



HAL
open science

Breastfeeding initiation and duration in France: The importance of intergenerational and previous maternal breastfeeding experiences - results from the nationwide ELFE study

Sandra Wagner, Claire Kersuzan, Severine Gojard, Christine Tichit, Sophie Nicklaus, Xavier Thierry, Marie Aline Charles, Sandrine Lioret, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain

► To cite this version:

Sandra Wagner, Claire Kersuzan, Severine Gojard, Christine Tichit, Sophie Nicklaus, et al.. Breastfeeding initiation and duration in France: The importance of intergenerational and previous maternal breastfeeding experiences - results from the nationwide ELFE study. *Midwifery*, 2019, 69, pp.67-75. <10.1016/j.midw.2018.10.020>. <halshs-01973919>

HAL Id: halshs-01973919

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-01973919v1>

Submitted on 27 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

1 **Breastfeeding initiation and duration in France: the importance of intergenerational**
2 **and previous maternal breastfeeding experiences — results from the nationwide ELFE**
3 **study**

4 **Author names and affiliations**

5 Sandra Wagner (sandra.wagner@inserm.fr)^{1,2} *, Claire Kersuzan
6 (clairekersuzan@yahoo.fr)^{3*}, Séverine Gojard (severine.gojard@inra.fr)⁴, Christine Tichit
7 (christine.tichit@inra.fr)⁴ , Sophie Nicklaus (sophie.nicklaus@inra.fr)⁵, Xavier Thierry
8 (thierry@ined.fr)⁶, Marie Aline Charles (marie-aline.charles@inserm.fr)^{1,2}, Sandrine Lioret
9 (sandrine.lioret@inserm.fr)^{1,2}, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain (blandine.delauzon@inserm.fr)
10 ^{1,2,7}

11 * The first two authors contributed equally to this work

12 ¹ INSERM, UMR1153 Epidemiology and Biostatistics Sorbonne Paris Cité Center (CRESS),
13 Early life research on later health Team (EAROH), F-75000 Paris, France.

14 ² Paris Descartes University, F-75000 Paris, France.

15 ³ Centre de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale, COMPTRASEC, UMR 5114
16 CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, F-33608 Pessac, France.

17 ⁴ Centre Maurice Halbwachs, INRA, CNRS, ENS, EHESS, F-75014 Paris, France.

18 ⁵ Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation, AgroSup Dijon, CNRS, INRA, Université
19 de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France.

20 ⁶ Institut national d'études démographiques, F-75000 Paris, France.

21 ⁷ INRA, U1125 Epidemiology and Biostatistics Sorbonne Paris Cité Center (CRESS), Early
22 life research on later health Team (EAROH), Paris, France

23

24 ***Corresponding author***

25 de Lauzon-Guillain Blandine,
26 INSERM U1153 – Eq6 ORCHAD
27 Hopital Paul Brousse Batiment 15-16
28 16 av. Paul Vaillant Couturier,
29 94807 Villejuif Cedex,
30 FRANCE
31 Tel: +33145595019; Fax: +33147269454;
32 E-mail: blandine.delauzon@inserm.fr

33

34 **Conflict of interest statement**

35 SW received a grant from Nestle Foundation outside the submitted work. SN received grants
36 from Blédina and Danone Institute International and personal fees from Nestlé Research
37 center and Danone Institute International, outside the submitted work. CT received a grant
38 from Blédina and Danone Institute International, outside the submitted work.

39 The other authors have nothing to disclose.

40 **Ethical approval**

41 The ELFE study received approvals from the Advisory Committee for the Processing of
42 Information for Health Research (Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement des Informations pour
43 la Recherche en Santé: CCTIRS) and National Data Protection Authority (Commission
44 National Informatique et Libertés: CNIL) and the National Council for Statistical Information
45 (CNIS).

46

47 **Funding source**

48 The ELFE survey is a joint project between INED (Institut National d'Etudes
49 Démographiques), INSERM (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale), EFS
50 (Etablissement Français du Sang), InVS (Institut de Veille Sanitaire), INSEE (Institut
51 National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques), the Ministry of Health (DGS, Direc-
52 tion Générale de la Santé), the Ministry of Environment (DGPR, Direction Générale de la
53 Prévention des Risques), the Ministries of Health and Employment (DREES, Direction de la
54 Recherche, des Etudes, de l'Evaluation et des Statistiques), and the CNAF (Caisse Nationale
55 des Allocations Familiales), with the support the Ministry of Research and CCDSHS (Comité
56 de Concertation pour les Données en Sciences Humaines et Sociales) and the Ministry of
57 Culture (DEPS, Département des études, de la prospective et des statistiques). As part of the
58 RECONAI platform, the study received state funding from the ANR within the framework of
59 the “Future Investments” program (reference: ANR-11- EQPX-0038).
60 This study was funded by an ANR grant within the framework “Social determinants of
61 health” (reference: ANR-12-DSSA-0001).

62

63

64

65 **Abstract:**

66 **Objective**

67 To assess the role of the mother's mother and mothers' previous personal experiences with
68 breastfeeding and childcare in breastfeeding practices.

69 **Design and setting**

70 The analysis included 13,774 mother–infant dyads from the French national birth cohort
71 ELFE. Feeding practices were assessed by face-to-face interview in maternity wards in 2011,
72 by phone interviews at months 2 and 12 post-partum and by Internet/paper questionnaires
73 monthly from months 3 to 10. Sociodemographic, maternal and newborn-related factors were
74 collected in the maternity unit and by postnatal phone interview at month 2. Multivariable
75 logistic and linear regression was used to assess the association of mother's mother and
76 mothers' previous personal experiences with breastfeeding initiation and duration.

77 **Findings**

78 Previous breastfeeding experience (i.e., whether mothers had breastfed their previous
79 children) was positively associated with both breastfeeding initiation and duration. Mothers
80 who had been breastfed themselves as infants were more likely to initiate and continue
81 breastfeeding than non-breastfed mothers. Conversely, non-breastfed mothers who had
82 received care advice from their own mother were less likely to start and maintain
83 breastfeeding. The effect of having been breastfed in infancy was especially important for
84 primiparous mothers and to a lesser extent, multiparous mothers with no previous
85 breastfeeding experience. Also, formal experience in childcare, in a professional context, was
86 associated with breastfeeding initiation but not duration.

87 **Key conclusions and implications for practice**

88 Mother's mother and mother's previous breastfeeding experience have a strong influence on
89 breastfeeding practices. Breastfeeding interventions should be tailored to the mother's level of
90 experience and should provide extra support for multiparous mothers with no previous
91 breastfeeding experience.

92 ***Keywords***

93 Breastfeeding, birth cohort, longitudinal, intergenerational transmission

94

95

96 **Background**

97

98 Given the health benefits of human milk for infants, the World Health Organization (WHO)
99 recommends exclusive breastfeeding for the first 6 months, with continued breastfeeding
100 along with appropriate complementary feeding for up to age 2 years or beyond (World Health
101 Organization, 2003). Breastfeeding practices vary substantially across industrialized countries
102 (Ibanez et al., 2012). Although cross-country comparisons of breastfeeding rates are
103 complicated by lack of comparable data, France appears to lag behind many other high-
104 income countries in terms of breastfeeding initiation, exclusivity and duration (Ibanez et al.,
105 2012; Victora et al., 2016). The rate of any breastfeeding initiation has improved in France in
106 the last 2 decades, but the temporal trend in duration and exclusivity is less certain because of
107 a scarcity of representative data. According to the French Perinatal Surveys, the rate of any
108 breastfeeding initiation increased from 52.5% in 1998 to 62.6% in 2003 and 68.7% in 2010
109 but decreased slightly to 66.7% in 2016 (Blondel et al., 2017; Blondel and Kermarrec, 2011;
110 Bonet et al., 2008).

111 Until recently, studies estimating the duration of breastfeeding in France and its associated
112 factors were based on sub-national data (Bonet et al., 2013; Branger et al., 1998, 2012;
113 Labarère et al., 2001; Lelong et al., 2000; Siret et al., 2008). The French Longitudinal Study
114 of Children (Etude Longitudinale Française depuis l'Enfance; ELFE), launched in 2011, was
115 the first longitudinal study allowing to produce national statistics on breastfeeding duration
116 and to explore family characteristics related to breastfeeding practices from a large
117 population-based sample (Vandentorren et al., 2009). Another study, the French Study on
118 Infant Food and Nutritional status (EPIFANE) conducted in 2012, was specifically designed
119 to assess infant feeding practices with a prospective and nationwide design (Salanave et al.,
120 2012, 2014). Both studies indicated that breastfeeding initiation was about 72%, but the

121 breastfeeding rate decreased rapidly, with less than 25% of infants still breastfed at 6 months
122 (Kersuzan et al., 2014; Salanave et al., 2014, 2012; Wagner et al., 2015).

123 Decisions for breastfeeding initiation and duration result from multifactorial determinants
124 (Rollins et al., 2016) such as the socioeconomic factors age, being married, education level,
125 income, and delayed return to work (Bonet et al., 2013; Branger et al., 2012; Chuang et al.,
126 2010; Kersuzan et al., 2014; Mandal et al., 2010; Noble and ALSPAC Study Team, 2001;
127 Siret et al., 2008; Wagner et al., 2015). Ethnic minority groups are frequently reported as
128 being more likely to initiate and continue breastfeeding (Chen et al., 2013; Ladewig et al.,
129 2014). In France, with the lack of a nationally representative and large sample dataset, little is
130 known about the breastfeeding pattern among migrant mothers.

131 A potential reason for low breastfeeding rates in France could be that the French government
132 has invested less effort to support breastfeeding than most other European countries (Bagci
133 Bosi et al., 2016). Increasing evidence suggests that breastfeeding support and interventions
134 improve the rates of initiation, exclusivity and duration of breastfeeding (Hawkins et al.,
135 2013; Munn et al., 2016). No country has fully met the recommended breastfeeding standards
136 (UNICEF and WHO, 2017); most of the WHO European Region Member States have
137 developed strategies and policies to encourage breastfeeding during the 1990s. However, only
138 at the beginning of the 2000s did the French government proactively promote breastfeeding
139 (Cattaneo et al., 2010) by including the objective of increasing breastfeeding rates in the
140 National Nutrition and Health Program.

141 **Some French studies** suggest that women have inadequate access to professional
142 breastfeeding resources (Vilain et al., 2013; Walburg et al., 2010). However, a recent review
143 identified the mother's mother as one of the people with the greatest influence on
144 breastfeeding decisions (Negin et al., 2016). Studies exploring a potential intergenerational
145 transmission of breastfeeding remain scarce (Negin et al., 2016) and are non-existent in

146 France. Within such a sociocultural context, that is, France, in which the use of substitutes for
147 maternal breastfeeding is common and has a long history (Rollet, 2005), prior maternal
148 experiences with breastfeeding through the mother's mother experience (i.e., having been
149 breastfed) or previous maternal breastfeeding experience (i.e., having breastfed previous
150 children) might influence further breastfeeding practices.

151 International research has reported increased rates of breastfeeding initiation among infants
152 whose mothers were themselves breastfed but have found discrepant evidence with respect to
153 breastfeeding duration (Duclos et al., 2002; Meyerink and Marquis, 2002; Susin et al., 2005).
154 Some studies have shown a longer duration of breastfeeding among mothers who were
155 breastfed as children, whereas others observed no difference between infants whose mothers
156 were breast- or formula-fed (Riva et al., 1999).

157 To the best of our knowledge, no studies have investigated whether the association between
158 having been breastfed in infancy and previous breastfeeding experience was modified by the
159 provision of mother's mother advice on child care. Also, mothers' experience with children
160 other than their own (current professional work with infants, babysitting or caring when
161 younger) may influence infant feeding decisions, but data are scarce on this aspect.

162 Taking into account the lack of study in this area, notably in France, and the public health
163 importance of identifying potential factors associated with breastfeeding practices, we
164 investigated the simultaneous effects of mother's mother practices and advice, mother's
165 previous breastfeeding experiences and previous child care experiences on the initiation and
166 duration of breastfeeding.

167

168 **Methods**

169 *Setting*

170 The current analysis was based on data from the ELFE study, a multidisciplinary, nationally
171 representative birth cohort that included more than 18,000 children born in a random sample
172 of 349 maternity units in France in 2011 (Vandentorren et al., 2009). From April 2011, all
173 infants born at the sampled locations during 25 selected recruitment days spread across the
174 four seasons could be included with their parents' consent. Inclusion criteria were birth after
175 33 weeks' gestation and mother's age 18 years or older and not planning to move outside of
176 Metropolitan France in the next 3 years. Foreign families could also participate in the study as
177 long as mothers were able to read French, Arabic, Turkish or English. Among the 349
178 selected maternity units, 320 agreed to participate and 51% of families approached in
179 maternity units consented to their child's inclusion. Data were collected in standardized
180 interviews conducted by trained interviewers and via self-completed questionnaires.

181 Participating mothers had to sign a consent form for themselves and for their child. Fathers
182 signed the consent form for the child's participation when present on inclusion days;
183 otherwise, they were informed about their right to oppose.

184 The ELFE study was approved by the Advisory Committee for Treatment of Health Research
185 Information (Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement des Informations pour la Recherche en
186 Santé), the National Data Protection Authority (Commission Nationale Informatique et
187 Libertés), and the National Statistics Council.

188 *Data collection*

189 Mothers were first interviewed face-to-face in the maternity units a few days after delivery to
190 collect standard sociodemographic data and medical information about the pregnancy and the
191 newborn. Data were also retrieved from maternal and pediatric medical records at delivery. At
192 2 and 12 months postpartum, telephone interviews with mothers and fathers were conducted
193 and included questions on 1) demographic and socioeconomic variables such as country of
194 birth, education level, employment, household monthly income, and household composition;
195 2) health variables related to both children and parents; 3) current feeding practices; 4)
196 mothers' prior experience with breastfeeding and care of children other than their own; and 5)
197 whether the mother had been breastfed herself and received advice on how to care for infants
198 from her mother. In addition, from 3 to 10 months after delivery, families were asked to
199 complete a monthly Internet/paper questionnaire on their infant's diet (feeding method,
200 introduction of food and beverages).

201 *Breastfeeding*

202 Two breastfeeding definitions were used in the present study: any breastfeeding and
203 predominant breastfeeding. Any breastfeeding was defined as the infant receiving breastmilk.
204 Predominant breastfeeding was defined as the only milk given to the infant being human milk
205 (no animal milk or infant formula).

206 During each follow-up, the infant feeding method was recorded: solely breast milk, solely
207 infant formula, both breast and formula milk (including plant-based infant formula), animal
208 milk or plant-based beverage. If the mother had stopped breastfeeding, the exact age of the
209 child when breastfeeding ended was recorded, along with the child's age when formula milk
210 was introduced. Longitudinal follow-up of infants allowed to ensure that the feeding methods

211 reported each month were compatible (i.e., the mother could not breastfeed at month X+1 if
212 she had not breastfed at all during month X). The duration of any and predominant
213 breastfeeding was calculated. If the information needed to calculate the duration was only
214 partially available for one infant, we imputed the median duration of breastfeeding estimated
215 amongst infants with the same dietary profile (e.g., still breastfed at month X but receiving
216 only formula milk at month Y) to this infant. If no information was available concerning
217 breastfeeding, no imputation was performed.

218 *Infant and maternal characteristics*

219 Because sociodemographic data were more comprehensively collected during the 2-month
220 interview than during the maternity interview and because these characteristics only
221 marginally evolved during these 2 months, we used data collected at 2 months in our
222 analyses. Sociodemographic characteristics collected during the maternity stay were used
223 only in the case of missing values at 2 months.

224 The main variables of interest (i.e., mother's mother breastfeeding experience and advice, and
225 mother's previous experience with breastfeeding or infant care) were defined as follows:

- 226 • Mother was breastfed herself (yes/no) and mother received infant care advice from her
227 own mother (yes/no), both variables combined in a four-category variable
228 (breastfed/advice, breastfed/no advice, not breastfed/advice, not breastfed/no advice);
- 229 • Parity and previous breastfeeding experience among multiparous mothers were
230 combined in a four-category variable (primiparous; breastfed all of her previous
231 children; breastfed some but not all of her previous children; breastfed none of her
232 previous children);

- 233 • Mother's previous experience caring for children other than her own [at least in her
234 professional activity; at least babysitting; only in informal babysitting arrangements
235 from time to time (siblings, others); only in frequent informal babysitting
236 arrangements (siblings, others); never];
- 237 • Birth place (France; another country).

238 Confounding variables for the main analyses were variables previously shown to be
239 associated with breastfeeding practices (Kersuzan et al., 2014; Victora et al., 2016; Wagner et
240 al., 2015): marital status (married or civil union/cohabiting/single); number of children under
241 5 years in the house (none/one or more); work status in early pregnancy (no professional
242 activity/full-time/part-time); return to work at 2 months (yes/no); maternal age at first delivery
243 (<25, 25–29, 30–34, ≥35 years old); family income per consumption unit (unknown; < €600,
244 €600–1099, €1100–1799, €1800–2999, ≥ €3000/month); maternal education level (≤ 9, 11,
245 12, ≥ 14 years); socioprofessional category (self-employed; managers and professionals;
246 technicians and associate professionals; services, sales and clerical support workers; craft
247 workers, machine operators and elementary occupations; unemployed/student); maternal body
248 mass index (BMI) before pregnancy (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25.0–29.9, ≥ 30.0 kg/m²); maternal
249 smoking during pregnancy (yes/no); and attendance at birth preparation sessions (none/at
250 least one course). Birth characteristics collected in medical records were preterm birth,
251 caesarean delivery, sex and twin birth.

252 *Sample selection*

253 For the 18,329 infants recruited, 52 parents withdrew consent within the first year. Infants for
254 whom eligibility criteria could not be verified because of missing data were also excluded
255 (n=422). Therefore, 17,855 infants were eligible. To avoid family clusters related to the

256 inclusion of twins, we randomly selected one twin from each twin pair (n=277 exclusions).
257 We excluded infants without data on breastfeeding initiation (n=73) from the analysis. Hence,
258 breastfeeding initiation rates were described for 17,505 infants and breastfeeding duration was
259 calculated for breastfed infants (n=12,662 for any breastfeeding and n=11,551 for
260 predominant breastfeeding).

261 On multivariable analyses, we excluded infants for whom the interview was not completed at
262 month 2 (n=2202) or was completed in part (n=1529), so 13,774 infants remained for the
263 analysis of breastfeeding initiation. Because breastfeeding duration was analyzed for only
264 breastfed infants, 10,182 infants were considered for the analysis of any breastfeeding
265 duration and 9,446 the analysis of predominant breastfeeding duration (see Figure 1).

266 *Statistical analyses*

267 We used the chi-square test to compare characteristics of mothers who initiated and did not
268 initiate breastfeeding, logistic regression models for breastfeeding initiation, and linear
269 regression models for breastfeeding duration (with number of months of breastfeeding as the
270 explained variable). The multivariable regression models were adjusted for all covariates
271 described in the Methods section as well as maternity unit level (level 1, 2 or 3), size (number
272 of deliveries per year), and legal status (public, for-profit private, non-profit private); region
273 of residence; and season of recruitment. Analyses were conducted separately for any
274 breastfeeding and predominant breastfeeding.

275 We also included an interaction term in logistic regression models that crossed mother's
276 mother breastfeeding experiences with mothers' own experience to investigate whether the
277 effect of family influence (mothers having been breastfed themselves or received advice from
278 their own mother) on breastfeeding practices was modified by mothers' own experience

279 (having breastfed previous children). When the interaction term was statistically significant,
280 models were also stratified by mother's own experience. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically
281 significant, and the analyses involved using SAS v9.3 (SAS Inst., Cary, NC).

282 **Results**

283 The characteristics of the study population are in **Table 1**.

284 After adjustment for confounding variables, mother's mother and mother's previous
285 experience regarding breastfeeding or childcare were associated with both breastfeeding
286 initiation and duration (**Table 2**). As compared with mothers who were not breastfed in
287 infancy and did not receive advice on childcare from their own mother, those who were
288 breastfed as infants were more likely to initiate and continue breastfeeding, whether or not the
289 mother received advice from her own mother. However, non-breastfed mothers who received
290 advice from their own mothers were less likely to initiate and continue breastfeeding. As
291 compared with primiparous mothers, multiparous mothers who breastfed all their previous
292 children were more likely to initiate and maintain breastfeeding for their current infant. In
293 contrast, as expected, multiparous mothers who had no previous breastfeeding experience
294 were less likely to initiate and maintain breastfeeding than were primiparous mothers. A
295 significant interaction was found between having been breastfed and mother's previous
296 breastfeeding experience for breastfeeding initiation (all $p_{\text{interaction}} < 0.0001$) but not
297 breastfeeding duration ($p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.53$ for any breastfeeding and $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.68$ for
298 predominant breastfeeding). Stratified analysis by mother's previous breastfeeding experience
299 (Figure 2) showed that the effect of having been breastfed as an infant was especially
300 important for primiparous mothers and to a lesser extent multiparous mothers who did not
301 breastfeed any of their children.

302 Regarding previous child care experience, mothers who had professional experience with
303 children or to a lesser extent babysitting experience were more likely to initiate breastfeeding
304 than those with no previous child care experience. However, no association was found
305 between mothers' experience caring for children other than their offspring and breastfeeding
306 duration.

307 Finally, foreign-born mothers had a higher breastfeeding initiation rate and longer
308 breastfeeding duration than native French mothers, but this effect was observed for only any
309 breastfeeding: mother's country of birth had no effect on predominant breastfeeding.

310 **Discussion**

311 In the ELFE cohort, initiation and duration of breastfeeding were positively related to mothers
312 having been breastfed themselves and breastfeeding all previous children. In line with our
313 speculations, in the French context, with a long history of resistance to breastfeeding and with
314 state efforts to promote breastfeeding low as compared with other countries in the European
315 region, the effect of mother's mother and mother's previous breastfeeding experience were
316 strong predictors of breastfeeding practices.

317 The "Theory of Planned Behavior" posits that prior experience with a particular behavior
318 directly influences perceived behavioral control (Ajzen and Madden, 1986; Bai et al., 2015;
319 Nagy et al., 2001). Thus, mothers who had previously breastfed would be more likely to do so
320 again as compared with mothers who had formula-fed their infant. The results of the current
321 study support the scarce studies showing personal breastfeeding experience significantly
322 related to mothers' current feeding choice. Primiparous mothers who, by definition, had no
323 previous personal experience with an infant-feeding method, were less likely to initiate and
324 continue breastfeeding than were multiparous mothers who breastfed all of their preceding
325 children; however, the former were more likely to breastfeed than were multiparous mothers
326 who had breastfed none of their previous children. Multiparous mothers who breastfed only
327 some of their preceding children were less likely to initiate breastfeeding than were
328 primiparous mothers, but both continued to breastfeed at about the same rate. These findings
329 show the importance of the initial decision at the first birth, because once it has been made, it
330 is likely to be reproduced at subsequent births.

331 Our results highlight that having been breastfed was positively related to both initiation and
332 duration of breastfeeding. Several studies reported that previous infant-feeding experiences
333 were more predictive of feeding decisions for the current infant than were social influences

334 (Kloeblen-Tarver and al., 2002), although some studies found an association between parity
335 and breastfeeding practices (Colombo et al., 2018) and others did not (Guo et al., 2013). In
336 our study, being a breastfed mother was more strongly related to breastfeeding behavior
337 among mothers who lacked experience (i.e., primiparous mothers) than multiparous mothers
338 who could rely on their own experience and presumably personal beliefs.

339 A mother can consciously or unconsciously influence her daughter's infant feeding decisions
340 in a number of ways (Ekstrom et al., 2003). Our analyses showed that the mother's mother
341 specific advice on care for a newborn influences a mother's decision to initiate and continue
342 breastfeeding, although this effect was observed for only mothers who had not been breastfed
343 themselves. Mothers who had been formula-fed themselves and received advice from their
344 own mothers had the lowest rates of breastfeeding initiation and duration. A recent review
345 highlighted that the mother's mother or father can influence breastfeeding duration (Negin et
346 al., 2016). Thus, intergenerational transmission of infant feeding in the past could be
347 reinforced for women relying on their own mothers' advice for childcare after delivery.

348 Results from an intervention study, designed to encourage mother's mother education in the
349 field of breastfeeding showed that the attitude of the mother's mother toward breastfeeding is
350 mainly influenced by her experience with breastfeeding, regardless of whether she received
351 the intervention. If a mother's mother has not breastfed, she may offer advice that reflects her
352 experience with bottle-feeding, which undermines the present recommendations for the
353 breastfeeding of infants. Consistent with our results, some studies (Kohlhuber et al., 2008;
354 Scott et al., 2001) noted a positive association of perceived preference or support of the
355 mother's mother for breastfeeding with breastfeeding initiation. Similarly, many
356 grandmothers appeared to advocate feeding with supplementary bottles in addition to
357 breastfeeding (Susin et al., 2005), which predicted breastfeeding cessation before 3 months
358 postpartum.

359 These findings suggest that health promotion messages focusing on breastfeeding would be
360 more efficient by accounting for these personal and intergenerational experiences than more
361 prescriptive ones, because a previous negative experience of the mother, or even the mother's
362 mother, would counteract the prescriptive messages. In particular, for women who decided
363 not to breastfeed their previous children, the reason for this choice must be understood to
364 adapt the message. Moreover, special support must be developed to help mothers with no
365 family support on breastfeeding to initiate and maintain breastfeeding.

366 A mothers' previous experience of childcare was also related to breastfeeding, although this
367 effect was observed for only breastfeeding initiation. Mothers who had babysitting experience
368 or cared for children in their professional activity initiated breastfeeding more often than did
369 those without such experience. This finding supports results from one study demonstrating a
370 strong effect of professional work with infants on breastfeeding initiation (Gojard, 2000).
371 Mothers who had professional experience with children may have received child care training
372 and thus may have been more exposed to dominant early childhood discourse that encouraged
373 breastfeeding.

374 Being born in another country — where breastfeeding may be common as compared with
375 France — was found associated with breastfeeding but only with non-predominant
376 breastfeeding. This finding was previously noted (Bonet et al., 2008, 2013; Chen et al., 2013;
377 Ladewig et al., 2014) and may be due to cultural values and beliefs favoring partial
378 breastfeeding rather than exclusive breastfeeding, such as the negative effect of colostrum for
379 the infant (McLachlan and Forster, 2006). More generally, the influence of geographical
380 origin on breastfeeding and the type of breastfeeding chosen (any or predominant) is probably
381 linked to the fact that norms and practices from the country of origin are maintained after
382 immigration (Schmied et al., 2012). Similar differences in breastfeeding duration between

383 native and foreign mothers were found in the United States (Sparks, 2011), Spain (Oves
384 Suárez et al., 2014), Ireland (Ladewig et al., 2014), and Sweden (Wallby and Hjern, 2009).
385 Another reason could be the “Healthy Migrant Effect,” suggesting that foreign mothers want
386 to have the best infant feeding education in their new environment (Chen et al., 2013); for
387 example, an international study showed that a Chinese mother who had immigrated to
388 Australia initiated breastfeeding more often and breastfed longer than a Chinese mother living
389 in China.

390 We acknowledge the inability to study exclusive breastfeeding in that the ELFE study data do
391 not allow for using a definition consistent with the WHO because the use of water, water-
392 based drinks and fruit juice in the 0- to 2-month period were not collected. However, the
393 ELFE study has several notable strengths for the analysis of breastfeeding practices in France.
394 First, it was the first prospective cohort survey conducted in France that allowed for the
395 analysis of any or predominant breastfeeding practices with a broad representative sample of
396 births, ensuring good statistical power. Second, because data from the ELFE study had been
397 collected in maternity units and monthly from 2 to 12 months, the period of recall was short
398 for breastfeeding duration, which may have limited recall bias. Third, the collection of
399 detailed socioeconomic and family data allowed for taking into account many potential
400 confounding variables usually associated with breastfeeding initiation or duration, such as
401 birth characteristics, smoking status, education, and socioprofessional category (Bonet et al.,
402 2013; Brand et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2006).

403 In conclusion, our results from a large nationally representative cohort highlight the
404 importance of mother’s mother and personal breastfeeding experiences for breastfeeding
405 practices. Even if the mothers decide to breastfeed or not breastfeed their infant, they are
406 influenced by sociocultural factors and those related to societal norms and public policy. The

407 weak historical policy support for breastfeeding in a context of a common and long-
408 established practice for mothers to not breastfeeding could explain in part the lower
409 breastfeeding rates in France than in most other high-income countries. However, health
410 promotion messages focusing on breastfeeding should not be prescriptive and should not
411 ignore the wider social, economic and environmental factors that shape mothers’
412 breastfeeding choices. Recent approaches to infant feeding support a shift away from
413 breastfeeding promotion and toward providing practical and ongoing “mother-centered”
414 feeding support. Our results underline that these supports should be targeted at mothers with
415 no experience, either direct or indirect, with breastfeeding in order to increase their
416 breastfeeding knowledge and practical breastfeeding expertise. This support could also
417 involve the mother’s mother, especially when the mother was not breastfed, to improve her
418 attitudes toward breastfeeding.

References

- 419 Ajzen, I., Madden, T.J., 1986. Prediction of goal-directed behavior: Attitudes, intentions, and
420 perceived behavioral control. *J. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 22, 453–474.
421 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(86\)90045-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(86)90045-4)
- 422 Bagci Bosi, A.T., Eriksen, K.G., Sobko, T., Wijnhoven, T.M.A., Breda, J., 2016. Breastfeeding practices
423 and policies in WHO European Region Member States. *Public Health Nutr.* 19, 753–764.
424 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980015001767>
- 425 Bai, D.L., Fong, D.Y.T., Tarrant, M., 2015. Previous Breastfeeding Experience and Duration of Any and
426 Exclusive Breastfeeding among Multiparous Mothers. *Birth.*
- 427 Blondel, B., Coulm, B., Bonnet, C., Goffinet, F., Le Ray, C., National Coordination Group of the
428 National Perinatal Surveys, 2017. Trends in perinatal health in metropolitan France from
429 1995 to 2016: Results from the French National Perinatal Surveys. *J. Gynecol. Obstet. Hum.*
430 *Reprod.* 46, 701–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogoh.2017.09.002>
- 431 Blondel, B., Kermarrec, M., 2011. French national perinatal survey 2010. Epidemiological Research
432 Unit on Perinatal Health and women and children’s health, INSERM, Paris.
- 433 Bonet, M., Foix l’Hélias, L., Blondel, B., 2008. Allaitement maternel exclusif et allaitement partiel en
434 maternité : la situation en France en 2003. *Arch. Pédiatrie* 15, 1407–1415.
- 435 Bonet, M., Marchand, L., Kaminski, M., Fohran, A., Betoko, A., Charles, M.-A., Blondel, B., 2013.
436 Breastfeeding duration, social and occupational characteristics of mothers in the French
437 “EDEN mother-child” cohort. *Matern. Child Health J.* 17, 714–722.
- 438 Brand, E., Kothari, C., Stark, M.A., 2011. Factors related to breastfeeding discontinuation between
439 hospital discharge and 2 weeks postpartum. *J. Perinat. Educ.* 20, 36–44.
440 <https://doi.org/10.1891/1058-1243.20.1.36>
- 441 Branger, B., Cebron, M., Picherot, G., de Cornulier, M., 1998. Facteurs influençant la durée de
442 l’allaitement maternel chez 150 femmes. *Arch. Pédiatrie* 5, 489–496.

443 Branger, B., Dinot-Mariau, L., Lemoine, N., Godon, N., Merot, E., Brehu, S., Winer, N., Brossier, J.-P.,
444 2012. Durée d'allaitement maternel et facteurs de risques d'arrêt d'allaitement: évaluation
445 dans 15 maternités du Réseau de santé en périnatalité des Pays de la Loire. *Arch. Pédiatrie*
446 19, 1164–1176.

447 Cattaneo, A., Burmaz, T., Arendt, M., Nilsson, I., Mikiel-Kostyra, K., Kondrate, I., Communal, M.J.,
448 Massart, C., Chapin, E., Fallon, M., "Promotion of Breastfeeding in Europe: Pilot Testing the
449 Blueprint for Action" Project, 2010. Protection, promotion and support of breast-feeding in
450 Europe: progress from 2002 to 2007. *Public Health Nutr.* 13, 751–759.
451 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980009991844>

452 Chen, S., Binns, C.W., Zhao, Y., Maycock, B., Liu, Y., 2013. Breastfeeding by Chinese Mothers in
453 Australia and China: The Healthy Migrant Effect. *J. Hum. Lact.* 29, 246–252.
454 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334413475838>

455 Chuang, C.-H., Chang, P.-J., Chen, Y.-C., Hsieh, W.-S., Hurng, B.-S., Lin, S.-J., Chen, P.-C., 2010.
456 Maternal return to work and breastfeeding: a population-based cohort study. *Int. J. Nurs.*
457 *Stud.* 47, 461–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2009.09.003>

458 Colombo, L., Crippa, B.L., Consonni, D., Bettinelli, M.E., Agosti, V., Mangino, G., Bezze, E.N., Mauri,
459 P.A., Zanotta, L., Roggero, P., Plevani, L., Bertoli, D., Gianni, M.L., Mosca, F., 2018.
460 Breastfeeding Determinants in Healthy Term Newborns. *Nutrients* 10, 48.
461 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10010048>

462 Duclos, C., Dabadie, A., Branger, B., Poulain, P., Grall, J.Y., Le Gall, E., 2002. [Factors associated with
463 the choice of breast or bottle-feeding for hospitalized newborns]. *Arch. Pediatr. Organe Off.*
464 *Soc. Francaise Pediatr.* 9, 1031–1038.

465 Ekstrom, A., Widstrom, A.-M., Nissen, E., 2003. Breastfeeding Support from Partners and
466 Grandmothers: Perceptions of Swedish Women. *Birth* 30, 261–266.
467 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-536X.2003.00256.x>

468 Gojard, S., 2000. L'alimentation dans la prime enfance. Diffusion et réception des normes de
469 puériculture. *Rev. Fr. Sociol.* 41, 475–512. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3322542>

470 Guo, S., Fu, X., Scherpbier, R.W., Wang, Y., Zhou, H., Wang, X., Hipgrave, D.B., 2013. Breastfeeding
471 rates in central and western China in 2010: implications for child and population health. *Bull.*
472 *World Health Organ.* 91, 322–331. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.12.111310>

473 Hawkins, S.S., Stern, A.D., Gillman, M.W., 2013. Do state breastfeeding laws in the US promote
474 breastfeeding? *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 67, 250–256. [https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-](https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2012-201619)
475 2012-201619

476 Ibanez, G., Martin, N., Denantes, M., Saurel-Cubizolles, M.-J., Ringa, V., Magnier, A.-M., 2012.
477 Prevalence of breastfeeding in industrialized countries. *Rev. D'Épidémiologie Santé Publique*
478 60, 305–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respe.2012.02.008>

479 Kersuzan, C., Gojard, S., Tichit, C., Thierry, X., Wagner, S., Nicklaus, S., Geay, B., Charles, M.-A., Lioret,
480 S., De Lauzon-Guillain, B., 2014. Prévalence de l'allaitement à la maternité selon les
481 caractéristiques des parents et les conditions de l'accouchement. Résultats de l'Enquête Elfe
482 maternité, France métropolitaine, 2011. *Bull. Épidémiologique Hebd.-BEH* 440–449.

483 Kloeblen-Tarver, A.S., Thompson, N.J., Miner, K.R., 2002. Intent to breast-feed: the impact of
484 attitudes, norms, parity, and experience. *Am. J. Health Behav.* 26, 182–187.

485 Kohlhuber, M., Rebhan, B., Schwegler, U., Koletzko, B., Fromme, H., 2008. Breastfeeding rates and
486 duration in Germany: a Bavarian cohort study. *Br. J. Nutr.* 99.
487 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114508864835>

488 Labarère, J., Dalla-Lana, C., Schelstraete, C., Rivier, A., Callec, M., Polverelli, J.F., François, P., 2001.
489 Initiation et durée de l'allaitement maternel dans les établissements d'Aix et Chambéry
490 (France). *Arch. Pédiatrie* 8, 807–815.

491 Ladewig, E.L., Hayes, C., Browne, J., Layte, R., Reulbach, U., 2014. The influence of ethnicity on
492 breastfeeding rates in Ireland: a cross-sectional study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 68,
493 356–362. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2013-202735>

494 Lelong, N., Saurel-Cubizolles, M.J., Bouvier-Colle, M.H., Kaminski, M., 2000. Durée de l’allaitement
495 maternel en France. *Arch. Pédiatrie* 7, 571–572.

496 Mandal, B., Roe, B.E., Fein, S.B., 2010. The differential effects of full-time and part-time work status
497 on breastfeeding. *Health Policy Amst. Neth.* 97, 79–86.
498 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2010.03.006>

499 McLachlan, H.L., Forster, D.A., 2006. Initial breastfeeding attitudes and practices of women born in
500 Turkey, Vietnam and Australia after giving birth in Australia. *Int Breastfeed J* 1, 1–10.

501 Meyerink, R.O., Marquis, G.S., 2002. Breastfeeding initiation and duration among low-income
502 women in Alabama: the importance of personal and familial experiences in making infant-
503 feeding choices. *J. Hum. Lact.* 18, 38–45.

504 Munn, A.C., Newman, S.D., Mueller, M., Phillips, S.M., Taylor, S.N., 2016. The Impact in the United
505 States of the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative on Early Infant Health and Breastfeeding
506 Outcomes. *Breastfeed. Med.* 11, 222–230. <https://doi.org/10.1089/bfm.2015.0135>

507 Nagy, E., Orvos, H., Pal, A., Kovacs, L., Loveland, K., 2001. Breastfeeding duration and previous
508 breastfeeding experience. *Acta Paediatr.* 90, 51–56.

509 Negin, J., Coffman, J., Vizintin, P., Raynes-Greenow, C., 2016. The influence of grandmothers on
510 breastfeeding rates: a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 16.
511 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0880-5>

512 Noble, S., ALSPAC Study Team, 2001. Maternal employment and the initiation of breastfeeding. *Acta*
513 *Paediatr. Oslo Nor.* 1992 90, 423–428.

514 Oves Suárez, B., Escartín Madurga, L., Samper Villagrasa, M.P., Cuadrón Andrés, L., Álvarez Sauras,
515 M.L., Lasarte Velillas, J.J., Moreno Aznar, L.A., Rodríguez Martínez, G., Grupo Colaborativo
516 CALINA, 2014. Immigration and factors associated with breastfeeding. CALINA study. *An.*
517 *Pediatría* 81, 32–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anpedi.2013.09.008>

518 Riva, E., Banderali, G., Agostoni, C., Silano, M., Radaelli, G., Giovannini, M., 1999. Factors associated
519 with initiation and duration of breastfeeding in Italy. *Acta Paediatr. Oslo Nor.* 1992 88, 411–
520 415.

521 Rollet, C., 2005. Histoire de l’allaitement en France: Pratiques et représentations. *Sante et*
522 *Allaitement Maternel.*

523 Rollins, N.C., Bhandari, N., Hajeerhoy, N., Horton, S., Lutter, C.K., Martines, J.C., Piwoz, E.G., Richter,
524 L.M., Victora, C.G., 2016. Why invest, and what it will take to improve breastfeeding
525 practices? *The Lancet* 387, 491–504. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)01044-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01044-2)

526 Salanave, B., de Launay, C., Boudet-Berquier, J., Castetbon, K., 2014. Durée de l’allaitement maternel
527 en France (Épifane 2012-2013). *BEH* N°27.

528 Salanave, B., de Launay, C., Guerrisi, C., Castetbon, K., 2012. Taux d’allaitement maternel à la
529 maternité et au premier mois de l’enfant. Résultats de l’étude Épifane, France, 2012. *BEH*
530 N°34.

531 Schmied, V., Olley, H., Burns, E., Duff, M., Dennis, C.-L., Dahlen, H.G., 2012. Contradictions and
532 conflict: A meta-ethnographic study of migrant women’s experiences of breastfeeding in a
533 new country. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 12, 163.

534 Scott, J.A., Landers, M.C., Hughes, R.M., Binns, C.W., 2001. Factors associated with breastfeeding at
535 discharge and duration of breastfeeding. *J. Paediatr. Child Health* 37, 254–261.

536 Siret, V., Castel, C., Boileau, P., Castetbon, K., Foix l’Hélias, L., 2008. Facteurs associés à l’allaitement
537 maternel du nourrisson jusqu’à 6 mois à la maternité de l’hôpital Antoine-Béclère, Clamart.
538 *Arch. Pédiatrie* 15, 1167–1173.

539 Sparks, P.J., 2011. Racial/Ethnic Differences in Breastfeeding Duration among WIC-Eligible Families.
540 *Womens Health Issues* 21, 374–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.whi.2011.03.002>

541 Susin, L.R.O., Giugliani, E.R.J., Kummer, S.C., 2005. [Influence of grandmothers on breastfeeding
542 practices]. *Rev. Saude Publica* 39, 141–147. <https://doi.org/S0034-89102005000200001>
543 Taylor, J.S., Risica, P.M., Geller, L., Kirtania, U., Cabral, H.J., 2006. Duration of breastfeeding among
544 first-time mothers in the United States: results of a national survey. *Acta Paediatr. Oslo Nor.*
545 1992 95, 980–984. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08035250600750064>
546 UNICEF, WHO, 2017. Global Breastfeeding Scorecard. Tracking Progress for Breastfeeding Policies
547 and Programmes.
548 Vandentorren, S., Bois, C., Pirus, C., Sarter, H., Salines, G., Leridon, H., the Elfe team, 2009.
549 Rationales, design and recruitment for the Elfe longitudinal study. *BMC Pediatr.* 9, 58.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-9-58>
551 Victora, C.G., Bahl, R., Barros, A.J., França, G.V., Horton, S., Krasevec, J., Murch, S., Sankar, M.J.,
552 Walker, N., Rollins, N.C., 2016. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms,
553 and lifelong effect. *The Lancet* 387, 475–490.
554 Vilain, A., Gonzalez, L., Rey, S., Matet, N., Blondel, B., 2013. Surveillance de la grossesse en 2010 : des
555 inégalités socio-démographiques. (No. 848), *Études et résultats. DREES.*
556 Wagner, S., Kersuzan, C., Gojard, S., Tichit, C., Nicklaus, S., Geay, B., Humeau, P., Thierry, X., Charles,
557 M.-A., Lioret, S., others, 2015. Durée de l’allaitement en France selon les caractéristiques des
558 parents et de la naissance. Résultats de l’étude longitudinale française Elfe, 2011. *Bull.*
559 *Epidémiologie Hebd.-BEH* 522–532.
560 Walburg, V., Goehlich, M., Conquet, M., Callahan, S., Schölmerich, A., Chabrol, H., 2010. Breast
561 feeding initiation and duration: comparison of French and German mothers. *Midwifery* 26,
562 109–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2008.04.001>
563 Wallby, T., Hjern, A., 2009. Region of birth, income and breastfeeding in a Swedish county. *Acta*
564 *Paediatr.* 98, 1799–1804. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2009.01455.x>
565 World Health Organization, 2003. Global strategy for infant and young child feeding.

566

567 Table 1. Characteristics of the study population

	Total (n=13774)	Any breastfeeding initiation		
		No (n=3592)	Yes (n=10182)	
Maternal characteristics				
Maternal age at first delivery, years				<0.0001
<25	24.2 (3326)	31.9 (1147)	21.4 (2179)	
25-29	43.4 (5983)	42.1 (1514)	43.9 (4469)	
30-34	25.0 (3442)	20.0 (720)	26.7 (2722)	
≥35	7.4 (1023)	5.9 (211)	8.0 (812)	
Maternal BMI before pregnancy, kg/m ²				<0.0001
<18.5	7.6 (1053)	8.3 (297)	7.4 (756)	
18.5-24.9	65.7 (9055)	60.1 (2159)	67.7 (6896)	
25.0-29.9	17.1 (2356)	19.0 (682)	16.4 (1674)	
≥30.0	9.5 (1310)	12.6 (454)	8.4 (856)	
Marital status				<0.0001
Single	4.3 (597)	4.8 (172)	4.2 (425)	
Cohabiting	34.5 (4751)	40.5 (1454)	32.4 (3297)	
Married/civil union	61.2 (8426)	54.7 (1966)	63.4 (6460)	
Maternal education level, years				<0.0001
≤ 9	3.1 (424)	4.2 (151)	2.7 (273)	
11	12.6 (1734)	19.6 (705)	10.1 (1029)	
12	19.5 (2686)	23.4 (841)	18.1 (1845)	
≥ 14	64.8 (8930)	52.8 (1895)	69.1 (7035)	
Time worked during pregnancy				0.07
No professional activity	25.2 (3472)	26.6 (954)	24.7 (2518)	
Part-time	15.9 (2184)	15.2 (545)	16.1 (1639)	
Full-time	58.9 (8118)	58.3 (2093)	59.2 (6025)	
Return to work at 2 months				0.0002
No	93.6 (12893)	92.3 (3316)	94.1 (9577)	
Yes	6.4 (881)	7.7 (276)	5.9 (605)	
Family and maternal experience				
Mother's own breastfeeding status and advice from her mother				<0.0001
Breastfed/advice	23.5 (3232)	14.2 (511)	26.7 (2721)	
Breastfed/no advice	26.3 (3621)	15.2 (546)	30.2 (3075)	
Not breastfed/advice	20.7 (2847)	32.1 (1152)	16.6 (1695)	
Not breastfed/no advice	29.6 (4074)	38.5 (1383)	26.4 (2691)	
Breastfeeding previous children				<0.0001
Primiparous mother	45.2 (6223)	42.6 (1530)	46.1 (4693)	
None for previous children	15.0 (2067)	41.9 (1506)	5.5 (561)	
Not for all previous children	2.9 (404)	4.8 (173)	2.3 (231)	
All previous children	36.9 (5080)	10.7 (383)	46.1 (4697)	
Maternal previous experience in child's care				<0.0001
None	27.2 (3745)	26.5 (951)	27.4 (2794)	

Some (siblings, other)	23.8 (3276)	27.0 (971)	22.6 (2305)	
Often (siblings, other)	11.8 (1622)	14.4 (517)	10.9 (1105)	
At least babysitting	24.1 (3317)	22.4 (804)	24.7 (2513)	
At least via professional activity	13.2 (1814)	9.7 (349)	14.4 (1465)	
Maternal country of birth				<0.0001
France	89.7 (12348)	95.9 (3445)	87.4 (8903)	
Another country	10.4 (1426)	4.1 (147)	12.6 (1279)	

568 Data are % (n); differences by breastfeeding initiation were tested by chi-square.

569 BMI, body mass index

570 Table 2. Association between family and maternal experience and breastfeeding initiation or duration in the ELFE study.

	Breastfeeding initiation				Breastfeeding duration (months)			
	Any (n=13774)		Predominant (n=13774)		Any (n=10182)		Predominant (n=9446)	
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	β (95% CI)	p-value	β (95% CI)	p-value
Family and maternal experience								
Mother's own breastfeeding status and advice from her mother		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
Breastfed/advice	1.9 (1.7 ; 2.2)		1.7 (1.5 ; 1.9)		0.4 (0.2 ; 0.6)		0.1 (-0.1 ; 0.3)	
Breastfed/no advice	2.0 (1.7 ; 2.3)		1.7 (1.5 ; 2.0)		0.5 (0.3 ; 0.7)		0.4 (0.2 ; 0.6)	
Not breastfed/advice	0.8 (0.7 ; 0.9)		0.8 (0.7 ; 0.9)		-0.4 (-0.7 ; -0.2)		-0.4 (-0.6 ; -0.2)	
Not breastfed/no advice	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)		0 (Ref)		0 (Ref)	
Breastfeeding previous children		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
Primiparous mother	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)		0 (Ref)		0 (Ref)	
None for previous children	0.2 (0.2 ; 0.2)		0.2 (0.2 ; 0.2)		-0.7 (-1.1 ; -0.3)		-0.3 (-0.7 ; 0.0)	
Not for all previous children	0.7 (0.5 ; 0.8)		0.7 (0.5 ; 0.8)		0.4 (-0.1 ; 0.9)		0.2 (-0.2 ; 0.7)	
All previous children	5.0 (4.2 ; 6.0)		3.8 (3.2 ; 4.5)		1.5 (1.3 ; 1.8)		1.0 (0.8 ; 1.3)	
Previous experience in childcare		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.002		0.02
None	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)		0 (Ref)		0 (Ref)	
Some (siblings, other children)	1.0 (0.9 ; 1.1)		1.0 (0.9 ; 1.1)		-0.3 (-0.5 ; -0.1)		-0.2 (-0.4 ; 0.0)	
Often (siblings, other children)	1.1 (0.9 ; 1.3)		1.0 (0.9 ; 1.2)		-0.1 (-0.4 ; 0.2)		-0.1 (-0.4 ; 0.1)	
At least babysitting	1.2 (1.1 ; 1.4)		1.2 (1.0 ; 1.3)		-0.1 (-0.3 ; 0.1)		0.0 (-0.2 ; 0.1)	
At least via professional activity	1.6 (1.3 ; 1.9)		1.4 (1.2 ; 1.6)		0.2 (0 ; 0.5)		0.2 (0.0 ; 0.4)	
Maternal country of birth		<0.0001		0.2		<0.0001		0.002
France	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)		0 (Ref)		0 (Ref)	
Another country	2.1 (1.7 ; 2.6)		1.1 (1.0 ; 1.3)		1.2 (1 ; 1.5)		0.3 (0.1 ; 0.6)	

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval

Multivariable logistic and linear regression, adjusted for maternal age at first delivery (<25, 25-29, 30-34, \geq 35 years), marital status (married or

civil union/cohabiting/single), number of children under 5 years of age in the house (none/one or more), time worked during pregnancy (no professional activity/full-time/ part-time), return to work at 2 months (yes/no), infant's sex (boy/girl), twin birth (yes/no), pre-term birth (yes/no), attendance at birth preparation courses (none/at least one course), mode of delivery (cesarian/vaginal), socioprofessional category (self-employed; managers and professionals; technicians and associate professionals; services, sales and clerical support; craft workers, machine operators and elementary occupations; unemployed/student), maternal education level ($\leq 9, 11, 12, \geq 14$ years), maternal BMI before pregnancy ($< 18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25.0-29.9, \geq 30.0$ kg/m²), family income (unknown; $< \text{€}600, \text{€}600-1099, \text{€}1100-1799, \text{€}1800-2999, \geq \text{€}3000$ /month), maternal smoking during pregnancy (yes/no), maternity unit category (level 1, 2 or 3), maternity unit size (145-699, 700-1009, 1010-1418, 1422-2187, 2197-5215 deliveries/year), maternity unit legal status (public, for-profit private, non-profit private), wave of recruitment (1, 2, 3 or 4), region of residence.

Figure 1. Flow-chart of selection of analysis population

571





Figure 2. Association between family breastfeeding experience and breastfeeding initiation by maternal previous breastfeeding experience (n=13774)



Multivariable logistic and linear regression, adjusted for previous experience in child care, maternal country of birth, maternal age at first delivery, marital status, number of children under 5 years of age in the house, time worked during pregnancy, return to work at 2 months, infant's sex, twin birth, pre-term birth, attendance of birth preparation courses, mode of delivery, socioprofessional category, maternal education level, maternal BMI before pregnancy, family income, maternity unit category (level 1, 2 or 3), maternity unit size (145-699, 700-1009, 1010-1418,

1422-2187, 2197-5215 deliveries/year), maternity unit legal status (public, for-profit private, non-profit private), wave of recruitment (1, 2, 3 or 4), region of residence.