Qadis and Their Social Networks: Defining the Judge’s Neutrality in Abbasid Iraq

Mathieu Tillier
Université Paris-Sorbonne, France

Abstract: Early Abbasid qadis were appointed not only to dispense justice but also to manage properties. They needed, therefore, to know the business of their district’s population, and their integration into local society facilitated their understanding of social dynamics. However, their membership in local and tribal networks could threaten their judicial neutrality. How could the relations a qadi maintained with his social environment be reconciled with the imperatives of Islamic justice? This article proposes that two types of solutions were experimented with. On the administrative level, qadis designated from outside their judicial district reduced the influence of their family and tribal networks, which led them to rely on new networks, through professional witnesses in particular. At the same time, Islamic legal theory came to discuss a qadi’s ability to base his legal judgment on his personal knowledge of a case, which allowed defining a strict separation between a qadi’s social individuality and his judicial function.
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INTRODUCTION

Legal sources regarding the functioning of the Islamic judiciary highlight the social dimension of the qadis’ dispensing of justice. One of the earliest theoretical texts on the subject, a letter attributed to the Caliph ’Umar (r. 13-23/634-644), considers the qadi not only a judge but also a mediator whose role was primarily to restore social harmony. Instead of deciding between litigants, he could help them reach an amicable settlement. Inside the courtroom, moreover, social hierarchies were supposed to be abolished. Whatever their religion, social position, or wealth, litigants should be equal before the law. The qadi had to refrain from any show of favor toward any of them and deal with them all in exactly the same way. In addition to abolishing social hierarchies, institutional hierarchies were also modified. At his hearing, a qadi was regarded as the only master after God. Although he was, administratively, a mere delegate of the sovereign, even the ruler should submit to the qadi’s justice if he were to appear before him. This model that Muslim jurists developed gave judges considerable power.

However, this important authority could threaten the absolute neutrality required of a qadi. The judiciary’s functioning during the classical period increased this danger. According to procedures that had been implemented since the first/seventh century, the Muslim qadi was a single judge, who passed judgment alone, having sole jurisdiction over a district that usually encompassed an entire city and its hinterland. Because of this isolation, a judge could hardly withdraw (or be impeached) in favor of another judge when confronted with a case whose ramifications affected him personally. This problem was identified by the authors of *adab al-
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qādī manuals (treatises about judicial procedures and qadis’ duties), who address a judge’s social relationships and the way he should manage them to preserve his neutrality. In the mid-twentieth century, Emile Tyan discussed their recommendations in terms of moral behavior: he showed how qadis’ social activities multiplied the risks of corruption. I would like to reopen this file through another, social and institutional, prism. Relying on legal and biographical sources concerning Abbasid Iraq (second/eighth to fourth/tenth century), I will first argue that qadis could not avoid the surrounding social world in exercising their judicial functions. Second, I will show how their inclusion in social networks made them vulnerable to pressure. Finally, I will focus on administrative and legal solutions that were supposed to minimize their social integration’s impact on the course of justice.

A QADI MUST KNOW THE PEOPLE UNDER HIS JURISDICTION

In Iraq during the 750s CE, because of the procedures of the courts, a qadi needed to keep an eye on the urban society in which he dispensed justice. He had to know his district’s population for professional purposes. A large part of the judicial process was based on the oral testimony (bayyīna) of two honest and trustworthy witnesses. If the qadi was uncertain about the reliability of witnesses testifying at his hearing, he needed to investigate their morality. At the beginning of the Abbasid period, the court’s structure was still rudimentary, and there were only few judicial auxiliaries. The qadi often had to check the witnesses’ probity (ʾadāla) himself. Wakiʿ describes how Sawwār b. ʿAbdallāh al-ʿAnbarī, qadi of Basra (in office 137/754-5, then 140-156/757-773), went on his mule to the Azd quarter at night with an auxiliary perched on a donkey, knocked at several houses, and asked their inhabitants about a witness. A few years later, ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad al-Makhzūmī did the same. Being a judge thus meant meeting with the local population in order to assess its members’ morality: the legal system’s rules of evidence depended on it.

The knowledge that a qadi needed to acquire about his district went beyond issues of legal evidence. Iraqi qadis also exercised municipal administrative functions. Among their main tasks, they had to stand in for adult males who could not manage their personal and family assets — because they were deceased, missing, or legally incapable. As guardian of an orphan girl from Kufa in the late second/eighth century, Ḥafṣ b. Ghiyāth al-Nakhaʾī (in office 177?-194/793-810) ordered an auxiliary to investigate the orthodoxy and morality of a man who wanted to marry her, in order to protect her from the marriage’s possible negative consequences. Qadis also managed absent persons’ properties — especially those of travelers — and thus needed to find honest men to safeguard their money. In the third/ninth century, the Iraqi Ḥanafī jurist al-Khaṣṣāf (d. 261/874) recommended that qadis find trustworthy neighbors to provide accommodation to women who accused their husbands of ill-treatment; they would then ask these neighbors about the husband’s conduct toward his wife. In many other cases,
the qadi had to rely on men “whom he trusted” (*yathiqu bihim*), an expression that reveals once again the personal knowledge about his constituency a qadi needed to acquire. Much of his work depended on his ability to collect intelligence about people he did not know personally. In theory, he was thus at the center of a network of righteous people on whom he could rely for help in administering the city.

A qadi should not only know his district’s people, but also understand the local society at large. In Iraq, where tribes were still the basic social unit, he had to master genealogies and the composition of lineage groups, so as to properly divide inheritances and rule on legacies. In the second half of the second/eighth century, a qadi of Kufa, Sharīk b. ‘Abdallāh (in office 153-169?/770-786?), had a thorough knowledge of the city’s inhabitants. Born in Khurasan or Ferghana, and raised in the Sawād (the hinterland of Kufa), he knew Aramaic (*nabatiyya*), which he spoke to some converts during hearings.

The requirement that a qadi learn about his district’s population, however, remained largely theoretical. In practice, despite his auxiliaries’ help and his social networks, a qadi could still be cheated. In a megalopolis such as late second/eighth-century Baghdad, witnesses who introduced themselves as famous imams of the city fooled Qadi Abū Yūsuf (d. 182/798). The investigations the qadi ordered into their reputation naturally led to their recognition as trustworthy witnesses, until the defendant who had unjustly lost the trial discovered the fraud. Identifying individuals, whether litigants or witnesses, remained a challenge of which legal theory was fully aware.

A qadi’s expertise in the affairs of the local population (the *āmma*) was nevertheless recognized by political authorities. This appears especially in the first half of the third/ninth century, when the chief qadi became increasingly important at the caliph’s court. During the fourth *fitna* (the war between Caliph al-Amīn and his brother al-Ma’mūn, and its aftermath), the governor of Baghdad, al-Ḥasan b. Sahl, charged a qadi of the capital, Muḥammad b. Samā‘a, with finding a trustworthy man, using the qadi’s Iraqi network. When al-Ma’mūn (r. 198-218/813-833) wished to curse Mu‘āwiya from the pulpits of the mosques, the Chief Qadi Yahyā b. Aktham dissuaded him, arguing that the people — especially the Khurasanians — would not agree and might rebel. Later, the Caliph al-Mutawakkil (r. 232-247/847-861) turned to the same Yahyā b. Aktham for help in understanding the relationship between a merchant named Ibn al-Abzārī and the agitator Aḥmad b. Naṣr al-Khuwāzī, since Ibn al-Abzārī had organized the funeral of the latter after his execution. The Chief Qadi Aḥmad b. Abī Du‘ād served as an intermediary between the Caliph al-Mu’tasim (r. 218-227/833-842) and merchants of the Karkh district in Baghdad after a large fire ruined their businesses in 225/839-40. The qadi asked the
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caliph in Samarra for financial assistance, and distributed five million dirhams among the disaster victims.\(^{20}\)

**QADIS’ SOCIAL NETWORKS**

*The issue of social interactions*

Knowing his district’s population was part of a qadi’s work. However, this knowledge went far beyond a mere professional network. Many allusions to Iraqi qadis’ social life and interactions with their neighbors or fellow town residents appear in biographical sources. They participated in collective religious rituals such as the daily and Friday prayers,\(^{21}\) and attended funerals.\(^{22}\) People came to qadis’ homes when a relative had died to offer their condolences.\(^{23}\) Qadis visited and received friends,\(^{24}\) accompanied acquaintances at meals or festive banquets,\(^{25}\) and offered food to neighbors for their sons’ birth.\(^{26}\) They attended public baths,\(^{27}\) and saw dream interpreters.\(^{28}\) Sometimes aspects of a qadi’s private life could become known to those outside his household, as evidenced by the story about a qadi of Basra, ‘Umar b. ‘Uthmān al-Taymī, during the reign of Hārūn al-Rashīd (r. 170-193/786-809):

‘Umar b. ‘Uthmān bought a slave girl while he was qadi of Basra. She slept at his home, and in the morning the people (al-nās) came and asked about the night he had spent with her. He replied: “She has two heavenly virtues: she is pleasant and unrestrained!”\(^{29}\)

Due to social interactions, a qadi necessarily entered into symmetrical relationships involving reciprocity. In other words, he contracted material or symbolic debts that became subtle instruments of pressure on his legal activities. Patronage networks were based on exchange of services (ḥāja). Most often, sources present qadis as the heads of such networks: people came to them for help, and the qadis provided benefits to those who became their clients.\(^{30}\) Sometimes, however, a qadi benefited from someone and became his client.\(^{31}\) For example, Qadi ‘Abdallāh b. Shubruma asked Abū Ayyūb al-Mūriyānī, Caliph al-Manṣūr’s (r. 136-158/754-775) personal secretary, to fund his son’s wedding.\(^{32}\) Later, Qadi al-Wāqidi
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(d. 207/822-3) faced financial difficulties and asked one of his friends to help him buy his children new clothes for one of the two main festivals (ʿīd) of the Islamic calendar.33

The qadis’ integration into the social fabric, their familiarity with potential litigants, and the patronage relationships created by their daily interactions, raise the question of their potential personal bias in the courtroom. In Kufa, Qadi al-Qāsim b. Maʿn (in office 169-175/785-792) once heard his herald announce Ḥamās b. Nāmil, a thief and poet (liṣṣ). The qadi, clearly an admirer of the poet’s literary art, started reciting two of his verses, before he had him sit down and heard his case.34 Although Wakī’, who narrates his biography, refrains from any comment, the anecdote reveals a Muslim judge’s ambiguous position. To what extent could qadis remain impartial when they had to decide the fate of litigants they knew? During the Umayyad period, some qadis were already accused of favoring their friends (ikhwān).35 Biographical accounts relating to the Abbasid era suggest that even the most honest judge’s neutrality could easily be eroded.

According to Wakī’, a famous qadi of Basra from the time of al-Mahdī (r. 158-169/775-785), ‘Ubayd Allāh b. al-Ḥasan al-ʾAnbarī (d. 168/784-5), was biased (mutaḥāmil) towards a litigant during a trial opposing two local families. The Umayyad Caliph Sulaymān b. ‘Abd al-Malik (r. 96-99/715-717) had confiscated a rural estate belonging to the Banū ‘Abd al-Malik, descendants of a former governor of Iraq, al-Hajjāj b. Yūṣuf. They now reclaimed the land from its new owner, Sulaymān b. ‘Ubayd Allāh, to whom Caliph al-Saffāḥ (r. 132-136/749-754) had assigned it. The claimants went to the qadi and asked him to “use his cunning” (yaḥtāl) to give it back to them. The qadi, who knew them and considered them victims of spoliation, agreed to their request.36 He probably neither thought he was unjust nor that he departed from his duties. The case was related to the Abbasid caliphate who had granted the land, and was therefore sensitive. Restoring what the qadi believed just and reasonable could justify extraordinary means. Nevertheless, from the outside, this case demonstrates how a qadi could struggle reconciling an ideal of justice with strict law enforcement.

This difficulty caused qadis anxiety, which medieval sources express through an eschatological leitmotif: to avoid compromising his own salvation in the hereafter,37 a “good” jurist should not willingly accept the position of a judge, and must rejoice when he is eventually dismissed. Beyond this topos, which also aimed at establishing a judicial system independent from the government,38 some qadis could feel real discomfort. ‘Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad al-Makhzūmī, qadi of Basra under Hārūn al-Rashīd, had previously served as legal advisor for a man called Khalaf b. Ṭāʾī. Once he became a judge, he had to rule on his suit! The qadi prayed that he be dismissed before he had to render judgment.39 One could not be a defense attorney and a judge in the same lawsuit: any confusion of roles appeared as morally, if not legally, unbearable.

Local qadis and their tribal networks
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Beyond daily interactions, qadis of the second half of the second/eighth century belonged to tribal networks. Tribes still represented the basic social structure in Iraq. In Basra and Kufa, qadis were recruited from the dominant tribes, which contributed to consolidating their authority in their city.\(^{40}\) Most Iraqi qadis were members of the tribal elite and many were labeled by their biographers as, for instance, *sharīf*, *raʾīs*, *min al-wujūhī al-nubalāʾ*.\(^{41}\) These notables thus benefited from the bonds tribal solidarity created. In Basra, Tamīm tribesmen waited at the door of Sawwār b. Abdallāh al-ʿAnbarī (in office 137/755, then 140-156/757-773) on the morning of the Sacrifice festival to accompany him in procession to the *musallā*.\(^{42}\) People were proud to have a fellow tribesman as judge.\(^{43}\)

Replying to poets who had denigrated the Basran Qadi Muʿādh b. Muʿādh (in office 172/788-9, then 181-191/797-807), Abān b. ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd al-Lāḥiqī (d. ca. 200/815-6) concluded: “Enough disdain, O tribes! You should know that the house of justice is among al-ʿAnbar!”\(^{44}\)

Belonging to powerful local tribes strengthened the authority of qadis and gave their decisions binding force. One of the most striking examples of this phenomenon is the conflict in Basra between Qadi Sawwār b. Abdallāh and the police chief ʿUqba b. Salm al-Hunāʾī. The latter had wrongly imprisoned a man, whose wife then complained to the qadi. As the police chief refused to release the man, Sawwār threatened to come and kill him with his bare hands. The police chief’s advisors encouraged him to relent, arguing that

this is the qadi of the Commander of the Faithful! He belongs to Tamīm, Muḍar, and Balʿanbar [Banū l-ʿAnbar], and all members of these tribes are willing to take up arms for him! As for you, you belong to Yaman, and no major figure in Basra belongs to them.\(^{45}\)

In an Islamic world where qadis were still struggling to establish themselves as the supreme judicial authorities, tribal solidarities remained an essential instrument of power.

A qadi’s tribe was also his weakness, for it exposed him to both internal and external pressures. According to Ibn Saʿd, Sharīk b. Abdallāh wanted to decline the judicial position that Caliph al-Manṣūr had offered him. To prevent him from running away, the latter threatened to harm fifty members of his tribe (*qawm*) if he were to disappear.\(^{46}\) Several qadis were also suspected of tribal bias. In Basra, a Yamanī litigant accused ʿUbayd Allāh b. al-Ḥasan al-ʿAnbarī of having ill-treated him due to the qadi’s affiliation with a Muḍarī tribe. During the discussion that ensued, the qadi disparaged many Yamanī clans.\(^{47}\) Tribal tensions were still alive, and the same qadi did not always refrain from provoking litigants.\(^{48}\)
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Some evidence also suggests that qadis relied on their tribal networks while in office. In Kufa, Sharīk b. ‘Abdallāh employed a member of his own tribe, Nakha‘, as an auxiliary. Qadi Ḥafṣ b. Ghiyāth al-Nakha‘ī is described as listening to the advice of someone who bore the same tribal nisba as himself. A qadi’s tribe could derive material benefits from his official position. Ḥafṣ b. Ghiyāth generously donated the bonus Caliph Hārūn al-Rashīd gave him to his “brothers” (ikhwān). He offered money to Yaḥyā b. Ādam’s mother, who came to greet him before leaving for Mecca, so that she could “help the elders of the tribe (hayy) to perform the pilgrimage.” A qadi’s arrival in office awakened the cupidity of his tribal circle, as we can see in a poem composed by Abān b. ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd, when Mu‘ādh b. Mu‘ādh came back as Basra’s judge in *Rajab* 181/September 797:

> The tribesmen of Lāḥiq and sons of Tamīm are ready:  
> They rolled up their robes and coated the place of prayer with garlic.  
> Behold how they no longer leave the mosque, after neglecting it!  
> For you, [Mu‘ādh], some are now fasting who had never done so:  
> Like the wolf watching the first rays of dawn in the dark night,  
> They all hope he will entrust them with an orphan’s money!

This aging qadi of the Banū l-ʿAnbar tribe, a branch of Tamīm, attracted greedy and unscrupulous people, for whom managing properties was a source of wealth. As suggested by the poet, Mu‘ādh was expected to recruit property managers from his tribal circle — in which religious hypocrites consequently multiplied, hoping that the qadi would consider them to be trustworthy men. Indeed, the qadi was rapidly dominated by a group of greedy men (dhurrā‘) belonging to Tamīmī clans and others related or allied to that same tribe (Numayr, Ṭafāwa, Bāhila), who spoke in his place in the courtroom. A Bedouin woman depicted such profiteers as wolves when she blamed the qadi for letting two predators dictate his decisions. A delegation of Basran inhabitants even travelled to Baghdad to complain about their qadi. It may therefore be no coincidence that the next qadi, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Anṣārī (in office 198-202/813-818), was selected from the Anṣār, a “detribalized” group primarily characterized by its Medinese ancestors’ religious virtues. The new judge hastened to distance himself from his predecessor’s nepotistic policies, and handed back to the orphans the properties Mu‘ādh had entrusted to dishonest administrators.

Qadis’ difficulty in distancing themselves from their tribal networks could even extend to the courtroom. Someone called Salama b. ‘Ayyāsh, who litigated against a mawlā of the Basran qadi Khālid b. Ṭalīq (in office 166-167/782-784?) feared the latter’s bias. Despite the flattering
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verses he sent him — in which he praised the qadi’s strictness with his own relatives — Salama lost the case.\(^{59}\) Conversely, Qadi ‘Ubayd Allāh b. al-Ḥasan al-‘Anbarī had to pass judgment against a fellow tribesman, who had probably failed to produce witnesses, and who responded angrily to what he considered an unfair decision.\(^{60}\) Indeed, a qadi’s fellow tribesmen expected him to defend their interests, even by contravening the rules of procedure. An ideal qadi, as pictured by later authors, managed to escape the pressure exerted by his (tribal) circle, who hoped to take advantage of his position. Al-Jāḥiẓ finds this ideal in the qadi of Basra, ‘Abdallāh b. Sawwār (in office 192-198/807-814): he frightened his “companions,” al-Jāḥiẓ says, and could not be accused of favoring them.\(^{61}\) This qadi met this standard by adopting a rare ascetic rigor, which he expressed by his absolute impassivity in the courtroom and his ability to ignore anything that might distract him.\(^{62}\)

**ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL SOLUTIONS**

**An administrative solution: Sending foreign qadis**

The potential influence of social networks on qadis’ judicial practice was particularly high during the early Abbasid period, when most were recruited from among local scholars. Whereas the central government usually sent city governors, local elites could reinforce their control of local affairs through the qadi. People also wanted their judiciary to comply with local specificities of Islamic law, and recruiting local qadis was the only way to meet their expectations.\(^{63}\)

During most of the third/ninth century, Abbasid caliphs still selected qadis of major Iraqi cities from among their inhabitants. In Egypt, however, from the late second/eighth century onwards, more and more judges were sent from outside.\(^{64}\) Cutting off the judiciary from local networks was probably not this reform’s main purpose. The central government primarily sought to strengthen its authority over distant provinces, and to disseminate legal doctrines that suited its policy. This reform nevertheless reduced the influence of qadis’ social networks. The first “foreign” judges sent to Egypt still belonged to Yaman, the dominant tribal confederation of Fuṣṭāt, but their longstanding interactions with local people no longer determined their judicial practice.

This reform created new problems, however: qadis sent from another province did not know the people they had to manage. They ignored, in particular, the witnesses’ reliability. Each qadi had therefore to rebuild networks to understand Egyptian society. On arriving in Egypt in 185/801, Qadi al-‘Umarī asked several renowned jurists to refer him to “a group of local inhabitants” on whom he could rely.\(^{65}\) Al-Ḥasan b. Mūsā al-Ashyab had to do the same when he arrived in Ḥimṣ (Syria).\(^{66}\) Ibrāhīm b. al-Jarrāḥ (in office in Fuṣṭāt 205-211/820-826) followed
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his son’s advice to entrust investigations regarding witnesses to “a local man.” Before his arrival in Fusṭāṭ, in 246/860, the Iraqi Bakkār b. Qutayba inquired about trustworthy people that could help counterbalance his own ignorance of local affairs. This initial weakness of a foreign qadi, isolated from local networks, probably caused one of the most important reforms to Islamic judgeship, namely the professionalization of testimony. Newcomers could not know the reliability of all the witnesses who came to court. Therefore, they hired teams of witnesses that their successors could take over when they assumed office, and Muslims henceforth made these witnesses the primary means for certifying their legal transactions.

The makeup of these administrative networks, which consisted of notary-witnesses, thus compensated for foreign qadis’ deficiencies in regard to local connections. Social pressure on holders of judicial office did not disappear, however, but rather evolved. Its main actors were now networks of professional witnesses, surrounding the judge, who could become very powerful, as in Ilkshīdid Egypt. Moreover, not every Abbasid province experienced a similar evolution. In Iraq, for about a century, qadis remained recruited from local elites, which delayed the emergence of professional witnesses. Therefore, it was necessary to find other solutions to reduce a qadi’s vulnerability to social pressure. The main response to this issue was to be a legal one.

**A legal solution: Distinguishing between a man and his official position**

Jurists were soon aware of the consequences of a qadi’s daily interactions with his district’s people. Al-Shāfiʿī (d. 204/820) recommended that a qadi (as well as a governor) employ an intermediary to conduct his personal business. No one needed to know whom he was working for, so sellers and buyers could not modulate their prices to endear themselves to the qadi. The qadi, al-Shāfiʿī argues, may keep a social life and accept invitations. However, he must be careful not to choose his public appearances selectively: he cannot accept some invitations and reject others, but “must accept all or reject all.” He may also visit sick people, attend funerals, or accommodate travelers. A few decades later, the Ḥanafī Iraqi scholar al-Khaṣṣāf qualified these principles. According to him, a qadi should only accept collective invitations (ʿāmma), that is when other people are also invited. The only individual invitations (khāssa) he may accept are those from his close relatives (qarāba), provided that they were not involved in a lawsuit. A century later, al-Jaṣṣāṣ added that individual invitations are a form of corruption (rashwa). Similarly, a qadi must be careful not to let a litigant enter his house without his legal adversary. The Shāfiʿī jurist Ibn al-Qāṣṣ (d. 335/946) for his part insisted that a qadi should not pass judgment on his own family members:
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He cannot dispense justice on a case in which he is involved, nor pass judgment in favor of his slave, his emancipated slave (mutabbar), the slave-girl who bore his son (um malad), his slave who is given a contract of emancipation (mukātab), or in favor of his partner if the case relates to their partnership.\(^{77}\)

Finally, Ibn al-Qāṣṣ asserted that someone who expresses too much solidarity with his tribe (muta‘ṣṣib li-qaḥlatihi) should never be appointed qadi.\(^{78}\)

In practice, however, limiting interactions between a qadi and his people remained problematic. Even if he was careful not to accept invitations from litigants, a qadi could not renounce all his personal relationships. Moreover, long-term interactions of all kinds could affect judicial practice, not just casual invitations. Most qadis took office at a late age, when they had long been caught up in social relationships they could not unravel. Other legal principles were therefore needed to guarantee their neutrality.

From the second half of the second/eighth century onward, jurists focused on the issue of a qadi’s personal knowledge (ʿilm al-qāḍī). If he knew, personally, which of two litigants was right, could he then build on that knowledge to render judgment without any other form of evidence? Many clues suggest that during the Umayyad period, a qadi’s personal knowledge was regarded as evidence.\(^{79}\) Under Hārūn al-Rashīd, the qadi of Baṣra, ʿUmar b. Ḥabīb, still relied on his own knowledge of a case. When the Barmakī vizier Yahyā b. Khālid bought lands consisting of coastal salt flats in southern Iraq from the Caliph, he sent a representative named al-Qaṣabī, who soon claimed for his master the ownership of nearly half of the private lands in the area. He produced witnesses who testified that he was the vizier’s official representative, and the qadi accepted their testimony. During al-Qaṣabī’s trial against the inhabitants of Baṣra, however, ʿUmar b. Ḥabīb rejected the testimony of the same witnesses and only accepted those who testified in favor of the vizier’s adversaries. In theory, since he had recognized al-Qaṣabī’s witnesses as trustworthy the first time, he could not later reject their testimony and should have judged accordingly. As the furious vizier reproached him for his misconduct, ʿUmar b. Ḥabīb replied that he knew (ʿalima) that these witnesses’ testimony was unfounded, because it did not correspond to the facts of the case.\(^{80}\)

On this occasion, the qadi’s use of his personal knowledge seems to have served justice: he could end the government’s fraudulent land appropriation. Other qadis did not hesitate to condemn someone on the basis of their own experience. After he left the courtroom in Kufa, Ibn Abī Laylā (in office 121-129/739-747, then 132-148/749-766) heard a woman calling a man in the street “son of two adulterers,” an insult legally regarded as a slanderous accusation of fornication (qadhf). He had her arrested and brought to the mosque, where he sentenced her to twice the number of lashes prescribed for such a crime (hadd).\(^{81}\)

However, as we have seen, such knowledge could also serve private interests, contrary to any sense of justice, and lead to arbitrary judgments. Some would rather rely on rigorous

\(^{77}\) Ibn al-Qāṣṣ, Adab al-qāḍī, 33.
\(^{78}\) Ibid., 25.
\(^{79}\) Tillier, L’invention du cadi, 354-358.
\(^{80}\) Wākī’, Akhbār al-qadāt, II, 143-4.
\(^{81}\) Ibn Khalikān, Wafayāt al-aʿyān, IV, 180. This report contradicts the opinion attributed to Ibn Abī Laylā, holding that a qadi can rely only on the knowledge he acquired at the hearing, and is only a witness of what he learnt outside. Ibn al-Qāṣṣ, Adab al-qāḍī, 39.
Muslim jurists never agreed on an unequivocal answer. A qadi’s knowledge could not be deemed inadmissible in every instance. If testimony proved that one man had murdered another, whereas the qadi knew that the alleged victim was still alive, he could not convict the defendant. Likewise, he should not accept the testimony of men legally regarded as honorable if he knew they were not so in fact. Thus, he could not pass judgment against his inner conviction and should refrain from rendering a decision in such cases. However, the extent to which he could base his judgment on personal knowledge needed to be defined, in order to limit the risk of arbitrariness.

Ḥanāfi jurists following Abū Ḥanīfa’s teaching accepted a judgment based on a qadi’s knowledge of the facts, but only if he had acquired such knowledge while in office, and only within the sphere of civil claims (ḥuqūq al-‘ibād which, for Ḥanafis, included qadhf, which entailed penal liability). This means, conversely, that a qadi was forbidden to take into consideration knowledge he had acquired from social interactions occurring before he took office. Al-Shaybānī (d. 189/805) was originally hostile to any use of a qadi’s knowledge, whatever the type of case. He apparently changed his mind, and, like Abū Yūsuf (d. 182/798), came to assume a qadi could take into consideration knowledge he had acquired before taking office. Ḥanāfi Iraqi jurists even gave a territorial dimension to this issue. Al-Khaṣṣāf discusses the situation in which a qadi, while traveling outside his district, was approached by a man who wished to appoint an agent (wakīl) for the purpose of suing someone in the qadi’s district. Once back in his hometown, could the qadi validate the wakīl’s power of attorney? According to Abū Ḥanīfa’s doctrine, al-Khaṣṣāf answers, this is impossible because the qadi would rely on his personal knowledge and not on legal evidence. The wakīl must therefore prove the validity of his appointment by producing two witnesses. Abū Yūsuf and al-Shaybānī, however, allowed the qadi to validate the power of attorney without further evidence.

Shāfiʿī jurists also accepted such a judgment within the sphere of civil claims, although contrary opinions were attributed to al-Shāfiʿī himself. Conversely, Mālikīs rejected any judgment based on a qadi’s knowledge, regardless of the legal subject matter. Qadis can only rely on what they know about witnesses: they should not accept the testimony of a witness

---

82 Wakīl, Akhbar al-qadāt, II, 79.
83 Ibn al-Qāṣṣ, Adab al-qādī, 39.
84 See Tillier, Les cadis d'Iraq, 415, footnote 192.
85 Ibn Ḥazm, Muhallā, IX, 427.
88 Shāfiʿī, Umm, VIII, 258; Ibn al-Qāṣṣ, Adab al-qādī, 39; Ẓahwī and Jaṣṣāṣ, Mukhtaṣar ikhtilāf al-‘ulamā’; III, 370.
whom they know is not honorable; likewise, they should not reject a witness knowing that his testimony is truthful. 89

Imami jurists, from the fourth/tenth century onward, go even further, by bringing the issue of a qadi’s personal knowledge into the space of the courtroom. According to al-Ţūsī (d. 459 or 460/1066-7), hearing a defendant’s confession is not enough to condemn him, for the qadi would be passing judgment based on his personal knowledge. The qadi should therefore employ witnesses who will testify that they heard the confession, which will allow him to pronounce his judgment on the basis of testimonial evidence (bayyina), rather than his knowledge of the confession. 90 The only doctrine contrasting with this semi-consensus comes from the Zāhirī Ibn Ḥazm (d. 456/1064) in the fifth/eleventh century. In his view, a qadi’s knowledge is the best evidence whatever the case. 91

According to Iraqi jurists, especially Ḥanafīs, the impact of a qadi’s social connections on his legal practice was partly solved by drawing a distinction between the man and his official responsibilities. There should be a separation between what a qadi knew as a judge, and what he knew as a private person. With the exception of Ibn Abī Laylā’s doctrine, strictly distinguishing between what the qadi learnt in court and what he learnt outside, 92 the separation between a man and his function was, however, never systematically defined. Ḥanafīs primarily developed geographical and temporal boundaries: a qadi had not always held judicial responsibilities, nor did he exercise them everywhere. Within his district and while in office, however, there was no place for the man: a qadi needed to dedicate himself, first and foremost, to his official duties.

CONCLUSION

Their position as the sole judges in their districts put early Abbasid qadis in a paradoxical situation. Inasmuch as they represented the main intermediary between the government and local populations, they had to know the latter to administer their assets and dispense justice properly. However, this very knowledge, gained through personal social experience, was likely to influence their practices and lead to bias, and therefore arbitrary decisions. A qadi could neither renounce all kinds of social interactions, nor ignore tribal links. In fact, biographical sources suggest that their social circles sometimes got the upper hand over them at the expense of justice. It remains difficult to estimate the importance of this phenomenon, as sources mainly offer models and counter-models of behavior. The danger of bias from a qadi’s excessive involvement in his social environment, however, was high enough to justify legal rulings. A qadi had to stand above the fray, and limit his personal interactions with litigants. He also had to make limited use of any knowledge acquired outside the courtroom. In some provinces, such as Egypt, the growing recruitment of foreign qadis reduced society’s influence on their practices, but increased that of small groups of professional witnesses. We should nevertheless assume that the proliferation of lesser courts, from the third/ninth century onward, 93 often

---

90 Ṭūsī, Mabsūṭ, VIII, 91.
91 Ibn Ḥazm, Muhallā, IX, 426.
92 Ibn al-Qāṣṣ, Adab al-qādī, 39.
93 These lesser courts, held by deputy qadis, developed in secondary towns that were not judicial centers during the previous century; Tillier, Les cadis d’Iraq, 320-323.
helped maintain local recruitments in localities distant from provincial capitals, and that the influence of qadis’ environment was unlikely to have disappeared.
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