



HAL
open science

Study of the Kinetics of the Determinants of Performance During a Mountain Ultramarathon: Multidisciplinary Protocol of the First Trail Scientifique de Clécy 2021

Benoit Mauvieux, Corentin Hingrand, Joffrey Drigny, Amir Hodzic, Pauline Baron, Rémy Hurdiel, Romain Jouffroy, Jean-Charles Vauthier, Mathias Pessiglione, Antonius Wiehler, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Benoit Mauvieux, Corentin Hingrand, Joffrey Drigny, Amir Hodzic, Pauline Baron, et al.. Study of the Kinetics of the Determinants of Performance During a Mountain Ultramarathon: Multidisciplinary Protocol of the First Trail Scientifique de Clécy 2021. *JMIR Research Protocols*, 2022, 11 (6), pp.e38027. 10.2196/38027 . halshs-03763092

HAL Id: halshs-03763092

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03763092>

Submitted on 23 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Protocol

Study of the Kinetics of the Determinants of Performance During a Mountain Ultramarathon: Multidisciplinary Protocol of the First Trail Scientifique de Clécy 2021

Benoit Mauvieux¹, MCU, PhD; Corentin Hingrand¹, MSc; Joffrey Drigny^{1,2}, MSc, MD; Amir Hodzic^{1,2}, MD, PhD; Pauline Baron³, MSc; Rémy Hurdiel³, MCU, PhD; Romain Jouffroy^{4,5,6}, MD, PhD; Jean-Charles Vauthier⁷, MD, PhD; Mathias Pessiglione⁸, PhD; Antonius Wiehler⁸, PhD; Francis Degache⁹, PhD; Sébastien Pavailler¹⁰, PhD; Elsa Heyman^{11,12}, MCU, HDR; Mathilde Plard¹³, PhD; Philippe Noirez¹⁴, PhD; Blaise Dubois¹⁵, BSc, PT; Jean François Esculier¹⁵, PT, PhD; Anh Phong Nguyen^{15,16}, MSc, PT; Joachim Van Cant^{15,17}, PhD; Olivier Roy Baillargeon¹⁵, PhD; Benoit Pairet de Fontenay¹⁸, PhD; Pierre Louis Delaunay¹, MSc; Stéphane Besnard¹⁹, MD, PhD

¹U1075 Comete/INSERM, Université de Caen, Caen, France

²Unité de Médecine du Sport, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Caen Normandie, Caen, France

³ULR 7369 - Unité de Recherche Pluridisciplinaire Sport, Santé, Société, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Dunkerque, France

⁴Intensive Care Unit, Anaesthesiology, SAMU, Necker Enfants Malades Hospital, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France

⁵IRMES - Institute for Research in Medicine and Epidemiology of Sport, Institut National du Sport, de l'Expertise et de la Performance, Paris, France

⁶INSERM U-1018, Centre de recherche en Epidémiologie et Santé des Populations, Paris Saclay University, Paris, France

⁷Département de Médecine Générale, Faculté de Médecine - Département du Grand Est de recherche en soins primaires, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France

⁸Motivation, Brain and Behavior lab, Institut du cerveau et de la moelle épinière Inserm U1127, CNRS U9225, Université Pierre et Marie Curie (UPMC-Paris 6), Paris, France

⁹MotionLab, Le Mont sur Lausanne, Switzerland

¹⁰Salomon Sport Science Laboratory, Salomon SAS, Annecy, France

¹¹ULR 7369 - Unité de Recherche Pluridisciplinaire Sport, Santé, Société, Université de Lille, LILLE, France

¹²Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France

¹³Espace et Sociétés UMR 6590 CNRS, Université d'Angers, Angers, France

¹⁴Performance Santé Métrologie Société (EA7507), Université Reims Champagne Ardenne, Reims, France

¹⁵La Clinique du Coureur, Lac Beauport, QC, Canada

¹⁶Neuromusculoskeletal Laboratory, Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique, Catholic University of Louvain, Louvain La Neuve, Belgium

¹⁷Department of Physical Therapy, Institut Parnasse-ISEI, Brussels, Belgium

¹⁸Inter-University Laboratory of Human Movement Biology, Lyon 1 University, Lyon, France

¹⁹Explorations Fonctionnelles Neurologiques, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Caen, Caen, France

Corresponding Author:

Corentin Hingrand, MSc

U1075 Comete/INSERM

Université de Caen

PFRS, Laboratoire Comete

2 rue des Rochambelles

Caen, 14000

France

Phone: 33 616765793

Email: corentin.hingrand@unicaen.fr

Abstract

Background: The growing interest of the scientific community in trail running has highlighted the acute effects of practice at the time of these races on isolated aspects of physiological and structural systems; biological, physiological, cognitive, and muscular functions; and the psychological state of athletes. However, no integrative study has been conducted under these

conditions with so many participants and monitoring of pre-, per-, and postrace variables for up to 10 days over a distance close to 100 miles.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the kinetics of the performance parameters during a 156 km trail run and 6000 m of elevation gain in pre-, per-, and postrace conditions. The general hypothesis is based on significant alterations in the psychological, physiological, mechanical, biological, and cognitive parameters.

Methods: The Trail Scientifique de Clécy took place on November 11, 2021. This prospective experimental study provides a comprehensive exploration of the constraints and adaptations of psychophysiological and sociological variables assessed in real race conditions during a trail running of 156 km on hilly ground and 6000 m of elevation gain (D+). The study protocol allowed for repeatability of study measurements under the same experimental conditions during the race, with the race being divided into 6 identical loops of 26 km and 1000 m D+. Measurements were conducted the day before and the morning of the race, at the end of each lap, after a pit stop, and up to 10 days after the race. A total of 55 participants were included, 43 (78%) men and 12 (22%) women, who were experienced in ultra-trail-running events and with no contraindications to the practice of this sport.

Results: The launch of the study was authorized on October 26, 2021, under the trial number 21-0166 after a favorable opinion from the Comité de Protection des Personnes Ouest III (21.09.61/SIRIPH 2G 21.01586.000009). Of the 55 runners enrolled, 41 (75%) completed the race and 14 (25%) dropped out for various reasons, including gastric problems, hypothermia, fatigue, and musculoskeletal injuries. All the measurements for each team were completed in full. The race times (ie, excluding the measurements) ranged from 17.8206 hours for the first runner to 35.9225 hours for the last runner. The average time to complete all measurements for each lap was 64 (SD 3) minutes.

Conclusions: The Trail Scientifique de Clécy, by its protocol, allowed for a multidisciplinary approach to the discipline. This approach will allow for the explanation of the studied parameters in relation to each other and observation of the systems of dependence and independence. The initial results are expected in June 2022.

International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): RR1-10.2196/38027

(*JMIR Res Protoc* 2022;11(6):e38027) doi: [10.2196/38027](https://doi.org/10.2196/38027)

KEYWORDS

ultramarathon; trail-running; sports physiology; sleep deprivation; fatigue; blood biology; muscular function; biomechanics; motivation; cognition; self-esteem

Introduction

Background

Trail running is a sport discipline defined by the International Trail Running Association as a pedestrian competition taking place in a natural environment in a semi-self-sufficient or self-sufficient manner and with respect for sports ethics, fairness, solidarity, and the environment [1].

The practice of the ultratrail, the leader of ultraendurance events, shows an exponentially popular craze. In France, for example, since the first edition of the Ultra Trail du Mont Blanc, the number of finishers has risen from 67 in 2003 to 1685 in 2017, to 1556 in 2019, and to 1521 in 2021, and the number of registration requests has risen from 722 to 5575 [2]. In 2001, 150 trails were organized in France, and there were >2500 in 2015 [3]. A study conducted by the French Federation of Sports and Leisure Industries reported a niche of approximately 3.5 million trail runners out of the 17 million *runners* identified in France in 2017 [4].

A Craze for Research Around the Disciplines of Ultraendurance

In parallel with this popular craze, ultraendurance and ultratrail in particular are areas of increasing interest to the scientific community. When looking at the number of publications that include the terms *ultratrail* or *ultraendurance* or *ultramarathon* indexed on PubMed, 650 references are found, with a significant increase in recent years (a total of 3 in 2000, a total of 20 in

2010, a total of 65 in 2015, and a total of 70 in 2020). However, the volume of publications remains low compared with other disciplines; for example, the terms *soccer* or *tennis* lead to 9974 and 8127 indexed articles, respectively.

This contrast is linked to not only the relative youth of the ultraendurance disciplines but also the lack of funding available for research in sports medicine and sports science in general [2]. Furthermore, most of the publications were observational studies. Less than 5% of the articles listed were randomized controlled trials, and <1% were systematic reviews or meta-analyses [2].

Ultraendurance disciplines and the mountain ultramarathon, in particular, offer a vast field of research in public health, basic sciences, and human sciences. The relative youth of the discipline and the material and logistical constraints of setting up in situ biomedical research during mountain ultramarathon races explain the low number of studies [3].

For example, when analyzing the studies published on PubMed from 2019 to 2021 with the words *ultramarathon* or *ultratrail*, out of the 171 articles published, only 23 (13.5%) studies were able to conduct a pre-, per-, and postrace protocol. Unfortunately, these studies often focus on one variable or field of study [5-26], particularly cardiac, renal, or psychomotivational functions. Moreover, of these 23 studies, 12 (52%) protocols were conducted on a treadmill [5,13,19,27], on roads or nontechnical trails [6,10,12,18,23,28], on short distances [16], or in an extreme environment [7].

In 2019, the study by Belinchón-deMiguel et al [24] took an integrative approach to performance by integrating physiological measurements such as anthropometry, heart rate (HR), blood pressure, oxygen saturation, muscle strength, and hydration; participants' training parameters; nutritional parameters; and psychological parameters such as perceived stress and general mental health status. However, these measurements were only performed in the pre-postrace period, as they could not shed light on their kinetics. Other parameters were not studied, such as psychomotivational factors, thermoregulation, cardiac function, myotendinous activity, and inflammation. The health risks associated with the practice of this discipline have not been studied.

The lack of data found in the literature on these questions, which are linked to the difficulty of setting up scientific protocols during events and associated with the predominant place occupied by the trail discipline, gave rise to the project in Clécy, Normandy, France.

To respond to this context, a consortium comprising several local (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Caen and laboratory COMETE U1075 Unit), national, and international research teams proposed to set up a common protocol to understand the kinetics of the psychophysiological mechanisms that contribute to performance during an ultratrail race, as well as the social determinants. To this end, measurements will be taken before, during, and after a trail of 156 km with 55 volunteers and experienced runners. This scientific study is the first in its format, with 55 participants over a long race with a positive elevation gain (6000 m) and bringing together 11 research laboratories for measurements in pre-, per- (6 standardized fixed points), and postrace (10 days of follow-up) variables.

Objective

The objective of this protocol was to study in situ the kinetics of the factors determining performance in an ultraendurance trail-running event. This main objective is broken down into several scientific disciplines, each of which includes subobjectives (Textbox 1).

Textbox 1. Objectives based on scientific disciplines.

Physiological exploration

- To study the relationship between thermoregulatory capacity and performance
- To quantify the degradation of the muscular and biomechanical determinants of performance, muscular function, locomotor function, and static and dynamic postural functions
- To study the variability of cardiorespiratory parameters, including heart rate, heart rate variability, and respiratory rate
- To analyze acute adaptations in cardiac volume, myocardial contractility, and relaxation using transthoracic echocardiography
- To study the per-effort and posteffort variations of biological markers of inflammation and cardiac, renal, and neurological functions
- To evaluate the impact of the ultratrail on the runners' glycemic balance
- To evaluate sleep before, during, and after an ultraendurance race

Biomechanical exploration

- To study the variation of the elastic and architectural properties of the gastrocnemius-Achilles tendon complex using ultrasound elastography
- To study the effects of fatigue on the biomechanics of trail running
- To study the relationship between shoe-related needs and the morphological, biomechanical, and sensory characteristics of the ultraendurance runner

Psychocognitive and sociological exploration

- To assess spatial cognition
- To determine the effect of exercise combined with sleep deprivation on response time, sustained attention, and sleepiness
- To study the psychological determinants of performance in an ultraendurance sport
- To study the link between the profile of confirmed or elite participants in ultraendurance and their ability to be attentive to self, others, and the world by mobilizing different indicators

Environmental exploration

- To study the evolution of air quality and its association with physiological parameters during an ultraendurance race

Methods

Recruitment

This experimental study included 55 volunteer participants, 43 (78%) men and 12 (22%) women, aged between 25 and 70 years. On the basis of the high range of abandonment (ie, 25%

on an ultraendurance race), we estimated a cohort of finishers of 40 to 45 runners. Recruitment started in January 2021 until September 2021 with an announcement on the social networks of the Trail Scientifique de Clécy. If the runners met the inclusion criteria, they were invited to a videoconference exposing the entire protocol, with the consent letter being

provided for reading. The runners were definitively included in the study on November 10, 2021, after a medical examination.

The medical examination was completed using electrocardiography and cardiac echography. If an anomaly was detected, the runner was excluded from the study.

A medical check-up was conducted 24 hours after the finish line or withdrawal and then 1 to 2 months after the race by teleconsultation.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To be eligible, participants had to verify all the defined inclusion and exclusion criteria ([Textbox 2](#)).

Textbox 2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

- Experienced runners voluntarily participating in the Trail Scientifique de Clécy (156 km/6000 D+)
- Participants who had already completed 2 ultratrail races (+160 km and -160 km), at least one of them in the past 24 months; the participants had to justify their events and rankings
- Participants affiliated with a social security system or those who were a beneficiary of such a system
- Participants who could speak and read the French language
- Healthy volunteers aged 25 to 70 years
- Participants with the ability to physically participate in the ultraendurance race
- Participants with the ability to provide written consent for participation in the study
- Participants whose usual place of residence is +2 hours or -2 hours from the Greenwich meridian
- Participants with a medical certificate of no contraindication to the practice of ultratrail for <1 year

Exclusion criteria

- Participants with cardiac or extracardiac contraindications to intense physical activity
- Participants who had run a mountain ultramarathon (160 km) after September 2, 2021
- Pregnant or breastfeeding women
- Minor participants
- Participants included in another biomedical research protocol during this study
- Participants who refused to participate or who had the inability to access or read the newsletter
- Participants with a swallowing disorder
- Participants with a chronic transit disorder, including Crohn disease and digestive cancer
- Participants with magnetic resonance imaging scheduled within 48 hours of the race
- Participants with a medical history of pulmonary pathology; cardiac pathology; arterial hypertension; or significant inflammatory, renal, cardiac, or neurological disease observed during the inclusion visit
- All runners undergoing medical treatment
- Participants with recent muscular and orthopedic injuries, limiting running for <15 days
- Participants with a history of ankle joint surgery (eg, arthrodesis)
- Participants with joint stiffness corresponding to ranges of <15° dorsal flexion and 35° plantar flexion
- Participants with a history of foot or ankle surgery
- Participants with significant sensory disturbances in the foot
- Participants with pathological asymmetry between the right and left feet
- Participants with lower-limb pathology or trauma
- Participants with central and peripheral neurological pathologies
- Participants who experienced a time difference of >2 hours in the month preceding the event (jet lag)

Selection of Variables Identified as Determinants of Performance

The selected variables were based on scientific work in an ultramarathon, trail running, or ultratrail ([Table 1](#)).

Table 1. Parameters selected for our study, which have been reviewed in the literature.

Parameters	Studies
Muscle function	
Muscle strength and power	[25,26,29]
Neuromuscular fatigue	[30]
Thermoregulation	
Core temperature	[31,32]
Skin temperature	[31,32]
Regulation	[33]
Cardiac function	
Blood pressure	[11,21]
Ventricular volumes and function	[11,21]
Heart rate and heart rate variability	[22,34-37]
Sleep and sleep deprivation	
Before race	[38,39]
Nap	[39]
Sleep structure before, during, and after	[38-40]
Hallucination	[38]
Vigilance	[38,41]
Spatial cognition	
Posture	[20]
Balance	[20,42]
Shoes	
Pathologies	[43,44]
Foot volume	[43,45]
Running biomechanics	
Changes in kinetic parameters	[4,42,46-49]
Myotendinous activity of the ankle joint	
Stiffness and fatigue	[50-53]
Biological markers of inflammation	
Pro- and anti-inflammatory markers	[54-60]
Sepsis markers, metabolism markers, and renal function markers	[61-66]
Glycemia	
Control	[67]
Role of food	[68]
Psychology	
Motivation	[69,70]
Profile and personality traits	
Skills for attentive presence to oneself, others, and the world	[71]
Ability to project oneself in a future event	[71]
Anthropometry	
Body fat	[72]
Lean body mass	[25]
BMI	[73]

Race

The start date was given as November 11, 2021, at 2:30 PM. The race was divided into 6 identical loops of 26 km and 1000 m D+ and was run in semiautonomy; each runner had to be self-sufficient in water and food between each refreshment point. At the end of each loop, runners had access to a refreshment station identical to that of a classic race. After this refueling, runners moved to the scientific zone, and the stopwatch was paused for the duration of the scientific tests. Once the tests were completed, the runners started a new loop and the stopwatch was restarted.

Time barriers were set up based on calculations from similar races to replicate the constraints of a real race (Table 2).

Table 2. Time barrier per lap.

Date	Last start time (time of day)	Lap	Last finish time (time of day)	Maximum time per lap (hours)	Minimum speed per lap (km/hour)	Science time (hours)
November 11, 2021	2:30 PM	1	7:30 PM	5	5.2	1
November 11 and 12, 2021	8:30 PM	2	2:30 AM	6	4.3	1
November 12, 2021	3:30 AM	3	10:30 AM	7	3.7	1
November 12, 2021	11:30 AM	4	7:30 PM	8	3.25	1
November 12 and 13, 2021	8:30 PM	5	5 AM	8.5	3	1
November 13, 2021	6 AM	6	3 PM	9	2.8	1

Measures

Physiological Exploration

Anthropometry

Body mass was measured in kilograms using the BC545N (Tanita) scale. Body composition was assessed on the morning of the race and at the finish line using an mBCA 525 (Seca) impedance meter in the supine position to determine the proportion and distribution of fat, water, and muscle. It is a noninvasive technique validated against the gold standard [74].

Temperature

Body temperature analysis was performed by ingestion of an e-Celsius capsule (BodyCap). This is an ingested medical device that is noninvasive as it does not penetrate the skin or mucous membrane barrier and is connected to an external monitor that allows continuous measurement and recording of body temperature. The capsules are safe to ingest (17.7×8.9 mm, 1.7 g) and are eliminated through the natural route in 1 to 3 days in the stool. This device is valid, reproducible, and well-tolerated [6-9] and does not affect the athlete's performance. The e-Celsius capsule also has a high T° accuracy of 0.2 °C.

On the morning of the race, at breakfast, the participants swallowed an e-Celsius capsule that allowed continuous measurement of core temperature. An e-Celsius skin patch was placed on a waistcoat that the runners had to wear throughout the race to record their body temperature. In case of expulsion, new capsules were activated and ingested whenever necessary. The chosen acquisition rate was 1 data point per minute.

The measurements were conducted from 36 to 3 hours before the start of the race for the prerace measurements, at the end of each lap, and continuously during the race for the per-race measurements, and then at the finish and from 24 hours to 10 days after the race for the postrace measurements.

If a participant dropped out of the race, the time and distance covered were recorded. The participant was then required to participate in the postrace testing.

Once the race was over, the time was recorded, and postrace measurements were taken within an hour. Measurements at +24 hours were also taken and followed up for a week after the race.

Ambient temperature and humidity were measured using 2 Air Quality Transmitter AQT530 (Vaisala) weather stations installed on the course at the scientific base (0 km) and the halfway point (13 km).

Muscular Strength and Power

- Measurement of the maximum isometric strength of the knee extensors:
Participants were seated on a quadriceps chair in a standardized position: arms crossed, hands on the shoulders, back in contact with the backrest, gaze horizontal, and knee angulation at 90° in the beginning. They had 2 alternative trials per leg (ie, 1 maximum repetition on the left, then on the right, then on the left, and again on the right). This measurement was repeated the day before, on the morning of the race, at the end of each loop, at the end of the race, and 24 hours after the race.
- Measurement of the maximum isometric strength of the hip abductors:
Participants were placed in a side-lying position and were required to abduct against an inelastic strap set in a neutral position. A cushion was placed between the legs to position the hip in a neutral position. The first strap around the waist held the pelvis on the table to limit compensation from the trunk. A second strap was used as the dynamometer and was placed 5 cm above the external malleolus of the evaluated leg. After 2 submaximal tests, the participants were asked to perform at least three trials at their maximum strength, spaced by 1 minute of rest. This measurement was repeated the day before, on the morning of the race, and at the end of each loop.

- Measurement of the maximum isometric strength of the ankle plantar flexors:
Participants were seated with their knees flexed at 90°. A rigid seatbelt strap was placed around the sole of the foot and secured to a step to provide resistance for the maximal test. The ankle position was maintained at 90° to ensure a stable ankle position with both the knee bent and straight. After 2 submaximal tests, the participants were asked to perform at least three trials at their maximum strength, spaced by 1 minute of rest. This measurement was repeated the day before, on the morning of the race, and at the end of each loop.
- Muscle power of the lower limbs:
The day before the race, muscular power was evaluated using 3 squat jumps on a FD4000 force plate (Vald; 35 cm × 70 cm per plate). This measurement was repeated on the morning of the race, at the end of each lap, and at the end of the race. Each squat jump was separated by 30 seconds of rest. The instructions were as follows: “keep your hands on your hips and jump as high as possible during each repetition.”
- Grip force measurement:
Maximal grip strength was evaluated using a grip dynamometer (Grip, K-Invent) the day before, on the morning of the race, at the end of each loop, and at the end. Participants sat in a chair with their arms in 90° elbow flexion. The instructions were to squeeze the dynamometer as hard as possible for 5 seconds. The rest time between trials was 30 seconds.

HR Measurement, Respiratory Rate, and HR Variability

The participants were equipped with a Hexoskin Pro Physiological Waistcoat (Carre Technologies Inc) to measure HR, HR variability, and respiratory rate during the night before the race, during the race, and during the 10 nights following the race.

Electrocardiography and Transthoracic Echocardiography

Electrocardiography and transthoracic echocardiography were performed on all participants the day before the race and at the end of the race or retirement. A subgroup of 30 runners, comprising 13 (43%) female athletes and 17 (57%) age-matched male athletes, was selected for an additional transthoracic echocardiography evaluation at the end of each lap. Blood pressure was measured after each echocardiographic examination with respect to 10 minutes of quiet rest, using an automated monitor (Omron) with an appropriate-sized arm cuff.

Echocardiographic assessment of cardiac volumes and function was conducted according to the current guidelines [75,76] using a commercially available echocardiographic system (Philips Epiq 7 equipped with an ×5-1 xMATRIX-array transducer). The examination was performed on site for each participant in the left lateral decubitus position using a standardized echocardiographic protocol. All echocardiographic measurements acquired during a brief apnea were stored digitally for offline data analysis, which will be performed by a single operator blinded to the study time point (TOMTEC-Arena TTA2, TOMTEC Imaging Systems GMBH). The left and right ventricular and atrial dimensions will be

assessed using 2D parasternal and apical views. 3D ventricular volumes and ejection fractions will be obtained using TOMTEC 4D-analysis software. Ventricular and atrial deformations will be based on speckle-tracking analysis. Left ventricular relaxation will be analyzed using Doppler indices [76]. Left ventricular diastolic intraventricular pressure gradient, a marker of left ventricular suction, will be estimated noninvasively from echocardiographic color Doppler M-mode acquisitions made along the left ventricular base to apex axis in the 4-chamber apical view, as described previously [77,78].

Blood Biology

On the morning of the race, at the end of each loop, at the end of the race, and 24 hours after the race, venipuncture was performed on participants in a sitting position. Blood samples (2 mL) were collected from the forearm in heparin and citrate tubes. The samples were centrifuged and aliquoted for further analysis. Coagulant-free serum, serum EDTA, and heparinized vacutainers allowed us to obtain serum and plasma for the following further analyses:

- Plasma levels of interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , protein S100, neuron-specific enolase, C-reactive protein (proinflammatory markers), and IL-4, IL-10, and IL-13 (anti-inflammatory markers)
- The parameters studied related to sepsis will be granzyme B, heat shock protein 70, IL-1 α , IL-8, macrophage inflammatory protein 1 α , macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β , and matrix metalloproteinase-8
- The studied parameters related to metabolism will be ghrelin, gastric inhibitory polypeptide, glucagon-like peptide-1, glucagon, insulin, insulin leptin plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (total), resistin, visfatin, C-peptide, cortisol, pancreatic polypeptide, insulin, and peptide YY
- The parameters studied related to inflammation will be soluble CD30, soluble epidermal growth factor receptor, soluble glycoprotein 130, soluble IL (sIL)-1 receptor type I, sIL-1 receptor type II, sIL-2 receptor type α , sIL-4 receptor, sIL-6 receptor, advanced glycosylation end product-specific receptor, soluble TNF receptor I, soluble TNF receptor II, soluble vascular endothelial growth factor (sVEGF) receptor 1, sVEGF receptor 2, and sVEGF receptor 3
- The parameters studied in relation to renal function will be blood count and blood ionogram with calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, urea, creatinine, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, kidney injury molecule 1, plasma, and urine lipocalin

Venous blood gas analysis was immediately performed using the Stat Profile Prime (Nova Biomedical) medical device, allowing hemoglobin measurement and hematocrit calculation.

Glycemia

The day before the race, the investigators placed a continuous interstitial glucose sensor (FreeStyle Libre Pro, Abbott) on the back of participants' arms. This sensor was used in masked mode; hence, the runners did not have live access to their blood glucose values, so as not to interfere with their usual running strategies. The sensor is self-calibrating and does not need to be manipulated once fitted. Blood glucose levels were estimated

from interstitial glucose levels measured at 15-minute intervals from the time the sensor was fitted until it was removed 9 days after the race.

In addition, plasma glucose levels were analyzed from venous blood samples collected on the morning before the race, at the end of each loop, at the end of the race, and 24 hours after the race.

Sleep Exploration

A sleep questionnaire, adapted from the existing Spiegel, Epworth, and Vis-Morgen questionnaires, was administered to all participants before, during (if a nap was required), and after the race.

On the night before the race and the 7 nights after the race, the participants were equipped with the Hexoskin Pro Waistcoat, measuring sleep indirectly by actimetry.

Sleep was also recorded by electroencephalogram measurements using a Somfit (Compumedics Limited) for naps during the race and in the 7 nights following the race for a subgroup.

On the day before the race, the day of the start of the race, at the end of each loop, and at the end of the race or retirement, participants were asked to complete the Karolinska Subjective Sleepiness Scale.

Biomechanical Exploration

Elastic and Architectural Properties of the Gastrocnemius-Achilles Tendon Complex

Ultrasound examination was performed using a linear array transducer (EPIQ Elite with eL18-4 transducer ElastQ Imaging shear wave elastography, Philips Medical Systems). All participants were examined in the prone position, with the knee in the extended position and the ankle fixed in a neutral position. Both legs were assessed. The cross-sectional area of the Achilles tendon (in mm²) was measured at the level between the malleoli [79]. Longitudinal panoramic sonographic images of the medial gastrocnemius muscle were obtained. Pennation angle and fascicle length were measured at the middle and distal parts of the muscle [80]. The elastic properties of the medial gastrocnemius muscle were measured in the longitudinal view at 30% of the muscle length using Young modulus values (in kPa) determined by shear wave ultrasound elastography [81]. The region of interest circle was placed in the muscle belly, and median elasticity, maximum elasticity, and average elasticity were collected. Measurements were performed before the race and repeated at the end of the second lap, the fourth lap, at the end or at retirement, and 6 hours after the race.

Running Kinetics and Kinematics

The data were collected on the day before the Trail Scientifique Clécy (10 minutes of warm-up before data collection for a few seconds for the kinematics); during the race; at the end of each loop; and 30 m before the end of a lap on a flat, paved, and covered portion.

The kinematics of the race were evaluated using a high-definition video camera and an Optojump system (Microgate) at the end of each loop. The characterization of

foot strike patterns (rear foot, midfoot, and forefoot) using a video camera is a valid and accurate method of assessment [82-84]. The foot strike angle at the initial contact was also measured using a high-speed, high-definition camera at 240 frames per second. Step rate, step length, and ground and fly contact time were measured using an Optojump system comprising fixed sensors of 15 m × 1.5 m, which were positioned in an 18 m × 3 m tent on a level section. This instrument has been validated against an instrumented treadmill [85].

RunScribe sensors were used continuously throughout the run to measure the kinematic parameters of the stride. The variables of interest were the foot strike pattern, power, flight time, flight ratio, step rate, and ground contact time, which were previously found to be valid and reliable [86].

The loops were broken down into sections (ascent, descent, and flat) to isolate the variables and analyze the intermediate race times.

Shoes

The day before the race, information was collected on the trail shoes used by the participants (brand, model, size, weight, sole thickness and drop, torsional and longitudinal flexibility, motion control technologies, and the Minimalist Index [87]). A questionnaire was also administered to define their needs, expectations, and preferences regarding the footwear used.

Foot Measurement

Both feet were scanned using a photogrammetric 3D scanner (FeetBox3D, Corpus-e). A 3D model of the feet was reconstructed to observe the structural changes induced by the race (swelling).

This measurement was taken before the race and repeated at the end of each lap and at the end of the race or retirement.

Cognitive, Psychological, and Sociological Exploration

Vestibular System: Testing Sensory Organization and Measuring Spatial Orientation

We assessed verticality perception to evaluate the visuovestibular sensory preference with subjective vertical visual, dynamic subjective vertical visual, and the Rode and Frame test 4 hours before the race, at loops 1 and 3, at the end loop 6, and 24 hours after the race.

We measured the spatial strategy according to the egocentric (striatal network) versus allocentric (hippocampal network) response through the reverse T maze previously performed in rodents [88] and in healthy participants [49] before the race, at the end of loop 6, and at 24 hours after the race.

All tests were performed using a virtual reality headset setup (VRMaze [89]).

Postural Control

Postural control was measured 2 times for 50 seconds (open and closed eye conditions) on K-Force Plates (K-Invent).

This measurement was repeated 24 hours and 3 hours before the race, at loops 1 and 3, at the end of loop 6, and 24 hours

after the race. Anteroposterior and lateral sway and stability scores were analyzed.

Cognitive Tests

On the day before the race, the morning of the race, at the end of each loop, and then at the end or at retirement, the runners were asked to assess a simple 5-minute serial response time test [90]. The number of mistakes termed *errors of omission* (eg, lapses of attention, historically defined as response time ≥ 500 milliseconds) plus *errors of commission* (eg, responses without a stimulus, false starts, or response time < 100 milliseconds) are the primary outcome measures. The mean response times were also calculated. A measure of perceived sleepiness using the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale completed the objective assessment.

Psychomotivational Test

On the morning of the race, at the end of each loop, at the finish line, and 24 hours after the race, participants completed a motivational test.

The methodology comprised asking participants to perform 2 tests on a computer, each lasting approximately 3 minutes. A long version of these tests was described by Schmidt et al [91]. One was for physical effort, and the other was for mental effort. The short version was implemented during the Reunion ultratrail (Grand Raid).

In each test, participants were asked to try to win as much money as possible. The money was not real, as in a video game; however, the amount won is used to rank participants. Each trial had a coin or note (10c or 0.11c, €1 or US \$1.06, or €10 or US \$10.58) that one can win if they do their best. The maximum was specific to each participant and was measured during the prerace visit, which allowed instructions to be given.

Each test comprised 9 trials, 3 per incentive level, where the participant must either squeeze the handle as hard as possible or solve as many numerical comparisons as possible in a limited time. At the end of each trial, the participant was told his or her performance and the amount of money earned, calculated as the fraction of the incentive corresponding to the fraction of the

maximum effort achieved. The maximum effort corresponds to the maximum muscular contraction produced during the calibration visit and the minimum time taken to complete 10 numerical comparisons.

Sociological Questionnaire

Before the race, the participants had to fill in a sociological questionnaire on their ability to be attentive to themselves, others, and the world using various indicators.

Environmental Exploration

To measure the air quality, we installed 2 sensors (Air Quality Transmitter AQT530, Vaisala) along the course of the trail of Clécy at a height of 1.70 m from the ground. The sensors were placed at 2 locations along the course. The first sensor was located at the center of the Pleine Nature Lionel Terray at the start of the race. This point was also the passage of each 26 km loop and the arrival of the race. This was the point of the course with the lowest altitude (50 m). The second sensor was located at the aid station at the 14th kilometer of the course, which was the point of the course with the highest altitude (254 m). The frequency of the measurement of the sensors was 1 measurement every 10 minutes.

These sensors measured nitrogen dioxide, nitrogen monoxide, carbon monoxide, ozone, and fine particles (particulate matter [PM]) with diameters $< 1 \mu\text{m}$ (PM1), $< 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ (PM2.5), and $< 10 \mu\text{m}$ (PM10). Environmental parameters such as temperature, humidity, and atmospheric pressure were also recorded.


Other Measures

Crosscall Core T4 tablets (France) with Quicktape Survey software (Canada) were used to merge the questionnaires of each scientific team.

Order of Tasks

To reduce the impact of one measure on another for the prerace measurements, the order of passage of the tasks was imposed. Therefore, no randomization was performed.

Figure 1 shows the sequence of the scientific part.

Figure 1. Organization of scientific measurements.

Statistical Analysis

Data related to the primary and secondary objectives will be evaluated at the end of the study. For each studied parameter, the normality of the distribution will be examined using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Continuous quantitative variables will be expressed as mean (SD), discontinuous variables as median and IQR, and qualitative variables as percentages. The comparison of the values of the primary end point between the baseline and the end of the study will be performed using statistical tests for the paired series. In addition, to take into account repeated measures over time, we will analyze the primary end point as a function of time using appropriate mixed models for repeated data. Using the same statistical tests as for the primary end point, we will analyze the secondary end points. The threshold for statistical significance will be defined as $P .05$. Depending on the scientific team, we will use MedCalc Statistical Software (version 13.2.0) and JASP (version 0.16.1.0) or RStudio (version 1.2) to perform the statistical analyses.

Ethics Approval

The launch of the study was authorized on October 26, 2021, under trial number 21-0166 after a favorable opinion from the Comité de Protection des Personnes Ouest III (21.09.61/SIRIPH 2G 21.01586.000009).

Results

Overview

Of the 60 runners selected for the scientific trail, 56 (93%) showed up, and 55 (92%) were selected for the study, including 43 (72%) men (mean age 45.6, SD 14.6 years; mean height 1.76 m, SD 0.1 m; mean weight 70.3 kg, SD 7.8 kg; mean BMI 22.7,

SD 2.0; and mean body fat 9.7%, SD 5.4%) and 12 (20%) women (mean age 43.8, SD 9.7 years; mean weight 53.5, SD 5.5 kg; mean BMI 19.7, SD 1.1; mean body fat 17.7%, SD 4.8%).

A woman was excluded from the study because of her participation in a 160 km ultramarathon 1 week before the protocol.

There were 14 participants who abandoned the study for the following reasons: perceived hypothermia ($n=2$, 14%), generalized exhaustion ($n=5$, 36%), gastric problems ($n=4$, 29%), and musculoskeletal pain ($n=3$, 21%).

We performed intermediate times over the entire race. [Table 3](#) lists the values. Race time corresponds to the time taken to complete the 6 loops. Stop time corresponds to the time spent at the base of life (refueling and paramedical care). Science time refers to the time spent on various scientific measurements.

In a classic trail-running race, running and stopping times are part of the final timing. Here, this value is represented by the total time.

For finishers, the average time for science over the whole race was 320 (SD 56) minutes (ie, an average of 64, SD 13 minutes per lap; ie, 17.4%, SD 2.1% of the protocol time). As an indication, the time spent at the base of life for refreshments, a nap, foot care, and physiotherapy represented 8.6% (SD 3.7%) of the protocol time.

For did not finish, the science time corresponded to 18.2% (SD 3.9%) of the protocol time, and 8.6% and SD 3.5%) of the protocol time was devoted to stops at the base of life.

The average time per loop is presented in [Table 4](#) for all the runners, finishers, and did not finish.

Table 3. Timing and split times.

Participants	Race time (hours)	Break time (hours)	Science time (hours)	Total time (race time+break time; hours)	Protocol time (total time+science time; hours)
Finishers, mean (SD)	23.3522 (3.5197)	2.1611 (1.3147)	5.3481 (0.9392)	1.4967 (4.5661)	30.8447 (5.2439)
First man	17.1839	0.6367	3.8969	17.8206	21.7175
First woman	19.7478	1.0992	4.8147	20.8469	25.6617

Table 4. Average time per lap (26 km and 1000 m D+) for the group, finishers, and nonfinishers (N=55).

Participants	Lap 1	Lap 2	Lap 3	Lap 4	Lap 5	Lap 6
Runners at each lap, n (%)	55 (100)	55 (100)	53 (96)	50 (91)	44 (80)	41 (75)
Time (all runners n=54), mean (SD)	3.0119 (0.3344)	3.5217 (0.4306)	3.9736 (0.6708)	4.2397 (0.6608)	4.4114 (0.9072)	4.6444 (0.9625)
Time for finishers (n=41), mean (SD)	2.9633 (0.35)	3.4528 (0.4197)	3.8631 (0.6214)	4.1261 (0.5825)	4.3144 (0.8425)	4.6444 (0.9625)
Time for did not finish, mean (SD)	3.1536 (0.2419)	3.7236 (0.4117)	4.3511 (0.7231)	4.7578 (0.78)	5.7367 (0.8175)	N/A ^a

^aN/A: not applicable (there are no more runners in this category on lap 6 as they did not finish the race).

Undesirable Effects

No runner had to interrupt the race because of an undesirable effect of the protocol.

We noted a few lipothymia cases, which were not serious, during certain scientific tests, particularly those requiring prolonged standing.

We did not note any muscle damage related to the tests.

The venipunctures generated ecchymosis because of the difficulty of puncturing but also extravasation secondary to the rapid resumption of the race (strong and lasting compression not being possible).

Anecdotally, 8 punctures, 6 of which were during the race, were a major constraint.

Discussion

Principal Findings

The Trail Scientifique de Clécy allowed, for the first time, an integrative and multidisciplinary approach to better understand the performance of ultramarathons. The hypotheses based on the observations of the studies conducted in trail running go in the direction of degradation of many functions in the first one-third of the race before reaching a plateau in the last one-third of the race, suggesting that the differences in certain parameters of tiredness are no longer significant between the arrival of 100 miles or 200 miles in a mountain [3,4,20,41,42,48,92-94].

Thus, our initial hypothesis was that there is a significant degradation of all the studied functions under the effect of race-induced fatigue from the first one-third of the race before reaching a plateau on the third one-third. We assume that a difference or difference in the kinetics of the studied functions can lead to failure and abandonment.

Owing to its duration, a mountain ultramarathon induces night and day phases, which can induce a circadian effect on the fluctuation of physiological and cognitive functions. Our team is interested in verifying whether there is a circadian effect on the kinetics of the functions studied during the Trail Scientifique de Clécy, according to the running time and the time of day.

Our study population is representative of the real population of a classic ultratrail race. Our average age (45, SD 13.6 years) is in line with the recent observations, who reported that the average age of the different races of the Ultra Trail du Mont Blanc (Courmayeur Champex Chamonix and Orcières Champex Chamonix) from 2014 to 2018 for the 40 to 49 age group is the most represented [95]. Concerning the distribution of men and women, 21.8% of women against 9.8% of women are on the starting line for the Ultra Trail du Mont Blanc 2021 [95].

The downtime imposed to meet the requirements of the scientific protocol did not exceed 20% of the total time. These data allow us to justify that our scientific race model is closer to reality because of the reduced duration of the stopping time for scientific tasks compared with the duration of the race and voluntary stops.

Conclusions

The originality of this project is that it has allowed an integrative approach to the different parameters determining performance in ultraendurance. Our protocol allowed us to standardize the measurement points with a fixed and identical loop for each lap to collect fixed or continuous measurement points over the entire course, which is not the case in rare studies conducted in racing [5-26]. The loops can be compared with each other and will allow for splitting into sections to analyze each section 6 times in a row.

The first results for each scientific task are expected in June 2022.

Acknowledgments

The *APEEX* European project is cofunded by the Normandy County Council and the European Union in the framework of the European regional development fund-European social fund operational program 2014-2020.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Peer review report by the Agence nationale de la recherche (ANR, or French National Research).

[\[PDF File \(Adobe PDF File\), 849 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1\]](#)

Multimedia Appendix 2

Statement of expertise HAIS N°1.

[\[DOCX File , 33 KB-Multimedia Appendix 2\]](#)

Multimedia Appendix 3

Statement of expertise HAIS N°2.

[\[DOCX File , 26 KB-Multimedia Appendix 3\]](#)

Multimedia Appendix 4

Statement of expertise HAIS N°3.

[\[DOCX File , 27 KB-Multimedia Appendix 4\]](#)

References

1. Définition du trail. International Trail Running Association. URL: https://itra.run/page/259/Definition_of_trail-running.html [accessed 2022-01-10]
2. Hoffman MD. State of the science-ultraendurance sports. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2016 Sep;11(6):831-832. [doi: [10.1123/ijspp.2016-0472](https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2016-0472)] [Medline: [27701969](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27701969/)]
3. Knechtle B, Nikolaidis PT. Physiology and pathophysiology in ultra-marathon running. *Front Physiol* 2018 Jun 1;9:634 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2018.00634](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00634)] [Medline: [29910741](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29910741/)]
4. Jeker D, Falbriard M, Vernillo G, Meyer F, Savoldelli A, Degache F, et al. Changes in spatio-temporal gait parameters and vertical speed during an extreme mountain ultra-marathon. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2020 Nov;20(10):1339-1345. [doi: [10.1080/17461391.2020.1712480](https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2020.1712480)] [Medline: [31914356](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31914356/)]
5. Berger N, Cooley D, Graham M, Harrison C, Best R. Physiological responses and nutritional intake during a 7-day treadmill running world record. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020 Aug 17;17(16):5962 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/ijerph17165962](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17165962)] [Medline: [32824531](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32824531/)]
6. König S, Jockenhöfer C, Billich C, Beer M, Machann J, Schmidt-Trucksäss A, et al. Long distance running - can bioprofiling predict success in endurance athletes? *Med Hypotheses* 2021 Jan;146:110474. [doi: [10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110474](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110474)] [Medline: [33418424](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33418424/)]
7. Graham SM, Martindale RJ, McKinley M, Connaboy C, Andronikos G, Susmarski A. The examination of mental toughness, sleep, mood and injury rates in an Arctic ultra-marathon. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2021 Jan;21(1):100-106. [doi: [10.1080/17461391.2020.1733670](https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2020.1733670)] [Medline: [32089095](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32089095/)]
8. Cavigli L, Zorzi A, Spadotto V, Gismondi A, Sisti N, Valentini F, et al. The acute effects of an ultramarathon on biventricular function and ventricular arrhythmias in master athletes. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2022 Feb 22;23(3):423-430. [doi: [10.1093/ehjci/jeab017](https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jeab017)] [Medline: [33544827](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33544827/)]
9. Plakida AL. Changes in immunological parameters in ultramarathon runners depending on the duration of the load. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2021 Feb;61(2):261-268. [doi: [10.23736/S0022-4707.20.11150-2](https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.20.11150-2)] [Medline: [33092321](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33092321/)]
10. Jörres M, Gunga HC, Steinach M. Physiological changes, activity, and stress during a 100-km-24-h walking-march. *Front Physiol* 2021 Mar 11;12:640710 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2021.640710](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.640710)] [Medline: [33776795](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33776795/)]
11. Nguyen H, Grenier T, Leporq B, Le Goff C, Gilles B, Grange S, et al. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging assessment of the quadriceps changes during an extreme mountain ultramarathon. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2021 Apr 01;53(4):869-881. [doi: [10.1249/MSS.0000000000002535](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002535)] [Medline: [33044438](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33044438/)]
12. Klenk C, Brunner H, Nickel T, Sagmeister F, Yilmaz A, Infanger D, et al. Cardiac structure and function in response to a multi-stage marathon over 4486 km. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2021 Aug 23;28(10):1102-1109. [doi: [10.1177/2047487319885035](https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487319885035)] [Medline: [34425589](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34425589/)]

13. Howe CC, Swann N, Spendiff O, Kosciuk A, Pummell EK, Moir HJ. Performance determinants, running energetics and spatiotemporal gait parameters during a treadmill ultramarathon. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2021 Jun;121(6):1759-1771 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s00421-021-04643-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-021-04643-2)] [Medline: [33704547](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33704547/)]
14. Costa RJ, Knechtle B, Tarnopolsky M, Hoffman MD. Nutrition for ultramarathon running: trail, track, and road. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* 2019 Mar 01;29(2):130-140 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0255](https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0255)] [Medline: [30943823](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30943823/)]
15. Huang MK, Chang KS, Kao WF, Li LH, How CK, Wang SH, et al. Visual hallucinations in 246-km mountain ultra-marathoners: an observational study. *Chin J Physiol* 2021;64(5):225-231 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.4103/cjp.cjp_57_21](https://doi.org/10.4103/cjp.cjp_57_21)] [Medline: [34708714](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34708714/)]
16. Rojas-Valverde D, Martínez-Guardado I, Sánchez-Ureña B, Timón R, Scheer V, Pino-Ortega J, et al. Outpatient assessment of mechanical load, heat strain and dehydration as causes of transitional acute kidney injury in endurance trail runners. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021 Sep 28;18(19):10217 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/ijerph181910217](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910217)] [Medline: [34639516](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34639516/)]
17. Waśkiewicz Z, Nikolaidis PT, Chalabaev A, Rosemann T, Knechtle B. Motivation in ultra-marathon runners. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 2018 Dec 27;12:31-37 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2147/PRBM.S189061](https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S189061)] [Medline: [30643473](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30643473/)]
18. Żebrowska A, Waśkiewicz Z, Nikolaidis PT, Mikołajczyk R, Kawecki D, Rosemann T, et al. Acute responses of novel cardiac biomarkers to a 24-h ultra-marathon. *J Clin Med* 2019 Jan 08;8(1):57 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/jcm8010057](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8010057)] [Medline: [30625976](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30625976/)]
19. Knechtle B, Jastrzebski Z, Rosemann T, Nikolaidis PT. Pacing during and physiological response after a 12-hour ultra-marathon in a 95-year-old male runner. *Front Physiol* 2019 Jan 4;9:1875 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2018.01875](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01875)] [Medline: [30687109](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30687109/)]
20. Degache F, Serain E, Vernillo G, Meyer F, Falbriard M, Savoldelli A, et al. Postural control follows a bi-phasic alteration pattern during mountain ultra-marathon. *Front Physiol* 2019 Jan 17;9:1971 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2018.01971](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01971)] [Medline: [30713506](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30713506/)]
21. Jouffroy R, Lebreton X, Mansencal N, Anglicheau D. Acute kidney injury during an ultra-distance race. *PLoS One* 2019 Sep 25;14(9):e0222544 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0222544](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222544)] [Medline: [31553742](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31553742/)]
22. Balducci P, Saboul D, Trama R. Monitoring heart rates to evaluate pacing on a 75-km MUM. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2019 Jul;59(7):1133-1137. [doi: [10.23736/S0022-4707.18.08861-8](https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.18.08861-8)] [Medline: [30264978](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30264978/)]
23. Schütz U, Ehrhardt M, Göd S, Billich C, Beer M, Trattng S. A mobile MRI field study of the biochemical cartilage reaction of the knee joint during a 4,486 km transcontinental multistage ultra-marathon using T2* mapping. *Sci Rep* 2020 May 18;10(1):8157 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1038/s41598-020-64994-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64994-2)] [Medline: [32424133](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32424133/)]
24. Belinchón-deMiguel P, Tornero-Aguilera JF, Dalamitros AA, Nikolaidis PT, Rosemann T, Knechtle B, et al. Multidisciplinary analysis of differences between finisher and non-finisher ultra-endurance mountain athletes. *Front Physiol* 2019 Dec 10;10:1507 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2019.01507](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01507)] [Medline: [31920712](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31920712/)]
25. Björklund G, Swarén M, Born DP, Stöggel T. Biomechanical adaptations and performance indicators in short trail running. *Front Physiol* 2019 Apr 30;10:506 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2019.00506](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00506)] [Medline: [31114511](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31114511/)]
26. Balducci P, Cléménçon M, Trama R, Blache Y, Hautier C. Performance factors in a mountain ultramarathon. *Int J Sports Med* 2017 Oct;38(11):819-826. [doi: [10.1055/s-0043-112342](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-112342)] [Medline: [28799161](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28799161/)]
27. Berger N, Cooley D, Graham M, Harrison C, Campbell G, Best R. Consistency is key when setting a new world record for running 10 marathons in 10 days. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021 Nov 17;18(22):12066 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/ijerph182212066](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182212066)] [Medline: [34831820](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34831820/)]
28. Schütz UH, Brix M, Kiess A, Goed S, Friedrich K, Weber M, et al. Femoropatellar joint reaction detected during a 4486 KM ultramarathon with mobile MRI. *Int J Sports Med* 2020 Jun;41(6):398-411. [doi: [10.1055/a-1083-6580](https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1083-6580)] [Medline: [31958873](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31958873/)]
29. Giovanelli N, Floreani M, Vaccari F, Lazzer S. Peripheral alterations affect the loss in force after a treadmill downhill run. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021 Jul 31;18(15):8135 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/ijerph18158135](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18158135)] [Medline: [34360424](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34360424/)]
30. Millet GY, Martin V, Temesi J. The role of the nervous system in neuromuscular fatigue induced by ultra-endurance exercise. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2018 Nov;43(11):1151-1157. [doi: [10.1139/apnm-2018-0161](https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2018-0161)] [Medline: [29726694](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29726694/)]
31. Tansey EA, Johnson CD. Recent advances in thermoregulation. *Adv Physiol Educ* 2015 Sep;39(3):139-148 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1152/advan.00126.2014](https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00126.2014)] [Medline: [26330029](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26330029/)]
32. Chevront SN, Haymes EM. Thermoregulation and marathon running: biological and environmental influences. *Sports Med* 2001;31(10):743-762. [doi: [10.2165/00007256-200131100-00004](https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200131100-00004)] [Medline: [11547895](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11547895/)]
33. Hue O, Henri S, Baillet M, Sinnapah S, Uzel AP. Thermoregulation, hydration and performance over 6 days of trail running in the tropics. *Int J Sports Med* 2014 Oct;35(11):906-911. [doi: [10.1055/s-0033-1361186](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1361186)] [Medline: [24841838](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24841838/)]
34. Fornasiero A, Savoldelli A, Fruet D, Boccia G, Pellegrini B, Schena F. Physiological intensity profile, exercise load and performance predictors of a 65-km mountain ultra-marathon. *J Sports Sci* 2018 Jun;36(11):1287-1295. [doi: [10.1080/02640414.2017.1374707](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1374707)] [Medline: [28869746](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28869746/)]

35. Oliveira-Rosado J, Duarte JP, Sousa-E-Silva P, Costa DC, Martinho DV, Sarmiento H, et al. Physiological profile of adult male long-distance trail runners: variations according to competitive level (national or regional). *Einstein (Sao Paulo)* 2020 Apr 6;18:eAO5256 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.31744/einstein_journal/2020AO5256](https://doi.org/10.31744/einstein_journal/2020AO5256)] [Medline: [32267367](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32267367/)]
36. Schmitt L, Regnard J, Millet GP. Monitoring fatigue status with HRV measures in elite athletes: an avenue beyond RMSSD? *Front Physiol* 2015 Nov 19;6:343 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2015.00343](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00343)] [Medline: [26635629](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26635629/)]
37. Lazzer S, Salvadego D, Rejc E, Buglione A, Antonutto G, di Prampero PE. The energetics of ultra-endurance running. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2012 May;112(5):1709-1715. [doi: [10.1007/s00421-011-2120-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-011-2120-z)] [Medline: [21881950](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21881950/)]
38. Hurdiel R, Pez  T, Daugherty J, Girard J, Poussel M, Poletti L, et al. Combined effects of sleep deprivation and strenuous exercise on cognitive performances during The North Face® Ultra Trail du Mont Blanc® (UTMB®). *J Sports Sci* 2015;33(7):670-674. [doi: [10.1080/02640414.2014.960883](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.960883)] [Medline: [25333827](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25333827/)]
39. Poussel M, Laroppe J, Hurdiel R, Girard J, Poletti L, Thil C, et al. Sleep management strategy and performance in an extreme mountain ultra-marathon. *Res Sports Med* 2015;23(3):330-336. [doi: [10.1080/15438627.2015.1040916](https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2015.1040916)] [Medline: [26020095](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26020095/)]
40. Baron P, Hermant  , Elsworth-Edelsten C, Pez  T, Bourlois V, Mauvieux B, et al. Sleep and subjective recovery in amateur trail runners after the Ultra-Trail du Mont Blanc® (UTMB®). *J Sci Sport Exerc* 2022 Apr 07:1-7. [doi: [10.1007/s42978-021-00154-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42978-021-00154-w)]
41. Hurdiel R, Riedy SM, Millet GP, Mauvieux B, Pez  T, Elsworth-Edelsten C, et al. Cognitive performance and self-reported sleepiness are modulated by time-of-day during a mountain ultramarathon. *Res Sports Med* 2018;26(4):482-489. [doi: [10.1080/15438627.2018.1492401](https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2018.1492401)] [Medline: [29973086](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29973086/)]
42. Degache F, Morin JB, Oehen L, Guex K, Giardini G, Schena F, et al. Running mechanics during the world's most challenging mountain ultramarathon. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2016 Jul;11(5):608-614. [doi: [10.1123/ijspp.2015-0238](https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0238)] [Medline: [26457730](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26457730/)]
43. Horvais N, Samozino P. Effect of midsole geometry on foot-strike pattern and running kinematics. *Footwear Sci* 2013 May 22;5(2):81-89. [doi: [10.1080/19424280.2013.767863](https://doi.org/10.1080/19424280.2013.767863)]
44. Anderson Jr LS, Rebholz CM, White LF, Mitchell P, Curcio 3rd EP, Feldman JA, et al. The impact of footwear and packweight on injury and illness among long-distance hikers. *Wilderness Environ Med* 2009;20(3):250-256. [doi: [10.1580/08-WEME-OR-196R2.1](https://doi.org/10.1580/08-WEME-OR-196R2.1)] [Medline: [19737037](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19737037/)]
45. Pavailler S, Horvais N, Millet GP. Foot dimensions and sensitivity evolution during extreme mountain ultra-marathon. In: *Abstracts From the 5th Annual Congress on Medicine & Science in Ultra-Endurance Sports*. 2018 Apr 12 Presented at: ACSM '18; May 9-10, 2018; Castello de la Plana, Spain p. S1-1-S1-9. [doi: [10.1123/ijspp.2018-0227](https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2018-0227)]
46. Vernillo G, Martinez A, Baggaley M, Khassetarash A, Giandolini M, Horvais N, et al. Biomechanics of graded running: part I - stride parameters, external forces, muscle activations. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2020 Sep;30(9):1632-1641. [doi: [10.1111/sms.13708](https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13708)] [Medline: [32396672](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32396672/)]
47. Padulo J, Powell D, Milia R, Ardig  LP. A paradigm of uphill running. *PLoS One* 2013 Jul 10;8(7):e69006 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0069006](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069006)] [Medline: [23874850](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23874850/)]
48. Giandolini M, Gimenez P, Temesi J, Arnal PJ, Martin V, Rupp T, et al. Effect of the fatigue induced by a 110-km ultramarathon on tibial impact acceleration and lower leg kinematics. *PLoS One* 2016 Mar 31;11(3):e0151687 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0151687](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151687)] [Medline: [27031830](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27031830/)]
49. Vermand S, Ferrari FJ, Cherdo F, Garson C, Lavenant M, Alex MC, et al. Running biomechanics alterations during a 40 km mountain race. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2022 Jan 27. [doi: [10.23736/S0022-4707.22.13049-5](https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.22.13049-5)] [Medline: [35084165](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35084165/)]
50. Werkhausen A, Albracht K, Cronin NJ, Paulsen G, Bojsen-M ller J, Seynnes OR. Effect of training-induced changes in Achilles tendon stiffness on muscle-tendon behavior during landing. *Front Physiol* 2018 Jul 26;9:794 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2018.00794](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00794)] [Medline: [29997526](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29997526/)]
51. Werkhausen A, Cronin NJ, Albracht K, Paulsen G, Larsen AV, Bojsen-M ller J, et al. Training-induced increase in Achilles tendon stiffness affects tendon strain pattern during running. *PeerJ* 2019 Apr 24;7:e6764 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.7717/peerj.6764](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6764)] [Medline: [31086731](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31086731/)]
52. Fletcher JR, MacIntosh BR. Running economy from a muscle energetics perspective. *Front Physiol* 2017 Jun 22;8:433 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2017.00433](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00433)] [Medline: [28690549](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28690549/)]
53. Fletcher JR, MacIntosh BR. Changes in Achilles tendon stiffness and energy cost following a prolonged run in trained distance runners. *PLoS One* 2018 Aug 8;13(8):e0202026 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0202026](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202026)] [Medline: [30089154](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30089154/)]
54. Nieman DC. Marathon training and immune function. *Sports Med* 2007;37(4-5):412-415. [doi: [10.2165/00007256-200737040-00036](https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200737040-00036)] [Medline: [17465622](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17465622/)]
55. Vassalle C, Piaggi P, Weltman N, Prontera C, Garbella E, Menicucci D, et al. Innovative approach to interpret the variability of biomarkers after ultra-endurance exercise: the multifactorial analysis. *Biomark Med* 2014;8(6):881-891. [doi: [10.2217/bmm.13.152](https://doi.org/10.2217/bmm.13.152)] [Medline: [25224944](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25224944/)]
56. Jeukendrup AE, Vet-Joop K, Sturk A, Stegen JH, Senden J, Saris WH, et al. Relationship between gastro-intestinal complaints and endotoxaemia, cytokine release and the acute-phase reaction during and after a long-distance triathlon in highly trained men. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2000 Jan;98(1):47-55. [Medline: [10600658](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10600658/)]

57. Mastaloudis A, Morrow JD, Hopkins DW, Devaraj S, Traber MG. Antioxidant supplementation prevents exercise-induced lipid peroxidation, but not inflammation, in ultramarathon runners. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2004 May 15;36(10):1329-1341. [doi: [10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.02.069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.02.069)] [Medline: [15110397](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15110397/)]
58. Nieman DC, Davis JM, Brown VA, Henson DA, Dumke CL, Utter AC, et al. Influence of carbohydrate ingestion on immune changes after 2 h of intensive resistance training. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2004 Apr;96(4):1292-1298 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1152/jappphysiol.01064.2003](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.01064.2003)] [Medline: [14672962](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14672962/)]
59. Nieman DC, Henson DA, Smith LL, Utter AC, Vinci DM, Davis JM, et al. Cytokine changes after a marathon race. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2001 Jul;91(1):109-114 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1152/jappl.2001.91.1.109](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.2001.91.1.109)] [Medline: [11408420](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11408420/)]
60. Nieman DC, Dumke CL, Henson DA, McAnulty SR, Gross SJ, Lind RH. Muscle damage is linked to cytokine changes following a 160-km race. *Brain Behav Immun* 2005 Sep;19(5):398-403. [doi: [10.1016/j.bbi.2005.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2005.03.008)] [Medline: [16061149](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16061149/)]
61. Walsh R. Lifestyle and mental health. *Am Psychol* 2011 Oct;66(7):579-592. [doi: [10.1037/a0021769](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021769)] [Medline: [21244124](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21244124/)]
62. Cooper DM, Radom-Aizik S, Schwindt C, Zaldivar Jr F. Dangerous exercise: lessons learned from dysregulated inflammatory responses to physical activity. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2007 Aug;103(2):700-709 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1152/jappphysiol.00225.2007](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00225.2007)] [Medline: [17495117](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17495117/)]
63. Pedersen BK, Hoffman-Goetz L. Exercise and the immune system: regulation, integration, and adaptation. *Physiol Rev* 2000 Jul;80(3):1055-1081 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1152/physrev.2000.80.3.1055](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.2000.80.3.1055)] [Medline: [10893431](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10893431/)]
64. Fehrenbach E, Schneider ME. Trauma-induced systemic inflammatory response versus exercise-induced immunomodulatory effects. *Sports Med* 2006;36(5):373-384. [doi: [10.2165/00007256-200636050-00001](https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200636050-00001)] [Medline: [16646626](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16646626/)]
65. Haglund U. Gut ischaemia. *Gut* 1994 Jan;35(1 Suppl):S73-S76 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/gut.35.1_suppl.s73](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.35.1_suppl.s73)] [Medline: [8125397](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8125397/)]
66. Poussel M, Touzé C, Allado E, Frimat L, Hily O, Thilly N, et al. Ultramarathon and renal function: does exercise-induced acute kidney injury really exist in common conditions? *Front Sports Act Living* 2020 Jan 21;1:71 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fspor.2019.00071](https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2019.00071)] [Medline: [33344994](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33344994/)]
67. Ishihara K, Uchiyama N, Kizaki S, Mori E, Nonaka T, Oneda H. Application of continuous glucose monitoring for assessment of individual carbohydrate requirement during ultramarathon race. *Nutrients* 2020 Apr 17;12(4):1121 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/nu12041121](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12041121)] [Medline: [32316458](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32316458/)]
68. Ishihara K, Inamura N, Tani A, Shima D, Kuramochi A, Nonaka T, et al. Contribution of solid food to achieve individual nutritional requirement during a continuous 438 km mountain ultramarathon in female athlete. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021 May 13;18(10):5153 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/ijerph18105153](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18105153)] [Medline: [34067992](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34067992/)]
69. Pessiglione M, Vinckier F, Bouret S, Daunizeau J, Le Bouc R. Why not try harder? Computational approach to motivation deficits in neuro-psychiatric diseases. *Brain* 2018 Mar 01;141(3):629-650. [doi: [10.1093/brain/awx278](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awx278)] [Medline: [29194534](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29194534/)]
70. Blain B, Schmit C, Aubry A, Hausswirth C, Le Meur Y, Pessiglione M. Neuro-computational impact of physical training overload on economic decision-making. *Curr Biol* 2019 Oct 07;29(19):3289-97.e4 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.054)] [Medline: [31564497](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31564497/)]
71. Plard M. La course sur sentier, pratique immersive de réalité appréciée, oasis de résonance. Des pratiques récréatives en nature à contre-temps.: *Nature & Récréation*; 2019. URL: <https://www.naturerecreation.org/7-nat-et-recreation> [accessed 2022-01-10]
72. Alvero-Cruz JR, Parent Mathias V, Garcia Romero J, Carrillo de Albornoz-Gil M, Benítez-Porres J, Ordoñez FJ, et al. Prediction of performance in a short trail running race: the role of body composition. *Front Physiol* 2019 Oct 16;10:1306 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2019.01306](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01306)] [Medline: [31681014](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31681014/)]
73. Hoffman MD, Lebus DK, Ganong AC, Casazza GA, Van Loan M. Body composition of 161-km ultramarathoners. *Int J Sports Med* 2010 Feb;31(2):106-109. [doi: [10.1055/s-0029-1241863](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1241863)] [Medline: [20222002](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20222002/)]
74. Lieberman DE, Bramble DM. The evolution of marathon running : capabilities in humans. *Sports Med* 2007;37(4-5):288-290. [doi: [10.2165/00007256-200737040-00004](https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200737040-00004)] [Medline: [17465590](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17465590/)]
75. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2015 Jan;28(1):1-39.e14. [doi: [10.1016/j.echo.2014.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2014.10.003)] [Medline: [25559473](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25559473/)]
76. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd 3rd BF, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2016 Apr;29(4):277-314. [doi: [10.1016/j.echo.2016.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2016.01.011)] [Medline: [27037982](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27037982/)]
77. Hodzic A, Garcia D, Saloux E, Ribeiro PA, Ethier A, Thomas JD, et al. Echocardiographic evidence of left ventricular untwisting-filling interplay. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2020 Feb 19;18(1):8 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/s12947-020-00190-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12947-020-00190-6)] [Medline: [32075637](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32075637/)]
78. Hodzic A, Bonnefous O, Langet H, Hamiche W, Chaufourier L, Tournoux F, et al. Analysis of inter-system variability of systolic and diastolic intraventricular pressure gradients derived from color Doppler M-mode echocardiography. *Sci Rep* 2020 Apr 28;10(1):7180 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1038/s41598-020-64059-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64059-4)] [Medline: [32346030](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32346030/)]

79. Kruse A, Stafilidis S, Tilp M. Ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging are not interchangeable to assess the Achilles tendon cross-sectional-area. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2017 Jan;117(1):73-82 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s00421-016-3500-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-016-3500-1)] [Medline: [27838848](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27838848/)]
80. Panidi I, Bogdanis GC, Terzis G, Donti A, Konrad A, Gaspari V, et al. Muscle architectural and functional adaptations following 12-weeks of stretching in adolescent female athletes. *Front Physiol* 2021 Jul 16;12:701338 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fphys.2021.701338](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.701338)] [Medline: [34335307](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34335307/)]
81. Lima KM, Costa Júnior JF, Pereira WD, Oliveira LF. Assessment of the mechanical properties of the muscle-tendon unit by supersonic shear wave imaging elastography: a review. *Ultrasonography* 2018 Jan;37(1):3-15 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.14366/usg.17017](https://doi.org/10.14366/usg.17017)] [Medline: [28607322](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28607322/)]
82. Kasmer ME, Wren JJ, Hoffman MD. Foot strike pattern and gait changes during a 161-km ultramarathon. *J Strength Cond Res* 2014 May;28(5):1343-1350. [doi: [10.1519/JSC.0000000000000282](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000000282)] [Medline: [24149763](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24149763/)]
83. Esculier JF, Silvini T, Bouyer LJ, Roy JS. Video-based assessment of foot strike pattern and step rate is valid and reliable in runners with patellofemoral pain. *Phys Ther Sport* 2018 Jan;29:108-112. [doi: [10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.11.003)] [Medline: [28666810](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28666810/)]
84. de Oliveira FC, Fredette A, Echeverría SO, Batcho CS, Roy JS. Validity and reliability of 2-dimensional video-based assessment to analyze foot strike pattern and step rate during running: a systematic review. *Sports Health* 2019;11(5):409-415 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/1941738119844795](https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738119844795)] [Medline: [31145650](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31145650/)]
85. Murray L, Beaven CM, Hébert-Losier K. Reliability of overground running measures from 2D video analyses in a field environment. *Sports (Basel)* 2018 Dec 30;7(1):8 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/sports7010008](https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7010008)] [Medline: [30598031](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30598031/)]
86. Weart AN, Miller EM, Freisinger GM, Johnson MR, Goss DL. Agreement between the OptoGait and instrumented treadmill system for the quantification of spatiotemporal treadmill running parameters. *Front Sports Act Living* 2020 Oct 23;2:571385 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3389/fspor.2020.571385](https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2020.571385)] [Medline: [33345131](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33345131/)]
87. Esculier JF, Dubois B, Dionne CE, Leblond J, Roy JS. A consensus definition and rating scale for minimalist shoes. *J Foot Ankle Res* 2015 Aug 19;8:42 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/s13047-015-0094-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13047-015-0094-5)] [Medline: [26300981](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26300981/)]
88. Machado ML, Lelong-Boulouard V, Philoxene B, Davis A, Denise P, Besnard S. Vestibular loss promotes procedural response during a spatial task in rats. *Hippocampus* 2014 May;24(5):591-597. [doi: [10.1002/hipo.22251](https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.22251)] [Medline: [24464878](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24464878/)]
89. Machado ML, Lefèvre N, Philoxene B, Le Gall A, Madeleine S, Fleury P, et al. New software dedicated to virtual mazes for human cognitive investigations. *J Neurosci Methods* 2019 Nov 01;327:108388. [doi: [10.1016/j.jneumeth.2019.108388](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2019.108388)] [Medline: [31408650](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31408650/)]
90. Wilkinson RT, Houghton D. Field test of arousal: a portable reaction timer with data storage. *Hum Factors* 1982 Aug;24(4):487-493. [doi: [10.1177/001872088202400409](https://doi.org/10.1177/001872088202400409)] [Medline: [7129455](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7129455/)]
91. Schmidt L, Lebreton M, Cléry-Melin ML, Daunizeau J, Pessiglione M. Neural mechanisms underlying motivation of mental versus physical effort. *PLoS Biol* 2012 Feb;10(2):e1001266 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pbio.1001266](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001266)] [Medline: [22363208](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22363208/)]
92. Giandolini M, Horvais N, Rossi J, Millet GY, Morin JB, Samozino P. Acute and delayed peripheral and central neuromuscular alterations induced by a short and intense downhill trail run. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2016 Nov;26(11):1321-1333. [doi: [10.1111/sms.12583](https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12583)] [Medline: [26584478](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26584478/)]
93. Giandolini M, Horvais N, Rossi J, Millet GY, Morin JB, Samozino P. Effects of the foot strike pattern on muscle activity and neuromuscular fatigue in downhill trail running. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2017 Aug;27(8):809-819. [doi: [10.1111/sms.12692](https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12692)] [Medline: [27283465](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27283465/)]
94. Saugy J, Place N, Millet GY, Degache F, Schena F, Millet GP. Alterations of neuromuscular function after the world's most challenging mountain ultra-marathon. *PLoS One* 2013 Jun 26;8(6):e65596 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0065596](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065596)] [Medline: [23840345](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23840345/)]
95. Sommet Mondial du Trail, historique des inscriptions. UTMB®. 2019. URL: <https://utmbmontblanc.com/fr/page/227/227.html> [accessed 2022-01-10]

Abbreviations

- HR:** heart rate
- IL:** interleukin
- PM:** particulate matter
- sIL:** soluble interleukin
- sVEGF:** soluble vascular endothelial growth factor
- TNF:** tumor necrosis factor

Edited by T Leung; This paper was externally peer reviewed by Agence nationale de la recherche (ANR, or French National Research). See the Multimedia Appendices for the peer-review reports; Submitted 16.03.22; accepted 28.04.22; published 15.06.22.

Please cite as:

Mauvieux B, Hingrand C, Drigny J, Hodzic A, Baron P, Hurdiel R, Jouffroy R, Vauthier JC, Pessiglione M, Wiehler A, Degache F, Pavailler S, Heyman E, Plard M, Noirez P, Dubois B, Esculier JF, Nguyen AP, Van Cant J, Roy Baillargeon O, Pairet de Fontenay B, Delaunay PL, Besnard S

Study of the Kinetics of the Determinants of Performance During a Mountain Ultramarathon: Multidisciplinary Protocol of the First Trail Scientifique de Clécy 2021

JMIR Res Protoc 2022;11(6):e38027

URL: <https://www.researchprotocols.org/2022/6/e38027>

doi: [10.2196/38027](https://doi.org/10.2196/38027)

PMID:

©Benoit Mauvieux, Corentin Hingrand, Joffrey Drigny, Amir Hodzic, Pauline Baron, Rémy Hurdiel, Romain Jouffroy, Jean-Charles Vauthier, Mathias Pessiglione, Antonius Wiehler, Francis Degache, Sébastien Pavailler, Elsa Heyman, Mathilde Plard, Philippe Noirez, Blaise Dubois, Jean François Esculier, Anh Phong Nguyen, Joachim Van Cant, Olivier Roy Baillargeon, Benoit Pairet de Fontenay, Pierre Louis Delaunay, Stéphane Besnard. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (<https://www.researchprotocols.org>), 15.06.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://www.researchprotocols.org>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.