



**HAL**  
open science

# Operative tools for BIM in archaeology: libraries of archaeological parametric IFC objects

Laura Carpentiero, Dora d'Auria

► **To cite this version:**

Laura Carpentiero, Dora d'Auria. Operative tools for BIM in archaeology: libraries of archaeological parametric IFC objects. *Archeologia e Calcolatori*, 2023, 34.1, pp.69-76. 10.19282/ac.34.1.2023.08 . halshs-03983859


**HAL Id: halshs-03983859**

**<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03983859>**

Submitted on 3 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

34.1

2023

*All'Insegna del Giglio*

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI



CNR – DIPARTIMENTO SCIENZE UMANE E SOCIALI, PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

ISTITUTO DI SCIENZE DEL PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

Rivista annuale open access e peer reviewed  
fondata da Mauro Cristofani e Riccardo Francovich  
già diretta da Paola Moscati (1990-2022)

Comitato Scientifico: Paola Moscati (coordinatore), Giovanni Azzena, John Boardman, Robin B. Boast, Francisco Burillo Mozota, Alessandra Caravale, Christopher Carr, Martin O.H. Carver, Francesco D'Andria, François Djindjian, James E. Doran, Virginie Fromageot-Laniepce, Salvatore Garraffo, Filippo Giudice, Antonio Gottarelli, Maria Pia Guermandi, Anne-Marie Guimier-Sorbets, Ian Hodder, F. Roy Hodson, Stephen Kay, Donna C. Kurtz, Adriano Maggiani, Daniele Manacorda, Costanza Miliani, Tito Orlandi, Clive R. Orton, Maria Cecilia Parra, Alessandra Piergrossi, Xavier Rodier, Francesco Roncalli, Grazia Semeraro, Paolo Sommella, Gianluca Tagliamonte, Marco Valenti

Direttore responsabile: Alessandra Caravale

Redazione: Claudio Barchesi, Francesca Buscemi, Letizia Ceccarelli, Sara Di Marcello, Irene Rossi

Policy and Guidelines: <http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/pages/guidelines.php>

Autorizzazione del presidente del Tribunale di Firenze n. 3894 del 6/11/1989

Indirizzo Redazione: Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori», CNR – ISPC, Area della Ricerca di Roma 1, Via Salaria Km 29,300, 00015 Monterotondo Stazione (RM)  
Tel. +39.06.90672670 – Fax +39.06.90672818  
E-mail: [redazioneac.ispc@ispc.cnr.it](mailto:redazioneac.ispc@ispc.cnr.it)  
<http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/>

Edizione e distribuzione: Edizioni ALL'INSEGNA DEL GIGLIO s.a.s.,  
Via Arrigo Boito 50-52, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI)  
Tel. +39.055.6142675  
E-mail: [redazione@insegnadelgiglio.it](mailto:redazione@insegnadelgiglio.it) – [ordini@insegnadelgiglio.it](mailto:ordini@insegnadelgiglio.it)  
<https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/>

# ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

34.1

2023

*All'Insegna del Giglio*

Realizzazione grafica della sovracoperta di Marcello Bellisario  
Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori» (ISSN 1120-6861, e-ISSN 2385-1953)  
ISBN 978-88-9285-204-4, e-ISBN 978-88-9285-205-1  
© 2023 – All’Insegna del Giglio s.a.s. – [www.insegnadelgiglio.it](http://www.insegnadelgiglio.it)  
Sesto Fiorentino (FI), luglio 2023  
Stampa, MDF print

Abbonamento 2023: 2 volumi, 34.1 e 34.2, € 60,00.  
Spedizione: Italia, gratuita; estero, a carico del destinatario.  
<https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/categoria-prodotto/abbonamenti/>

## INDICE

ARCHEOFOSS 2022. PROCEEDINGS OF THE 16<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON OPEN SOFTWARE, HARDWARE, PROCESSES, DATA AND FORMATS IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH (ROME, 22-23 SEPTEMBER 2022), edited by JULIAN BOGDANI, STEFANO COSTA

JULIAN BOGDANI, STEFANO COSTA, <i>Introduction</i>	11
STEFANO COSTA, <i>The Harris Matrix Data Package specification and the new init command of the Python hmdp tool</i>	15
EMANUEL DEMETRESCU, CRISTINA GONZALEZ-ESTEBAN, FILIPPO SALA, <i>EMdb: yet another db for the stratigraphic record</i>	21
ANNALISA D'ONOFRIO, MARIA RAFFAELLA CIUCCARELLI, <i>Il contesto urbano del teatro romano e l'area dell'ex Filanda Bosone a Fano (PU)</i>	31
MARCO MODERATO, VASCO LA SALVIA, <i>pyArchInit at Castelseprio: progressive adoption of an integrated managing system for archaeological field data</i>	39
GUIDO ANTINORI, MARCO RAMAZZOTTI, FRANCESCO GENCHI, <i>MASPAG &amp; pyArchInit, the newborn collaboration of Sapienza and adArte in the Sultanate of Oman</i>	49
ELEONORA MINUCCI, ANGELA BOSCO, DANIELE DE LUCA, <i>Virtual RTI application on 3D model for documentation of ancient graffiti: proposal of a methodology for complex archaeological sites</i>	59
LAURA CARPENTIERO, DORA D'AURIA, <i>Operative tools for BIM in archaeology: libraries of archaeological parametric IFC objects</i>	69
FEDERICA RINALDI, ALESSANDRO LUGARI, FRANCESCA SPOSITO, ASCANIO D'ANDREA, <i>Archeology and conservation. Digital tools as digital bridges between disciplines: the risk map of the in situ mosaic and marble floor surfaces of the Parco Archeologico del Colosseo</i>	77
SIMON HOHL, THOMAS KLEINKE, FABIAN RIEBSCHLÄGER, JULIANE WATSON, <i>iDAI.field: developing software for the documentation of archaeological fieldwork</i>	85
ELEONORA IACOPINI, <i>Punto Zero, una nuova web application per la gestione e l'informatizzazione dei dati di archivio. Il caso di Ancona</i>	95
FLORIAN THIERY, PETER THIERY, <i>Linked Open Ogham. How to publish and interlink various Ogham Data?</i>	105
GAËLLE COQUEUGNIOT, VIRGINIE FROMAGEOT-LANIEPCE, <i>On the road to open access: insights from French antiquity journals and databases</i>	115

ALAIN QUEFFELEC, BRUNO MAUREILLE, MARTA ARZARELLO, RUTH BLASCO, OTIS CRANDELL, LUC DOYON, SIÂN HALCROW, EMMA KAROUNE, AITOR RUIZ-REDONDO, PHILIP VAN PEER, <i>Peer Community In Archaeology: a community-driven free and transparent system for preprints peer-reviewing</i>	125
NICOLÒ PARACIANI, IRENE ROSSI, <i>IADI: an open Interactive Atlas of Digital Images for the journal «Archeologia e Calcolatori»</i>	135
FRANCESCA BUSCEMI, MARIANNA FIGUERA, GIOVANNI GALLO, ANGELICA LO DUCA, ANDREA MARCHETTI, <i>Sharing structured archaeological 3D data: open source tools for artificial intelligence applications and collaborative frameworks</i>	145
FLORIAN THIERY, ALLARD W. MEES, JOHN BRADY KIESLING, <i>Challenges in research community building: integrating Terra Sigillata (Samian) research into the Wikidata community</i>	157
MARIFLORA CARUSO, PAOLA LA TORRE, ROBERTA MANZOLLINO, <i>La valorizzazione dei musei locali attraverso Wikipedia: il progetto MedAniene</i>	165
MARIA CARINA DENG, <i>The road (not) taken. Reconstructing pre-modern roads in Viabundus. Methods and opportunities</i>	175
GABRIELE CICCONE, <i>From the Itinerarium Antonini and al-Idrisi to the movecost plugin: road network analysis in the Castronovo di Sicilia area</i>	183
PAOLO ROSATI, <i>“ArchaeoloGIS” a QGIS plugin for archaeological spatial analysis</i>	193
JULIAN BOGDANI, DOMIZIA D’ERASMO, <i>Backward engineering historical maps: the update of the open hydrography dataset of Napoleonic cartography</i>	201
 MODELLING THE LANDSCAPE. FROM PREDICTION TO POSTDICTION. PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL SESSION AT 7 <sup>TH</sup> LANDSCAPE ARCHAEOLOGY CONFERENCE (IAȘI, 10-15 SEPTEMBER 2022), edited by CARLO CITTEr, AGOSTINO SOTGIA	
AGOSTINO SOTGIA, CARLO CITTEr, <i>Modelling the landscape. From prediction to postdiction</i>	213
ANOOSHE KAFASH, MASOUD YOUSEFI, ELHAM GHASIDIAN, SAMAN HEYDARI- GURAN, <i>Reconstruction of Epipaleolithic settlement and “climatic refugia” in the Zagros Mountains during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM)</i>	217
GIACOMO BILOTTI, <i>Balancing between biases and interpretation. A predictive model of prehistoric Scania, Sweden</i>	225
GIOVANNA PIZZIOLo, <i>From legacy data to survey planning? The relationship between landscape and waterscape in Southern Tuscany during the Upper Palaeolithic: towards a predictive-postdictive approach</i>	237

SANDRO CARACAUSI, SARA DAFFARA, GABRIELE L.F. BERRUTI, EUGENIO GAROGLIO, MARTA ARZARELLO, FRANCESCO RUBAT BOREL, <i>Lo studio di siti archeologici di alta quota: metodologia e risultati del modello predittivo in ambiente GIS applicato nelle Valli di Lanzo (Piemonte, Italia)</i>	247
LAURA BURIGANA, <i>Food, distance and power. Modeling a multi-factor proto- historic landscape in the Po plain</i>	257
AGOSTINO SOTGIA, <i>A predictive model to investigate the agro-pastoral exploitation of ancient landscapes</i>	267
MARCO CABRAS, CRISTINA CONCU, PAOLO FRONGIA, RICCARDO CICILLONI, <i>Testare sul campo la Least Cost Path Analysis: riflessioni intorno ai paesaggi dell'età del Bronzo della Sardegna centro-meridionale (Italia)</i>	279
ANDRÁS BÖDŐCS, <i>Roman land use and its impact on the Pannonian landscape</i>	289
VINCENZO RIA, RAFFAELE RIZZO, <i>The Roman limes in Germania Inferior: a GIS application for the reconstruction of landscape</i>	299
PEDRO TRAPERO FERNÁNDEZ, <i>Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania</i>	311
CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, <i>Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach</i>	321
ANGELO CARDONE, <i>Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts</i>	329
CHIARA MASCARELLO, <i>How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy</i>	341



ARCHEOFOSS 2022  
PROCEEDINGS OF THE 16<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL  
CONFERENCE ON OPEN SOFTWARE, HARDWARE,  
PROCESSES, DATA AND FORMATS  
IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH  
(ROME, 22-23 SEPTEMBER 2022)

edited by  
Julian Bogdani, Stefano Costa

## OPERATIVE TOOLS FOR BIM IN ARCHAEOLOGY: LIBRARIES OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL PARAMETRIC IFC OBJECTS

The creation of 3D models for Cultural Heritage has now become a common practice for the study and enhancement of works and contexts. Today, the progress of technologies applied to the survey and management of 3D data allows us to obtain high-resolution virtual replicas, in a short time and at a low cost. Within our contribution, we present the application of a BIM methodology to the management of archaeological contexts. BIM represents today one of the innovative technologies that allows to manage not only 3D models of existing objects, but also and above all, to connect a large amount of information of different nature to those models. All this data allow us to develop research, analysis and new surveys, because they are part of a real, complete data platform.

BIM was born as a method of designing infrastructures. Today, largely widespread in professional realities, it has become a common practice for engineers and architects. With BIM design, which takes place through a specific software, it is possible to create a 3D model of the building to be built, by using for each part of it, the so-called parametric objects. Parametric objects are three-dimensional models of structural, architectural and engineered system elements that are part of the existing or the planned building. They are defined as parametric because they have a large amount of information inside. This information concerns their geometrical shape, their morphology and the material and technique with which they were built; all the data inserted inside the parametric objects can be queried and form the basis for subsequent scientific analysis. Through a BIM, it is possible to create a real management system for the construction of an asset, a public work or a building, because it provides a platform for monitoring all its phases of life and a tool to control its management and maintenance activities (Fig. 1).

### 1. BIM AND CULTURAL HERITAGE

Over the last few years, especially in Italy, BIM applications have shifted to Cultural Heritage and have been adapted to historic buildings. This created the basis to develop analytic “Scan to BIM” processes, stressing on the need to obtain high-resolution and geometrically reliable surveys for historic buildings. HBIM, Heritage or Historic BIM, represents a consolidated reality within the scientific debate that focused, above all, on the detailed modelling of particularly complex elements part of the historical architecture, trying to create BIM models as close as possible to the existing reality (MURPHY *et al.* 2013, 89-102).

In the last ten years, BIM made its way through the international archaeological debate, raising curiosity, questions and new challenges. The greatest




Fig. 1 – Parametric modelling of an architectural element complete with texture. On the right, an image of the Properties Sheet with the specification of construction information.

potentiality of the use of BIM methodology in the archaeological field lies in the chance to obtain a three-dimensional reality-based model of the asset. Furthermore, the asset itself gets enriched with a large amount of information, becoming a real database. The data storage system within a BIM model provides a unified database for the design of maintenance and enhancement activities and to plan subsequent analysis. Moreover, it allows the creation of virtual reconstructions on the basis of the real geometric data of the archaeological evidence. Several teams have used BIM to generate three-dimensional models of archaeological sites. Examples are provided by the reconstructions of the Temple of Uni in Marzabotto and of the Solar Temple of Niuserra in Egypt. In the first case, the rules of Vitruvius' *De Architectura* have been used as a reference to recreate in BIM the temple's elevation (GARAGNANI *et al.* 2016, 251-270; GAUCCI-GARAGNANI 2020, 181-188); in the second, measurements of the building material scattered in the site were used to propose the reconstruction (BOSCO *et al.* 2018, 377-388).

For BIM or HBIM the major difficulty is recreating the variety of evidence present within an archaeological site. A BIM model indeed is based on the interaction of individual parametric objects: they create the model itself and allow the representation of the reality of the archaeological context. The project we present here proposes the creation of parametric BIM objects that can better represent the archaeological reality in all its complexity (CARPENTIERO 2018, 1-21; 2020, 69-86).

For object modelling, Autodesk Revit software has been chosen. Revit is organised in order to have a hierarchical subdivision of the parametric objects. This routine is closer, than it might seem, to the archaeological classifying process,

because the ancient evidence is subdivided likewise by archeologists: in typology and building techniques. This subdivision is organised by Discipline and analytical Categories. For Discipline, we can identify three main topics: architecture, structure and systems. As to the analytical Categories, we have three: Family, Type and Instance. Each parametric object is identified by the categories it belongs to; for each Family of objects, numerous variations of Type are created, based on the variations of the object parameters. For example, in the Revit classification process, a Wall object would belong to the “Walls Family”. Then, regarding the Type, there could be many different types of this family, based on different parameters like the geometrical shape changes and the construction technique.

## 2. A SEMANTIC LIBRARY’S MODEL FOR ROMAN DOMESTIC ARCHITECTURE

In order to implement on a scientific basis the BIM methodology and make easier and faster the modelling of archaeological structures in a software created for modern architecture, a model of semantic library has been created. This model is very useful because the BIM archaeological objects it contains can be reproduced, and possibly modified, for other projects. The site of Pompeii has been chosen to be the reference context for the creation of this library. Considering its extraordinary state of conservation and the wide variety of architectural types it offers, Pompeii constitutes an essential point of reference for research concerning Roman architecture of the Republican and early-Imperial age. Later, in a second phase of research, the variety of types identified at Pompeii will be developed further and completed by using data coming from another site destroyed by the Vesuvian eruption in 79 CE, Herculaneum, where different destructive dynamics permitted the preservation of evidence that is no more recordable in Pompeii.

The Vesuvian context is therefore a valid field of experimentation to evaluate how to transform a BIM information system into a tool that can effectively support archaeological research, especially in the phases of data organisation and interpretation. These reasons led to the development of a research project whose aim is to adapt potentialities of BIM to the archaeological context, through the analysis of evidence discovered in Vesuvian sites. This goal will be achieved in two ways: on one hand, by perfecting the modelling phases through a case-study chosen in the domestic architecture of Pompeii (houses I 16, 5, 6 and 7<sup>1</sup>); on the other hand, by creating a semantic library model, exportable and including a range of parametric objects so wide and varied that it can correspond to the entire set of elements that would be part of a Roman building’s BIM model. Here, the creation of the semantic library will be discussed.

<sup>1</sup> These houses are studied in the scope of a research program dedicated to the *atrium testudinatum* house of Pompeii “Modes d’habiter à Pompéi à l’époque républicaine”, supported by Centre Jean Bérard, University Paris Nanterre and University of Naples L’Orientale: D’AURIA, BALLETT 2020.

Discipline	Family	Function	Morphology	Building technique	Materials
Structural	Wall	Outer wall – Front wall	With parallel sides	<i>Opus quadratum</i>	Sarno limestone
			With non-parallel sides	<i>Opus africanum</i>	Lava
		Outer wall – side wall	Irregular	<i>opus incertum</i>	Nocera grey tuff
			Buttressed	<i>Opus incertum</i>	Napolitan yellow tuff
		Dividing wall	With niches	<i>Opus incertum</i> with	Foam lava
		Retaining wall	With half-columns	rows of bricks	<i>Pappamonte</i>
		Cellar wall	Mixed lines	<i>Opus testaceum</i>	Baked bricks
		Enclosure wall		<i>Opus reticulatum</i>	Cut tiles
		Partition wall		<i>Opus quasi reticulatum</i>	Mud bricks
				<i>Opus mixtum</i>	Clay
		<i>Opus mixtum</i>		Wood	
		<i>Opus vittatum</i>			
		<i>Opus vittatum mixtum</i>			
<i>Opus craticium</i>					
<i>Opus formaceum</i>					
<i>Opus latericium</i>					

Tab. 1 – Creation of the archaeological BIM object: wall element’s declension, based on the analytical Categories of Revit software.

At the origin of the creation of the semantic library model, there is a repertoire of architectonic and structural elements, as well as of hydraulic and thermal systems elements, of which a Pompeian house could be made of. This repertoire has been developed by both a bibliographic<sup>2</sup> and a field survey, the aim being to gather all the possible variants of the elements. To identify these variants, the entire set of their features has been taken into account, as the variation of one of them can be regarded as a discriminating factor for the type identification. Therefore, for each parametric family of objects, the architectonic function, the morphology and the composition of each element have been analysed. For example, in the case of the “wall family”, that makes part of structural objects, the different functions of the element inside the building have, at first, been identified (Tab. 1). A wall, indeed, can be an outer wall, a dividing wall, a retaining wall or a cellar wall and have therefore a load-bearing function; or it can be a partition or an enclosure wall and have no load-bearing function. Then, its shape in plan has been considered and finally, its composition, identifying materials and building techniques employed for its construction. These latter have been indicated by using the traditional nomenclature of pompeianist studies. However, to get an easier identification of building techniques and of library’s types, it has been considered worthwhile to guarantee an unambiguous

<sup>2</sup> Useful are the analysis and categorization of ancient buildings’ architectural elements, based on the different steps of the construction process, provided by GINOUVÈS, MARTIN 1985; GIULIANI 1990; GINOUVÈS 1992. More recently, researches of ACoR program resorted to this sort of approach, see CAMPOREALE *et al.* forthcoming.




Fig. 2 – Insertion of information elements related to parametric modelling.

identification of data, by linking them to standard systems like Art and Architecture Thesaurus (<https://www.getty.edu/research/tools/vocabularies/aat/>) or Thésaurus PACTOLS (<https://pactols.frantiq.fr/>).

Based on the Pompeian archaeological evidence, in addition to the library of archaeological parametric objects, we created a library of building techniques specific to the Pompeian context, to be applied to individual objects (Fig. 2). Each element modelled in BIM is accompanied by its own construction technique, which is made browsable in the model by reading the internal stratigraphy of the elements. Each building technique is organised in Material tabs that group together the description of the technique, the pattern used for the texturing of the elements and the graphic appearance (line and fill style) that the material assumes within the \*.dwg tables that can be extracted from the model. In order to obtain an excellent graphic rendering of the parametric objects modelled in BIM, orthophotos, obtained from photogrammetric surveys, were used as a texture for the external facings of the walls.

Correlation of data to the semantic library's objects is possible thanks to abacus. These are tabular structures that, in the scope of this project, have been enriched by a series of specific items, transforming them into a useful tool for archaeological analysis. This operation allows the adaptation of BIM to the archaeologist's needs and its transformation in an information system suitable to the management of data coming from archaeological investigations. In the case of a wall, for example, it is possible to fill the abacus with records concerning different topics (Fig. 3). In our work the following elements




Fig. 3 – *Abacus* with entries dedicated to the specific archaeological evidence. All the items entered in the model can be queried and allow the definition of future analyses on the building (courtesy of Ministero della Cultura - Parco Archeologico di Pompei; copy or reprint is prohibited).

have been taken into account: the position of the wall inside the building, its function, the stratigraphic relationships with other elements, its constituent features – like the building technique employed – or its morphological features – like its shape or the presence of openings – and the presence of a coating and its features. It is also possible to include data about the element's building history, its discovery (such as archive data or bibliography), the investigations it had undergone (as instance, invasive and non-invasive analysis) or about the graphic (2D and 3D surveys) and photographic documents, and the deterioration monitoring (building survey, modern restorations).

Inside each field, it is possible to input textual data or external links to several file types, including the ones for images and 3D reconstructions. Data filed in the abacus are then summarised inside a “property sheet”. This property sheet supports any parametric object and is automatically displayed each time that the object is selected. In this way, the user may have an immediate overview of all data linked to the object, allowing therefore an easier management and query within the system.

### 3. AN IFC INTERCHANGE FORMAT

Despite the fact that in the present case a proprietary software has been used, the archaeological parametric objects can be exported, without alterations




Fig. 4 – Export of archaeological BIM objects in the IFC interchange format.

or variations, in the IFC interchange format (Fig. 4). The IFC interchange format allows the BIM object to keep all the geometric and graphic characteristics and all the specific information, such as all the values in the property sheet, identified as the real attributes of the parametric object. The exported \*.ifc files can be imported within all the software working in the BIM environment and are available on all modern Operating Systems. The export of archaeological objects in IFC format allows to bring BIM modelling closer to the FOSS world.

The proposed work, even though in its initial phase, aims to provide operational tools, of wide access and ready-to-use, for the creation of BIM models of archaeological contexts. The BIM objects have been modelled and defined on a scientific basis and are able to represent a wide range of evidence within the domestic architecture of Pompeii. A library of parametric archaeological objects modelled using the Autodesk Revit BIM environment software was created and exported in IFC format. The archaeological BIM objects have been enriched with graphic and constructive information that allow them to be used in all the BIM modelling of archaeological Roman domestic building structures. The IFC format enables to overcome the limitations of using non-FOSS software and create interoperable tools to use BIM as a management model for archaeological contexts.

LAURA CARPENTIERO, DORA D'AURIA  
Università degli Studi di Napoli L'Orientale  
lcarpentiero@unior.it, ddauria@unior.it




## REFERENCES

- BOSCO A., D'ANDREA A., NUZZOLO M., PIRELLI R., ZARFAGNA P. 2018, *A virtual reconstruction of the Sun Temple of Niuserra: From scans to ABIM*, in M. MATSUMOTO, E. ULEBERG (eds.), *CAA2016: Oceans of Data. Proceedings of the 44<sup>th</sup> Conference on Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology*, Oxford, Archaeopress, 377-388.
- CAIROLI GIULIANI F. 1990, *L'edilizia nell'antichità*, Roma, Carocci.
- CAMPOREALE S., DESSALA H., TRICOCHÉ A. (eds.) forthcoming, *Atlante delle tecniche della costruzione romana. Manuale*, Roma, Quasar.
- CARPENTIERO L. 2018, *La metodologia BIM (Building Information Modeling) per l'acquisizione, l'elaborazione e l'integrazione dei dati di rilievo per lo studio, la conservazione e la valorizzazione del costruito storico*, «Newsletter di Archeologia CISA», 9, 1-21.
- CARPENTIERO L. 2020, *Il BIM come modello di gestione di un sito archeologico. Oltre i limiti dell'oggetto parametrico*, «Newsletter di Archeologia CISA», 11, 69-86.
- D'AURIA D., BALLEST P. 2020, *Modes d'habiter à Pompéi à l'époque républicaine. Diffusion et utilisation du type de la maison à atrium testudinatum*, «Chronique des activités archéologiques de l'École française de Rome, Les cités vésuviennes», 1-15 (<https://doi.org/10.4000/cefr.4796>).
- GARAGNANI S., GAUCCI A., GOVI E. 2016, *ArchaeoBIM: dallo scavo al Building Information Modeling di una struttura sepolta. Il caso del tempio tuscanico di Uni a Marzabotto*, «Archeologia e Calcolatori», 27, 251-270 (<https://doi.org/10.19282/AC.27.2016.13>).
- GAUCCI A., GARAGNANI S. 2020, *The ArchaeoBIM method and the role of digital models in Archaeology*, «Archeologia e Calcolatori», 31.2, 181-188 (<https://doi.org/10.19282/ac.31.2.2020.17>).
- GINOUVÈS R. 1992, *Dictionnaire méthodique de l'architecture grecque et romaine II. Éléments constructifs: supports, couvertures, aménagements intérieurs*, Rome, École Française d'Athènes, École Française de Rome.
- GINOUVÈS R., MARTIN R. 1985, *Dictionnaire méthodique de l'architecture grecque et romaine I. Matériaux, techniques de construction, techniques et formes du décor*, Rome, École Française d'Athènes, École Française de Rome.
- MURPHY M., MC GOVERN E., PAVIA S. 2013, *Historic building information modeling - Adding intelligence to laser and image based surveys of European classical architecture*, «ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing», 76, 89-102.

## ABSTRACT

Building Information Modeling is the most consolidated work method for engineering design of buildings and infrastructural works. It allows to create a comprehensive database starting from a 3D model of a building. Its use in archaeology permits to test and transform a working method, born for engineering design, in an operational support for archaeologists during and after the field phase. Our contribution focuses on the application of BIM to archaeological evidence. It presents the initial stages of a research project, whose aim is the definition of operational solutions for the creation of BIM models. To implement, on a scientific basis, the BIM methodology and make the modelling of archaeological structures easier, a model of semantic library, based on Pompeian archaeological evidence, has been created. The BIM contains archaeological objects that can be reproduced and possibly modified for other projects. They represent a support to share on a large scale the representation in BIM. All archaeological library's objects can be exported in the IFC format. This format can be opened and edited by all BIM software and worked on all OS; the export of archaeological objects in IFC format leads BIM closer to the FOSS world.

34.1  
2023



# ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

34.1

2023

*All'Insegna del Giglio*

€ 40,00

ISSN 1120-6861

e-ISSN 2385-1953

ISBN 978-88-9285-204-4

e-ISSN 978-88-9285-205-1



AC-34-1

ARCHEOLOGIA  
E CALCOLATORI