



HAL
open science

**How the Confucians Related to the Buddhist World in
Late Chosŏn: Critical Reflections on Methods of
Approach**
Daeyeol Kim

► **To cite this version:**

Daeyeol Kim. How the Confucians Related to the Buddhist World in Late Chosŏn: Critical Reflections on Methods of Approach. AKSE (Association for Koreans Studies in Europe) Conference 2013, Jul 2013, Vienne, Austria. halshs-01245986

HAL Id: halshs-01245986

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-01245986>

Submitted on 20 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

*How the Confucians related to the
Buddhist world in Late Chosŏn ?*

**Synthesis of researches and
Reflections on approach**

KIM Daeyeol

INALCO, Paris

ASIA Research Team (ASIEs)

Recent Studies Reveal :

- A more general phenomenon beyond personal and isolated cases
- Limit of previous studies : depending on ideological, philosophical or literary exchanges
- Limit of previous viewpoints : sclerotic, monolithic and static regards
- Limit of previous approaches : depending on textual documentation

Amplified and pluri-disciplinary approaches in need

- Object : Contact and exchange provide information about sociocultural dynamism
- Its origins
- Its background and context
- Its indication and parameter

Why the 18th century ?

- Between the 17th and 19th centuries
- 17th c. : new relationship between State/Society and Buddhist world
- 19th c. : decline of Confucian hegemony, free and detached attitude toward Buddhist world
- 18th c. : fundamental and various changes in economic, social and cultural dimensions
- Relationship between Confucian Buddhist relationship and changes in other sociocultural spheres

Objectif of this presentation

- Drawing a simplified layout through summary of recent studies
- Proposal of tasks and approaches for further studies

I. SYTHESIS OF RECENT STUDIES

Literati studied or mentionned

강백	1690-1777, 서얼	이의현	1669-1745
김도수	1701-1733, 서얼	이익	1681-1763
김만중	1637-1692	이충익	1744-1816
김정희	1786-1856	이하곤	1677-1724
김창협	1651-1708	정시한	1625-1707
김창흡	1653-1722	정약용	1762-1836
김춘택	1670-1717	정조	1752-1800
박세당	1629-1703	정지윤	1808-1858, 역관
성대중	1732-1809	조귀명	1693-1737
신유한	1681-?, 서얼	채제공	1720-1799
유형원	1622-1673	최창대	1669-1720
이덕수	1673-1744	홍대용	1731-1783
이상적	1804-1865	홍세태	1653-1725, 역관
이언진	1740-1766, 역관		

Historical, social, cultural contexts

- Buddhism and royal family and court
- Buddhism as female religion in Yangban family
- State's acknowledgement of Buddhists monks' devotions during wars
- Buddhist efforts for resurgence
 - Scholarly promotion
 - Contribution to book production
 - Absorption of Confucian value and practice
 - Approach to Confucian literati

Historical, social, cultural contexts

- Monasteries as open space
 - Artistic attractions
 - Place for sightseeing, meeting, studying
 - Pilgrimage for government officials
- Exchanges between people from different social status, yangban and other classes

- Relationship between local administration and monasteries
 - Exploitation, cooperation and support
- → Monks and monasteries' usual existence in many spheres of society and culture

Personal contexts

- Political ordeal or alienation
- *P'ungniu* 風流 mind/taste
- Master disciple relationship
- Family relationship
- Request for writings

Kind of monks in contact

- Collapsed yangban family
- Having a Confucian grounding
- Renowned for ascetic achievement or scholarly attainment

Positive attitudes for Buddhist world

- Non-dogmatic
 - Self-reflection,
 - Critical & pragmatic mind,
 - Acknowledgment of subjectiveness
 - Extensive learning
- Comprehensive understanding of Buddhism & profound understanding of Confucianism
 - Acknowledgment of Buddhist fundamental value
 - Search for spirit of primitive Confucianism and deeper understanding of Zhu Xi's thought
- Concern about after death or invisible world

Positive attitudes for Buddhist world

- One of the ways for self-perfection
- Particular literary style in sūtra
- Concern about history of Buddhism
- Personal experience and internalisation
 - Recognition through personal exchange and experience
 - Adoption of Buddhist eye as his own not as other's
- Disillusion about Confucianism
 - Buddhists for comparison with Confucians
 - Buddhism for social criticism

Other benefits from Buddhist world

- Space for study, leisure and meeting
- Rituals for ancestors' peace
- Support for book production

Roles as Confucian for Buddhist world

- Criticism
 - Irrational, illogic ideas, ex. : idea of Samsara
 - Scholarly monks' desire for fame
 - Coexistence of critical and positive attitudes
- Social education for monks
 - Confucian education and socialization
- Support for Buddhist activities
 - Writing, compilation, book production
 - Rituals for ancestors

In 18th c. Chosŏn, probably

- Closeness and variety in relationship between Buddhism & Confucianism : more dynamic
- Significant role and place of the Buddhist world in personal and social dimension
- Buddhism was more ubiquitous

II. PERSPECTIVE FOR TASKS AND APPROACHES

Relevant texts in *Munjip ch'onggan*

김낙행 金樂行	1708 ~ 1766	윤광소 尹光紹	1708 ~ 1786
김원행 金元行	1702 ~ 1772	윤기 尹愔	1741 ~ 1826
김이안 金履安	1722 ~ 1791	윤행임 尹行恁	1762 ~ 1801
김익 金煜	1723-1790	윤행로 尹衡老	1702 ~ 1782
김재찬 金載瓚	1746-1827	이가환 李家煥	1742 ~ 1801
김종수 金鍾秀	1728 ~ 1799	이광정 李光靖	1714 ~ 1789
김종후 金鍾厚	1721 ~ 1780	이덕무 李德懋	1741-1793
남공철 南公轍	1760 ~ 1840	이동급 李東汲	1738 ~ 1811
박윤원 朴胤源	1734 ~ 1799	이만수 李晩秀	1752 ~ 1820
박제가 朴齊家	1750-1805	이만운 李萬運	1736 ~ 1820
박준원 朴準源	1739 ~ 1807	이민보 李敏輔	1717-1799
서명응 徐命膺	1716 ~ 1787	이복원 李福源	1719 ~ 1792
서형수 徐滢修	1749 ~ 1824	이상정 李象靖	1711 ~ 1781
성해응 成海應	1760 ~ 1839	이서구 李書九	1754-1825

송덕상 宋德相	1710 ~ 1783	이선 李愔	1735 ~ 1762
송명흠 宋明欽	1705 ~ 1768	이언진 李彦璘	1740 ~ 1766
송문흠 宋文欽	1710-1752	이영익 李令翊	1738 ~ 1780
송치규 宋稹圭	1759 ~ 1838	이이순 李頤淳	1754-1832
송환기 宋煥箕	1728 ~ 1807	이인상 李麟祥	1710 ~ 1760
신광수 申光洙	1712 ~ 1775	이종휘 李種徽	1731 ~ 1797
신작 申綽	1760 ~ 1828	이헌경 李獻慶	1719 ~ 1791
안석경 安錫倣	1718 ~ 1774	임희성 任希聖	1712-1783
안정복 安鼎福	1712 ~ 1791	정범조 丁範祖	1723-1801
오희상 吳熙常	1763 ~ 1833	정종노 鄭宗魯	1738-1816
위백규 魏伯珪	1727-1798	최흥원 崔興遠	1705 ~ 1786
유도원 柳道源	1721 ~ 1791	홍양호 洪良浩	1724-1802
유득공 柳得恭	1748 ~ 1807	황경원 黃景源	1709 ~ 1787
유언호 俞彦鎬	1730 ~ 1796	황덕길 黃德吉	1750-1827
유한준 俞漢雋	1732-1811	윤광소 尹光紹	1708 ~ 1786

- A majority of (representative) confucian literati were not indifferent toward or in touch with the Buddhist world !

Extended notion of literati/intellectual

- Officials in active service
- *Yangban* and collapsed *Yangban*
- *Sööl*
- *Chungin*
- Learned common people

Extention of materials

- Other *munjip* :
 - *Munjip ch'ongsŏ*
 - Non-Sadaebu *munjip* or writings
- Other documents, ex. *Komunsŏ*
- Materials from Buddhist side
- Materials from history of art and archeology :
Images, objects, etc.

Review of notions and visions

- Notions of ‘religion’ and ‘religious culture’
 - Non monotheistic, non confessional notion
 - Knowledge, representation, practice
- Historical, sociological, anthropological approaches
 - Multilayered society and culture
 - “Deep description” (Clifford Geertz)
 - Implicit meanings and structures beyond explicit discourses

- Structure of investigations
 - Factors for contact
 - Factors for interaction
 - Modes of interaction
 - Modes of relationship
- Personal and social evolution
 - Observation of person's whole life
 - Observation of sociocultural changes upon long term

Conclusion

- Not only to re-establish certain historical facts about the relationship between these two traditions
- but more generally because a better understanding of the coexistence and exchanges between different traditions may help shed light upon the cultural plurality of Chosŏn society, a plurality that served to stimulate its social and cultural dynamism

“A rose by any other name
would smell as sweet”

Shakespeare