



**HAL**  
open science

## Bio-based Plastics: a ‘Sustainable’ Alternative for the Plastic Industry?

Léa Barbaut, Olivier Brette, Nathalie Lazaric, Valérie Massardier, Valérie Revest

► **To cite this version:**

Léa Barbaut, Olivier Brette, Nathalie Lazaric, Valérie Massardier, Valérie Revest. Bio-based Plastics: a ‘Sustainable’ Alternative for the Plastic Industry?. *International journal of environmental sciences & natural resources*, 2023, 31 (5), pp.556325. 10.19080/ijesnr.2023.31.556325 . halshs-04188889v2

**HAL Id: halshs-04188889**

**<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-04188889v2>**

Submitted on 5 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Bio-based Plastics: a 'Sustainable' Alternative for the Plastic Industry?

Léa Barbaut<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Brette<sup>2</sup>, Nathalie Lazaric<sup>3</sup>, Valérie Massardier<sup>4</sup> and Valérie Revest<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IAE Lyon School of Management, University Jean Moulin, France

<sup>2</sup>University of Lyon, INSA Lyon, CNRS Research Unit TRIANGLE, France

<sup>3</sup>GREDEG, CNRS, University Côte d'Azur, France

<sup>4</sup>INSA Lyon, IMP, France

**Submission:** March 15, 2023; **Published:** March 28, 2023

**\*Corresponding author:** Valérie Revest, University Jean Moulin, IAE Lyon School of Management, Magellan, France

## Abstract

Today, the pollution caused by plastics is undeniable, and the plastic industry is facing radical choices. One of these choices concerns the alternative between the increasing use of bio-based plastics or the recycling of petro-based plastics. Currently, in order to achieve the goal of a circular economy where plastics are recycled and reintegrated into the production process, the recycling of petro-based plastics is encouraged by regulations. Besides, bio-based plastics seem to offer considerable environmental advantages. However, the construction of a sustainable circular economy requires certain conditions to be met, such as the establishment of dedicated recycling chains, cost reduction, and "securing" the supply of raw materials.

**Keywords:** Circular economy ; Bio-based plastics ; Biodegradable plastics; Recycling; Regulation; Production; Costs

## Bio-based Plastics a 'Sustainable' Alternative for the Plastic Industry?

The plastic industry is widely regarded as one of the most polluting industries in our society, highlighting the importance of transitioning towards a circular production model. This would achieve sustainable development through a cyclical system where material and energy flows are reused, reducing waste and energy consumption [1]. Environmental concerns related to the production and end-of-life of plastics have led European public authorities to implement regulations to limit this pollution [2]. In this context, exploring new paths in the petrochemical sector is encouraged, particularly through the use of bio-based plastics. These plastics represent an innovative way to produce plastics by replacing the petroleum-based raw material with naturally renewable materials [3]. Bio-based plastics are generally classified into two distinct groups: "drop-in" plastics that have a chemical structure similar to that of petroleum-based plastics (Bio-PE, Bio-PET, Bio-PP, etc.), and 100% biobased biodegradable plastics that have an innovative chemical structure and "breakthrough" technological trajectories. While bio-based plastics offer environmental benefits that seem evident, several obstacles must be overcome to exploit their potential. Firstly, unlike "drop-in" plastics, biodegradable bio-based plastics are not recyclable in the same way as petroleum-based plastics (as their chemical structure is different), which means that their end-of-life is not managed by current infrastructure [4]. Their inclusion in recycling streams can have the opposite effect: polluting them and hindering the ef-

fective recycling of other plastics [5]. Another obstacle is related to the fact that composting them can have greater environmental impacts than recycling [6]. However, in the absence of waste management infrastructure, the impact remains less harmful for biodegradable plastics compared to non-biodegradable plastics, whose degradation time can reach several hundred years. Thirdly, price is also a major obstacle to the use of bio-based plastics, whether biodegradable or "drop-in" [7]. Mass production could reduce production costs and make their exploitation economically efficient. Between 2017 and 2020, the capacity for producing bio-based plastics increased modestly from 1,38 million tons to 2,02 million tons [8]. Large-scale production of biodegradable bio-based plastics (or "breakthrough" types) would make it profitable to invest in new recycling infrastructure, while promoting economies of scale to reduce costs and ensure the valorization of the end-of-life of these plastics.

Finally, one of the main drawbacks associated with bioplastics is their potential competition with food production, as well as the risk of deforestation associated with first-generation bioplastics production. However, this point is subject to debate. Today, the land used for bioplastics production represents only 0.3% of the total agricultural land area [8]. In addition, the proportion of land used could be further reduced through the development of second and third-generation bioplastics, whose raw materials are not food-based but agricultural residues, or microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, or micro-algae. This development should con-

tribute to minimizing pressure on agricultural land and limiting potential conflicts with food production [9].

## Conclusion

There is a growing interest in transitioning to a circular economy where plastics are recycled and reintegrated into the production process. The innovation of bio-based plastics is an initiative aimed at achieving this sustainable goal by exploiting their environmental benefits, such as reducing greenhouse gas emissions and energy consumption during their production [10]. According to 2021 statistics, bio-based “drop-in” and biodegradable plastics comprised only 1,5% of the world’s plastic production and 2,3% of Europe’s plastic production [11].

In spite of the potential benefits of bio-based plastics, there are several obstacles to their widespread adoption [10]. Biodegradable bio-based plastics can only contribute effectively to reducing plastic pollution if they are properly disposed of, which may require specific recycling infrastructure. Drop-in plastics, on the other hand, can be recycled, but their partial dependence on oil limits their environmental potential. Additionally, their high cost may limit their use. As the success of bio-based plastics depends on several components of the value chain, there is a risk for actors to invest in a bio-based plastic that will ultimately not be adopted by other actors. Thus, the context of uncertainty regarding the market evolution of these plastics strongly hinders potential investments.

In order to meet these challenges, the implementation of incentive regulations in favor of the use of bio-based plastics could stimulate their adoption and encourage the transition to a circular industry [12]. This approach has already proven successful with recycled plastic: regulations encouraging its recycling have made it profitable and had a significant impact on the market. However, it is important to note that favoring a specific type of bio-based plastic through regulation could hinder the emergence of potentially superior new options. In the end, transitioning toward a circular industry remains a complex challenge and requires the emergence of new innovation policy methods and forms of governance [13].

## Acknowledgement

The communication contributes to the BIOLoop project, <http://www.imp-umr5223.fr/>, for which the authors thank the French National Centre for Scientific Research CNRS for support.

## References

1. Blomsma F, Brennan G (2017) The emergence of circular economy: a new framing around prolonging resource productivity. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 603-614.
2. Copello L, Haut G, Mongodin F (2022) Single Use Plastics Directive Implementation Assessment Report September. ZWE: Zero Waste Europe, p. 43.
3. European Commission (2020) Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, Biodegradability of plastics in the open environment, Publications Office of the European Union.
4. Briassoulis D, Pikasi A, Hiskakis M (2019) End-of-Waste Life: Inventory of Alternative End-of-Use Recirculation Routes of Bio-Based Plastics in the European Union Context. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology* 49(20): 1835.
5. Alaerts, L, Augustinus M, Van Acker K (2018) Impact of Bio-Based Plastics on Current Recycling of Plastics. *Sustainability* 10(5): 1487.
6. Cosate de Andrade M, Souza P, Cavalett O, Morales A (2016) Life cycle assessment of poly (lactic acid) (PLA) : Comparison between chemical recycling, mechanical recycling and composting. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment* 24: 372384.
7. Hatti-Kaul R, Nilsson L, Zhang B, Rehnberg N, Lundmark S (2019) Designing biobased recyclable polymers for plastics. *Trends in Biotechnology* 38(1): 5067.
8. Institute for Bioplastics and Biocomposite (IfBB) (2021) Biopolymers, facts and statistic.
9. De Smet M, Linder M, Koopmans R, Doorselaer K, van Velis, C, et al. (2019) A circular economy for plastics: Insights from research and innovation to inform policy and funding decisions. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation (European Commission), Publications Office of the European Union.
10. Ansink E, Wijk L, Zuidmeer F (2022) No clue about bioplastics. *Ecological Economics* 191: 107245.
11. Plastics Europe (2022) Plastics - the Facts 2022 An Analysis of European Plastics Production, Demand and Waste Data. Brussels, Belgium.
12. Massardier V, Belhaneche-Bensemra N, Lazaric N (2023) Editorial: Alternative building blocks and new recycling routes for polymers: Challenges for circular economy and triggers for innovations. *Front Mater* 10: 1152494.
13. Morisson A, Liotard I, Revest V (2022) Small Wins through Inducement Prizes: Introducing Challenge-Oriented Regional Prizes (CORP). *Sustainability Special Issue: New Approaches to Sustainable Development in Clusters and Regional Innovation* 15(4): 3240.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License  
DOI: [10.19080/IJESNR.2023.31.556325](https://doi.org/10.19080/IJESNR.2023.31.556325)

**Your next submission with Juniper Publishers  
will reach you the below assets**

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats  
**( Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)**
- Unceasing customer service

**Track the below URL for one-step submission**  
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>