

A social history of quantitative geography in France from the 1970s to the 1990s

Olivier Orain

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Orain. A social history of quantitative geography in France from the 1970s to the 1990s. Ferenc Gyuris; Boris Michel; Katharina Paulus. Recalibrating the Quantitative Revolution in Geography. Travels, Networks, Translations, Routledge, pp.102-117, 2022, 9780367640866. 10.4324/9781003122104-7. halshs-03688549

HAL Id: halshs-03688549 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03688549v1

Submitted on 13 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Running Head Right-hand: The "theoretical-quantitative" geography Running Head Left-hand: Olivier Orain

7

A Social History of Quantitative Geography in France from the 1970s to the 1990s

An overview of the blossoming of a multifaceted tradition Olivier Orain

There is a massive gap between what the French "géographie théorique et quantitative" (TQG) means in Francophone countries and its blurry shape, provincial aura, and little notoriety abroad. It is of course very unspecific, as French, like German geography, stopped meaning anything in globalized geography for many decades. "French Theory" is by far more suggestive. However, in French context, "theoretical-quantitative" geography or "spatial analysis" – as it is alternatively called – played a role quite similar to its American counterpart and remain strong and influential 50 years after its rise during the 1970s. The main goal of this presentation is to provide an overview on the development of the French version of a more global movement, underpinning its peculiarities and its conformities. More specifically, this is an interesting example of what Jean-Marc Besse (2016) calls a "phase discrepancy" between two contemporary fields separated by language and, in some ways, cultural differences. Far from interpreting such a discrepancy in terms of lateness, my aim is to show how French geographers appropriated 15 years of anglophone debates and elaborations, mixed them with singularities from their inherited tradition and constructed a rather singular "quantitative" geography. At this point, the "quantitative" adjective attribute should be specified. Its mundane use isn't sufficient, because the recourse to and the measurement of quantities were already a systematic feature of geography. So I favor a sense that focuses on a distinctive act encompassing a methodology or a style of reasoning where

Section 1 Page 1 of 25

the recourse to statistics or any other mathematical operations is the main way to show or explain something. And it obviously requires looking for the labels used to emphasize such a distinctive approach.

Indeed, it is slightly difficult to isolate a specific label that one could regard as the most generic and socially significant. Various players in the field used many different labels with different meanings, like "new geography," "theoretical and quantitative" geography, "spatial analysis," etc. (1997). On the French scene, "quantitative geography" had been the initial label that its first promoters and opponents used to qualify such a promise (or threat), but it quickly shifted to "theoretical and quantitative," as many early promoters emphasized the intrinsic "theoretical" demand of such an approach, so this contribution is entitled accordingly. I'll consider all of them as congruent landmarks of a social movement that wished to initiate a radical change in geographical methodology (or epistemology), aiming to induce a shift based on explanation or the search for general laws, models, or patterns. Thomas Kuhn's theory of paradigms and scientific revolutions is often mobilized to summarize and reinterpret such a view (1998).

The literature on this topic is scarce, mixing actors' early contributions (e.g., property); The choice of a social and epistemological approach is deliberate, first because this movement was mostly supported by groups imbued with a collective spirit partly inherited from the events of May–June 68; and, second, in order to avoid an intellectualist rendition adding ideas and theories one after the other, out of social and cultural contexts. Basically, the chronology and the characteristics of such a movement are incomprehensible when deprived of their historical backgrounds. First, I will explain why a "scientific revolution" occurred in the 1970s, when different trends became explosive. Then I will analyze these "revolutionary" 1970s, keeping in mind that the actors remained a minority and that nothing like a replacement of the

Section 1 Page 2 of 25

Vidalian paradigm occurred. Lastly, I will explore the climax (1981–1996) when "spatial analysis" seemed to take a leadership over the whole of French geography.

The conditions of a dramatic divide

Until 1968, French geography remained socially and intellectually cohesive. The postwar decades had showed a dramatic increase of student and scholar numbers, the former multiplying by seven between 1949 and 1967 to respond to teaching needs (). After the creation of a specialized university geographical curriculum during the Second World War, recruiting progressively shifted. Both academic and student bodies evolved toward a humble socioeconomic profile. Meanwhile, this population had followed high school scientific curricula more than the average in human sciences. The relationships between scholars and their pupils were less formal and closer than in traditional humanities, often metaphorized as those of a family. But, as in a family, there was also a paternalistic and authoritative dimension in these relationships. The student projects were closely bordered and watched by an academic community that had rigid, if not always self-justified, values. "This is not geography" was the main sentence that castigated attempts deemed too theoretical, speculative, and far away from "realities" ().

At the top of the disciplinary system was the prestigious Sorbonne, but geography was a more decentralized discipline than any other in humanity faculties and many provincial professors shone almost as much, thanks to a regional or national influence. The national so-called "school" had its prestigious journal, *Annales de Géographie*, and its national boards, every group gathering almost the same people. One generation, born in the beginning of the 20th century, reigned from the postwar period to the end of the 1960s. They were mostly men, politically (more or less) progressive; many were communists or Gaullists. Epistemologically, shortly, they reproduced unchanged and unquestioned old formulas, but allowed for a large expansion of new themes and objects, as far as it remained within due orthodoxy.

Section 1 Page 3 of 25

Geomorphology remained the varsity team where one could prove their scientific skills, and also the main subfield for scientific innovations and controversies (Orain 2015; Batalilon 2020).

If scrutinized in details, this picture would show nuances, like outcast figures and temporary attempts to change the way geography was taught, practiced, or thought. But the main tendency was to thematically expand the discipline, not to reform it. Nevertheless, the rise of state planning during the 1960s and the subsequent rise of a transdisciplinary scene related to it confronted geographers with a full range of specialists they weren't used to speaking with: engineers, planners, economists, sociologists, etc. (Oran 2005, chap. 4–5). Among those professions, the rationale was very different: statistics, mathematical reasoning, and search for laws, were commonplace. Among the French elites involved in the management of state policies, the latter was ideologically and operationally dominant (Bezes et al. 2005). Out of a few personalities eager to reform geography to fit the new scientific climate, the main mood among the profession was to castigate such a "technocratic" view and to promote, in their terms, the wisdom of the one and only science that is "synthetic" first. The disciplinary literature, even in its reformist mode, is filled with numerous reassessments about the unique nature of geography as a crossroad of many scientific fields (Orain 2009, chap. 4–5). So it isn't surprising to see that French geography avoided the contemporary "quantitative" movement at its climax. Benefiting the growing wave of students and being able to offer them new jobs and opportunities, the scholars had an optimistic view on their discipline. They didn't notice growing signs of puzzlement and reluctance among Student Unions (Omin 2015), especially about the way pupils were used for applied geography surveys and "contracts" with no legal ground, in particular where it was broadly developed, like Strasbourg, Rennes, and Paris universities (Gaudin 2015; Usselman 2020; Orain 2015).

Nonetheless, "modernism" was a key attitude in this era, and statistics being a noticeable part of it, they started to be taught or used in the 1950s and 1960s, but sporadically, under some physical geographers' impetus, such as the climatologist Charles-Pierre Péguy (1915–2005).

Generally, the main difficulty was that numbers were considered as values *per se* and symbols of

Section 1 Page 4 of 25

magnitude in a description or a basic comparison. Pierre George (1909–2006) wrote a milestone article about the "myths of numbers" () that is at the same time a very stimulating critique of such an attitude and the most consistent expression of a geographical reluctance to introduce mathematicized reasoning in the discipline. In the late 1960s, counting was everywhere as a gesture that gave a significant result out of a collective or individual survey, but the raw results seemed sufficient as they were a basis for charts and thematic cartography. They were scarcely a basis for the explanation of something, as explanations were local and seldom the main discursive scheme operating through a text or a speech.

Many scholars shifted their political views and became very hostile against the Left. On the other side, as a revolution, May–June 68 failed to change French politics, even if the Gaullist power conceded socioeconomic improvements and a major reform of University system ("the Faure law," Power and Valence 2016). Following Bollak (1989) and Damanne et al. (2008), it is suggestive to interpret the changes that occurred in many parts of French society as the result of a reconversion of revolutionary initiatives at local and occupational scales, the protesters' sense of failure being conjured into more modest and proxemic initiatives. In that respect, French

Section 1 Page 5 of 25

geography engaged in its particular "revolution." It involved either a new epistemological frame – the rise of a "theoretical-quantitative" movement seen as a way to replace an exhausted tradition – or a political critique against pointlessness, dull vacuity, and apolitical claims of the tradition.

The rise of a "revolutionary" movement (1970–1984): la "nouvelle géographie"

In the case of French geography, the "practical subversion" mood found a breach and a motive in the exhaustion of research formulas that the new generations had been bidden to use. A significant part of the newcomers in the field broke away from the community's tradition and social rituals. "New Geographers" were born at the end of the 1930s or belonged to the "baby boomers" (born between 1942–43 and 1950). They were numerous and socially diverging from the previous generations: coming from humbler backgrounds, having often followed "math'élem" (i.e. scientific) curriculum while in high school, as geography allowed a scholarship without Latin in the universities. They were more sensitive to "positivistic" values and had read the French philosophers of science like Bachelard, Canguilhem, and Althusser while in their senior year of high school. They were interested to and aware of statistics and mathematical reasoning. Before and after May–June 68, they had been massively recruited as assistants in the growing universities. Later, they expressed retrospectively the lack of enthusiasm they felt while starting a doctorate in the 1960s and finding "old receipts" dull and not methodologically controlled ("").

In the years following May–June 68, a formative offer in statistics, remote sensing analysis, and computational languages developed in Scientific institutions like Maison des sciences de l'homme, ORSTOM (Ultramarine Agency for Scientific and Technical Research), and CNRS (National Centre for Scientific Research). A significant minority of the newcomers showed a big interest for such training courses where elders didn't show up (Computational). So

Section 1 Page 6 of 25

they started early to socialize in a time where social stratification, enhanced by the recent political crisis, was sharp. In the French geographical landscape, it didn't develop in the universities where the seniors were still influential, charismatic enough, supposedly progressive, or very hostile. It produced a spatial differentiation of the reception of the new techniques: strong in East and Southeast of the country, where there was a relative lack of prominent professors; strong but in minority in Paris; influential in isolated and new university centers like Rouen and Reims; weak out of those social configurations.

Newcomers converted to such "new" techniques created affinity groups – like Groupe Dupont (1971), Groupe d'action géographique" (GAG 1971–1972), and The Unicorn (mid-1970s) – related to the expansion of a new methodology. The latter one was a feminine group, which emphasized the significant role and demographic weight of women in the movement: as a non-mainstream, not socially valorizing, part of geography, quantitative techniques attracted a relatively strong inflow of young women (Pumain and Robic 2003; 1971). If mathematics didn't imply any political meaning *per se*, May–June 68 projected shadow gave almost immediately a critical tone to such an initially reformist movement. Those groups were self-organized, autonomous from the mainstream and from the national discipline leaders. They offered an opportunity to remain "apart from the system" to the new generations and to operate what Boris Gobille calls a "symbolic dissidence" (1971) from the "catholic" society. For a decade, a political, epistemological, and social divide remained between the (scientific) revolutionaries and the geographical clergy, even if social relationships kept working episodically at a local level and with "modernist" leaders.

Meanwhile, the most reformist part of the senior body started to promote an acculturation of the French geography to the "novelties" coming from the USA and England. In the early 1970s, Philippe Pinchemel (1923–2008) initiated the translation of Brian Berry's *Geography of Central Markets and Retail Centers* () and Peter Haggett's *Locational Analysis in Human Geography* (). The main way to promote a new methodology and to appropriate the Anglophone "model" remained the auctorial mediation through conferences, articles, and books.

Section 1 Page 7 of 25

In the late 1960s, Paul Claval (b. 1932) developed a specialized anglophone library in Besançon University where he invited many junior geographers. Lecturers who had been working in Canada or the USA in the 1960s, like Bernard Marchand (b. 1934), Sylvie Rimbert (b. 1927), Henri Reymond (1930–2018), and Jean-Bernard Racine (b. 1940), there converted to the "quantitative" techniques, became the prominent publicists of the American "quantitative" techniques." The former taught a "quantitative geography" certificate at Paris University in 1969 and gave a lecture in 1970 in a national disciplinary gathering, followed by many newcomers, who became legendary for the movement (Marchand 1972). Many actors later narrated how this speech bedazzled them and accelerated their conversion to the new tools and ways of thinking (Chamussy 1978; Le Berre 1988). Racine & Reymond wrote a handbook, L'Analyse quantitative en géographie (1997) that quickly became a milestone, even if the readers retained mainly the theoretical dimension of the book. S. Rimbert played a seminal role in the diffusion of quantitative methods in cartography by her collaborative work with Colette Cauvin (b. 1944). François Durand-Dastès (1931-2021) had been another early promoter, especially for modeling approaches. Famous or not, some Anglophone geographers have been invited in France through personal contacts, like Peter Haggett, Peter Gould, Wanda Herzog, and a few others.

In 1970, Roger Brunet (b. 1931), already a prominent modernist figure, author of a notorious state doctorate and a no-less notorious secondary thesis about discontinuity phenomena in geography () started to work on the launching of a new scientific journal. He and other modernists became convinced that *Annals of Geography* weren't able to host such an *aggiornamento* (update). He wrote an editorial, read and slightly revised by his peers, and gathered material for a prototype. The first issue of *L'Espace géographique* came in 1972 as an academic journal, with a publisher specialized in medicine (Doin). The first year provided many articles about the then-called "crisis" of French geography, about quantitative initiation or methodology discussions, topics that were avoided by mainstream journals. Brunet was a reformist, with a socialist sensibility. The first editorial was more concerned with epistemological debates and self-reflexivity geographers should develop than by the

Section 1 Page 8 of 25

"quantitative" aspect *per se*. The journal hosted in the following years many new developments and debates about Marxism, semiology, perceptual or phenomenological geography (the so-called "lived space" promoted by Armand Frémont [1933–2019]), physically integrated landscapes advocated by Toulousian geographer Georges Bertrand, etc. Nonetheless, *L'Espace géographique* quickly became the counter-mainstream journal and the voice of the then French "New Geography" in its diverse foci and tendencies. Many other groups developed during the 1970s with a more radical tone and various political critiques against French society or practiced geography. "New Geography" had been a very diverse movement.

The political climate in the community deteriorated quickly. Jean Bastié (1919–2018), a former Communist and Pierre George's student, traumatized by May-June 68, rallied all the most conservative scholars into the Syndicat autonome (Autonomous Trade-Union) and initiated a witch hunt against anything "leftist" in the discipline, stopping careers, rebuking grants to any "suspect" project, etc. (Surgel et al. 1986). The climate became tenser than it already was. In 1972, the liberal Prime Minister Jacques Chaban-Delmas was replaced by Pierre Messmer, a more authoritarian and conservative figure. He stopped the "New Society" project promoted by his predecessor that tried to respond to social attempts expressed in May–June 1968 and initiated a drastic retraction of public credits in the University system. After 1973, the oil shock and pending economic crisis aggravated the general situation. So the climate became heavy and criticism developed among new geographers and their opponents. In 1975, a group of students at the École normale supérieure de l'enseignement technique (ENSET) in Cachan launched a fanzine called *EspacesTemps*, devoted to a radical critique of history and geography. Mixing an ideological and an epistemological critique inspired by Althusser, the mimeographed pamphlet had a radical tone. A few other radical fanzines showed up in the following years, like Attila (1976) and Espaces & Luttes (social struggles) (1978), but they remained ephemeral (1976) 2009, 2015).

In 1976, Yves Lacoste (b. 1929) launched *Hérodote*, another critical journal published with the more substantial support of left-wing librarian and publisher François Maspéro (terme

Section 1 Page 9 of 25

). He was already a notorious geographer, author of famous books on underdevelopment geography and senior lecturer at countercultural university of Vincennes (). In 1976, Lacoste also wrote a lampoon whose main argument was political, denouncing academic geography as a boring veil occulting the true one, practiced by armies and their general staffs, with a hint of conspiracy theory (). The same year, the Groupe Dupont and a few "Swiss" professors organized the first symposium called Géopoint in Geneva, hosted by Claude Raffestin (born in 1936) and J. B. Racine. It gathered more than 100 "new" geographers from France, Belgium, and Switzerland with a very "May–June 68" functioning style, with an equalization of every attendant and a passionate debating atmosphere (), chap. 6). "Quantitative" geography was the main positive reference and its new practitioners and converts were a majority among the attendance. The Géopoint symposia continued every two years with their various mix of "positivistic" and "Marxist" aims as already theorized by H. Reymond in his contribution (Reymond 1976). It became a perennial gathering until 2018 with significant evolutions from the 1980s.

The years 1976–1978 remain as the climax of a polemic time, between Mandarins (like P. George, M. Le Lannou [1906–1992], or Numa Broc [1934–2017]) and Young Turks (like Jacques Lévy [b. 1951]) or between leftist groups (https://www.dom). In an attempt to provide a more consensual manifesto, four Groupe Dupont members wrote, "Espace, que de brouillons comment-on en ton nom!" (https://www.dom/1977) in the first issue of another fanzine called Les Brouillons Dupont, in 1977, but it remained unseen and by large ignored by mainstream geography at the time. This text reflects with sound clarity and a quieted tone where the "theoretical-quantitative" movement was conceptually and epistemologically in the late 1970s.

Section 1 Page 10 of 25

political aim. They called for a discipline useful for people. The second point was about realism and constructs: following Raffestin's seminal conference in Géopoint 76 (Raffestin, 1976).

Reader of a wide culture, familiar with epistemology, semiology, and social sciences, he provided then a preliminary critique of geographical realism with nominalist and linguistic arguments inspired by Greimas and Prieto. He displayed the idea that geography scrutinizes reality through language and "constructs," nothing being done *per se*. His ideas on such a question had got a large response during the two first Géopoint symposia. But the most important point for the "quantitative" attendance was that the classical geography was "prescientific" (in Bachelardian acceptation) or wasn't scientific at all. Most of them shared a normative vision of science as the search for laws with a rigorous methodology and mathematized techniques.

Their views on the latter made reference to the Anglophone classics, like or with the control of the control of

They were fascinated by General System Theory (GST) popularized in France by many vulgarizers (e.g., J. de Rosnay, J. L. Le Moigne, E. Morin, Y. Barel) and especially congruent with a tradition to see a "region" or an "environment" as a "combination" of various evolving factors (Grand 1971). During the 1970s, it was a general theoretical scheme rather than a basis for mathematical reasoning. One of the early doctoral dissertations in the new style defended in France, Franck Auriac's (1935–2018) *Système économique et espace. Un exemple en Languedoc*, provides a good illustration. This dense and ambitious work uses the GST in a nonquantitative,

Section 1 Page 11 of 25

Section 1 Page 12 of 25

Quantitative geography rethought as "spatial analysis": a prosperous era (1984–1996)

After almost 25 years of right-wing governments in France, François Mitterrand won the 1981 presidential election, followed by a Landslide in legislative elections in June 1981. The Socialist scientific politics totally changed how research and universities were regulated and financed: in 1982 the ministry of research deeply reformed CNRS, making researchers civil servants with a real public status, dramatically increasing the financial support to research teams and projects, widening recruitments, reducing state-designated seats in the committees, changing most of the high administration managers. In 1984, the Savary law operated equally dramatic changes in the university system, creating a stable tenured junior status (literally "master of conferences"), terminating the state doctorate, and creating a new doctorial formula (closer to PhD), creating a global joint venture status for CNRS university teams, and reforming the National University Conference in a more inclusive and democratic spirit. Academic autonomy was a pillar of those reforms, the financial effort was another. France knew at the time what is called the "second massification" of University system and socialist governments allowed the reopening of junior scholars' large recruitments and a significant expansion of senior tenures. At another level, socialist management promoted promethean research politics that called many new initiatives.

In this climate, political decisions eventually allowed funds and status to geographical teams that were snubbed previously. The "Groupe Dupont" became a "young team" in 1982, a status endorsed and financed by CNRS. Many other tendencies showed up like "social geography" or Lacoste's (from now on) "geopolitics" that could now apply for public recognition and grants. It was also related to a slow sociopolitical change: the first doctorial dissertation in TQG styles had been defended in the late 1970s, other progressive geographers became professors and the national boards elections progressively shifted, as the younger generations progressively took over the latter ones, relegating to a minority the "autonomous" (i.e., right-wing) lists. In the first years of socialist power, the political tensions in the

Section 1 Page 13 of 25

geographical community intensified before a decrease around 1984 Paris IGU international congress. In those years, Brunet, among others, played a key-role in reversing the equilibrium in geographical community. Then close to Jean-Pierre Chevènement's movement (CERES) in Socialist party, he worked in successive cabinets in the Ministry for Research and Industry (1982–1984). The exact role Brunet played in the higher administration has still to be studied through archives and he wasn't the one and only geographer in an executive position. This administrative position somewhat underpinned a prolific period of publishing that started a bit before (in 1980) and continued until the mid-1990s, giving him his specific position in the history of French geography as a spatial analysis controversial leader.

In 1980, after five silent years, he published "La Composition des modèles en analyse spatiale" (Enunet 1993). This article systematized his early authorial proposals and hosted, articulated, and reconstructed many symbolic generalizations produced by spatial theoreticians, in a very idiosyncratic way (Orain 2016). Significantly, he avoided the "TQG" label mainly endorsed in the social movement – which he wasn't strictly a part of. He offered a unified theory of "spatial analysis" using linguistic analogy and implicit structuralist motives. The central idea was that spatial organization of any geographical object is a combination of "elementary structures of space" (Levi-Straussian nod), called "chorèmes," a neologism forged from the terminology of linguistics. Haggett's (1965) analytic interpretation of spatial human geometry (seedlings, networks, areas) and processes (interaction, diffusion, hierarchy) was discretely at the core of such a construction. In 1984, he left his function in Research ministry to build a flagship platform of projects in Montpellier, the Public Interest Group (GIP in French) RECLUS – an acronym for "Network for location and spatial units study." This was also a publishing house and an economic contractor. He then became the "industry captain" of French geography (), producing a new *Universal Geography* (UG) collection (1989–1996), launching a cartographic journal, Mappemonde (1986) and atlas collections, a dictionary (1991), among other activities. This structure allowed him to fund many researches in TQG and to recruit scholars. In the 1980s and 1990s, L'Espace géographique appeared more and more as the "spatial analysis"

Section 1 Page 14 of 25

tribune, even if this stereotype was incorrect and disregarding the variety of themes and tendencies published in the journal (<u>Guern Pase and Same Julien 2012</u>). More and more popular (or disliked) and notorious among French geographers, Brunet became in common knowledge the "pope" of TQG/spatial analysis even if it came from a slight misunderstanding. A significant part of TQG practitioners got involved in the *UG*'s 10 issues, even if many "classical" geographers had also been recruited. In the first UG volume, *New Worlds* (<u>Manage 1998</u>), he published a general treatise "The Deciphering of the World" that is his contribution to a theory of space and geography.

Brunet isn't the only theoretician of space that arose in France from TQG: in the late 1970s, some other thinkers developed such an attempt. Georges Nicolas (b. 1932) searched for geographical axiomatization under the auspices of the Bourbaki collective and logical positivism (Markov). Henri Reymond developed a less totalizing but intellectually challenging attempt that remained little noticed (Markov). Pinchemel developed a more accessible and comprehensive grand theory that used more common references and languages: first through articles, then a "handbook" published his retirement year, as a testimony and an *opus maximus*, *The Face of the Earth* (Markov) (

While Brunet's and Pinchemel's French space theory was partly idiosyncratic and deeply rooted in local cultural inherited tradition, French "quantitativists" early tried to get involved in European gatherings and activities. Those events offered many opportunities to open on new techniques, especially in modeling, computing, and data treatments. Being involved in an international network led the French attendants to distance themselves from the previous interests they had developed and to partially normalize their research styles. In the 1980s, many members of Groupe Dupont got involved in an attempt to make their systemic approach a mathematized one, first with Forrester methods and computer programming. They produced the

Section 1 Page 15 of 25

AMORAL model (pun intended), financed with regional funding and fiercely promoted, but with little results. In Pumain's and Durand-Dastès' trail, Lena Sanders (b. 1955) developed a dynamic modeling using differential equations (Sanders 1988). The passing from basic recurrence relations to differential calculus is an example of the growing sophistication TQG gained during the 1980s. City systems were a key topic in Pumain's school opening on a wide range of methodologies and techniques.

Conclusive epilogue

In the 1980s–1990s, many new tendencies arose and the previous monolithic discipline eventually shifted into a diversified, piecemeal field structured through currents. Having started in the 1970s, TQG was the most advanced tendency in terms of structuring. It had visible leaders, a strong social network, institutional recognition, functional and ritual gatherings. In this period, laboratories and graduate teaching programs flourished in various places. From the end of the 1980s, it allowed TQG to produce new generations of practitioners, early trained and skilled, and socialized in a wide research network. At a graduate and postgraduate level, it gave an opportunity to define concrete problem solutions and to elaborate a progressive apprenticeship

Section 1 Page 16 of 25

for students, donating in the same journey shared values, symbolic generalizations, metaphysics and, of course, techniques (Kuhn 1971).

Research styles were slightly different from place to place but there was a global homogeneity among French trainings. However, TQG was just a part of French geography, remaining in a heteronomous position, both locally and nationally. It recruited mostly bright but few students. Geography being a human science in French curricula, most of the students and teachers were repelled by mathematics and prone to avoid them. New currents like geopolitics, humanistic, social, environmental, or cultural geographies in some ways grew up against TQG that became the new *apparently* hegemonic tendency. Something like a backlash occurred in the end of the 1980s and produced a sharp divide in many local situations. The scientific values expressed by TQG prominent figures, their perceived "arrogance," brought up a high level of reluctance among their colleagues in various local situations. TQG scholars failed to explain to their colleagues and student basis what was useful and significant out of quantitative frames in spatial analysis, especially a space theory.

Globally, TQG actors were solid debaters and among the brightest minds in the disciplinary landscape but appeared unable to make their way socially and cognitively acceptable for a broader audience. When right-wing parties won the 1993 legislative elections, the backlash became materially ostensive: the new disciplinary executives obtained GIP RECLUS dismantlement. The cohesive nature of TQG movement, its international openness, and the institutional resiliency of French research structures protected the movement against the shrinking processes it encountered in many other countries. Some local schools, like the one gathered around Denise Pumain, Thérèse Saint-Julien (b. 1941), and Lena Sanders, became widely influent, scattering among French and even European universities. The former is probably one of the most famous TQG authors internationally, through alliances with complex systems research and archaeologists. The provincialized status of French geography operates as a limitation, in an international field that is itself provincialized and disvalued, and, in TQG case,

Section 1 Page 17 of 25

competed by regional science, spatial economy, and even physics. Interdisciplinary projects became the way to bypass such a difficulty.

The attempt to provide a dialectic history, both social and cognitive, of what happened to be a minority but major movement in French context, shows how social and scientific aspects are deeply intertwined. I emphasized in turn what was peculiar or similar in such a case. French TQG has certainly been a contrasted odyssey that opened a pluralist and theoretically oriented era. They successfully operated an adjustment on international standards, the first current in France doing so. But, maybe, what could surprise a foreign reader and open a renewed interest maybe locates in what had been deeply and peculiarly French in it. The spatial theories, elaborated during the late 1970s and the 1980s, remain as a significant heritage of a creative period.

Notes

References

- Abler, R.; Adams, J. S.; Gould, P. (1971): *Spatial Organization: The Geographer's View of the World*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall International Inc.
- Auriac, F. (1979): Système économique et espace. Un exemple en Languedoc. State doctorial dissertation. Montpellier: University of Montpellier. Published in 1982 as Système économique et espace. Paris: Economica, "Geographia", 3.
- Bataillon, C. (2020): Le système institutionnel des géographes de France et 1968. In Orain, O.; Robic, M.-C.: Mai-Juin 68, *L'Espace géographique* et la mémoire d'une communauté. Special Issue. In *L'Espace géographique*, 2020(1), 30–34.
- Berry, B. J. L. (1967): *Geography of Market Centers and Retail Distribution*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Berry, B. J. L. (1971): *Géographie des marchés et du commerce de détail*. Paris: Armand Colin, French, translated by B. Marchand.

Section 1 Page 18 of 25

- Besse, J. M. (2016): Founding Landscape Studies: John Brinckerhoff Jackson and French Human Geography. In *L'Espace géographique*, 45(3), 195–210.
- Bezes, P.; Chauvière, M.; Chevallier, J.; Montricher, N.; Ocqueteau, F. (2005): L'État à l'épreuve des sciences sociales. La fonction recherche dans les administrations sous la Ve République. Paris: La Découverte, "Recherches".
- Bourdieu, P. (1990 [1984]): Homo Academicus. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Brunet, R. (1965): *Les phénomènes de discontinuité en géographie*. Complementary dissertation of state doctorial dissertation. Toulouse: University of Toulouse. Published under the same title in 1967. Paris: CNRS, "Mémoires et documents".
- Brunet, R. (1976): Rapport sur la "New geography" en France. In Clark, J. I.; Pinchemel, P. (Eds.): Geography in France and in Britain. London: Institute of British Geographers, SSRC, 40–44.
- Brunet, R. (1990): Le déchiffrement du monde. In Brunet, R.; Dolfuss, O. (Eds.): *Mondes nouveaux. Géographie universelle*, v.1. Part 1, Paris-Montpellier, Belin-Reclus, 1990. Reissued and revised in 2001 as *Le déchiffrement du monde. Théorie et pratique de la géographie*. Paris: Belin, Mappemonde.
- Brunet, R. (1993): Building Models for Spatial Analysis (1980). In *L'Espace géographique*, Special Issue, "Espaces, modes d'emploi. Two decades of l'Espace géographique, an anthology. Special issue in English", 109–123.
- Burgel, G.; Rochefort, M.; Seronde-Babonaux, A. M. (1986): Témoignage d'une renaissance. In Beaujeu-Garnier, J. (Ed.): *Sens et non-sens de l'espace: de la géographie urbaine à la géographie sociale*. Caen: Collectif français de géographie urbaine et sociale, 9–10.
- Cauvin, C. (2007): Géographie et mathématique statistique, une rencontre d'un nouveau genre. In *Revue pour l'histoire du CNRS*, (18), 15–19.
- Chamussy, H. (1978): D'amour et d'impuissance. In *Brouillons Dupont*, (3), 67–81.
- Chamussy, H.; Charre, J.; Durand, M.-G.; Le Berre, M. (1977): Espace, que de brouillons commet-on en ton nom!. In *Brouillons Dupont*, (1), 15–30.

Section 1 Page 19 of 25

- Chorley, R.; Haggett, P. (Eds.) (1966): Models in Geography. London: Methuen.
- Claval, P. (1977): La Nouvelle Géographie. Paris: PUF, Que Sais-je?
- Claval, P. (1998): *Histoire de la géographie française de 1870 à nos jours*. Paris: Nathan université, Réf.
- Cuyala, S. (2014): Analyse spatio-temporelle d'un mouvement scientifique. L'exemple de la géographie théorique et quantitative européenne francophone. PhD. Paris: University of Paris 1.
- Cuyala, S. (2016): The Spatial Diffusion of Geography: A Bibliometric Analysis of ECTQG
 Conferences (1978–2013). In *Cybergeo. European Journal of Geography* [Online],
 Epistemology, History of Geography, Didactics, document 783, online 15 June, read 22 April 2020.
- Damamme et al. (2008): Mai Juin 68. Paris: Les éditions de l'atelier.
- Dobry, M. (2009 [1986]): Sociologie des crises politiques. La dynamique des mobilisations multisectorielles. Paris: Presses de sciences Po, Fait politique, 3rd edition.
- Durand-Dastès, F. (1974): Quelques remarques sur l'utilisation des modèles. In *Bulletin de l'Association de géographes français*, 51(1), n.413–414, 43–50.
- Gaudin, S. (2015): Le temps de l'engagement, enjeux et développement d'une géographie appliquée (1970–1980). In *Bulletin de l'association de géographes français*, 92(1), 111–125.
- George, P. (1962): Quelques aspects des mythes du nombre. In *Cahiers internationaux de Sociologie*, 17(2), n.33, 39–47.
- Ginsburger, N. (2017): Women in Geography in an Era of Change: Feminisation and Feminism in the French and International Academic Field (1960–1990). In *L'Espace géographique*, 46(3), 236–263.
- Gobille, B. (2008a): La vocation d'hétérodoxie. In Damamme, D.; et al.: op. cit., 274–291.
- Gobille, B. (2008b): L'événement Mai 68. Pour une sociohistoire du temps court. In *Annales*. *Histoire, Sciences Sociales*, 63(2), 321–349.

Section 1 Page 20 of 25

- Guérin-Pace, F.; Saint-Julien, T. (2012): The Words of *L'Espace géographique*: A Lexical Analysis of the Titles and Keywords from 1972 to 2010. In *L'Espace géographique*, 41(1), 4–31.
- Haggett, P. (1965): Locational Analysis in Human Geography. London: Arnold.
- Haggett, P. (1973): *L'analyse spatiale en géographie humaine*. Paris: Armand Colin, French, translated by Hubert Fréchou.
- Hepple, L. W. (2000): Yves Lacoste, Hérodote and French radical geopolitics. In Atkinson, D.;
 Dodds, K. (Eds.): Geopolitical Traditions: Critical Histories of a Century of Geopolitical Thought. London: Routledge, "Critical Geographies", 268–301.
- Kuhn, T. S. (1970): Postscript. In Kuhn, T. S. (Ed.): *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2nd edition.
- Lacoste, Y. (1976): *La Géographie, ça sert d'abord à faire la guerre*. Paris: François-Maspero, Petite Collection Maspero.
- Le Berre, M. (1988): Itinéraire géographique. Vingt ans après. In *Brouillons Dupont*, (17).
- Lévy, J. (1996): Roger Brunet. In Juillard, J.; Winock, M. (Eds.): *Dictionnaire des intellectuels français*. Paris: Le Seuil, 192–193.
- Marchand, B. (1972): L'usage des statistiques en géographie. In *L'Espace géographique*, 1(2), 79–100.
- Nicolas, G. (1984): L'Espace originel. Axiomatisation de la géographie. Berne: Lang.
- Orain, O. (2001): Démarches systémiques et géographie humaine. In Robic, M.-C. (Ed.):

 Déterminisme, possibilisme, approche systémique: les causalités en géographie. Vanves:

 CNED, Part 3, 1–64.
- Orain, O. (2009): De plain-pied dans le monde. Écriture et réalisme dans la géographie française au XX^e siècle. Paris: L'Harmattan, Histoire des sciences humaines.
- Orain, O. (2015): Mai-68 et ses suites en géographie française. In *Revue d'histoire des sciences humaines*, (26), 209–242.

Section 1 Page 21 of 25

- Orain, O. (2016): Le rôle de la graphique dans la modélisation en géographie. Contribution à une histoire épistémologique de la modélisation des spatialités humaines. In Blanckaert, C.; Léon, J.; Samain, D. (Eds.): *Modélisations et sciences humaines. Figurer, interpréter, simuler*. Paris: L'Harmattan, Histoire des sciences humaines, 215–268.
- Pinchemel, P.; Pinchemel, G. (1988): La Face de la Terre. Paris: Armand Colin.
- Pollak, M. (1989): Signes de crise, signes de changement. In *Cahiers de l'IHTP*, "Mai 68 et les sciences sociales", n.11, April, 9–20.
- Poucet, B.; Valence, D. (Eds.) (2016): *La loi Edgar Faure. Réformer l'université après 1968*.

 Rennes: Presses Universitaires de Rennes, Histoire.
- Pumain, D. (1980): Contribution à l'étude de la croissance urbaine dans le système urbain français. State doctorial dissertation. Paris: University of Paris 1. Published as La Dynamique des villes. Paris: Economica, 1982.
- Pumain, D.; Robic, M.-C. (2003): Le rôle des mathématiques dans une "révolution" théorique et quantitative: la géographie française depuis les années 1970. In *Revue d'histoire des sciences humaines*, (6), 123–144.
- Pumain, D.; Saint-Julien, T.; Vigouroux, M. (1983): Jouer de l'ordinateur sur un air urbain. In *Annales de géographie*, 92(511), 331–346.
- Racine, J.-B.; Reymond, H. (1973): *L'analyse quantitative en géographie*. Paris: P.U.F., "Sup" Le Géographe, n.12.
- Raffestin, C. (1976): Problématique et explication en géographie humaine. In Groupe Dupont (Ed.): *Géopoint, Théories et géographie*. Genève : Universités de Genève et Lausanne, 55–73.
- Reymond, H. (1976): La nécessité de discuter d'un contenu explicite et critique. In Groupe Dupont (Ed.): *Géopoint 76, Théories et géographie*. Genève : Universités de Genève et Lausanne, 106–111.

Section 1 Page 22 of 25

7 A Social History of Quantitative Geography in France from the 1970s to the 1990s

- Reymond, H. (1981): Une problématique théorique pour la géographie: plaidoyer pour une chorotaxie expérimentale. In Isnard, H.; Racine, J.-B.; Reymond, H. (Eds.):

 *Problématiques de la géographie. Paris: P.U.F., 163–249.
- Robic, M.-C. (1998): Dupont et les autres. In Brouillons Dupont, (22), 19-44.
- Robic, M. C. (2006): Une discipline se construit. Enjeux, acteurs, positions. In Robic, M. C.; et al. (Eds.): *Couvrir le Monde. Un grand XX^e siècle de géographie française*. Paris: ADPF, 15–52.
- Robic, M. C.; Gosme, C.; Mendibil, D.; Orain, O.; Tissier, J. L. (Eds.) (2006): *Couvrir le Monde.*Un grand XX^e siècle de géographie française. Paris: ADPF.
- Sanders, L. (1984): *Interaction spatiale et modélisation dynamique. Une application au système intra-urbain.* State doctorial dissertation. Paris: University of Paris 1.
- Soulié, C. (Ed.) (2012): *Un mythe à détruire? Origines et destin du centre universitaire expérimental de Vincennes*. Saint-Denis: Presses universitaires de Vincennes.
- Soulié, C. (2013): Des humanités à "l'économie de la connaissance"? Les transformations du corps enseignant en lettres et sciences humaines en France (1949–2010). In Conesa, M.; et al. (Eds.): *Faut-il brûler les Humanités et les Sciences humaines et sociales?* Paris: Michel Houdiard eds.
- Usselman, M. M. (2020): Strasbourg, May 1968. In Orain, O.; Robic, M.-C.: Mai-Juin 68, L'Espace géographique et la mémoire d'une communauté, Special Issue. In L'Espace géographique, 2020(1), 15.

Section 1 Page 23 of 25

The history of the various uses of this label(s), their temporal and individual or social variations are of great interest, but I decided to consider them as almost synonymous to simplify the presentation.

For more details and analysis about the 1945–1968 period, see Omin (2015).

- For the few mathematicians making a bet on applied techniques for social sciences, finding welcoming groups was of crucial value as their career was at stake in terms of professional axiology. In a very platonistic and selfless community that was at the top of symbolic academic hierarchy (Manuales 1986), working for such "low" disciplines with down-to-earth goals was downgrading. To compensate such a marginalizing effect, they had to enroll as many converts as they could, getting symbolic reassessment and "customers" for stages, books, and other financial retributions.
- This law stipulates that in an economic system every unit P_i grows during a time period under a proportional factor, noted r, common to all the units, with a marginal variation. It is expressed as $dP_i = rP_i + \epsilon$. After many time periods, various r factors applied to ϵ could increase the differences between the units. Gibrat's law is a way to explain the dynamics of differentiation in a population of cities, enterprises, or areas. In Pumain's state doctorate, Gibrat's law isn't "tested" in a Popperian fashion but verified through proportionality relations between cities within census data.
- General direction for scientific and technical research, created in 1958, had been a major funding tool between 1958 and the 1980s.
- This institution, divided in disciplinary half-elected, half-nominated, councils had, among other tasks, to certify one candidate's ability to become a professor. It increased its labelling process to senior lecturers (maîtres de conferences) in 1984.

Section 2 Page 24 of 25

In his PhD dissertation, S. Cuyala has calculated the balance of various affiliations through geographical directories published every five years and based on self-declarations (Taxaii 2011).

Section 2 Page 25 of 25