

Heart metaphors in Beja

Martine Vanhove, Mohamed-Tahir Hamid Ahmed

▶ To cite this version:

Martine Vanhove, Mohamed-Tahir Hamid Ahmed. Heart metaphors in Beja. Language in Africa, 2022, 3 (2), pp.368-388. 10.37892/2686-8946-2022-3-2-368-388. halshs-03764671

HAL Id: halshs-03764671 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03764671

Submitted on 7 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HEART METAPHORS IN BEJA

Martine Vanhove
LLACAN (CNRS, INALCO, EPHE)
martine.vanhove@cnrs.fr

Mohamed-Tahir Hamid Ahmed

Sudan University of Science and Technology / Omdurman Ahlia University mtahir6@yahoo.fr

Abstract: Cross-linguistically, BODY PARTS have been claimed to be universally recruited as a source domain for conceptual metaphors. This article presents a preliminary corpus-based study of the metaphorical constructions in which the noun gin?a 'heart' is used in Beja, the sole language of the North-Cushitic branch (Afroasiatic). The semantic and syntactic particularities of the Beja metaphors are discussed within the background of the cognitive theory of embodiment and in comparison with other languages. It is shown that Beja makes use of the widespread metonymy HEART FOR PERSON, and several well-known metaphors, but displays a number of peculiarities in the choice of the collocations with 'heart', including in relation with the target semantic domains of the metaphors. Beja heart-based metaphors illustrate one more case of a language where this organ is conceived as the locus for both COGNITION and EMOTION, a double conception which seems to be rare among the languages of Africa.

Key words: Cushitic, Beja, semantics, syntax, conceptual domains, cognition, emotion, metaphor, body parts, embodiment, culture

1. Introduction

BODY PARTS have been claimed to be universally recruited as a source domain for conceptual metaphors, in particular for abstract concepts such as EMOTION and COGNITION (e.g. Lakoff 1987, 1993; Gibbs 1994; Yu 1998; Lakoff & Johnson 1999; Kövecses 2000). Within the

¹ For the counter-example of Gbaya (Ubangian), which goes from an abstract meaning to several concrete meanings, see Roulon-Doko (2021). For a critic of embodied metaphors viewed as uniquely rooted in synchrony see e.g. Wierzbicka (1999), Geeraert & Gevaert (2008), and Ponsonnet (2014).

cognitive theory of embodiment that accounts for the pervasive relationship between body and mind, they are considered as "primary metaphors", because they are directly linked to several universal aspects of the daily life of human beings (e.g. Lakoff & Johnson 1999; Gibbs et al. 2004; Grady 2005; Gibbs 2006). However, even if the association between BODY PARTS and EMOTION and/or COGNITION is probably universal, "[d]ifferent aspects of bodily experience may be used selectively to result in metaphorical variation" (Wnuk & Ito 2021: 196). Moreover, culture also plays an essential role in the selection of BODY PARTS terms and of the domains onto which they are mapped (e.g. Geeraerts & Grondelaers 1995; Holland & Quinn 1987; Yu 2003, 2007; Kövecses 2005). Cultural variations in metaphorical extensions of BODY PARTS have indeed been observed in many languages and cultures around the world (e.g. Goddard 2003; Kövecses 2005; Ibarretxe-Antuñano 2008; Yu 2008; Sharifian et al. 2008; Ponsonnet 2014; Wnuk & Ito 2021), among them African ones, e.g. in Sar (Central Sudanic, Fédry 1976), Zulu (Bantu, Taylor & Mbense 1998), Dholuo (Western Nilotic, Reh 1998), and several other Nilotic and Bantu languages (Dimmendaal 2002), Ewe (Kwa, Ameka 2002), or South Mande languages (Perekhvalskaya 2008).

This paper presents a preliminary corpus-based study of the metaphorical constructions in which the noun gin 2a, which refers to the 'heart' organ (1), is used in Beja.

² Examples extracted from the first author's corpus are referred to by text number (58), genre (NARR, CONV, POEM or JOKE), short title (Donkey

In its metaphorical uses, gin2a 'heart' is mapped onto two conceptual domains: COGNITION and EMOTION. The semantic and syntactic particularities of the Beja metaphors will be presented in turn within the background of the cognitive theory of embodiment mentioned above. After a brief presentation of Beja and the data in §2, §3 is dedicated to metaphors in the cognitive domain, §4 to emotions. §5 contains a summary of the findings and concluding remarks for further research.

2. Beja and the data

Beja, locally named *bidawije:t*, is the sole language of the North-Cushitic branch of the Afroasiatic phylum. It is spoken in the northernmost part of the Cushitic-speaking area, mainly in the Red Sea and Kassala States in eastern Sudan, in the dry lands between the Red Sea and the Atbara River. The total number of speakers in this country is estimated at approximately 2,000,000 speakers, all Muslims. Until the first half of the 20th century, their main occupations were camel trading and pastoralism; only the latter survives today to a lesser extent. The Beja settle more and more in villages and cities like Port-Soudan and Kassala, even if they often keep moving from one place to another.

In Sudan, bilingualism with Sudanese Arabic is widespread and expanding, but discredited for women who lead a cloistered life. Beja speakers have a strong awareness of a hierarchy of speech related to rules of honour, politeness, and taboos, correlated to a strong inclination towards allusive speech, including metaphorical expressions.

Beja has a rich and complex morphology, flexional and derivational, both in the nominal and verbal domains. It is a marked nominative language with four nominal cases, two for the verb core arguments, nominative and accusative, and two for noun phrases, genitive and vocative. Pronouns have two additional cases, dative and ablative/locative. Syntactically, Beja is predominantly head-final; the canonical

VixenHyena), the speaker number (SP1) if several, and the number of the intonation unit(s) (63-65). BEJ is short for Beja, and MV for Martine Vanhove.

constituent order is (X)(S)(O)V, and dependent clause — matrix clause. However, constituent order is not particularly rigid and may vary for pragmatic reasons (for details see Vanhove 2017).

The data used for this paper was recorded by the first author in eastern Sudan over a period of ten years, between 2001 and 2011. It mainly consists of 178 traditional narratives, anecdotes and personal accounts, 33 jokes, sixteen procedural texts, fifteen poems, four interviews, two pear stories (Chafe 1980), and one conversation, for a total duration of almost ten hours.³ It is complemented by data extracted from our Beja-English-French dictionary (Vanhove & Hamid Ahmed in prep.). None of them was aimed to collect heart-based metaphors, but they nevertheless surfaced sporadically in all genres, but the procedural texts and pear stories. This means of course that this preliminary study can only provide a partial view of 'heart' metaphors in Beja, and that, at this stage of the research, it is not possible to weigh their relative conceptual and cultural salience compared to other body-part metaphors.

3. Heart metaphors of cognition

3.1. 'Think'

Beja is rich in verbs expressing the concept of THINK, with no less than six synonyms at the base form: fakkar, gijis, sajjas, hi:s, sajj?, and f?. The first three ones are loans from Sudanese Arabic. All native verbs are polysemous, hi:s with 'to believe', sajjas and sajj? with 'to guess', and f? with 'to remember'. In addition to these lexemes, two heart metaphors occurred in the data. The first one illustrates the widely cross-linguistically attested metonymy HEART FOR PERSON, and the metaphor THINKING IS MOTION. In this construction gin?a is the agentive subject of the verb far 'to jump', which has an oblique complement marked by the directional postposition =d/=da 'towards'. Jumping in

³ Part of the data is available online at https://corporan.huma-num.fr/Archives/corpus.php.

association with the heart is also found in ancient Egyptian, but with opposite metaphorical meanings, namely restlessness and lack of commitment, both related to the domain of emotions. They denote "an impulsive and unreasonable attitude, based on emotional impulse instead of rationality." (Chantrain forth.).⁴

- (2) fa:wi kara:j i=mi:-kti=je:=d
 again hyena DEF.M=N.AC-become=GEN.PL=DIR
 i=gin?=i far-a=ji
 DEF.M=heart=POSS.1SG.NOM jump-CVB.MNR=POSS.1SG.ACC
 ki=i-ki
 NEG.IPFV=3SG.M-become\PFV
 - 'I was not thinking of becoming a hyena anymore (lit. Again my heart was not jumping towards becoming a hyena).' (BEJ_MV_NARR_43_hyena_man_123-126)
- (3) winne:t i=ndiwa=hi=d i=gin?=u:
 very DEF.M=family=POSS.3=DIR DEF.M=heart=POSS.3SG.NOM
 far-i:ni
 jump-IPFV.3SG.M
 - 'He thinks about his family a lot (lit. His heart jumps to his family a lot).' (BEJ MV NARR 140 chiefPrison 113)

In the second metaphor, which also belongs to the metonymic type HEART FOR PERSON, it is a perception verb of vision that is used, *law* 'to appear'. So thinking is conceived as a physical experience, precisely thinking is visual perception. Contrary to the first metaphor, the heart is not the syntactic subject, but the oblique directional complement of the perception verb, assuming the semantic role of an experiencer. In (4), the heart metaphor occurs in a complement clause of the speech verb *hadi:d* 'to talk', whose direct object is the feminine dummy noun

⁴ Jumping is also metaphorically used in e.g. Chinese and English, but not in association with the heart, to express positive emotions of happiness, not cognitive meanings (Yu 1995: 74, 78).

na 'thing', itself the head of a relative clause which licenses the feminine agreement on the verb *law*.⁵

(4) tak=ka $\partial \partial t = i=gin?=ji=da$ man=distr er fs def.m=heart=poss.3sg.gen=dir law-tini:=t to:=na hadi:d-i:ni appear-IPFV.3sg.f=Rel.f def.sg.f.Acc=thing talk-IPFV.3sg.M 'Each man, er, says what he thinks (lit. Each man talks the thing that is appearing to his heart).' (BEJ_MV_CONV_01_RICH_SP2_331-332)

3.2. 'Remember'

Beja has no monosemous verb for the concept of REMEMBER, which is expressed with the polysemous verb mentioned in 3.1., /? 'to think; to remember.' Two heart-based metaphors are also used, which both belong to the metonymic type HEART FOR PERSON.

The first one is the same metaphorical construction as the above first THINK metaphor with gin2a 'heart' as the subject of the verb far 'to jump'. Example (5) shows that the use of a possessive pronoun on gin2a is not compulsory.⁶

(5) kara:j-i=d u:=gin?a far-i=ho:b
hyena-GEN=DIR DEF.SG.M.NOM=heart jump-AOR.3SG.M=when
to:t ti=bire:=t=i:b gam-i:ni
PROX.SG.F.ACC DEF.F=Sky=INDF.F=LOC.SG scream-IPFV.3SG.M
'When he remembers the hyena (lit. The heart was jumping towards the hyena), he screams up to the sky.' (BEJ_MV_NARR_40_camel_hyena 060-062)

⁵ For complement clauses based on relative clauses with the dummy head noun, see Vanhove (2017: 176).

 $^{^{\}rm 6}$ Both the THINK and REMEMBER heart-based metaphors can be used with or without a possessive pronoun.

The second heart metaphor for REMEMBER is a spatial one. It involves the verb *sirir* 'to lengthen', which in this case can only occur in a light verb construction with the verb *di* 'to say'. *gin?a* 'heart' is the subject, but the true actor of the remembering event is represented as the direct object, a bound object pronoun in (6). The conceptual metaphor could thus read as follows: REMEMBERING IS LENGTHENING ONE'S HEART TOWARDS AN ENTITY.

(6) ga:l do:r i=kara:j=d u:=gn?a sirir
one time DEF.SG.M=hyena=DIR DEF.SG.M.NOM=heart lengthen
i:-d=he:b
3sg.M-say\AOR=OBJ.1sg

'All of a sudden I remembered the hyena (lit. The heart lengthened me).' (Dictionary)

3.3. 'Forget'

The lexical antonym of 'to remember' is the verb ba:qin 'to forget'.

The forget concept can be expressed with the well-known primary conceptual metaphor of the type Heart is a container. It also illustrates the motion metaphor: forgetting is going out of the heart. It is built with the intransitive middle verb *fir?* 'to get out', what is forgotten is the syntactic subject, and the 'heart' is an oblique experiencer, marked by the possessive bound pronoun in the ablative case.

(7) t=habaj ibitke:=ka i=gin?=isi

DEF.F=poem in_the_middle=DISTR DEF.M=heart=POSS.1SG.ABL

fir?a-ti ti-ni:n

get_out\MID-CVB.GNRL 3SG.F-take\IPFV⁷

'Each time in the middle (of my talk), I forget the poem (lit. The

poem gets out from my heart).' (BEJ_MV_NARR_134_Tokar_47)

 $^{^7}$ The construction with the auxiliary verb meaning 'take' is an emphatic construction, similar to the English construction 'do' + V.

3.4. 'Be aware / know'

In addition to the middle verb *kan* 'to know', Beja has a conventionalized idiom which expresses metaphorically AWARENESS and KNOWLEDGE which includes *gin?a* 'heart'. This body part term, taken as a metonymy for the whole person, is the subject and agent of the transitive verb *miri* 'to find'.⁸ In (8), its direct object is the action noun derived from the verb *?akir* 'to be, become strong' with a possessive bound pronoun in the accusative case. It illustrates the metaphor KNOWING IS ACTION OF THE HEART.

(8) w=?aku:r=o: u:=gn?a

DEF.SG.M=be_strong\N.AC=POSS.3SG.ACC DEF.SG.M.NOM=heart

i-mri

3.SG.M-find\PFV

'He became aware of / knew about the difficulties.' (lit. The heart found its strength) (Dictionary)

3.5. 'Understand'

The verb *tig/dig* whose basic meaning is 'to be, become heavy', also means 'to pause and reflect, to take time to think, to have discriminating intelligence', a meaning also found in the derived adjective *tiga/diga* as in (9).

(9) w=had?a tiga:=b=i

DEF.SG.M=chief heavy=INDF.M=COP.3SG

'The chief has discernment.' (Dictionary)

In a conventionalized idiom, which again illustrates the HEART FOR PERSON metonymy, the adjective is used in an attributive position to

⁸ The colexification of 'to find' with a cognitive meaning, usually 'to think' is attested in many European languages (see e.g. French *trouver* or German *finden*, cf. e.g. Goddard 2003). We are not aware of collocations with 'heart'.

⁹ 'To understand' is an Arabic loan, *afam* (< *fahima*).

gin?a 'heart', which bears an ablative clitic. It either means that someone has difficulties in understanding or is too careful, one more metaphor of the type HEART IS A CONTAINER. The collocation of heart with heaviness is attested in other languages (e.g. Dutch, German, see Foolen 2008: 383, 385), but to the best of our knowledge, for the semantic domain of negative, depressing, and sad emotions.

(10) diga gin?=i:
heavy heart=ABL.SG

'Slow on the uptake / circumspect.' (lit. heavy from heart)
(Dictionary)

3.6. 'Heed, take care of'

Beja has two verbs for the concept of HEEDING, *hilli*, 'to heed, to pay attention' and *ho:j finha* 'to take care of, to heed, to pay attention' (lit. 'to need from it'). A heart-based metaphor is also used. In this case, gin2a 'heart' is the direct object and patient of the action verb d2 'to do'. The whole metaphorical construction obligatorily includes a locative complement, which can be animate or inanimate. This complement is either the 3^{rd} person ablative pronoun (11)–(12), or an NP with the locative postposition =i:b (sg) /=e:b (PL) 'in' (13). The construction illustrates the HEART FOR PERSON metonymy in a spatial construction, and the conceptual metaphor COGNITION IS ACTION WITH A CENTRIFUGAL MOTION.

(11) u:nu = tako:nPROX.SG.M.NOM DEF.SG.M.NOM=man PROX.SG.M.ACC w=harrii-wik=aj i=gin?=o:kDEF.SG.M=sorghum 3sg.M-cut\PFV=CSL DEF.M=heart=POSS.2sg.ACC ho:i d?i-na do-IMP PL 3_{ABL} 'Since this man has harvested this sorghum, pay attention to him! (lit. Do your heart from him!)' (BEJ MV NARR 56 dove 011-024)

(12) i=rab=ijo: han winne:t ho:k DEF.M=belongings=POSS.3SG.GEN also plenty 2sg.dat i=gin ?=o: ba = d2iho:i DEF.M=heart=poss.3sg.acc 3ABL NEG.PROH=do\CVB.SMLT hak hirir-a d?i-ja:=b=uspread-CVB.MNR do-cvb.mnr=indf.m.acc=cop.3sg thus 'He had also scattered his belongings really without taking care of them, like that.' (BEJ MV NARR 37 Abu Fatna 031-034)

(13) taktak=e:b i=gin?=o: i-d?i-na together=LOC.PL DEF.M=heart=POSS.3SG.ACC 3M-do\PFV-PL 'They took care of each other (lit. They did their heart in each other).' (BEJ MV NARR 13 grave 026-029)

The same construction is also fully conventionalized to express that one is paying full attention to an action. It can be translated by 'closely', 'thoroughly', 'with curiosity'. In (14), *d?i* 'to do' is associated with the verb representing the exact action performed by the subject, *fibib* 'to look', while in (15), the perception verb is left unexpressed.

- (14) o:=bu:n jhak-s-ja:n=i:t

 DEF.SG.M.ACC=coffee get_up-CAUS-PFV.3PL=COORD

 kalo:-ja:n=ho:b i=gin?=o:

 roast-PFV.3PL=when DEF.M=heart=POSS.3SG.ACC

 ho:j i-d?i i-fbib

 3ABL 3SG.M-do\PFV 3SG.M-look\PFV

 'They took the coffee, and when they roasted it, he looked with curiosity (lit. He looked he did his heart from it).' (BEJ_MV_JOKE_16_coffee_06-09)
- (15) t=2alba jha:k-s-e:ti:t nangi:l=a:tDEF.F=can get_up-CAUS-CVB.SEQ open\IPFV.3.SG.F=COORD to:=na t=ho:jDEF.SG.F.ACC=thing REL.F=3ABL

```
ti:-fi:=na:=t=i:b
3sg.f-be_there\aor=thing=rel.f=loc.sg
o:=gin?=o: d?-i:ti:t
DEF.sg.M.ACC=heart=poss.3sg.ACC do-cvb.seo
```

'She lifts up the can, and she opens it, and after she has looked thoroughly at what is inside... (lit. She put her heart in what was inside from it)' (BEJ MV NARR 140 chiefPrison 121-125)

4. Heart metaphors of emotions

Heart metaphors of emotions can be positive or negative. ¹⁰ Positive ones are more numerous and are related to PLEASURE, be it COMFORT, HAPPINESS OF EASE, and to COURAGE, but, so far in our data, not to LOVE, as e.g. in English (Kövecses 1986), Basque (Ibarretxe-Antuñano 2008) or French, Russian, and many other languages (e.g. Karandashev 2019). Negative ones concern worry, DESPAIR and PAIN.

4.1. 'Comforting'

Not only cognition is conceived as an action, but in an appropriate context, the spatial heed metaphor presented above (§3.6) can also express a positive emotion of comforting after a negative experience, illustrating the conceptual metaphor emotion is action with a centrifugal motion. One such example occurred in a folktale where a girl is kidnapped by an evil bird. It shows how basic conceptual categories such as cognition and emotion may overlap, even in their metaphorical and syntactic constructions. However there is a semantic distinction between the two conceptual interpretations. Here the ablative pronoun refers to the source of the emotion, while in §3.6 it refers to the experiencer.

¹⁰ See e.g. the online website HUMAINE-EARL for a classification of emotions. See also Chantrain (forth.) for a discussion of the various classifications, and Ponsonnet (2014).

(16) *u:n* haːj hawaːj-i ani a-ndi COM play-FUT 1sg-say\ipfv PROX.SG.M.NOM 1sg.nom i-d?a ho:i a-ndi i=gin ?=iDEF.M=heart=1sg.acc 3_{ABL} FUT.SG-do 1sg-say\ipfy 'I'll play with it (the statue of a cow). It will comfort me (lit. I will do my heart from it).' (BEJ MV NARR 160 owl 133-135)

4.2. 'Entertainment'

The same construction can be used without a spatial reference for the expression of entertainment, being just an EMOTION IS ACTION metaphor. The absence of an ablative marker implies that there was no previous negative experience. When A and P are the same, as in (18), the use of a bound possessive pronoun on gin2a is not required.

- (17) u:=dhaj i=?ara:w=iDEF.SG.M.NOM=people DEF.M=friend=POSS.1SG.ACC i=gin?=o: i:-d?i-n=ho:bDEF.M=heart=POSS.3SG.ACC 3-do\AOR-PL=when handi:=b fu:m-antree=INDF.M.ACC go_in-PFV.1SG

 'When the people were entertaining my friend, I went under a tree (lit. The people were doing my friend their heart).' (BEJ_MV_
- (18) ti=ba:sank i:=t2a gin2a d2u:j-i:=t $do\n.ac-gen=indf.f$ haj2a:=t=i: way=indf.f=abl.sg

NARR 43 hyena man 114-117)

'He was playing the lyre as a way to entertain himself (lit. From a way of doing heart).' (BEJ_MV_NARR_60_rebab_028-030)

4.3. 'Happiness'

For the expression of happiness, gin2a 'heart' is used as the direct object and patient of the gustatory verb midid 'to lick', implying a metaphor of the type HAPPINESS IS TASTING ONE'S HEART, and once more the HEART FOR PERSON metonymy.¹¹

(19) t=?i:ba:b o:=gin?=i ti-mdid

DEF.F=travel DEF.SG.M.ACC=heart=POSS.1SG.ACC 3sG.F-lick\PFV

'The travel made me very happy (lit. The travel licked my heart).'

(Dictionary)

4.4. 'Ease'

Another positive emotion expressed with the heart is ease and relaxation. It involves the tactile verb tha 'to touch', of which gin2a is the direct object, and the noun he:r 'good' with the ablative clitic. It illustrates a subtype of the conceptual metaphor EMOTION IS PHYSICAL EXPERIENCE, namely EASE IS TACTILE PERCEPTION.

(20) ani to:=na:=t-i=dato:=na1sg.nom def.sg.f.acc=thing def.sg.f.acc=thing=indf.f-gen=dir *j?-a=jo:k* come-cvb.mnr=poss.2sg.acc ka=a-ki=ho:k-aNEG.IPFV=1SG-become\PFV=OBJ.2SG-ADRE.M i=gin ?=o:kw=he:r=i: DEF.M=heart=poss.2sg.acc DEF.SG.M=good=ABL.SG tha-a touch-IMP.SG.M 'I did not come to you about that thing, feel at ease!' (BEJ MV NARR 08 drunkard 121-123)

¹¹ Se lécher les lèvres/les babines d'avance (lit. 'to lick one's lips/chops before-hand') in French, also means 'to be happy', but makes use of another body part.

4.5. 'Pleasure'

In a conventionalized idiom, the heart is conceived as strong (*?akra*). It is also associated with pleasure, as well as determination, corresponding to the metaphor PLEASURE IS A STRONG HEART.

- (21) *j?-i:n=ho:k ni-ja:d ?akr-a*come-fut.pl=obj.2sg 1pl-say\lpfv be_strong-cvb.mnr *gin?=i: dh=o:n bisir-a*heart=abl.sg DIR=poss.1pl.acc prepare-imp.sg.m
 'We'll come to you by all means! Be prepared for us!' (BEJ_MV_NARR_57_Ababda_018-020)
- (22) adara-na ?akr-a gin?=i:
 dance-PFV.1PL be_strong-CVB.MNR heart=ABL.SG
 'We danced with all our hearts.' (BEJ_MV_NARR_57_Ababda_231-233)

4.6. 'Courage'

In European languages, the heart is often used in "conventional expressions to symbolize courage and state of mind" since courage was placed in the heart, especially in the Middle Ages (Gutiérrez Pérez 2008: 36–37). This is also the case in Beja, where the HEART AS A CONTAINER metaphor applies, but in a negative locative construction: $mirk^wa:j$ 'fearing' is the subject of the locative-existential verb da 'to be there' marked for the negative polarity; gin 2a 'heart' is marked as an oblique locative complement with the locative postposition.

(23) mi-rkwa:j ho: ka=da-ja nu:n

N.AC-fear 1sg.dat Neg.ipfv=be_there-pfv.3sg.m only

i=gin?=i:b

DEF.M=heart=Loc.sg

'[Is it because I am passing near tombs, that I get the creeps?' I ask myself. I don't know what's happening to me, I move forward,] I just become courageous (lit. There is no fear in the heart to me).' (BEJ_MV_NARR_05_eritrea_220-226)

4.7. 'Worry'

Worry is one of the three heart-based metaphors for negative emotions found in the data. *gin?a* is used in an equational construction with the copula, as the dependent of a genitive phrase with the word for 'pain' as the head. It corresponds to a well attested conceptual metaphor EMOTION IS PHYSICAL STATE. Thus worry is conceived as a physical suffering, i.e. worry is suffering of the heart, and sickness is the source domain. A similar metaphor occurs e.g. in Dutch (Foolen 2008: 382), but in ancient Egyptian suffering of the heart is linked to another negative emotion, SADNESS (Chantrain forth.).

(24) *i=mana gin?-i:=t lhanaj=t=a*DEF.M=bowels heart-GEN=INDF.F pain=INDF.F=COP.3PL

'The offsprings (lit. bowels) are a pain of the heart (i.e. they bring worries).' (BEJ MV POEM 05 family 25)

4.8. 'Despair'

In the second negative metaphor, gin2a is the experiencer subject of the cognitive verb gam 'to ignore'. This metaphorical construction expresses despair, bewilderment and anxiety. It corresponds to the EMOTION IS IGNORANCE metaphor, in this particular case ANXIETY IS IGNORANCE. A similar metaphor in ancient Egyptian means 'to act foolishly' (Chantrain forth.), a possible consequence of despair.

(25) *u:n*PROX.SG.M.NOM DEF.SG.M.NOM=man be_poor\N.AC=ABL.SG *u:n*PROX.SG.M.NOM DEF.SG.M.NOM=owner *u:=gn?a*DEF.SG.M.NOM=heart ignore\MID-CVB.MNR=INDF.M.ACC=COP.3SG

'This man himself, because of poverty, he was desperate (lit. The heart was ignoring).' (BEJ_MV_NARR_26_poor_002-006)

4.9. 'Pain'

The last metaphor for a negative emotion concerns bad memories. It is used in a conventionalized idiom, the genitive noun phrase gin2-i:=t hankar 'painful memory', which literally means 'heart's movement', exemplifying the metaphor PAIN IS MOTION OF THE HEART. Emotion is indeed often associated to a lack of quietness, see e.g. the articles in Tersis & Boyeldieu (eds.) (2017), and Chantrain (forth.).

5. Conclusion

Beja heart-based metaphors illustrate one more case of a language where this organ is conceived as the locus for both COGNITION and EMOTION, alongside e.g. Chinese (Yu 2007, 2008), Northeastern Neo-Aramaic (Wolk 2008), or Ancient Egyptian (Chantrain p.c.), but, as far as we know, not in many other languages of Africa. However, most of the studies we were able to consult were dedicated to the domain of EMOTION, not to COGNITION, and the African scarcity may be only due to an artefact of an oriented research. Not surprisingly Beja makes use of the widespread metonymy HEART FOR PERSON (for all cognitive metaphors but forget, and for one emotion, happiness), and the wellknown metaphors HEART IS A CONTAINER (understand, forget, courage), COGNITION IS MOTION (think, remember, understand), COGNITION IS PERCEPTION (think), COGNITION IS ACTION (be aware, know, heed), EMOTION IS MOTION (pain), EMOTION IS PERCEPTION and EMOTION IS PHYSICAL EXPERIENCE (ease, happiness), EMOTION IS ACTION (comfort, entertainment), EMOTION IS STRENGTH (pleasure), EMOTION IS PHYSICAL STATE (WOTTY), EMOTION IS IGNORANCE (despair). Nevertheless Beja displays a number of peculiarities in the choice of the collocations with the heart including in relation with the target semantic domains of the metaphors. For instance, as already mentioned, if 'jump' is also used as a collocation of 'heart' in ancient Egyptian, it is not in the domain of cognition as in Beja, but in the domain of emotions. As Gaëlle Chantrain suggested us (p.c.), the "jumping heart" in Beja is jumping toward something;

thoughts are going to a specific direction, toward a specific target. The jumping of the heart is a target-oriented centrifugal motion. Conversely, the "jumping heart" in Egyptian is jumping out the body but with no specific target. It is a source oriented centrifugal motion. The important point in the latter case is that the heart is not in the body anymore, meaning that basically one is out of one's mind.

What remains to be studied, in order to weigh the exact cultural salience of heart metaphors in the Beja society, are the metaphors based on other body parts terms, and a survey based on more data and elicitation techniques.

Пусть это небольшое исследование принесет Константину все возможные положительные эмоции!

Acknowledgments

The gratitude of the first author goes to all her Beja consultants, in particular to Ahmed Abdallah Mohamed-Tahir and his family at Sinkat, and to Gaëlle Chantrain for fruitful discussions, her helpful insight and suggestions. We also thank the two anonymous reviewers and the editors for their comments and suggestions. This research benefited from public funding from the CNRS research unit LLACAN, and was also partially supported by a public grant overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (reference: ANR-10-LABX-0083). It contributes to the IdEx Université de Paris — ANR-18-IDEX-0001.

Abbreviations

ABL – ablative	DISTR – distributive	N.AC – action noun
ACC – accusative	F – feminine	NEG – negative
ADRE - addressee	FUT — future	NOM – nominative
AOR – aorist	GEN – genitive	ов – object
caus – causative	GNRL – general	PFV – perfective
COM – comitative	IMP – imperative	PL – plural
COORD – coordination	INDF – indefinite	POSS – possessive

COP – copula	IPFV – imperfective	ргон – prohibitive
csl – causal	∟ – linker	PROX – proximal
cvb – converb	LOC – locative	REL – relator
DAT – dative	м – masculine	seq – sequential
DEF – definite	мір – middle	sg – singular
DIR – directional	MNR – manner	SMLT – simultaneity

References

- Ameka, Felix. 2002. Cultural scripting of body parts for emotions: On 'jealousy' and related emotions in Ewe. *Pragmatics and Cognition* 10. 27–55. (Special issue *The body in description of emotion* ed. By Enfield, Nick J. and Anna Wierzbicka).
- Chafe, Wallace (ed.). 1980. The pear stories: Cognitive, cultural, and linguistic aspects of narrative production. Norwood, New Jersey: Ablex.
- Chantrain, Gaëlle. Forthcoming. Sadness, anxiety and other broken hearts: The expression of negative emotions in Ancient Egyptian. In Uljas, Sami and Andreas Dorn (eds.), *Proceedings of the Crossroads VI: Between Egyptian Linguistics and Philology, January 20–22, 2020.* Uppsala: Uppsala Studies in Egyptology.
- Dimmendaal, Gerrit J. 2002. Colourful psi's sleep furiously. *Pragmatics and Cognition* 10. 57–83. (Special issue *The body in description of emotion* ed. by Enfield, Nick J. & Wierzbicka, Anna).
- Fédry, Jacques. 1976. L'expérience du corps comme structure du langage. Essai sur la langue sàr (Tchad). *L'Homme* 16(1). 65–107.
- Foolen, Ad. 2008. The heart as a source of semiosis: The case of Dutch. In Sharifian, Farzad, René Dirven, Ning Yu, and Susanne Niemeier (eds.), *Culture, body, and language: Conceptualizations of internal body organs across cultures and languages*, 373–394. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Geeraerts, Dirk and Grondelaers, Stefan. 1995. Cultural traditions and metaphorical patterns. In Taylor, John and Robert E. McLaury (eds.), *Language and the cognitive construal of the world*. 153–179. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Gibbs, Raymond W. 1994. *The poetics of mind: Figurative thought, language and understanding*. Cambridge New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Gibbs, Raymond W. 2006. Metaphor interpretation as embodied simulation. *Mind and Language* 21. 434–458.
- Gibbs, Raymond W., Paula Lenz, Costa Lima, and Edson Francozo. 2004. Metaphor is grounded in embodied experience. *Journal of Pragmatics* 36(7). 1189–1210. (Special issue *Metaphor*.)
- Goddard, Cliff. 2003. Thinking across languages and cultures: Six dimensions of variation. *Cognitive Linguistics* 14(2/3). 109–140.
- Grady, Joseph. 2005. Primary metaphors as inputs to conceptual integration. *Journal of Pragmatics* 37. 1595–1614.
- Gutiérrez Pérez, Regina. 2008. A cross-cultural analysis of heart metaphors. *Revista Alicantina de Estudios Ingleses* 21. 25–56.
- Holland, Dorothy and Quinn, Naomi. 1987. *Cultural models in language and thought*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- HUMAINE-EARL. Available online: https://pdfcoffee.com/qdownload/humaine-emotion-annotation-and-representation-language-earl-proposal-emotion-research-pdf-free.html.
- Ibarretxe-Antuñano, Iraide. 2008. Guts, heart and liver: The conceptualization of internal organs in Basque. In Sharifian, Farzad, René Dirven, Ning Yu, and Susanne Niemeier (eds.), *Culture, body and language: Conceptualizations of internal body organs across cultures and languages*, 103–128. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Karandashev, Victor. 2019. *Cross-cultural perspectives on the experience and expression of love*. Cham: Springer.
- Kövecses, Zoltán. 1986. *Metaphors of anger, pride and love. A lexical approach to the structure of concepts*. Amsterdam Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Kövecses, Zoltán, 2000. *Metaphor and emotion: Language, culture and body in human feeling*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kövecses, Zoltán. 2005. *Metaphor in culture: Universality and variation*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lakoff, George. 1987. Women, fire, and dangerous things: What categories reveal about the mind. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lakoff, George. 1993. The contemporary theory of metaphor. In Ortony, Andrew (ed.), *Metaphor and thought*, 202–251. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Lakoff, George and Mark Johnson. 1999. *Philosophy in the flesh: The embodied mind and its challenge to Western thought*. New York: Basic Books.
- Perekhvalskaya, Elena. 2008. Body parts and their metaphoric meanings in Mwan and other South-Mande languages. *Mandenkan* 44. 53–62.
- Ponsonnet, Maïa. 2014. *The language of emotions: The case of Dalabon (Australia)*. Amsterdam Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Reh, Mechthild, 1998. The language of emotions: An analysis of Dholuo on the basis of Grace Ogot's novel Miaha. In Athanasiadou, Angeliki & Tabakowska, Elzbieta (eds.), Speaking of emotions: Conceptualisation and expression. 375–408. Berlin New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Roulon-Doko, Paulette. 2021. Parties du corps et métaphores. In Leblic, Isabelle & Masquelier, Bertrand (eds.), *Énonciation métaphorique et iconicité en contexte*, 133–149. Villejuif: LACITO-Publications.
- Sharifian, Farzad & Dirven, René & Yu, Ning & Niemeier, Susanne (eds.). 2008. *Culture, body and language: Conceptualizations of internal body organs across cultures and languages*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Taylor, John & Mbense, Thandi G. 1998. Red dogs and rotten mealies: How Zulus talk about anger. In Athanasiadou, Angeliki and Elzbieta Tabakowska (eds.), Speaking of emotions: Conceptualisation and expression. 191–226. Berlin New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Tersis, Nicole and Pascal Boyeldieu (eds.). 2017. *Le langage de l'émotion: Variations linguistiques et culturelles*. Leuven Paris Bristol: Peeters.
- Vanhove, Martine. 2017. Le Bedja. Leuven Paris: Peeters.
- Vanhove, Martine & Hamid Ahmed, Mohamed-Tahir. In prep. *Beja-English-French dictionary*. Draft version available on line at https://corporan.huma-num.fr.
- Wierzbicka, Anna. 1999. *Emotions across languages and cultures: Diversity and universals*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wnuk, Ewelina and Ito Yuma. 2021. The heart's downward path to happiness: Cross-cultural diversity in spatial metaphors of affect. *Cognitive Linguistics* 32(2). 195–218.
- Wolk, Daniel P. 2008. Expressions concerning the 'heart' (*libbā*) in Northeastern Neo-Aramaic in relation to a Classical Syriac model of the temperaments. In Sharifian, Farzad, René Dirven, Ning Yu, and Susanne

- Niemeier (eds.), *Culture, body, and language: Conceptualizations of internal body organs across cultures and languages*, 267–317. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Yu, Ning. 1995. Metaphorical expressions of anger and happiness in English and Chinese. *Metaphor and Symbolic Activity* 10(2). 59–92.
- Yu, Ning. 1998. *The contemporary theory of metaphor. A perspective from Chinese*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Yu, Ning. 2003. Metaphor, body, and culture: The Chinese understanding of gallbladder and courage. *Metaphor and Symbol* 18(1). 13–31.
- Yu, Ning. 2007. Heart and cognition in ancient Chinese philosophy. *Journal of Cognition and Culture* 7. 27–47.
- Yu, Ning. 2008. Metaphor from body and culture. In Gibbs, Raymond W. (ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of metaphor and thought*, 247–261. New York: Cambridge University Press.