 2021; Park, 2020) or smartphone data (Li et al., 2021). Our methodology for calculating exposure is described in two previous 138 139 studies (Poulhès and Proulhac, 2021; Proulhac and Poulhès, 2021). It consists in considering the exposure 140 time spent on trips and those spent in activities, according to the time of the day. The method for calculating 141 exposure during trips differs depending on the mode: (i) Because of the high uncertainty and the strong spatial 142 variations of exposure, we do not consider the time spent in rail transport in the estimated average exposures 143 (ii) In private motor vehicles, the exposures are calculated according to the itineraries simulated from traffic 144 modelling (iii). For the other modes, namely buses, walking and cycling, we retain an average between the 145 exposure at the place of origin and the exposure at the place of destination, since the accuracy of short-146 distance trips is not easy to obtain through modeling. For an individual i, the average daily exposure is 147 therefore:

$$E_{i} = \frac{\left(\sum_{T \in D_{iT}} E(h(T)) + \sum_{A \in D_{iA}} E(h(A))\right)}{\left(\sum_{T \in D_{iT}} t_{T} + \sum_{A \in D_{iA}} t_{A}\right)}$$

Where D_{iT} is the set of trips of individual *i* during the survey day and D_{iA} the set of activities. t_A and t_T are respectively the durations of activity *A* and trip *T* given in the HTS. E(h(T)) and E(h(A)) are the average hourly exposures during trip *T* and activity *A*. Both can be expressed by discretizing them by the hourly period corresponding to the concentration maps *h* over the entire duration of the activity/trip. Thus, E(h(T,A)) can be expressed as the sums of the exposures over each of these periods: E(h(T,A)) = $\sum_{h \in h(T,A)} h. E(h)$ where $\sum_{h(T,A)} h = t_{T,A}$.

154 NO_x contribution method

To assess the contribution of individuals in the HTS, we draw on the Diagnostic Energy Emissions from Mobilities method (Hivert and Morchoine, 1998) which calculates pollutant emissions from individuals in a household travel survey, and on a previous study that performs the same pollutant quantity assessments but only from a transportation model. Average individual emissions are then calculated on area of residence or area of employment (Kotelnikova-Weiler et al., 2017).

160 The total individual daily emissions C_i are the sum of the emissions from each trip. If the mode used for this 161 trip is one of passenger vehicles, motorized 2-wheelers, and light-duty vehicles, the emission ratio r_{NOX} will be 162 non-zero and informed by specialized databases of pollutant emissions. For all other modes, emissions are 163 considered to be zero.

164 This ratio depends on the speed and the engine type of the vehicle ϑ_{iT} used for the trip. The speed depends 165 on the traffic conditions at the time of travel on the itinerary. The HTS does not provide information about 166 the itinerary, so we use the itineraries reconstructed by the transportation model, as in the method that 167 calculates the exposure. Thus, we can define the simulated itinerary as a succession of arcs $a \in T$ on which 168 the simulated average speed $v_a(h(T))$ depends on the travel time h(T). Denoting d_a the distance of arc a 169 $(d_T = \sum_{a \in T} d_a)$, the distance of the itinerary in the model), total emissions can be calculated. They are 170 normalized by the distances provided in the HTS, d_{HTS} , which is more robust:

$$C_{i} = \sum_{T \in D_{T}} \left(\sum_{a \in T} d_{a} \cdot r_{NOX}(v_{a}(h(T)), \vartheta_{iT}) \right) \frac{d_{HTS}}{d_{T}}$$

171 2.4 The databases and external traffic model

172 Household travel survey

Household travel surveys describe precisely all the trips made by each individual surveyed on a given day. The 173 survey day corresponds to one day of an average working week (from Monday to Friday) excluding school 174 175 holidays. In France, a standard survey protocol is used to standardize the fields between the cities surveyed 176 and thus provides information on a number of characteristics of the trip and of the individual. The time and 177 place of origin and destination of each trip are described precisely. In this way, time schedules can be reconstructed with activity locations and time spent on-site. Similarly, the travel modes used between two 178 179 activities are accurately described even in the event of multimodality. For individuals who own motorized 180 vehicles, the age of the vehicles and the engine type are provided. In addition to these detailed trip 181 descriptions, the socio-demographic characteristics of individuals are provided (age, gender, socio-professional 182 category, salary category, etc.) as well as their place of residence.

In the Paris region, the EGT (Enquête Globale Transport, Ile-de-France Mobilités-OMNIL-DRIEA) dating
from 2010 provides information on the trips of 43,000 individuals who are representative of the region's
resident population.

186 Trafic model

187 In addition to the HTS, which provides detailed information on travel modes and schedules, a traffic model 188 on the same spatial scale as the survey is used to simulate the expected itineraries of individuals using an 189 individual motorized mode. These forecasting tools have the benefit of being calibrated with data from 190 household travel surveys and also with observed traffic conditions on major roads. However, these large-scale 191 models have the drawback of having limited network description data. For example, secondary roads are not 192 described in the model. For long-distance trips, the itineraries are therefore fairly well represented. For short 193 distances, however, the uncertainty is higher, so we use the survey distances in our emission calculations.

194 We use the DRIEA MODUS model from 2012, which simulates the daily trips made in the Paris region. We
195 then estimate the traffic conditions on the road network that allow us to simulate the itineraries and speeds on
196 the road sections represented in the model.

197 Exposure map

198 In order to calculate average exposure levels, our method requires spatially detailed and dynamic exposure 199 maps. The maps used are the results of simulations made by Airparif, the air quality agency of Ile-de-France 200 which uses a suite of models to calculate pollutant emissions, a meteorological model, pollutant diffusion 201 models and sensor data to calibrate their models. The calculated exposures correspond to the day of January 202 9, 2019, a working day which is a day excluding school vacations and with NO2 pollution levels that are 203 neither low nor high compared to the references over the region. This day chosen by Airparif corresponding 204 to an average working day in 2019. Thus, apart from the date inconsistency due to a survey not yet updated, 205 the exposure day is consistent with the typical day of the HTS. The spatial resolution of the grid is 10m in the 206 center (around Paris), 25m in the inner ring and 50m in the outer ring. The temporal resolution is 1h for the 207 whole day with a beginning time of 4 AM and an end time of 3h59 AM.

208 External emission model

To calculate NO_x emissions from motorized vehicles, the emission factors of the European Copert 4 database are used (Ntziachristos et al., 2009). The focus is on the private road modes reported in the HTS. Thus, three categories of vehicle are considered (Table 1): passenger cars, light commercial vehicles and motorized twowheelers. For each of these categories, emission ratios are distinguished according to the engine and the age of the vehicle. The emission levels are given according to the corresponding Euro standard. Thus, from Copert 4, the emissions per kilometer of an average vehicle in each of these subcategories are estimated as a function of vehicle speed. Studies have shown that the emission ratios in Copert are underestimated for average speed conditions with acceleration and deceleration and in cases of congestion. For example, over Paris, estimates made by Copert 4 are -16% lower compared to congested cases (Lejri et al., 2018). Other studies confirm the underestimation of Copert 4 in terms of NO_x emissions (Kousoulidou et al., 2013; O'Driscoll et al., 2016), whatever the Euro standard. However, uncertainties and variations between vehicles and traffic conditions render estimates very difficult. made also decided not to consider emissions from public transport, which cannot be estimated in an acceptable way at the individual scale.

222 Table 1: Vehicle categories for which NO_x emissions are calculated and their characteristics per vehicle

Type of vehicle	Engine type	Date of purchase	Euro norm
Private Car	Diesel/Gasoline/Hybr	rid/Liquid	1/2/3/4/5
	Gas		
Light commercial	Diesel		1/2/3/4/5
vehicle			
Two-wheeler	Gasoline		1/2/3/4/5

223 3. Results

The attribution of daily average NO_2 exposure and NO_x emissions to each individual in a representative sample of the population of the Paris region can produce a large number of results. We will focus our efforts on the comparison between exposures and emissions, which is the least discussed topic in the literature. We will first present two maps that clearly show the spatial opposition between exposed and emitting populations.

228 3.1 Spatial mismatch exposed – polluter populations

Figure 2: (a) Left, map of average NO₂ per capita exposure levels by HTS draw area (b) Right, map of average
traffic NOx per capita emissions by HTS draw area.





232 Figure 2a illustrates the spatial disparities of average exposure and the obvious gap between the center of the region with the densest districts of Paris (20,000 hab./m²) and the more rural areas with rather low densities 233 234 (500 hab./m^2) . The daily average exposure values increase from less than $25\mu \text{g/m}^3$ in the rural areas to more 235 than 40µg/m3 in the center. It can be noted that this latter value exceeds the old annual thresholds of danger 236 defined by the WHO ($\geq 40 \mu g/m^3$) but that all the territories in the region exceed the new annual thresholds 237 (>10µg/m3). As already confirmed by extensive research, populations in the densest areas are the most impacted by air pollution (Borck and Schrauth, 2021; Li and Myint, 2021). The map of individual emissions 238 239 attributed to the place of residence (Figure 2b) mirrors average exposures. A ratio of 1 to 4 differentiates the 240 center of the agglomeration where few residents use their car (15% only in Paris) from the outer territories 241 which are highly dependent on the private car. These results are consistent with the double inequality of 242 exposure of central populations to pollution that is mostly not their own, as pointed out by previous research 243 (Singh et al., 2020). While the two maps have often been presented in different research papers either on 244 exposure (Host et al., 2020) or on contributions (Bouzouina et al., 2013), to our knowledge they have never 245 been presented at the same time from a common population.

246

3.2 Socio-economic results per profile

247 Profile presentation

In this second part of the results, we propose to compare different profiles of the population that we characterize in relation to their use of the car, their place of residence and their place of activity. Four main profiles seemed to us to be the most relevant to compare. Table 2 presents these profiles. "Urban" is defined as a resident of the center of the agglomeration (Paris and inner ring) and "Peri-urban" as a resident of the outer ring, as shown in Figure 1.

253	Table 2:	presentation	of the	population	profiles	studied
-----	----------	--------------	--------	------------	----------	---------

Name	Description	Share of the total
		population
Urban	Parisians and residents of the inner ring who did not use a car (or	33.6%
Pedestrian (UP)	motorized two-wheelers) and who travelled only in Paris and the	
	inner ring	
Urban Driver	Parisians and residents of the inner suburbs who made at least	14.9%
(UD)	one trip by car (or motorized two-wheelers) and who travelled	
	only in Paris and the inner ring	
Peri-urban	Residents of the outer ring who have made at least one trip by	5.0%
Driver in Centre	car (or motorized two-wheelers) between the outer ring and the	
(PDC)	center (Paris and inner ring)	
Peri-urban	Residents of the outer ring who have made at least one trip by	20.5%
Driver in	car (or motorized two-wheelers) and who have only travelled	
Periphery (PDP)	within the outer ring	

254

255 The objective is to be able to better differentiate the socio-demographic characteristics of the population, not256 only with respect to their place of residence but also with respect to their places of activity, and especially their

257 mobility behavior. The main interest is to compare the populations in the center, who are the most exposed 258 and who do not travel by car (UP), with the other types of populations who travel by car, namely those who 259 also live in the center (UD) but also those who live in the periphery. Among the latter population, we 260 differentiate between those who travel to the center of the agglomeration for activities (PDC), who have the 261 highest impact on air pollution, and those who stay in the periphery (PDP). We have chosen to aggregate the 262 populations of Paris and the inner ring for a better representation of the profiles. Even though the density is 263 lower than in Paris, the urbanism of the inner ring is closer to that of Paris than to the periphery. Thus, 264 through our profiles, 74% of the population of Ile-de-France is studied.

265 Disparities between profiles

In 2021, the WHO defined a new threshold of dangerousness threshold of danger corresponding to daily thresholds not to be exceeded: 25µg/m³ in daily average (WHO, 2021). Then the population exposed to thresholds higher than 25µg/m³ of NO₂, will be referred, in the following article to as "the polluted".

269 The profiles studied have very heterogeneous mean values of NO_x emissions and NO₂ exposure (Table 3). By definition, UPs do not emit NOx in their daily trips. While UDs have a contribution to emissions almost 270 271 proportional to their proportion in the total population, peri-urban residents contribute 2 times more 272 emissions than their representation in the total population; additionally, their level of emissions is 2 times 273 higher than the Ile-de-France average for PDP and 5 times higher for PDC. Conversely, the proportion of the 274 population exposed above the thresholds is logically much higher in the population of the center than in the 275 peripheral population (Borck and Schrauth, 2021), while it is also much higher for the population that does 276 not travel by car than for the driving population. This overrepresentation is mainly due to the distribution of 277 the demotorized population in the urban area. 30% of non-motorized trips are made by Parisians who are the most exposed (56% of the most exposed are Parisians, (Poulhès and Proulhac, 2021)) for 20% of the whole 278 279 population. 80% of the Paris region residents are exposed to thresholds higher than the WHO of 2021 (25 280 $\mu g/m^3$) and almost all the residents of the center (UP or UD).

281 Table 3: General results of average NO₂ exposure and average NO_x emissions by population profile

	UP	UD	PDC	PDP	Entire
					populatio
Average level exposure	37.1	35.8	30.9	25.2	32.1
of $NO_2(ug/m3)$					
Population part (%)	98.0%	97.3%	83.3%	45.2%	78.7%
$>25\mu g/m^3$ of NO_2					
Average emission level	-	6.0	27.6	10.2	5.0
of NO _x (g/cap/j)					
Part (%) in the total	-	18%	27%	42%	100%
emissions					
Distance/j/cap. By car		12.3 km	47.0 km	18.7 km	9.3 km
and two wheelers					

282

283 Evidence of environmental inequality

The breakdown of the population of each profile into socio-demographic characteristics provides a more accurate view of environmental inequalities (Table 4). Overall, while the average exposure or the proportion of people exposed to hazardous values is quite homogeneous in each of the profiles studied, the NO_x contributions are very heterogeneous between the categories of population within the same profile. In this section, we propose to present the main results.

Among the population of the same territory, the differences in exposure and pollutant rates by population category are quite small. Conversely, individual contributions to NO_x are very unequally distributed from one individual to another, even within the same profile. Thus, there are strong inequalities. To begin with, the question of gender. Men emit twice as much NO_x as women and are just as likely to be polluted. In particular, among the PDC profile, we even obtain an emission factor of 3 between women and men, for a slightly 294 higher rate of polluted for men. On the other hand, the proportion of polluted women in the UD profile is 295 higher. By age group, the rates of polluted young and old people are the lowest in the total population, and 296 their contribution to NOx pollution is also very low: 5% for young people while they represent 20% of the 297 population and 3% of old people for 9% of the population. Among the UP, the highest rates of polluted 298 people are in the 50-70 years age group. Finally, the ratio of emissions by age group is roughly the same for 299 each motorized profile studied. By occupation, working people obviously emit more than other categories, but 300 there are heterogeneities between the categories of active people. The unemployed emit only 3.6% of NO_x for 301 5.7% of the total population and are 79.2% polluted. Conversely, workers emit a lot (15.5% of emissions for 302 6.7% of the population) and are less polluted (75%). Among the less unequal findings, the highest rates of polluted people are found among the upper social categories, which are also those who emit the most. By 303 304 profile, the rates of unemployed and executives in the UP are the highest and the gap with the rest of the 305 population of the profile is even higher for the UD. The over-representation of active people in the 306 populations that travel by car confirms their predominant place among the emitters. Executives are 307 particularly numerous in the profiles commuting by car in the center (UD and PDC), and less so in the 308 suburbs. They therefore contribute even more to the high concentration of NO₂ in the center, while being less impacted by pollution (between 86 and 89% of PDC executives are polluted compared with 99% of UP). 309 310 Finally, an analysis by income group confirms that the wealthiest populations are the biggest emitters (2/5 of 311 the population for more than 50% of emissions). They have the highest average polluted rates, especially the 312 wealthiest 1/5 of the population, with 85,6% compared to 77% on average for the rest of the population. This 313 is logical because they represent a larger proportion of the population in the center of the agglomeration, 314 which is more polluted. While the distribution of UDs is homogeneous among the income categories, the 315 wealthy UDs are over-represented in the profile (50% for 2/5 of the population), in contrast to the poorest 316 quintile which represents only 11% and contributes to only 10% of the emissions of the profile. Similarly, the 317 peri-urbans who use their car to travel to the center (PDC) are in a large minority the poorest (20% of the 318 population for the first two quintiles and also 20% of the emissions of the profile).

Table 4: Socio-demographic results per profile

	The entire population		Urban Pedestrian (UP)		Urk	Urban Driver (UD)			Peri-urban Driver in Centre (PDC)			Peri-urban Driver in Periphery (PDP)			
	Part of the	Part (%) > 25	Part (%) in the	Part of the	Part (%) > 25	Part (%) in the	Part of the	Part (%) > 25	Part (%) in the	Part of the	Part (%) > 25	Part (%) in the	Part of the	Part (%) > 25	Part (%) in the
	population (%)	ug/m3 NO2	NO _x emissions	population (%)	ug/m3 NO2	NO_x emissions	population (%)	ug/m3 NO2	NO_x emissions	population (%)	ug/m3 NO2	NO_x emissions	population (%)	ug/m3 NO2	NO_x emissions
Gender															
Men	47,4	79,2	65,7	43,4	98,2	0,0	53,0	97,1	65,3	63,5	84,4	74,6	48,1	45,9	58,2
Women	52,6	78,2	34,3	56,6	97,9	0,0	47,0	97,4	34,7	36,5	81,4	25,4	51,9	44,5	41,8
Age group (in years)															
Under 20	20,1	71,4	5,4	22,9	96,5	0,0	13,7	95,2	5,5	4,4	85,0	1,9	19,7	38,9	8,6
20-29	12,8	83,5	11,9	14,4	98,9	0,0	10,7	97,6	11,2	11,8	82,1	8,9	9,7	50,9	12,5
30-39	18,1	82,5	24,9	17,9	98,1	0,0	21,5	98,1	26,9	26,4	83,4	27,3	17,9	49,6	23,2
40-49	16,4	80,8	27,2	14,0	98,7	0,0	20,6	97,7	25,7	26,4	85,1	31	18,0	47,0	25,5
50-59	13,1	79,3	18,6	11,5	98,7	0,0	14,1	96,1	16,8	17,7	85,2	20,8	14,6	46,3	17,5
60-69	10,4	77,2	9,0	9,7	98,5	0,0	11,9	98,1	10,4	10,0	74,6	7,6	11,7	41,2	9,6
Over 70	9,1	77,2	3,0	9,6	97,9	0,0	7,5	97,4	3,5	3,4	86,2	2,5	8,3	43,8	3,1
Occupation															
Independant workers	2,3	80,5	7,5	1,3	97,4	0,0	4,5	99,5	8,6	6,4	78,1	11,9	2,4	45,3	4,7
Executive and intellectual function	13,4	89,0	18,7	14,7	99,9	0,0	18,0	99,8	20,7	22,3	88,6	20,4	10,4	53,4	14,7
Intermediary businesses	12,8	82,2	21,7	10,7	99,2	0,0	15,2	97,0	17,4	22,6	86,7	24,2	15,9	50,8	21,3
Employee	12,9	78,8	14,0	12,2	98,3	0,0	13,1	97,3	14,6	15,3	80,1	13,7	14,3	45,1	15,0
Labor	6,7	75,0	15,5	4,2	98,2	0,0	8,5	93,4	14,6	12,2	79,7	15,6	9,1	45,2	15,8
Pupil, student	23,2	74,3	7,2	27,8	97,1	0,0	15,8	95,7	7,4	6,1	87,4	3,0	21,0	40,4	10,0
Unemployed	5,7	79,2	3,6	6,5 📃	97,1	0,0	4,9	97,2	5,1	3,4	73,6	3,2	3,9	41,0	3,7
Other inactive	23,0	75,9	11,9	22,6	97,7	0,0	20	97,5	11,6	11,7	77,8	7,9	23,1	42,8	14,8
Income (per quintile)						_									
First quintile	17,7	76,6	9,2	21,1	96,5	0,0	11	97,7	10,4	7,5	87,1	7,2	10,4	34,5	9,9
Second quintile	20,1	78,0	17,2	21,5	97,8	0,0	17,5	97,0	17,5	13,2	82,7	14,7	18,7	41,0	18,8
Third quintile	20,2	76,0	21,6	17,6	97,7	0,0	21,7	95,9	20,4	24,2	77,0	21,2	24,6	45,6	24,0
Fourth quintile	20,7	78,3	27,5	17,9	98,8	0,0	21,6	98,3	23	26,6	84,1	28,6	26,1	46,0	28,1
Fifth quintile	21,3	85,6	24,5	21,9	99,6	0,0	28,1	98,1	28,7	28,5	89,6	28,2	20,2	52,4	19,2

321 4. Discussion

322 The specificity of Paris, with its overrepresentation of affluent people in the center, explains why the 323 wealthiest populations are the most exposed to NO₂ pollution, in contradiction with certain previous studies 324 on cities with different urban characteristics (Clark et al., 2014; Sider et al., 2015). In contrast, analysis of NO_x 325 emissions confirms that the lowest emitters are the poorest populations (Jephcote and Chen, 2012; Mitchell 326 and Dorling, 2003; Sider et al., 2015). Analysis of population profiles by mode used and by trip reveals 327 specificities that are diluted in the average values. In particular, two profiles are particularly interesting: 328 residents of the periphery who drive to the center on a daily basis, and those who live in the center and do not 329 use a private car on a daily basis. The first group is polluting while being moderately exposed and the second 330 group (33.6% of the total population) is highly exposed without contributing directly to their exposure.

331 This result leads to a first discussion on the gap in the study between the NO₂ exposure and NO_x emission 332 calculations. Several methodological distinctions should be highlighted. The date difference between the 2011 333 HTS and the 2019 exposures should be noted, but living and activity locations as well as mobility practices 334 have changed only slightly since 2011 as shown by the preliminary results of the new HTS (Omnil and Ile-de-335 France Mobilités, 2019). NO2 exposure and NOx emission calculations. Several methodological distinctions 336 should be highlighted. Secondly, the HTS and the concentration map are based on a typical average working 337 day, which is therefore consistent with each other, but poses difficulties with regard to the use of exposure 338 thresholds as health risk indicators. Since 2021, the WHO considers a threshold of 25 μ g/m³ as a daily 339 average, which must be compared with its annual average ambitious threshold of 10 μ g/m³. Thus, in the Paris 340 region, 9 out of 10 residents are exposed beyond these annual thresholds (Airparif, 2021) and 8 out of 10 in 341 our daily approach. This very low threshold makes it difficult to compare populations in the Paris region 342 where concentrations are very often above $25 \,\mu g/m^3$ in the center and in the periphery. To confirm our 343 results on exposure, we also tested a threshold of $40 \,\mu g/m^3$ which corresponds to the old WHO threshold in annual average. On the one hand, even if only 16% of the population exceeds this threshold, the populations 344 345 that exceed the two thresholds the most remain the same with very few exceptions. On the other hand, the differences between the parts of the most polluted populations are more significant especially for thepopulations of the center.

348 NO_x emission values consider all sources of pollution without extracting the part corresponding to daily 349 traffic while NO2 concentrations by definition consider all emission sources, wherever they come from. In 350 particular, the emissions of residents are considered in the study as non-emitters. Without emitting directly 351 from the modes and trips under study, many indirect sources of emissions should be considered: (i) Emissions 352 from other modes of transport such as buses, whose emissions are the responsibility of the community and 353 the transport authority which is in charge of fleet renewal; (ii) Long-distance trips which are not part of the HTS perimeter and which contribute a significant proportion of NO_x emissions (37% of total driving distance 354 355 in France (Nicolas et al., 2012)). However, they are mostly made in low-density areas and therefore have less 356 impact on the population; (iii) Emissions due to heavy vehicle traffic, estimated at 30% by a study on NO_x in 357 the Paris region (Coulombel et al., 2018), even if only part of the traffic is for local consumption; (iv) Air 358 travel: according to Airparif, 9% of NOx emissions come from airport hubs in the Paris region, of which only 359 a portion is for resident travel. These important gaps in our method, which for the most part also relate to the 360 way of life of the populations, are also present in other studies that associate NO_x emissions with a population 361 (Bouzouina et al., 2013). If we consider that the rich consume more goods (Nielsen et al., 2021), fly more 362 often and make more long-distance trips (Nicolas and David, 2009), adding these emissions into the balance 363 sheet would further increase environmental inequalities between social classes.

Another important limitation is that emissions are not weighted according to where they occur. In the average balance, the emission does not depend on the population density, and a trip in the center can have as much impact as a trip in the periphery, whereas this is not the case in reality. The analysis by population profile makes it possible to distinguish between populations that make at least one trip to the center and populations that make no trips to the central area. This also raises questions about the urban dynamics and the future of these populations, who are highly dependent on private cars and who emit more than 40% of the region's NO_x emissions in our study. Beyond the obvious contribution to CO₂ emissions, NO_x emissions in the periurban area contribute to the diffusion of ozone, a pollutant that is also harmful to the health and predominantin rural areas (Betancourt-Odio et al., 2021).

373 For the calculation of the NO_x emissions, some assumptions were made. In the Copert database, the unit 374 emissions depend only on speed and engine type, but other important factors are taken into account. The 375 weight of the vehicle, as well as the cold start phases during which emissions are much higher (Suarez-Bertoa 376 and Astorga, 2018) and the acceleration and deceleration phases are taken into account, although aggregated 377 by an average value in the Copert emission factors. Thus, emissions from short and urban trips are 378 underestimated in our results. Regarding NO₂ exposure, the accuracy of the exposure locations, limited by the size of the tiles of the exposure maps, but also that of the locations of presence in the HTS, only allows one to 379 380 calculate exposure potentials. Our macroscopic approach does not enable use of street level diffusion models 381 (Zhang et al., 2020). Similarly, during travel, the concentration of pollutants in the vehicle cabin is higher than 382 outside, but the effort involved in walking and cycling causes pedestrians and cyclists to inhale more polluted 383 air (Matthaios et al., 2020). A literature review confirms these results (Singh et al., 2021). Mass transit 384 exposures, not considered in our study, are rather limited, according to other studies (Delaunay et al., 2012; Ramacher and Karl, 2020). The higher inhalation of pollutants by pedestrians and cyclists would be 385 386 counterbalanced by physical activity in the overall impact on health (Cepeda et al., 2017).

387 Another important limitation in the quantification of exposure to air pollution among the population of an 388 agglomeration with our method concerns the HTS in France. Household travel surveys in France do not 389 survey children under 5 years of age, who are the most at risk from air pollution (Citerne et al., 2021). In the 390 poorest populations, among the most vulnerable children, environmental inequalities are multiplied tenfold 391 (Unicef and Réseau Action Climat, 2021). The effects of air pollution would also be multiplied by negative 392 living conditions. Other sources of pollution should be considered in order to give a complete overview of the 393 damage generated and suffered by the populations and to introduce the concept of exposome (Rappaport, 394 2011). In addition to other atmospheric pollutants such as fine particles, whose emissions and concentration 395 levels are fairly well correlated with NO2 (Samoli et al., 2019), noise is also a nuisance closely linked to road 396 traffic with a strong impact on health (Münzel et al., 2021).

397 5. Conclusion and policy implications

This research has enabled us to relate exposure to an urban air pollutant which is hazardous to health, NO₂, to a proportion of the contributors of this pollutant: a large proportion of NO_x traffic emitters. A coherency between the two analyses is achieved by means of a HTS to finely evaluate NO₂ exposure with a spatiotemporal approach that considers travel and exposure at activity locations. Each motorized individual in the HTS contributes to NO_x emissions through his or her motorized trips as described in the survey. A spatial and socio-economic analysis then allows for an analysis of environmental inequalities.

The results confirm that the environmental injustice of exposure to air pollution is first of all a territorial injustice, with a large proportion of the population in the center of the Paris Region that does not emit NO_x and is particularly exposed to NO_2 pollution (Sider et al., 2015). Conversely, the populations of the periphery are very little exposed and very contributive to NO_x . Another social injustice is the fact that the unemployed and the lowest-income populations are the lowest contributors and are sometimes more exposed than other populations. Finally, the study of this pollution highlights a gender injustice, with women being over-exposed relative to their contribution.

411 These conclusions raise questions about two types of policies. Public mobility policies aim to restrict access to 412 central areas by the most polluting vehicles with the deployment of a Low Emissions Zone, which can have 413 an effect in terms of air quality (Holman et al., 2015) but which still present social inequalities (Poulhès and 414 Proulhac, 2021). Other types of enforcement measures are also possible. A tax on the heaviest vehicles would 415 be a more socially equitable solution than a carbon tax (West, 2004) or a subsidy for the purchase of less 416 polluting vehicles (Tovar Reaños and Sommerfeld, 2018), as has already been done in many countries, but at a 417 cost that remains high for the poorest households. It should be noted that the most readily accepted policies 418 are those that address social justice (Boyce and Pastor, 2013; Zachmann et al., 2018).

Our results also allow us to discuss the urban planning policies that still favor urban sprawl. They are often
criticized in terms of land artificialization, the carbon impact of motorized mobility, or the strong dependence
on cars among modest households (Belton Chevallier et al., 2018). They are rarely analyzed in terms of impact

422 on air quality or the opposition between residents in the center, who are demotorized, live in cramped 423 conditions, and whose living conditions are degraded, and motorized households living in large spaces who 424 cause the damage,. We can thus understand the vicious circle of the exodus of residents from the center to the 425 peripheries in search of better-quality air. Consequently, car use and pollution in the center of the 426 agglomeration are further exacerbated by a favorable peripheral urban context. Thus, policies to restrict car 427 use in urban centers may be more acceptable but still reinforce the opposition between the center and the 428 periphery. Only policies that are consistent between urban planning and transport and between center and 429 periphery can resolve the escalation of territorial and social tensions due to environmental nuisances. Giving 430 more authority to citizens, especially the poorest, to participate in the construction and improvement of their 431 urban environment would limit the disaffection in certain urban areas (Faburel, 2008). In particular, renaturation projects and developing access to nature would limit inequalities with respect to air pollution 432 433 (Jennings et al., 2021).

434 The recent context of the coronavirus epidemic and the constraint of having to work remotely, which has 435 turned into an opportunity for many, may accelerate the abandonment of the center and its environmental 436 nuisances in favor of peripheral or rural areas (Fijalkow, 2020). Will the reduction in motorized travel afforded 437 by remote working be enough to reduce car use in areas where car dependency has never been questioned? Or 438 will the need for motorized travel in these areas increase pollution and thus exposure among residents who 439 remain in the center and who often cannot work remotely, and have precarious jobs? Beyond the research on 440 the impact of remote working on exposure to air pollution, the question of its impact on pollutant emissions 441 remains.

442 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank AirParif in particular for providing us with their NO₂ concentration maps. Thanks
also to the DRIEA for allowing us to use its MODUS transport model. Finally, we would like to thank Cyrille
François for his help in accessing the Copert emission ratios.

446 References

- 447 Airparif, 2021. Impact des nouveaux seuils de référence de l'OMS sur l'Île-de-France.
- Beckx, C., Int Panis, L., Arentze, T., Janssens, D., Torfs, R., Broekx, S., Wets, G., 2009. A dynamic activitybased population modelling approach to evaluate exposure to air pollution: Methods and application
 to a Dutch urban area. Environmental Impact Assessment Review 29, 179–185.
- 451 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2008.10.001
- Belton Chevallier, L., Motte-Baumvol, B., Fol, S., Jouffe, Y., 2018. Coping with the costs of car dependency:
 A system of expedients used by low-income households on the outskirts of Dijon and Paris.
 Transport Policy 65, 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.06.006
- Betancourt-Odio, A., Valencia, D., Soffritti, M., Budría, S., 2021. An analysis of ozone pollution by using
 functional data: rural and urban areas of the Community of Madrid. Environ Monit Assess 193, 401.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09180-1
- Borck, R., Schrauth, P., 2021. Population density and urban air quality. Regional Science and Urban
 Economics 86, 103596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2020.103596
- Bouzouina, L., Quetelard, B., Toilier, F., 2013. Émissions de CO2 liées à la mobilité domicile-travail : une
 double lecture par le lieu de résidence et le lieu de travail des actifs à Lyon et à Lille.
 developpementdurable. https://doi.org/10.4000/developpementdurable.10018
- Boyce, J.K., Pastor, M., 2013. Clearing the air: incorporating air quality and environmental justice into climate
 policy. Climatic Change 120, 801–814. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0832-2
- Buzzelli, M., Jerrett, M., Burnett, R., Finklestein, N., 2003. Spatiotemporal Perspectives on Air Pollution and
 Environmental Justice in Hamilton, Canada, 1985–1996. Annals of the Association of American
 Geographers 93, 557–573. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8306.9303003
- 468 Cepeda, M., Schoufour, J., Freak-Poli, R., Koolhaas, C.M., Dhana, K., Bramer, W.M., Franco, O.H., 2017.
 469 Levels of ambient air pollution according to mode of transport: a systematic review. The Lancet
 470 Public Health 2, e23–e34. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(16)30021-4
- 471 Citerne, A., Roda, C., Viola, M., Rancière, F., Momas, I., 2021. Early postnatal exposure to traffic-related air pollution and asthma in adolescents: vulnerability factors in the PARIS birth cohort. Environmental Research 201, 111473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111473
- 474 Clark, L.P., Millet, D.B., Marshall, J.D., 2014. National Patterns in Environmental Injustice and Inequality:
 475 Outdoor NO2 Air Pollution in the United States. PLoS ONE 9, e94431.
 476 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094431
- 477 Coulombel, N., Dablanc, L., Gardrat, M., Koning, M., 2018. The environmental social cost of urban road
 478 freight: Evidence from the Paris region. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and
 479 Environment 63, 514–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.06.002
- 480 Degraeuwe, B., Thunis, P., Clappier, A., Weiss, M., Lefebvre, W., Janssen, S., Vranckx, S., 2017. Impact of
 481 passenger car NOX emissions on urban NO2 pollution Scenario analysis for 8 European cities.
 482 Atmospheric Environment 171, 330–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.10.040
- 483 Delaunay, C., Goupil, G., Ravelomanantsoa, H., Person, A., Mazoue, S., Morawski, F., 2012. Évaluation de
 484 l'exposition des citadins aux polluants atmosphériques au cours de leurs déplacements dans
 485 l'agglomération parisienne. Pollution atmosphérique. https://doi.org/10.4267/pollution486 atmospherique.158
- 487 Faburel, G., 2008. Les inégalités environnementales comme inégalités de moyens des habitants et des acteurs
 488 territoriaux: Pour que l'environnement soit un facteur réel de cohésion urbaine. eps 111–126.
 489 https://doi.org/10.4000/eps.2430
- 490 Fenech, S., Aquilina, N.J., 2021. Estimation of the NO2 population exposure in the Northern Harbour district
 491 of Malta. Atmospheric Environment 244, 117918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117918
- 492 Fijalkow, Y., 2020. Fuir les grandes villes : une dynamique relancée par le confinement ? The Conversation.
- 493 Fullerton, D., 2011. Six Distributional Effects of Environmental Policy: Six Distributional Effects of
- 494 Environmental Policy. Risk Analysis 31, 923–929. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2011.01628.x

- 495 Gianessi, L.P., Peskin, H.M., Wolff, E., 1979. The Distributional Effects of Uniform Air Pollution Policy in
 496 the United States. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 93, 281. https://doi.org/10.2307/1883195
- 497 Guak, S., Lee, S.-G., An, J., Lee, H., Lee, K., 2021. A model for population exposure to PM2.5: Identification
 498 of determinants for high population exposure in Seoul. Environmental Pollution 285, 117406.
 499 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117406
- Guerreiro, C.B.B., Foltescu, V., de Leeuw, F., 2014. Air quality status and trends in Europe. Atmospheric
 Environment 98, 376–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.017
- 502 Hivert, L., Morchoine, A., 1998. Habiter au vert et polluer les citadins : un essai d'évaluation.
- Holland, S.P., Mansur, E.T., Muller, N.Z., Yates, A.J., 2019. Distributional Effects of Air Pollution from
 Electric Vehicle Adoption. Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists 6,
 S65–S94. https://doi.org/10.1086/701188
- Holman, C., Harrison, R., Querol, X., 2015. Review of the efficacy of low emission zones to improve urban air quality in European cities. Atmospheric Environment 111, 161–169.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.009
- Host, S., Honoré, C., Joly, F., Saunal, A., Le Tertre, A., Medina, S., 2020. Implementation of various
 hypothetical low emission zone scenarios in Greater Paris: Assessment of fine-scale reduction in
 exposure and expected health benefits. Environmental Research 185, 109405.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109405
- Jennings, V., Reid, C.E., Fuller, C.H., 2021. Green infrastructure can limit but not solve air pollution injustice.
 Nat Commun 12, 4681. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24892-1
- Jephcote, C., Chen, H., 2012. Environmental injustices of children's exposure to air pollution from roadtransport within the model British multicultural city of Leicester: 2000–09. Science of The Total Environment 414, 140–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.11.040
- Keuken, M., Roemer, M., van den Elshout, S., 2009. Trend analysis of urban NO2 concentrations and the
 importance of direct NO2 emissions versus ozone/NOx equilibrium. Atmospheric Environment 43,
 4780–4783. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.043
- 521 Khaniabadi, Y.O., Hopke, P.K., Goudarzi, G., Daryanoosh, S.M., Jourvand, M., Basiri, H., 2017.
 522 Cardiopulmonary mortality and COPD attributed to ambient ozone. Environmental Research 152, 336–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.10.008
- 524 Khomenko, S., Cirach, M., Pereira-Barboza, E., Mueller, N., Barrera-Gómez, J., Rojas-Rueda, D., de Hoogh,
 525 K., Hoek, G., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., 2021. Premature mortality due to air pollution in European cities:
 526 a health impact assessment. The Lancet Planetary Health 5, e121–e134.
 527 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30272-2
- Kotelnikova-Weiler, N., Leurent, F., Poulhès, A., 2017. Attribution Methodologies for Mobility Impacts.
 Transportation Research Procedia 26, 131–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.07.014
- Kousoulidou, M., Fontaras, G., Ntziachristos, L., Bonnel, P., Samaras, Z., Dilara, P., 2013. Use of portable
 emissions measurement system (PEMS) for the development and validation of passenger car emission
 factors. Atmospheric Environment 64, 329–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.062
- Lejri, D., Can, A., Schiper, N., Leclercq, L., 2018. Accounting for traffic speed dynamics when calculating
 COPERT and PHEM pollutant emissions at the urban scale. Transportation Research Part D:
 Transport and Environment 63, 588–603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.06.023
- Li, Xin, Yang, T., Zeng, Z., Li, Xiaodong, Zeng, G., Liang, J., Xiao, R., Chen, X., 2021. Underestimated or overestimated? Dynamic assessment of hourly PM2.5 exposure in the metropolitan area based on heatmap and micro-air monitoring stations. Science of The Total Environment 779, 146283.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146283
- Li, Y., Myint, S.W., 2021. Fine resolution air quality dynamics related to socioeconomic and land use factors in the most polluted desert metropolitan in the American Southwest. Science of The Total Environment 788, 147713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147713
- Lu, M., Schmitz, O., Vaartjes, I., Karssenberg, D., 2019. Activity-based air pollution exposure assessment:
 Differences between homemakers and cycling commuters. Health & Place 60, 102233.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102233

- Matthaios, V.N., Kramer, L.J., Crilley, L.R., Sommariva, R., Pope, F.D., Bloss, W.J., 2020. Quantification of
 within-vehicle exposure to NOx and particles: Variation with outside air quality, route choice and
 ventilation options. Atmospheric Environment 240, 117810.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117810
- Mikati, I., Benson, A.F., Luben, T.J., Sacks, J.D., Richmond-Bryant, J., 2018. Disparities in Distribution of
 Particulate Matter Emission Sources by Race and Poverty Status. Am J Public Health 108, 480–485.
 https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2017.304297
- Miranda, M.L., Edwards, S.E., Keating, M.H., Paul, C.J., 2011. Making the Environmental Justice Grade: The
 Relative Burden of Air Pollution Exposure in the United States. IJERPH 8, 1755–1771.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8061755
- Mitchell, G., Dorling, D., 2003. An Environmental Justice Analysis of British Air Quality. Environ Plan A 35, 909–929. https://doi.org/10.1068/a35240
- Mohai, P., Saha, R., 2015. Which came first, people or pollution? A review of theory and evidence from longitudinal environmental justice studies. Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 125011.
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/12/125011
- Münzel, T., Sørensen, M., Daiber, A., 2021. Transportation noise pollution and cardiovascular disease. Nat
 Rev Cardiol 18, 619–636. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00532-5
- 563 Nicolas, J.-P., David, D., 2009. Passenger transport and CO2 emissions: What does the French transport
 564 survey tell us? Atmospheric Environment 43, 1015–1020.
 565 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.030
- 566 Nicolas, J.-P., Verry, D., Longuar, Z., 2012. Évolutions récentes des émissions de CO2 liées à la mobilité des
 567 Français: analyser les dynamiques à l'œuvre grâce aux enquêtes nationales Transports de 1994 et 2008.
 568 Economie et Statistique/Economics and Statistics 161–183.
- Nielsen, K.S., Nicholas, K.A., Creutzig, F., Dietz, T., Stern, P.C., 2021. The role of high-socioeconomic-status people in locking in or rapidly reducing energy-driven greenhouse gas emissions. Nat Energy 6, 1011–1016. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00900-y
- 572 Ntziachristos, L., Gkatzoflias, D., Kouridis, C., Samaras, Z., 2009. COPERT: A European Road Transport
 573 Emission Inventory Model, in: Athanasiadis, I.N., Rizzoli, A.E., Mitkas, P.A., Gómez, J.M. (Eds.),
 574 Information Technologies in Environmental Engineering, Environmental Science and Engineering.
 575 Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 491–504. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540576 88351-7_37
- O'Driscoll, R., ApSimon, H.M., Oxley, T., Molden, N., Stettler, M.E.J., Thiyagarajah, A., 2016. A Portable
 Emissions Measurement System (PEMS) study of NOx and primary NO2 emissions from Euro 6
 diesel passenger cars and comparison with COPERT emission factors. Atmospheric Environment
 145, 81–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.09.021
- 581 Omnil, Ile-de-France Mobilités, 2019. La nouvelle enquête globale transport H2020, Présentation des
 582 premiers résultats 2018.
- Park, Y.M., 2020. Assessing personal exposure to traffic-related air pollution using individual travel-activity
 diary data and an on-road source air dispersion model. Health & Place 63, 102351.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2020.102351
- Poulhès, A., Proulhac, L., 2021. The Paris Region low emission zone, a benefit shared with residents outside
 the zone. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment 98, 102977.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2021.102977
- 589 Proulhac, L., Poulhès, A., 2021. La mobilité quotidienne conduit-t-elle les Parisiens à respirer un air plus ou moins pollué? Accepted, Vertigo.
- Ramacher, M.O.P., Karl, M., 2020. Integrating Modes of Transport in a Dynamic Modelling Approach to
 Evaluate Population Exposure to Ambient NO2 and PM2.5 Pollution in Urban Areas. IJERPH 17,
 2099. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17062099
- Rappaport, S.M., 2011. Implications of the exposome for exposure science. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 21,
 5–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2010.50
- 596 Samoli, E., Stergiopoulou, A., Santana, P., Rodopoulou, S., Mitsakou, C., Dimitroulopoulou, C., Bauwelinck,
 597 M., de Hoogh, K., Costa, C., Marí-Dell'Olmo, M., Corman, D., Vardoulakis, S., Katsouyanni, K.,

- 598 2019. Spatial variability in air pollution exposure in relation to socioeconomic indicators in nine 599 European metropolitan areas: A study on environmental inequality. Environmental Pollution 249, 600 345-353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.03.050
- 601 Setton, E., Marshall, J.D., Brauer, M., Lundquist, K.R., Hystad, P., Keller, P., Cloutier-Fisher, D., 2011. The 602 impact of daily mobility on exposure to traffic-related air pollution and health effect estimates. J Expo 603 Sci Environ Epidemiol 21, 42-48. https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2010.14
- 604 Shaddick, G., Thomas, M.L., Mudu, P., Ruggeri, G., Gumy, S., 2020. Half the world's population are exposed to increasing air pollution. npj Clim Atmos Sci 3, 23. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-0124-2 605
- 606 Sider, T., Hatzopoulou, M., Eluru, N., Goulet-Langlois, G., Manaugh, K., 2015. Smog and socioeconomics: an 607 evaluation of equity in traffic-related air pollution generation and exposure. Environ Plann B Plann 608 Des 42, 870–887. https://doi.org/10.1068/b130140p
- Singh, V., Meena, K.K., Agarwal, A., 2021. Travellers' exposure to air pollution: A systematic review and 609 610 future directions. Urban Climate 38, 100901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2021.100901
- 611 Singh, V., Sokhi, R.S., Kukkonen, J., 2020. An approach to predict population exposure to ambient air PM2.5 612 concentrations and its dependence on population activity for the megacity London. Environmental 613 Pollution 257, 113623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113623
- 614 Suarez-Bertoa, R., Astorga, C., 2018. Impact of cold temperature on Euro 6 passenger car emissions. 615 Environmental Pollution 234, 318-329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.096
- 616 Tovar Reaños, M.A., Sommerfeld, K., 2018. Fuel for inequality: Distributional effects of environmental 617 reforms on private transport. Resource and Energy Economics 51, 28-43. 618 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2017.10.007
- 619 Unicef, F., Réseau Action Climat, 2021. De l'injustice sociale dans l'air, Pauvreté des enfants et pollution de 620 l'air.
- Verbeek, T., 2019. Unequal residential exposure to air pollution and noise: A geospatial environmental justice 621 622 analysis for Ghent, Belgium. SSM - Population Health 7, 100340. 623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2018.100340
- 624 Wang, O., Hubacek, K., Feng, K., Wei, Y.-M., Liang, Q.-M., 2016. Distributional effects of carbon taxation. 625 Applied Energy 184, 1123–1131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.06.083
- 626 West, S.E., 2004. Distributional effects of alternative vehicle pollution control policies. Journal of Public 627 Economics 88, 735–757. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727(02)00186-X
- 628 WHO, 2021. Ambient (outdoor) air pollution.
- 629 Wild, R.J., Dubé, W.P., Aikin, K.C., Eilerman, S.J., Neuman, J.A., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T.B., Brown, S.S., 2017. 630 On-road measurements of vehicle NO 2 /NO x emission ratios in Denver, Colorado, USA. 631
 - Atmospheric Environment 148, 182-189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.10.039
- Zachmann, G., Fredriksson, G., Claevs, G., 2018. Distributional effects of climate policies. 632
- 633