



**HAL**  
open science

# The influence of tactile stimulation in online product evaluation

Margot Racat, Sonia Capelli, Danilo C. Dantas

► **To cite this version:**

Margot Racat, Sonia Capelli, Danilo C. Dantas. The influence of tactile stimulation in online product evaluation. European Marketing Conference, May 2015, Leuven, Belgium. European Marketing Conference, 2015. halshs-01218118

**HAL Id: halshs-01218118**

**<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-01218118>**

Submitted on 20 Oct 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# THE INFLUENCE OF TACTILE STIMULATION IN ONLINE PRODUCT EVALUATION



**Margot RACAT**  
EA3713 MAGELLAN RESEARCH CENTER  
IAE - Jean Moulin Lyon 3 University  
[margot.racat@univ-lyon3.fr](mailto:margot.racat@univ-lyon3.fr)



**Sonia CAPELLI**  
EA3713 MAGELLAN RESEARCH CENTER  
IAE - Jean Moulin Lyon 3 University  
[sonia.capelli@univ-lyon3.fr](mailto:sonia.capelli@univ-lyon3.fr)



**Danilo DANTAS**  
Marketing department  
HEC Montréal  
[danilo.dantas@hec.ca](mailto:danilo.dantas@hec.ca)

## Why do this research ?

Internet and online consumption create new opportunities for customers to experience products through tactile devices (Brasel & Gips, 2014). Beside, consumers need to touch product before purchasing (Peck & Childers, 2003) and intangibility induces an immaterial barrier that makes consumer suffering of the absence of direct contact with the product (Spence & Gallace, 2011).

## Main objective

The present research investigates tactile stimulation in online contexts. Our focus is to explore the influence of interface touch depending on the observed product tactile cues.

## Contribution

We contribute to the literature in sensory marketing by empirically testing in an online environment, the effect of various tactile stimuli from the device and the product on attitude toward the product.



## MATERIAL & STIMULI




- ⇒ 2 screens textures: rough and smooth
- ⇒ 2 products: rough and smooth
- ⇒ 3D product visualization
- ⇒ 87 participants
- ⇒ 3 minutes for browsing one product
- ⇒ Online questionnaire filled on a computer

## References


- Brasel, S. A., & Gips, J. (2014). Tablets, touchscreens, and touchpads: How varying touch interfaces trigger psychological ownership and endowment. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 24(2), 226–233.
- Childers, T. L., Carr, C. L., Peck, J., & Carson, S. (2001). Hedonic and utilitarian motivations for online retail shopping behavior. *Journal of Retailing*, 77(4), 511–535.
- Ferreira B. (2014), l'influence de la texture d'un emballage: Une approche par des méthodes explicites et implicites, thèse de doctorat es-science de gestion, Université d'Auvergne. (in French)
- Klatzky, R., & Peck, J. (2012). Please Touch: Object Properties that Invite Touch. *IEEE Transactions on Haptics*, 5(2), 139–147.
- Peck, J., & Childers, T. (2003a). Individual differences in haptic information processing: The "need for touch" scale. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 30(3), 430–443.
- Spence, C., & Gallace, A. (2011). Multisensory design: Reaching out to touch the consumer. *Psychology & Marketing*, 28(3), 267–308.



## RESEARCH MODEL



## MAIN RESULTS



A reverse effect of texturing product and screen is observed.

**H1 & H3 are confirmed.** Smooth screen increases attitude toward the rough product and rough screen increases attitude toward the smooth product ( $F(1,86)=4.78, p<0.05$ ). Then, realism impacts positively attitude toward the product ( $F(1,86)=11.24; p<.001$ ). Our results confirm past literature concerning the effect of touching a smooth interface when online shopping: in this case, consumers prefer product with tactile cues. However, the results are not consistent across the type of tactile stimulation produced by the touch-based device. **Results emphasize that tactile stimulation is not considered by the online consumer as a piece of information concerning the rough product presented on the screen.**