

MERAIODE: A Phase II Redifferentiation Trial with Trametinib and 131 I in Metastatic Radioactive Iodine Refractory RAS Mutated Differentiated thyroid Cancer

Sophie Leboulleux, Christine Do Cao, Slimane Zerdoud, Marie Attard, Claire Bournaud, Ludovic Lacroix, Danielle Benisvy, David Taïeb, Stéphane Bardet,

Marie Terroir-Cassou-Mounat, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Sophie Leboulleux, Christine Do Cao, Slimane Zerdoud, Marie Attard, Claire Bournaud, et al.. MERAIODE: A Phase II Redifferentiation Trial with Trametinib and 131 I in Metastatic Radioactive Iodine Refractory RAS Mutated Differentiated thyroid Cancer. Clinical Cancer Research, 2023, 29 (13), pp.2401-2409. 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-23-0046. inserm-04552345

HAL Id: inserm-04552345 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04552345

Submitted on 19 Apr2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	MERAIODE: A Phase II Redifferentiation Trial with Trametinib and ¹³¹ I in Metastatic
2	Radioactive Iodine Refractory RAS Mutated Differentiated thyroid Cancer
3	
4	
5	Sophie Leboulleux ^{1,2} , Daniele Benisvy ³ , David Taieb ⁴ , Marie Attard ⁵ , Claire Bournaud ⁶ , Marie
6	Terroir-Cassou-Mounat ^{1,7} , Ludovic Lacroix ^{8,9} , Nadege Anizan ^{1,10} , Aurelie Schiazza ³ , Marie
7	Eve Garcia ¹¹ , Abir Al Ghuzlan ⁸ , Livia Lamartina ¹ , Martin. Schlumberger ¹ , Yann Godbert ¹² ,
8	Isabelle. Borget ¹³
9	
10	¹ Department of Endocrine Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Gustave Roussy and University Paris
11	Saclay, Villejuif, France
12	² Department of Endocrinology, Diabetology, Nutrition and Therapeutic Education, Hôpitaux
13	Universitaires de Genève, Switzerland
14	³ Department of Nuclear Medicine, CLCC Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, France
15	⁴ Department of Nuclear Medicine, CHU de La Timone, Marseille, France
16	⁵ Department of Imaging Gustave Roussy and University Paris Saclay, Villejuif, France
17	⁶ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France
18	⁷ Department of Nuclear Medicine UICT Oncopole, CLCC Institut Claudius Regaud, Toulouse, France
19	⁸ Department of Medical Biology and Pathology, Gustave Roussy and University Paris Saclay, Villejuif,
20	France
21	⁹ AMMICa UAR3655/US23, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France
22	¹⁰ Department of Physics, Gustave Roussy and University Paris Saclay, Villejuif, France
23	¹¹ Department of Oncology, CHU de La Timone, Marseille, France
24	¹² Department of Oncology and Department of Nuclear Medicine, CLCC Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux,
25	France
26	¹³ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Gustave Roussy and University Paris Saclay,
27	Villejuif, France
28	
29	

•

- 30 Corresponding Author:
- 31 Prof. Sophie Leboulleux
- 32 Department of Nuclear Medicine and Endocrine Oncology, 114 rue Edouard Vaillant, 94800
- 33 Villejuif, France; Department of Endocrinology Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil 4, 1205 Geneva,
- 34 Switzerland

•

- 35 Phone: 41(0) 22 372 91 92,
- 36 E-mail: <u>sophie.leboulleux@hcuge.ch</u>
- 37
- 38 Manuscript count: 1800
- 39 Number of tables: 2
- 40 Number of figures: 1
- 41 Online appendix
- 42 Running Title: Trametinib-¹³¹I in RAIR *RAS* mutated DTC
- 43
- 44
- 45 **Keywords**: refractory thyroid cancer, *RAS* mutation, redifferentiation, trametinib, anti-MEK
- 46

47 Dear Editor,

RAS mutations are present in one third of follicular-cell derived thyroid cancer (TC) 48 (1). They are more frequent in follicular TC and in follicular subtype of papillary TC compared 49 with other subtypes, with NRAS being more frequently mutated than HRAS or KRAS (2, 3). 50 In the case of RAS mutations, the activation of the Mitogen-Activated Protein (MAP) kinase 51 pathway is less intense than in case of BRAFp. V600E mutation. Even though RAS mutated 52 tumors are better differentiated than BRAFp.V600E mutated tumors, the expression of 53 proteins involved in the iodine metabolism is decreased. These abnormalities may be 54 reverted by the inhibition of the MAPK pathway (4). In a pioneer study on redifferentiation of 55 RAS mutated radioactive iodine refractory (RAIR) TC with selumetinib (a MEK inhibitor), 56 significant ¹³¹I tumor uptake reappeared in all 5 patients, resulting in partial tumor responses 57 in 4 (80%) of the patients treated with 131 I (5). 58

In a recent phase II prospective trial in 21 patients with a *BRAFp.V600E* mutated RAIR DTC, dabrafenib-trametinib was associated with reinduction of radioiodine uptake in 95% of the patients with a 6-months response rate of 38%, after the administration of a high ¹³¹I activity following rhTSH injections (6). In the present study focused on metastatic RAIR *RAS*-mutated DTC, we investigated the efficacy and safety of trametinib treatment before the administration of a high ¹³¹I activity (following rhTSH injections).

65

MERAIODE is a multicentre, prospective phase II trial in patients with RAIR DTC, with two independent cohorts with similar designs, one for *BRAF* p.V600E and one for *RAS* mutated patients (NCT 03244956). The results of BRAFpV600E-mutated cohort study were recently published (6). Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria, procedures and objectives, available in the Supplementary Table 1, were similar to the *BRAFp.V600E* mutated cohort except for treatment consisting in trametinib-¹³¹I.

Briefly, RAIR patients were treated with trametinib (2 mg/d) for 42 days. A ¹³¹I diagnostic WBS (185 MBq, 5 mCi) was performed at baseline prior trametinib (dc1-WBS) and between days 28 and 35 (dc2-WBS). A fixed ¹³¹I activity of 5500 MBq (150 mCi) was

administered after rhTSH injections at day 35 +/-2 whatever the results of the dc2-WBS.
Tumor response was assessed according to RECIST version 1.1 at 1, 3, 6, 12 and 18
months after trametinib initiation or until tumor progression (7). Patients with a partial
response assessed by the local investigator at 6 or 12 months, could undergo a second
course of trametinib-¹³¹I (5500 MBq-150 mCi after rhTSH). The primary endpoint was the
overall response rate (ORR) at 6 months according to central review.

This investigator-initiated trial was sponsored by Gustave Roussy and conducted 81 82 within the French Endocan-TuThyRef network. The study was conducted in accordance with the protocol approved by ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes Ouest IV; 83 N.33/17 1-PPRE) in accordance with the 2013 revised Declaration of Helsinki. All patients 84 provided written, informed consent. Detailed statistical analysis is available in the 85 Supplementary Table 1. Tissue genotyping and gene expression analysis were performed in 86 a central laboratory at Gustave Roussy at the end of the study (Supplementary Tables 1 and 87 2). 88

89

From March 2018 to March 2020, among 13 screened patients, 11 were included (Supplementary Figure 1). Ten patients were evaluable for the efficacy of the first treatment course at 6 months. Most patients were men (64%) with poorly differentiated TC (45%) (based on central review) (Table 1). Based on the investigator RECIST assessment, 3 patients with PR at 6 or 12 months were re-treated with a second course of trametinib-¹³¹I.

95

Abnormal ¹³¹I uptake was present at baseline (dc1-WBS) in 3/10 (30%) patients, on dc2-WBS in 4/9 (44.4%) patients and on the post-treatment WBS in 6/10 (60%) patients.

98 On dc-1-WBS, the 3 patients with abnormal ¹³¹I uptake had one metastatic site with ¹³¹I 99 uptake. Two of them had 2 metastatic sites on the dc-2-WBS and one remained with 1 100 metastatic site on the dc-2-WBS (Supplementary Figure 2). One patient without abnormal 101 dc2-WBS was found to have abnormal uptake on the post-treatment WBS and in 3 patients,

the number of metastatic sites with abnormal uptake was higher on the post-treatment WBScompared to the dc2-WBS.

104

At 6 months following the 1st treatment course, there were no CR, 2 (20%, $CI_{95\%}$ = [3-106 56]) PR, 7 (70%, $CI_{95\%}$ = [34-93]) SD and 1 PD (Table 2, Figure 1, Supplementary Table 3, 107 Supplementary Figures 3 and 4). The median size change of the target lesions was +1.8% 108 (mean = -3.8%; range: -53 to +23) (Figure 1). The ORR at 1 and 3 months were 10 and 20%, 109 respectively. PERCIST evaluation was available in 9 patients at 6 months, and demonstrated 110 PR in 2 cases (22 %), SD in 6 (67 %) and PD in 1 (11 %). Among the 7 patients without 111 serum Tg antibodies, one patient had a biochemical partial response at 3 and 6 months.

112

The 3 evaluable patients who had a 2nd treatment course had abnormal ¹³¹I uptake on the post-treatment WBS of the second ¹³¹I administration. The number of metastatic sites on the second post-treatment WBS was similar to the first post-treatment WBS in 2 cases and lower in one case (4 vs.2). Among the 3 patients, 1 had a PR during the 18 months following the 2nd course, whereas the other 2 patients progressed at 3 and 6 months after the 2nd course.

119

120 On September 1st, 2022, the median follow-up time was 34 months (range: 1.1-49.2). 121 The median PFS (central evaluation) was 12.0 months. The 12-month-PFS and 24-month-122 PFS rates (central evaluation) were 60.0% $CI_{95\%}$ = [25.3-82.7] and 40.0% $CI_{95\%}$ = [12.3-67.0], 123 respectively. No deaths occurred. None of the patients developed anaplastic transformation 124 during follow-up.

125

During trametinib treatment, 9/11 (82%) patients experienced at least one AE, related or not to treatment. Most AEs were grade 1 (36%) and grade 2 (27%) (Supplementary Table 4). There were 3 grade 3 AEs in 2 patients, and no grade 4.

129 Treatment was definitively stopped in 2 cases due to AE: at day 12 for a grade 3 130 erythematous colitis; at day 28 for a grade 2 decrease of left ventricular ejection fraction. In 131 this last case, ¹³¹I was administered.

132

Following treatment initiation, the global health, the functioning dimensions and the symptoms were impacted with a deterioration from baseline to the end of treatment (D42) which was reversible afterwards (Supplementary Table 5, Supplementary Figure 5).

Among the 11 patients included, based on the local RAS mutation assessment, 9 136 tissue samples were available for NGS genotyping at the central laboratory. The average 137 coverage depth was 693X (301X to 897X). Tumor mutational load ranked from 1.7 to 135.7 138 mutations per megabase. Five samples harbored a NRAS mutation, and two samples 139 harbored a HRAS mutation, whereas no RAS mutation was detected in two samples. All 140 samples were wild type for TERT promoter. Six samples had one to six additional variants, 141 largely of unknown significance. Three pathogenic mutations other than RAS, were detected, 142 143 in FANCD2, PTEN and MSH2 (Supplementary Table 6). The gene expression analysis of 144 2,559 transcripts, from genes associated to cancer was performed on 8 samples, which did not show significant differential expression associated to tumor response. 145

146

147 This phase 2 trial, which included 11 patients, showed that the administration of trametinib for 6 weeks increased the ¹³¹I uptake in two-thirds of RAIR *RAS* mutated tumors 148 with a 6-months response rate of 20%. These results were not as good as expected, given 149 the previous results of redifferentiation with another MEK inhibitor selumetinib (5). Trametinib 150 is also less effective in RAIR RAS mutated tumors than the combination of dabrafenib-151 152 trametinib in BRAFp.V600E mutated RAIR DTC tumors, for patients otherwise meeting similar inclusion criteria i.e. RAIR, progression within 18 months prior to inclusion and no 153 lesion over the size of 30 mm (6). 154

155 *RAS* mutated tumors are known to have a better differentiation score than *BRAFp.V600E* 156 mutated tumors. Indeed, despite being RAIR, 3 of the 10 patients had abnormal ¹³¹I uptake

on the baseline dc-1 WBS compared to 5% in *BRAFp.V600E* mutated tumors. Furthermore, trametinib was not very efficient in restoring ¹³¹I uptake, with only 44% of the cases showing abnormal ¹³¹I uptake on the dc-2 WBS and 60% on the post-therapy WBS. Subsequently, the response rate at 6 months was only 20% and the response rate was anecdotal in patients who received a second course of trametinib-¹³¹I. The 12-month-PFS and the 24-month-PFS rates were also low, being 60% and 40%, respectively in patients who had a RECIST progression within 18 months prior to inclusion.

We did not observe any decrease in tumor size during trametinib treatment and prior to the 164 administration of ¹³¹I, contrary to the association of anti BRAF and anti MEK in 165 BRAFp. V600E mutated tumors (6, 8). This observation raises the hypothesis that trametinib 166 alone does not have a major anti-tumor effect. These results also highlight the difficulty in 167 targeting the RAS mutation in oncology, with limited efficacy of anti MEK treatment and the 168 occurrence of RAS mutation in RAIR DTC as resistance mutation in patients treated with 169 RET or BRAF inhibitors (9, 10) inhibitors. Indeed, the KRASG12C mutation for which specific 170 171 inhibitors such as adagrasib or sotorasib are available, are rarely seen in RAIR TC.

The tissue genotyping in this study confirmed a higher frequency of the *NRAS* mutation. Surprisingly in 2 samples, *RAS* mutations, found locally, were not found on the central analysis performed at the end of the study. Since the protocol did not plan to exclude patients based on this central analysis these patients were not excluded. One of them though disclosed ¹³¹I abnormal uptake on the post treatment WBS and had a partial response.

Safety of trametinib treatment showed a tolerance concordant to what was expected, with theabsence of grade 4 AE event and grade 3 AEs in 18% of the cases.

Given the small sample size of the cohort, this study is mainly descriptive, precluding any definitive conclusion. The high rate of poorly differentiated tumors and the absence of *RAS* mutation on the central laboratory analysis in 2 cases might have negatively impacted the present results.

However, this is the first prospective study that used trametinib treatment with ¹³¹I treatment
administration planned for all patients, and not based on the dc2-WBS findings. It is also the

first study to provide long-term follow-up and to explore a second course of trametinib-¹³¹I
treatment.

187

188 Conclusion

The treatment with trametinib in *RAS* mutated DTC is not highly effective for restoring/increasing ¹³¹I uptake and the administration of high activity (150mCi, 3700MBq) of ¹³¹I is followed by a low response rate. Other strategies than trametinib alone should be developed for the redifferentiation of *RAS* mutated RAIR DTC.

193

194 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the patients, their families, all research staff, and investigators involved in this study, Catherine Richon and Patrick Saulnier from BMO unit in AMMICa UMS3655/US23 for their technical assistance for Tissue genotyping and GE analysis, and finally Bastien Job from Bionformatic unit in AMMICa UMS3655/US23 for GE bioinformatics analysis.

200

201 Author Contribution

- 202 S Leboulleux: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology,
- 203 Resources, Visualization, Writing original draft; Writing review and editing
- 204 D Benisvy: Investigation, Resources, Writing –editing
- 205 D Taieb: Investigation, Resources, Writing –editing
- 206 M Attard: Resources- editing
- 207 C Bournaud: Investigation, Resources, Writing –editing
- 208 M Terroir-Cassou-Mounat: Resources, editing
- 209 L Lacroix: Resources, Writing original draft; Writing review and editing
- 210 N Anizan: Methodology
- 211 A Schiazza: Investigation, Resources, Writing –editing
- 212 ME Garcia: Investigation, Resources, Writing –editing

- 213 A Al Ghuzlan: Resources- editing
- 214 Livia Lamartina: Investigation, Resources, Writing –editing
- 215 M Schlumberger: Investigation, Resources, Writing review and editing
- 216 Y Godbert: Investigation, Resources, Writing –editing
- 217 I Borget : Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project
- administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization- Writing review and editing

219

220

221 Author Disclosure Statement

- 222 S. Leboulleux reports advisory boards from Lilly, EISAI, IPSEN
- L. Lamartina reports advisory boards from EISAI, Lilly, Ipsen; AAA, Novartis and Roche
- 224 I. Borget reports grants from Roche, BMS, Novartis, Gilead, MSD
- D. Benisvy, D. Taieb, M. Attard, C. Bournaud, M. Terroir-Cassou-Mounat, L. Lacroix, N.
 Anizan, M.E. Garcia, A. Al Ghuzlan M. Schlumberger, Y. Godbert reports no competing
- 227 interests nor personal financial interests
- 228

Funding: MERAIODE was financed by the French Ministry of Health, through the National Institute for Cancer (INCa, PHRC2015), Trametinib was provided by Novartis, rhTSH was provided by Sanofi Genzyme. These companies did not participate in any aspect of the study design, data accrual, data analysis, or manuscript preparation and submission. The authors assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the data and analyses (NCT 03244956).

235

236

237 References

Fagin JA, Wells SA, Jr. Biologic and Clinical Perspectives on Thyroid Cancer. N Engl
 J Med. 2016 375(23):2307; doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1613118

- Landa I, Ibrahimpasic T, Boucai L, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic hallmarks of
 poorly differentiated and anaplastic thyroid cancers. J Clin Invest. 2016 126(3):1052 1066; doi: 10.1172/JCI85271
- 243 3. Pozdeyev N, Gay LM, Sokol ES, et al. Genetic Analysis of 779 Advanced
- 244 Differentiated and Anaplastic Thyroid Cancers. Clin Cancer Res. an official journal of
- the American Association for Cancer Research 2018 24(13):3059-3068; doi:
- 246 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0373
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research N Integrated genomic characterization of papillary
 thyroid carcinoma. Cell. 2014 159(3):676-690; doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.050
- Ho AL, Grewal RK, Leboeuf R, et al. Selumetinib-enhanced radioiodine uptake in
 advanced thyroid cancer. N Eng J Med. 2013 368(7):623-632; doi:
- 251 10.1056/NEJMoa1209288
- 252 6. Leboulleux S, Do Cao C, Zerdoud S, et al. A Phase II Redifferentiation Trial with
- 253 Dabrafenib-Trametinib and 1311 in Metastatic Radioactive Iodine Refractory BRAF
- p.V600E Mutated Differentiated thyroid Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. : an official journal
- of the American Association for Cancer Research 2023; doi: 10.1158/1078-
- 256 0432.CCR-23-0046
- 257 **7.** Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, et al. New guidelines to evaluate the
- response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and
- 259 Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer
- 260 Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000 92(3):205-216; doi:
- 261 10.1093/jnci/92.3.205.
- 262 8. Dunn LA, Sherman EJ, Baxi SS, et al. Vemurafenib Redifferentiation of BRAF
- 263 Mutant, RAI-Refractory Thyroid Cancers. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019 104(5):1417-
- 264 1428; doi: 10.1210/jc.2018-01478
- **9.** Gild ML, Bullock M, Tsang V, et al. Challenges and Strategies to Combat Resistance
- 266 Mechanisms in Thyroid Cancer Therapeutics. Thyroid : official journal of the
- 267 American Thyroid Association 2023; doi: 10.1089/thy.2022.0704

268	10.	Cabanillas ME, Dadu R, Iyer P, et al. Acquired Secondary RAS Mutation in
269		BRAF(V600E)-Mutated Thyroid Cancer Patients Treated with BRAF Inhibitors.
270		Thyroid : official journal of the American Thyroid Association 2020 30(9):1288-1296;
271		doi: 10.1089/thy.2019.0514
272		

•

Table 1: Initial	characteristics	of the 11	included	patients
------------------	-----------------	-----------	----------	----------

•

N = 11 patients
66.7 ± 16.8 [22-77]
4 (36.4%)
4 (36.4%)
2 (18.2%)
5 (45.5%)
5 (45.4%)
2/1/1/1
4 (36.4%) *
1 (9.0%)
1 (9.0%)
7 (63.6%)
1 (9.1%)
3 (27.3%)
0
0
10 (91.9%)
1 (9.1%)
6 (54.5%)
2.2 ± 1.5 [1-3]
8.4 ± 6.0 [3.4-22.2]
227 ± 16.2 [92-600]
3 (4.2%) **
2 (18.2%)
1 (9.1%)
2 (18.2%) ***
10 (90.9%)
1 (9.1%)

Abbreviations: ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, GBq: GigaBecquerel; mCi: millicurie; std: standard;

*: including one insular, ** 2 pazopanib and 1 lenvatinib, *** one patient with bone RT + cementoplasty and one patient with bone RT only

Table 2: Radiological assessment (central review) of efficacy with RECIST criteria version 1.1, after first trametinib-¹³¹I course (2A), in the absence of second trametinib-¹³¹I course (2B), after second course of trametinib-¹³¹I (2C)

2A	After 1 st course of treatment N=10 patients				
Central Review	1 month	3 months	6 months		
Patients with a central review	N=10	N=10	N=10		
Objective response rate n (%) [95CI]	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	2 (20%)		
	[0.3-44.5]	[2.5-55.6]	[2.5-55.6]		
Complete response	0	0	0		
Partial Response	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	2 (20%)		
Stable Disease	9 (90%)	8 (80%)	7 (70%)		
Progressive disease	0	0	1 (10%)		
Not evaluable	0	0	0		

•

2B Patients who did not received a 2 nd course of treatment n=7 patients		2C	Patients who received a 2 nd course of treatment n=3 patients					
Central Review	12 months	18 months	Central Review	1 month after 2 nd course	3 months after 2 nd course	6 months after 2 nd course	12 months after 2 nd course	18 months after 2 nd course
Objective response rate n (%) [95CI]	0	0	Objective response rate n (%) [95Cl]	1 (33%) [0.8-90.6]	1 (33%) [0.8-90.6]	1 (33%) [0.8-90.6]	1 (33%) [0.8-90.6]	1 (33%) [0.8-90.6]
Complete response	0	0	Complete response	0	0	0	0	0
Partial Response	0	0	Partial Response	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)
Stable Disease	2 (28.6%)	2 (28.6%)	Stable Disease	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0	0	0
Progressive disease	3 (42.8%)	1 (14.3%)	Progressive disease	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0	0
Progressive disease on local assessment prior the time point evaluation and protocol discontinuation: CT not available for central review	2 (28.6%)	4 (57.1%)	Progressive disease on local assessment prior the time point evaluation and protocol discontinuation: CT not available for central review	0	0	0	2	2
Not evaluable	0	0	Not evaluable	0	0	0	0	0
Patients with a central review n	5	3	Patients with a central review	3	3	3	1	1

Figure 1: Efficacy of trametinib-¹³¹I therapy in the 10 evaluable patients Evolution of the sum of the size of the target lesions based on independent central review (RECIST v1.1), for the patients treated by one or two courses of treatment