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Formation of Ethnonyms in Southeast Asia

Michel Ferlus
Independent researcher
(retired from Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France)

In the Southeast Asian Sinosphere, we can observe a circulation of ethnonyms between local languages and Chinese. A local Southeast Asian autonym is borrowed into Chinese, undergoes sound changes affecting the Chinese language, and then returns to the original populations, or to other populations. The result is a coexistence of ethnonyms of highly different phonetic outlook but originating in the same etymon.

We will examine four families of ethnonyms, mostly Austroasiatic and Tai-Kadai. Some of these ethnonyms are still in use today, others are known through Chinese texts where they are transcribed by phonograms. We will use Baxter [1992] and Pulleyblank [1991] to reconstruct Old Chinese (OC) and Middle Chinese (MC) forms. The phonetic restitution of the phonograms are typeset in boxes, for clarity.

1. The *b.rak (> bái 白) or *p.rak (> bǎi 百) family

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MC</th>
<th>OC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bái 白</td>
<td>bæk</td>
<td>*brak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bǎi 百</td>
<td>pæk</td>
<td>*prak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From these etymons originate the following ethnonyms:

1.1 Sino-Vietnamese Lac is the most ancient term to name the Vietnamese people. Lac Việt (luòyuè 越) “population of ancient Vietnam” [Maspero 1918, p.7]; also spelled 駱 or 絡 [Madrolle 1937, p.323].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>luò 越</td>
<td>lak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sino-Vietnamese Lac *rak results from the monosyllabization of *b.rak or *p.rak by loss of the first element in the iambic cluster.

1.2 *prɔːk “autonym of the Wa people” and ɾɔːk, “a Khmu sub-group”. In the Waic dialects [Diffloth 1980], the etymon *p.ɾɔːk can be realized pəzaq, paʁaʊk, pʰələk, etc. The shift aː>ɔː is attested in Bolyu [Edmondson 1995], an Austroasiatic language which has affinities with Vietic. This marked change might have existed in a larger area in South China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Waic</th>
<th>Khmu</th>
<th>Viet</th>
<th>Bolyu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“fish”</td>
<td>kaʔ</td>
<td>kaʔ</td>
<td>cá</td>
<td>qɔ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“house”</td>
<td>naʔ</td>
<td>nhà</td>
<td>pə</td>
<td>pɔ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“leaf”</td>
<td>blaʔ</td>
<td>b⁴laʔ</td>
<td>lá</td>
<td>lɔ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“road”</td>
<td>kraʔ</td>
<td>sá</td>
<td>kɔ</td>
<td>kyo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I cordially thank Frédéric Pain (Catholic University in Leuven, Belgium), a linguist specialist in Southeast Asia, who read the text over with the greatest attention.
The filiation of prost and rok with the etymon *b.rak/*p.rak can be proven by the vowel shift a: > o:.

1.3 Chinese texts from the 13th and 14th centuries inform us about populations named Bái yì 白衣 “White Clothes”, Bái yì 白夷 “White Barbarians” or Bái yí 百夷 “The Hundred Barbarians” [Luce 1958, pp.174-5, notes 11-13]. The existence of these variants suggests that the characters are used as phonograms to transcribe an ethnonym, i.e. *p.rak or *b.rak. Likewise for Bái mán 白蠻 [Luce 1961, p.24]. As far as the characters yì 衣 “clothes” and yí 夷 “barbarian” are concerned, they may simply transcribe a word meaning “People” (see §2 below).

1.4 In my talk held at the 41st ICSTLL in London [Ferlus 2008], I interpreted Bái yúè 百越 as “the Hundred Principalities”. I now think that it should be “the Principality of the *p.rak”.

1.5 The ethnonym Bai/Bai (Báižú 白族) is also likely to originate in *p.rak/*b.rak. The Bai people was formerly named Minjia (Minjiā 民家).

Note: Nowadays, some ethnic groups in Southeast Asia and South China are named with ethnonyms including a term interpreted as “white”: White Yi, White Thai, etc. We wonder whether it had originally acted like the ethnonym *b.rak which, over the course of the centuries, would have been reinvested by the first meaning of its phonogram bái 白. Thereafter, ethnonymic compounds comprising the term “black” would have been introduced to satisfy a need of harmony.

2. The *k.rí: “(old name of) Tai-Kadai (peoples)” family

The alleged original form is well preserved, totally or partially, in some Mon-Khmer languages in Laos and Vietnam [Ferlus 2006].

rí: refers to the Lao in the Keneng language (Khmuic, Houa Phan province, Laos).

raj refers to the Tay/Tai (here Tày Muông) in the Ơ Đu/Ođu language (Khmuic, Nghệ An province, Vietnam).

yí: (yí < *rí) designates the Tay/Tai (here Black Tay) in the Kesing Mul language (Khmuic, Sơn La province, Vietnam).

kərì: in the expression Maleng Kari mələŋ kərì:; autonym for the Kha Phong (Vietic, Quảng Bình province, Vietnam), in which Maleng means “Human being, person, people”.

The three words, raj, rí: and yí:, clearly means “Tai/Thai speaking population”. This meaning is probably the same in the expression Maleng Kari mələŋ kərì:, that can be interpreted “Maleng (who lives near) Lao”, the same pattern found in Maleng Brô mələŋ bru: “Maleng (who lives near of) Bru”, the autonym of a neighbouring Katuic population (Khammuon province, Laos). In a wider sense, the specifying term Kari is also used in its Vietnamese form Trì, to name the Tía Rì, or Chà Ly, a local branch of the Bru (Katuic, Quảng Bình and Quảng Trị provinces).

To explain the phonetic shifts from the original forms to the modern terms we just have to use some simple sound shifts attested in Chinese and in the Sinospheric at large.

- Vowel shift -ī: > -aj
- Dialectal random shift r- > l-
- Regular shift of l (medial or initial) from OC to MC [Baxter 1992]:
  1. Medial in tense sesquisyllable : OC -l- > MC d-
  2. Initial in lax monosyllable : OC l- > MC j-
- Regular shift r- > l- from OC to MC
- Loss of the first syllable in sesquisyllables

From the *k.ri: etymon originate the following ethnonyms:

2.1 Hlai t'ai, or Lai, is the autonym of a Kadai population (Tai-Kadai family), located in Hăinán (Guǎngdōng). According to Matisoff [1988: 289, note 3], who reconstructed Proto-Hlai initials and tones, ɭ- would be a voiceless lateral spirant which determines high series tones. Thus, this initial must originate in a cluster of plosive+1. Etymologically, the form t'ai perfectly results from kri: by a succession of typologically well-known changes: kri: > kʰli: > ti: > taj. These shifts needn’t to be explained by a remodelling through the Chinese language.

2.2 Li (lǐ 俚, lì 黎) is the name given to the Hlai by Chinese. This ethnic naming appears in the Hàn dynastic records [HCTE, VI §2] and also during the Sòng period.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hlai} & \quad < \text{MC liX} < \text{OC *C-rji? [ri?]} \\
\text{Li} & \quad < \text{MC lej} < \text{OC *C-rij [C.ri]} 
\end{align*}
\]

2.3 Tai/Thai (or Tay/Thay), from Proto-Thai daj^A (< *daj), is the autonym of populations of the Thai branch (Li Fangkuei’s Southwestern Tai) and of the Tai branch (LFK’s Central Tai). It is absent from the Yay branch (LFK’s Northern Tai). Its formation can be explained by changes specific to Chinese on the basis of a dialectal alternation kʰli: > kʰdi:/kʰdaj (1>-d- in tense sesquisyllable) > di:/daj (loss of first syllable) > daj^A (Proto-Thai) which evolved to tʰaj^[A2] in Thai/Siamese and in Lao and to taj^[A2] (in other Tai languages).

Note: a deeply rooted belief in Thailand has it that the term ‘Thai’ derives from the last syllables -daya in Sukhodaya/Sukhothay (槃羅堕), the name of the first Thai Kingdom. The spelling emphasizes this prestigious etymology by writing lnv (transliterated ai-d-y) to designate the Thai/Siamese people, while the form ln (transliterated ai-d) is occasionally used to refer to Tai/Thai speaking ethnic groups. Lao writes ln (transliterated ai-d) in both cases.

2.4 Yi, spelled yí 彝 or 夷, an ethnic naming of some Tibeto-Burman groups, may originate in the etymon *k.ri: by the shift l- > j- (lax monosyllable) specific to Chinese.

\[
\text{Yi} \quad < \text{MC yij [jì]} < \text{OC *ljij [lî]}
\]

The interpretation of the ethnonym ‘Yi’ as a member of the *k.ri family remains speculative, however it is not as firmly established as for Hlai and Tai/Thai.

3. The Kraw *k.ra:w family

From the etymon *k.ra:w originate the following ethnonyms:

3.1 Gelao : autonym of a Kadai population scattered from Guìzhōu (China) to North Vietnam.

3.2 Lao: ethnonym and autonym of the Lao people (ລາວ); nationality of the inhabitants of Laos. Formed by the monosyllabization of the etymon *k.raw. The peoples named Lao (lǎo 獸), supposed to be the ancestors of Lao and some other Tai-Kadai populations, settled in the upper Tonkin and in parts of Yúnnán and Guìzhōu during the Táng times [Pelliot 1904, p.136].
3.3 Sino-Vietnamese Giao, in Kiao-tche/ Giao Chi (jiǎo zhǐ 交趾) “ancient name of Vietnam”, has been attested since 207 BC [Lê Thành Khôi 1981, p.66], subsequently to Lạc (see 1.1).

3.4 Keo/ Kêw ke:w^[1] (wrō) : an ethnonym formerly given to the Vietnamese by the Lao, currently slightly derogatory. In Pueo (Kadai branch), on the other hand kêw is used to name the Tây of North-Vietnam. This ethnonym comes from the MC form of *kraw.

4. The *-raŋ ‘Human being, person’ family

It can be identified in the following expressions:

4.1 Sino-Vietnamese Vân-Lang (wén láng 文郞), name of a province and its population south of the territory of the Giao Chi, also North Vietnam (Táng dynasty) [Maspero 1918]. This province might have been located in the centre of Laos.

4.2 T’ang-ming/ Táng-míng 堂明: according to the Records of Three Kingdoms (sānguózhǐ 三國誌), the T’ang-ming was a state situated in the north of Cambodia. A Chinese author from the 13th century identified this T’ang-ming with the Tao-míng/ Đạo mìng 道明 of the Táng times, also located in the north of Cambodia [Hoshino 1986, p.32].

4.3 Maleng: autonym of an endangered Vietic sub-group scattered on the Nakai plateau, in the centre of Laos. This ethnic naming can be identified to *m. raŋ through the iambic late form *m. leŋ.

4.4 Maleň/ Malyäň: these terms, attested in the Old Khmer epigraphy, point to a country (and its inhabitants) situated south to the Great Lake. The Pre-Angkorian Maleň, reconstructed as *mōliŋ, is attested in the 7th century; the Angkorian malyāň *mōliŋ had been attested from the 9th to the 11th century [Jenner 2009a, 2009b]. The Maleň/ Malyäň kingdom was subjected by Jayavarman II in the 8th century. We don’t know anything about the language spoken by this population. There is an obvious phonetic relationship between the epigraphic Maleň/ Malyañ and the Maleng in Laos, but we cannot draw any conclusion from this comparison.

4.5 Mraiňmā: the Burmese epigraphy attest two variants for the ancient name of Burma, mraňma (since 1190) which have remained until today, and mraiňmā (1342) [Luce 1959, p.53]. The base of the latter, mraň- (in which ſň has the same value as ſ), is transcribed with the phonograms mū-lāŋ 木浪 [Luce 1958, p.106].
We might think that the validity of the late form mraṁ(mā) is uncertain, as it is rarer than the normal form mran(mā), but its transcription in Chinese is in perfect conformity with its spelling in Old Burmese.

4.6 Orang/ Urang *ʔuraŋ “Human being, person” [Dyen & McFarland 1979; Wurm & Wilson 1975]. Although attributed to Proto Austronesian by some authors, the forms resulting from this etymon remain limited to the Malayic area (Melayu, Minangkabau, Madurese). It is difficult to place *ʔuraŋ in one of the linguistic families in Southeast Asia.

5. Conclusions

The first observation that emerges from this survey is that there is considerable phonetic variety within each family of ethnoynms.

Among the four fundamental etynoms, only *k.riː has been fully preserved until today, coexisting with its daughter forms Hlai/ Li and Tai/ Thai which name Tai-Kadai populations (leaving aside the dubious case of ‘Yi’).

The use of the daughter forms of *k.raw is common to Tai-Kadai (Gelao, Lao) and to Austroasiatic ancestors of the Vietnamese.

The etymon *p.rak/ b.rak is mainly associated with Austroasiatic populations, and secondarily with Tibeto-Burman ethnic groups. It can be hypothesized that this situation reflects a spread of Tibeto-Burman languages over an Austroasiatic substratum.

The etymon *-raŋ is shared between the Asian mainland and the Western Indonesian world. It is attested today in three linguistic families: Tibeto-Burman, Austroasiatic and Austronesian.

References


Ferlus, Michel. 2008. Etymology of *wat/yuè 越 "people, principality" (as in Bāiyuè 百越). 41st ICSTLL, September 17-21. SOAS, University of London.

Ferlus, Michel. 2009. What were the Four Divisions of Middle Chinese? Diachronica 26(2): 184-213.


Langages and Cultures of the Kam-Tai (Zhuang-Dong) Group: A Word List. 1996. A Collaborative Research Project between: Institute of Language and Culture for Rural Development (Mahidol University at Salaya, Thailand) and Kam-Tai Institute (Central University for Nationalities, People’s Republic of China).


